arXiv:1402.1906v1 [math.AC] 9 Feb 2014

Complexity Degrees of Algebraic Structures

Wolmer V Vasconcelos
Department of Mathematics
Rutgers University
110 Frelinghuysen Rd, Piscataway
New Jersey 08854-8019
vasconce@math.rutgers.edu

December 2013

Contents

Prologue 5

Abstract 7

Introduction 9

Chapter 1. Degree/Multiplicity Functions 19
Introduction 19
1.1. Hilbert Functions 24
1.1.1. Introduction 24
1.1.2. General gradings 26
1.2. The Classical Multiplicity for Local Rings 26
1.2.1. Introduction 26
1.2.2. Rules of computation 26
1.2.3. Reductions and Koszul homology 35
1.2.4. Monomial ideals 35
1.2.5. Localization and multiplicity loci 37
1.3. Arithmetical Degrees: arith-deg, gdeg, jdeg 37
1.3.1. Introduction 37
1.3.2. Arithmetic and geometric degrees 37
1.3.3. Computation of the arithmetic degree of a module 40
1.3.4. jdeg: a variation of the arithmetic degree 43
1.3.5. Associated graded rings and invariance 48
1.3.6. Determinants and arithmetic multiplicities 51
1.4. Castelnuovo–Mumford Regularity 53
1.4.1. Introduction 53
1.4.2. Basic properties 56
1.4.3. Bounds on syzygies 57
1.4.4. Regularity of some derived functors 58
1.5. Cohomological Degrees 59
1.5.1. Introduction 59
1.5.2. Definition of cohomological degrees 59
1.5.3. General properties of extended degrees 61
1.5.4. Degs and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity 63
1.5.5. The homological degree 64
1.5.6. Homological torsion 71
1.5.7. Cohomological degrees and Samuel multiplicities 75

1.5.8. The extreme cohomological degree: bdeg 76

Chapter 2. Normalization of Algebras, Ideals and Modules 83
Introduction 83
2.1. The Tracking Number of an Algebra 83
2.1.1. Introduction 83
2.1.2. The determinant of a module or an algebra: field case 83
2.1.3. Bounding tracking numbers 89
2.1.4. Positivity of tracking numbers 91
2.1.5. Algebras with Noether normalization 93
2.1.6. Abstract tracking numbers 95
2.2. Complexity of Normalization 96
2.2.1. Introduction 96
2.2.2. Normalization and jdeg 98
2.2.3. Divisorial generation 100
2.3. Normalization of Ideals 102
2.3.1. Introduction 102
2.3.2. Indices of normalization 103
2.3.3. Cohen-Macaulay normalization 104
2.3.4. Integrality and multiplicity 105
2.3.5. Briançon-Skoda theorems 106
2.3.6. Equimultiple ideals 108
2.3.7. Ideals of positive dimension 113
2.4. Sally Modules and Normalization of Ideals 113
2.4.1. Introduction 113
2.4.2. General properties of Sally modules 114
2.4.3. Hilbert functions 114
2.4.4. Sally modules and Hilbert coefficients 116
2.4.5. Number and distribution of generators of normalizations 117
2.5. Normalization of Modules 118
2.5.1. Introduction 118
2.5.2. Reductions and integral closure of modules 118
2.5.3. Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity 120
2.5.4. Briançon-Skoda theorem for modules 125
2.5.5. Complexity of the normalization of modules 126
2.5.6. A remark on the Zariski-Lipman conjecture 127

Chapter 3. The Hilbert Coefficients and the Character of Local Rings 129
Introduction 129
3.1. The Chern Coefficients of Local Rings 129
3.1.1. Introduction 129
3.1.2. Tracking number of a filtration 130
3.2. Bounding Hilbert Coefficients 132
3.2.1. Introduction 132
3.2.2. Cohen-Macaulayness versus the negativity of e_1 134

3.2.3. Bounds on $\overline{e}_1(I)$ via the Briançon–Skoda number 143
3.2.4. Modules with finite local cohomology 149
3.2.5. Euler characteristics 150
3.2.6. Chern coefficient and homological torsion 152
3.3. Euler Characteristics 153
3.3.1. Introduction 153
3.3.2. Partial Euler characteristics 153
3.3.3. Euler characteristics versus Hilbert coefficients 155
3.3.4. Bounding Euler characteristics 159
3.3.5. A tale of four invariants 162
3.4. Generalized Hilbert Coefficients 165
3.4.1. Introduction 165
3.4.2. Amenable partial systems of parameters 167
3.4.3. Explicit formulas for j-coefficients 170

Chapter 4. Multiplicity–Based Complexity of Derived Functors 177
Introduction 177
4.1. The HomAB Problem 180
4.1.1. Introduction 180
4.1.2. Auslander dual 182
4.1.3. Isolated singularities and vector bundles 183
4.1.4. Ideal modules 190
4.1.5. Higher cohomology modules 194
4.2. Length Complexity of Tensor Products 196
4.2.1. Introduction 196
4.2.2. Graded modules 205
4.2.3. Open questions 205
4.3. Local Modules 206
4.3.1. Introduction 206
4.3.2. Jacobson radical 207
4.3.3. Construction of local modules 210
4.3.4. Homological properties of local modules 214

Chapter 5. The Equations of Ideals 217
5.1. Introduction 217
5.1.1. Syzygies and Hilbert functions 219
5.1.2. Relation type 224
5.1.3. The syzygetic lemmas 226
5.1.4. The number of equations 231
5.1.5. Almost complete intersections of dimension 1 243
5.2. Almost Cohen–Macaulay Algebras 244
5.2.1. Introduction 244
5.2.2. The canonical presentation 244
5.2.3. Metrics of aCM algebras 248
5.2.4. Multiplicities and number of relations 252

5.2.5. Equi-homogeneous acis 257
5.2.6. Monomial ideals 263
5.3. Special Fiber of Filtrations 265
5.3.1. Introduction 265
5.3.2. The reduction number formula 265
5.3.3. Special fibers and birationality 268
5.3.4. Variation of Hilbert coefficients 270
5.3.5. Special fiber and multiplicity 275
5.3.6. Ideals generated by quadrics 276

Chapter 6. Appendix 277
Introduction 277
6.1. Approximation Complexes 277
6.1.1. Introduction 277
6.1.2. Construction 277
6.1.3. Approximation complexes with coefficients 280
6.2. Elimination 281
6.2.1. Introduction 281
6.2.2. Dimension two: an extended example 283

Bibliography 293

Prologue

A Word to Readers: These notes are in a preliminary condition. We gratefully solicit suggestions and comments from kind readers and colleagues with regard to:

- missed or misplaced references
- repeated typos or awkward notation
- missing related topics
- inconsistent use of fonts

We are grateful to numerous colleagues [which will eventually be listed] who endured conversations about the topics of these notes. To Jooyoun [J] Hong we give a special word of thanks for all the support, mathematical and technical.

Abstract

We aim at studying collections $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{R})$ of algebraic structures defined over a commutative ring $\mathbf{R}$ and investigating the complexity of significant constructions carried out on these objects. Noteworthy are the category of finitely generated modules (over local rings, or graded, particularly vector bundles), finitely generated algebras or objects suitable of more fundamental decompositions and construction of various closure operations—such as the determination of global sections and the (theoretical or machine) computation of integral closures of algebras. Typically, the operations express smoothing processes that enhance the structure of the algebras, enable them to support new constructions (including analytic ones), divisors acquire a group structure, and the cohomology tends to slim down. The assignment of measures of size, via a multiplicity theory, to the algebras and to the *construction* itself is a novel aspect to the subject. One of its specific goals is to develop a comprehensive theory of normalization in commutative algebra and a broad set of multiplicity functions to be used as complexity benchmarks.

Short Abstract

We aim at studying collections of algebraic structures defined over a commutative ring and investigating the complexity of significant constructions carried out on these objects. The assignment of measures of size, via a multiplicity theory, to the algebras and to the construction itself is a novel aspect to the subject.

Introduction

We seek to define numerical functions, Deg, which assigns to an algebraic structure $\mathbf{A}$ the value $\mathrm{Deg}(\mathbf{A})$, and to a smoothing operation

$$\mathbf{A} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{B},$$

a value $\mathrm{Deg}(\rightsquigarrow)$ to the transformation itself. The naive expectations set $\mathrm{Deg}(\rightsquigarrow) = |\mathrm{Deg}(\mathbf{B}) - \mathrm{Deg}(\mathbf{A})|$, or $\mathrm{Deg}(\rightsquigarrow) = \mathrm{Deg}(\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A})$, but a closer accounting may often be given. In the case of an algebra or module $\mathbf{A}$ the functions used $\mathrm{Deg}(\mathbf{A})$ are enhanced versions of the ordinary multiplicities $\deg(\mathbf{A})$ where the difference $\mathrm{Deg}(\mathbf{A}) - \deg(\mathbf{A})$ should represent deeper properties of $\mathbf{A}$ not read off $\deg(\mathbf{A})$.

There are two interlocked grand themes arising from this general endeavor. First, the development of multiplicities, that is numerical (degree) functions $\mathrm{Deg} : \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{R}) \to \mathbb{N}$ with suitable properties, such as with regard to hyperplane sections and particular short exact sequences. The functions treated here come in two families, the *arithmetic degrees* and the *cohomological degrees*. They can be understood as providing a *geometric volume* in the classical manner, and a *cohomological volume*. The first are directly related to the standard multiplicity and include the classical arithmetic and geometric degrees, the Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity and the more recent j-multiplicity and jdeg. Among the cohomological degrees, usually referred to as big Degs, are hdeg and bdeg. These two functions have different purposes, the first addressing issues of genericity, while the other has Cohen-Macaulay structures as benchmarks and seeks to measure deviations from corresponding baselines. A fruitful aspect in these developments are the numerous questions seeking to relate them to the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity in the case of graded structures.

The other theme is the character of the specific problems the multiplicities are being used on, focused on the search for estimates for the number of steps that general algorithms must use to calculate the closure of some operation, to determine the number of generators of an algebra or module, or just to bound the number of Hilbert functions of strata of elements in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{R})$.

The novel perspective of its subject, linking commutative algebra and computational complexity, has matured into numerous explicit challenges of great technical difficulty. Rooted in the current worldview that takes Cohen-Macaulay structures as the basic blocks of the field, we seek to track how those objects undergo smoothing operations and the new structures that arise.

This monograph deals with several themes in commutative algebra and computational algebra centered on the construction and analysis of integral closure of algebraic structures of great interest along with the development of degree functions. It ranges

from the practical construction of algorithms for integral closures and the development of settings to analyze their complexity to the examination of several classes of blowup rings. We hold the view that Cohen–Macaulay structures are ubiquitous in algebra, are central to commutative algebra and algebraic geometry and represent unique computational efficiencies and that without an understanding of the ways it appears in the structure of non Cohen-Macaulay structures the prospects for successful large scale symbolic computations are rather dim. The project is focused on studying graded algebras, blowup algebras, graded rings associated to ideals of combinatorial interest, the Cohen–Macaulayness of certain toric varieties and the role they may play in the theory of Gröbner bases.

The notes are organized into 5 chapters and one appendix, each split into sections:

(1) Chapter 1: Degree/Multiplicity Functions
(2) Chapter 2: Normalization of Algebras, Ideals and Modules
(3) Chapter 3: The Hilbert Coefficients and the Character of Local Rings
(4) Chapter 4: Multiplicity-Based Complexity of Derived Functors
(5) Chapter 5: The Equations of Ideals
(6) Chapter 6: Appendix

From all of these topics we select some high points.

Cohomological degrees. The multiplicity functions of algebraic geometry and commutative algebra have wide usage in sizing up a module or an algebra. Its drawbacks are that ignores the lower dimensional components of the structure and does not behave too well with respect to hyperplane sections. To account for the components, Bayer and Mumford introduced the *arithmetic degree*. To account for a fuller behavior of hyperplane sections, *extended degrees* were introduced. They all coincide on Cohen-Macaulay rings and algebras. Unlike multiplicities, that have a combinatorial foundation, extended degrees are both combinatorial and very homological. The need to express local behavior in global terms presents many technical challenges for their computation.

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring (or a Noetherian graded algebra) and let $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R})$ be the category of finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$–modules (or the appropriate category of graded modules). A *degree function* is simply a numerical function

$$\mathbf{d} : \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{N}$$

The more interesting of them initialize on modules on finite length, are additive on *certain* short exact sequences and have mechanisms that control how the functions behave under generic hyperplane sections. Some of these functions are: Classical degree (Multiplicity) and Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity.

Most degree functions $\mathbf{d}$ are derived from the leading coefficients of Hilbert polynomials of certain filtrations. Refinements involves assembling $\mathbf{d}$ by adding several of these coefficients, so that a value such as $\mathbf{d}(A)$ may capture several elements of the structure of A.

The most demanding requirement on these functions are those regarding their behavior with respect to generic hyperplane sections. When A has positive depth and

$h \in R$ is a generic hyperplane section, the comparison

$$\mathbf{d}(A) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{d}(A/hA)$$

is the principal divider among the degrees. For those directly derived from the classical multiplicity, one always has

$$\mathbf{d}(A) \leq \mathbf{d}(A/hA).$$

For the other family of degrees, the cohomological multiplicities, the relationship is reversed,

$$\mathbf{d}(A) \geq \mathbf{d}(A/hA).$$

It is this feature that makes them appealing as complexity benchmarks, motivating our interest on cohomological (or extended) degrees ([**38**]), particularly on the homological degree and bdeg (the so-called Big Degs) ([**72**]):

Definition. A *cohomological degree*, or *extended multiplicity* is a function

$$\mathrm{Deg}(\cdot) : \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{N},$$

that satisfies

(i) If $L = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(A) = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(A)$ is the submodule of elements of A which are annihilated by a power of the maximal ideal and $\overline{A} = A/L$, then

$$\mathrm{Deg}(A) = \mathrm{Deg}(\overline{A}) + \lambda(L),$$

where $\lambda(\cdot)$ is the ordinary length function.

(ii) (Bertini's rule) If A has positive depth and h is a generic hyperplane section, then

$$\mathrm{Deg}(A) \geq \mathrm{Deg}(A/hA).$$

(iii) (The calibration rule) If A is a Cohen–Macaulay module, then

$$\mathrm{Deg}(A) = \deg(A),$$

where $\deg(A)$ is the ordinary multiplicity of the module A.

It was not obvious that such functions even existed. An infinite number of such notions however arose from the construction of $\mathrm{hdeg}(\cdot)$ ([**194**]) simply by using Samuel's multiplicities. The philosophy of introducing these functions is the following. Taking Cohen-Macaulay structures (e.g. algebras over a local ring) as a departure, with its rich variety of techniques, it becomes clear that the next class of objects to be examined are those that are Cohen-Macaulay on the punctured spectrum. To broaden this to more general algebras is accomplished by the technique of the extended degrees.

A main characteristic of a big Deg is that it satisfies a form of Bertini's property ($\mathrm{Deg}(E/hE) \leq \mathrm{Deg}(E)$, for a hyperplane section) and therefore can be used as a measure of complexity. The most significant aspect of these particular degrees lies in the fact that they satisfy a number of rules of calculation with regard to certain exact sequences. In certain situations, they are often more flexible than those followed by Castelnuovo–Mumford's *regularity* $\mathrm{reg}(\cdot)$ ([**8**], [**43**]). One of the comparisons shows that for any standard graded algebra A ([**38**], and its extension in [**132**]):

$$\mathrm{Deg}(A) > \mathrm{reg}(A),$$

for any $\mathrm{Deg}(\cdot)$ function, in particular for $\mathrm{hdeg}(\cdot)$. The fact that it comes coded by an explicit formula will permit making many *a priori* estimates for the number of generators in terms of multiplicities. In the case of graded modules one of properties of $\mathrm{Deg}(\cdot)$ is that it roughly mediates between the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity and the Betti numbers.

These degrees have a greater strength than those defined using primarily the primary decomposition data. For instance, whenever a cohomological degree function is defined, we have a decreasing chain

$$\mathrm{Deg}(A) \geq \text{arith-deg}(A) \geq \mathrm{gdeg}(A) \geq \mathrm{jdeg}(A).$$

Normalization of algebras, ideals and modules. A general theory of the integral closure of algebraic structures–as a full fledged integration of algorithms, cost estimates, along with tight predictions at termination–is highly desirable but it is an as yet unrealized goal. Several stretches of this road have been built and we will begin to describe the role of multiplicities in the undertaking.

We will now describe the setting in which our discussion takes place. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a geometric/arithmetic integral domain, and let $\mathbf{A}$ be a graded algebra (more generally with a $\mathbb{Z}^r$-grading)

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n], \quad x_i \text{ homogeneous},$$

of integral closure

$$\overline{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{R}[y_1, \ldots, y_m], \quad y_i \text{ homogeneous}.$$

The construction of $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ usually starts out from an observation of Emmy Noether in her last paper [**136**]: If $d \in \mathbf{A}$ is a regular element of $\mathbf{A}$ contained in the Jacobian ideal, then

$$\mathbf{A} \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{A}} \subseteq \frac{1}{d}\mathbf{A}.$$

One approach to the construction of $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ ([**108**]) consists in finding processes $\mathcal{P}$ that create extensions

$$\mathbf{A} \mapsto \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{A}) \subset \overline{\mathbf{A}},$$
$$\mathcal{P}^n(\mathbf{A}) = \overline{\mathbf{A}}, \quad n \gg 0.$$

typically $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(I(\mathbf{A}), I(\mathbf{A}))$, where $I(\mathbf{A})$ is some ideal connected to the conductor/Jacobian of $\mathbf{A}$. Another approach ([**176**]), in characteristic p, is distinct. Starting with $\frac{1}{d}\mathbf{A}$, and using the Frobenius map, it constructs a descending sequence

$$V_0 = \frac{1}{d}\mathbf{A} \supset V_1 \supset \cdots \supset V_m = \overline{\mathbf{A}}.$$

Predicting properties of $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ in $\mathbf{A}$, possibly by adding up the changes $\mathcal{P}^i(\mathbf{A}) \to \mathcal{P}^{i+1}(\mathbf{A})$ (or the similar terms V_i in the descending sequences) is a natural question in need of clarification.

To realize this objective, we select the following specific goals:

(a) We develop numerical indices for $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$, that is for $\mathbf{A}_i = \mathcal{P}^i$, find r such that

$$\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{n+r} = \mathbf{A}_n \cdot \overline{\mathbf{A}}_r, \quad n \geq 0.$$

(b) How many "steps" are there between $\mathbf{A}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$,

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_0 \subset \mathbf{A}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathbf{A}_{s-1} \subset \mathbf{A}_s = \overline{\mathbf{A}},$$

where the $\mathbf{A}_i$ are constructed by an effective process?

(c) Express r and s in terms of invariants of $\mathbf{A}$.

(d) Generators of $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$: Number and distribution of their degrees.

Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a commutative Noetherian ring, and let $\mathbf{A}$ be a finitely generated graded algebra (of integral closure $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$). We want to gauge the 'distance' from $\mathbf{A}$ to $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$. To this end, we introduce two degree functions whose properties help to determine the position of the algebras in the chains.

(a) (tracking number) $\operatorname{tn} : \mathbf{A} \to \mathbb{N}$, for a certain class of algebras (includes $\mathbf{R}$ a field). We shall use for distance

$$\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}) - \operatorname{tn}(\overline{\mathbf{A}})$$

(b) jdeg : a multiplicity on all finitely generated graded $\mathbf{A}$-modules. We shall use for distance

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{A}}/\mathbf{A})$$

The first of these will be a *determinant*. Consider a graded $\mathbf{R} = k[z_1, \ldots, z_r]$–module E: Suppose E has torsion free rank r. The *determinant* of E is the graded module

$$\det_{\mathbf{R}}(E) = (\wedge^r E)^{**}.$$

$$\det_{\mathbf{R}}(E) \simeq \mathbf{R}[-c(E)], \quad \operatorname{tn}(E) := c(E)$$

The integer $c(E)$ is the **tracking number** of E. If E has no associated primes of codimension 1, $\operatorname{tn}(E)$ can be read off the Hilbert series $H_E(\mathbf{t})$ of E:

$$H_E(t) = [\![E]\!] = \frac{\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{t})}{(1-\mathbf{t})^{d+1}}, \quad \operatorname{tn}(E) = \mathbf{h}'(1)$$

This shows that $\operatorname{tn}(E)$ is independent of $\mathbf{R}$. It comes with the following elementary property.

Proposition. Let $\mathbf{R} = k[z_1, \ldots, z_d]$ and $E \subset F$ two graded $\mathbf{R}$–modules of the same rank. If E and F have the condition S_2 of Serre,

$$\operatorname{tn}(E) \geq \operatorname{tn}(F),$$

with equality if and only if $E = F$. Therefore, if

$$E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_n$$

be a sequence of distinct f.g. graded $\mathbf{R}$-modules of the same rank. Then

$$n \leq \operatorname{tn}(E_0) - \operatorname{tn}(E_n).$$

The other function, jdeg, has different properties. One of the properties jdeg addresses our main issue. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian domain and $\mathbf{A}$ a semistandard graded

R-algebra, that is an algebra finite over a standard graded **R**-algebra. Consider a sequence of integral graded extensions

$$A \subseteq A_0 \to A_1 \to A_2 \to \cdots \to A_n = \overline{A},$$

where the A_i satisfy the S_2 condition of Serre. Then $n \leq \operatorname{jdeg}(\overline{A}/A)$ ([**144**, Theorem 2]).

The main goal becomes to express $\operatorname{jdeg}(\overline{A}/A)$ in terms of *priori* data, that is, one wants to view this number as an invariant of A. Relating it to other, more accessible, invariants of A would bring considerable predictive power to the function jdeg. This is the point of view of [**147**] and [**92**], when jdeg is the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity or the Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity, respectively.

The Hilbert coefficients and the character of local rings. A parameter ideal of a Noetherian local ring A of dimension d is an ideal Q of dimension 0 generated by d elements. Despite the transparency, their properties code for numerous properties of the ring A itself. It is worthwhile to make use of its properties as a barometer of the Cohen-Macaulay property or of the lack of it.

We treat the results of [**61**] dealing with the comparative study of two integers associated to a parameter ideal in a local ring, one derived from a Hilbert function, the other from a Koszul complex. They play similar roles as predictors of the Cohen-Macaulay property, a fact that led to conjectural relationships between them. To state the questions and our results on them, first of all let us fix some notation and recall terminology.

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d > 0$, and let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. There is a great deal of interest on the set of I-good filtrations of $\mathbf{R}$. More concretely, on the set of multiplicative, decreasing filtrations of $\mathbf{R}$ ideals,

$$\mathcal{A} = \{I_n \mid I_0 = \mathbf{R},\ I_{n+1} = II_n, n \gg 0\},$$

integral over the I-adic filtration, conveniently coded in the corresponding Rees algebra and its associated graded ring

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{n\geq 0} I_n t^n, \quad \operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{n\geq 0} I_n/I_{n+1}.$$

Our focus here is on a set of filtrations both broader and more narrowly defined. Let M be a finitely generated **R**-module and I an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. The Hilbert polynomial of the associated graded module

$$\operatorname{gr}_I(M) = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} I^n M/I^{n+1}M,$$

more precisely the values of $\sum_{k\leq n} \lambda(I^k M/I^{k+1}M)$ for large n, can be assembled as

$$P_M(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} (-1)^i \mathrm{e}_i(I, M) \binom{n+d-i}{d-i},$$

where $d = \dim M$. In most of our discussion, locally, either I or M are fixed, and by simplicity we set $\mathrm{e}_i(I, M) = \mathrm{e}_i(M)$ or $\mathrm{e}_i(I, M) = \mathrm{e}_i(I)$, accordingly. These integers

TABLE 1. Comparative properties of the first Chern and Euler numbers of a parameter ideal Q of a local ring A. We call attention to the more stringent requirements for the $\mathrm{e}_1(Q)$.

$-\mathrm{e}_1(Q) \geq 0$ ([**122**])	$\chi_1(Q) \geq 0$ ([**166**, Appendice II])
A unmixed & $\mathrm{e}_1(Q) = 0 \Rightarrow A$ C.-M. ([**53**], [**55**])	$\chi_1(Q) = 0 \Rightarrow A$ C.-M. ([**166**, Appendice II])
A unmixed & $\mathrm{e}_1(Q)$ constant $\Rightarrow A$ Buchsbaum ([**65**])	$\chi_1(Q)$ constant $\Rightarrow A$ Buchsbaum ([**175**])

are the Hilbert coefficients of M relative to I. Occasionally the first Hilbert coefficient $\mathrm{e}_1(I, M)$ is referred to as the *Chern coefficient* of I relative to M ([**200**]).

A great deal of progress has been achieved on how the values of $\mathrm{e}_1(Q, \mathbf{R})$ codes for structural information about the ring $\mathbf{R}$ itself. More explicitly one defines the set

$$\Lambda(M) = \{\mathrm{e}_1(Q, M) \ \mid \ Q \text{ is a parameter ideal for } M\},$$

and examines what its structure expresses about M. In case $M = \mathbf{R}$, this set was analyzed in [**53**] and related papers for the following extremal properties:

(a) $0 \in \Lambda(\mathbf{R})$;
(b) $\Lambda(\mathbf{R})$ contains a single element;
(c) $\Lambda(\mathbf{R})$ is bounded.

The following describes some of the main results:

Theorem. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be an unmixed Noetherian local ring.

(a) ([**53**, Theorem 2.1]) $\mathbf{R}$ *is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if* $\mathrm{e}_1(Q) = 0$ *for some parameter ideal* Q.
(b) ([**65**, Theorem 4.1]) $\mathbf{R}$ *is a Buchsbaum ring if and only if* $\mathrm{e}_1(Q)$ *is constant for all parameter ideals* Q.
(c) ([**53**, Proposition 4.2]) $\mathbf{R}$ *is a Cohen-Macaulay ring on the punctured spectrum if and only if the set* $\Lambda(\mathbf{R})$ *is finite.*

The other integer arising in our investigation and which we want to contrast to $\mathrm{e}_1(Q)$ is the following. Let $Q = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d)$ be a parameter ideal in A. We denote by $\mathrm{H}_i(Q)$ ($i \in \mathbb{Z}$) the i–th homology module of the Koszul complex $\mathrm{K}_\bullet(Q; A)$ generated by the system $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d$ of parameters in A. We put

$$\chi_1(Q) = -\sum_{i \geq 1} (-1)^i \ell_A(\mathrm{H}_i(Q))$$

and call it the first *Euler characteristic* of Q; hence

$$\chi_1(Q) = \ell_A(A/Q) - \mathrm{e}_0(Q)$$

by a classical result of Serre (see [**6**], [**166**]).

Multiplicity-based complexity of derived functors. Let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and let A and B be finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-modules. Motivated by the occurrence of the derived functors of $\operatorname{Hom}_R(A,\cdot)$ and $A\otimes_R\cdot$ in several constructions based on A we seek to develop gauges for the sizes for these modules. In the case of graded modules, a rich **degree** based theory has been developed centered on the notion of Castelnuovo regularity. It is particularly well-suited to handle complexity properties of tensor products.

Partly driven by its use in normalization processes, we seek to develop a *length* based theory ground on the notion of extended multiplicity. Starting with a fixed Deg function–and the choice will be hdeg–we examine various scenarios of the following question: Express in terms of $\operatorname{hdeg}(A)$ and $\operatorname{hdeg}(B)$ quantities such as

- $\nu(\operatorname{Hom}_R(A,B))$;
- $\nu(\operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(A,R),R))$;
- $\nu(\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(A,B)),\ i\geq 1$;
- $h_0(A\otimes_R B)=\lambda(\operatorname{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(A\otimes_R B))$.

The sought-after estimations are polynomial functions on $\operatorname{hdeg}(A)$ and $\operatorname{hdeg}(B)$, whose coefficients are given in terms of invariants of $\mathbf{R}$. The first of these questions was treated in [**33**] and [**35**], who refer to it as the HomAB question.

The *HomAB* question asks for uniform estimates for the number of generators of $\operatorname{Hom}_R(A,B)$ in terms of invariants of $\mathbf{R}$, A and B. The extended question asks for the estimates of the number of generators of $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(A,B)$ (or of other functors).

In addition to the appeal of the question in basic homological algebra, such modules of endomorphisms appear frequently in several constructions, particularly in the algorithms that seek the integral closure of algebras (see [**199**, Chapter 6]). The algorithms used tend to use rounds of operations of the form:

- $\operatorname{Hom}_R(E,E)$: ring extension;
- $A\to\tilde{A}$: S_2-ification of A;
- $I:J$: ideal quotient.

Another natural application is for primary decomposition of ideals, given the prevalence of computation of ideal quotients.

The equations of an ideal. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring and let I be an ideal. By the *equations* of I is meant a free presentation of the Rees algebra $\mathbf{R}[It]$ of I,

$$0\to\mathbf{L}\longrightarrow\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{T}_m]\xrightarrow{\psi}\mathbf{R}[It]\to 0,\quad \mathbf{T}_i\mapsto f_it.$$

More precisely, we refer to the ideal $\mathbf{L}$ as the *ideal of equations* of I. The ideal $\mathbf{L}$ depends on the chosen set of generators of I, but all of its significant cohomological properties, such as the integers that bound the degrees of minimal generating sets of L, are independent of the presentation ψ. The examination of $\mathbf{L}$ is one pathway to the unveiling of the properties of $\mathbf{R}[It]$. It codes the syzygies of all powers of I, and therefore is a carrier of not just algebraic properties of I, but of analytic ones as well. This is a notion that can be extended to Rees algebras $\mathcal{R}=\sum_{n\geq 0}I_nt^n$ of more general filtrations. Among these we will be interested in the integral closure of $\mathbf{R}[It]$.

We are going to make a hit list of properties that would be desirable to have about $\mathbf{L}$, and discuss its significance. Foremost among them is to estimate the degrees of the generators of L, the so-called *relation type* of the ideal I: reltype(I). If $\mathbf{L}$ is generated by forms of degree 1, I is said to be of linear type (this is independent of the set of generators). The Rees algebra $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is then the symmetric algebra $\mathcal{S} = \mathrm{Sym}(I)$ of I. Such is the case when the f_i form a regular sequence, L is then generated by the Koszul forms $f_i\mathbf{T}_j - f_j\mathbf{T}_i$, $i < j$. There are other kinds of kinds of sequences with this property (see [**80**] for a discussion).

The general theme we are going to pursue is the following: How to bound the relation type of an ideal in terms of its numerical invariants known *a priori*? Given the prevalence of various multiplicities among these invariants most of our questions depend on them.

(a) Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension d and let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. Is there a low polynomial $f(e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_d)$ on the Hilbert coefficients of I such that

$$\mathrm{reltype}(I) \leq f(e_0, \mathrm{e}_1, \ldots, e_d)?$$

(b) Among the equations in $\mathbf{L}$, an important segment arises from the *reductions* of I. That is, subideals $J \subset I$ with the property that $I^{r+1} = JI^r$, for some r, the so-called *reduction number* of I relative to J; in notation, $\mathrm{r}_J(I)$. Minimal reductions are important choices here and there are various bounds for the corresponding values of $\mathrm{r}_J(I)$ ($\mathrm{r}(I)$ will be the minimum value of $\mathrm{r}_J(I)$). Among these formulas, for Cohen-Macaulay local rings $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ of dimension d, and $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I, one has

$$\mathrm{r}(I) \leq \frac{d}{o(I)} e_0(I) - 2d + 1,$$

where $o(I)$ is the largest integer s such that $I \subset \mathfrak{m}^s$. These formulas are treated in [**199**, Section 2.2]. One task is to derive such formulas for arbitrary Noetherian local rings. We plan to carry this out using *extended multiplicities*. It will require a broadening of our understanding of related issues: Sally modules of reductions.

(c) If the ideal I is not $\mathfrak{m}$-primary, which *multiplicities* play the roles of the Hilbert coefficients?

(d) A related question, originally raised by C. Huneke, attracted considerable attention: whether for ideals I generated by systems of parameters, there is a uniform bound for reltype(I). Although the answer was ultimately negative, significant classes of rings do have this property. Wang obtained several beautiful bounds for rings of low dimensions ([**206**],[**207**]), while Linh and Trung ([**118**]) derived uniform bounds for all generalized Cohen-Macaulay rings.

(e) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the special fiber of $\mathcal{R}$, that is $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}$. Since

$$\mathrm{reltype}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mathrm{reltype}(\mathcal{R}),$$

it may be useful to constrain reltype$(\mathcal{F})$ in terms of the Hilbert coefficients of the affine algebra $\mathcal{F}$. A rich vein to examine is that of ideals which are near complete intersections, more precisely in case of ideals of deviation at most

two. Among other questions, one should examine the problem of *variation* of the Hilbert polynomials of ideals $I \subset I' \subset \overline{I}$, where the latter is the integral closure of I. For ideals of finite co-length, one of the questions (given the equality $\mathrm{e}_0(I) = e_0(I')$), is to study the change $\mathrm{e}_1(I') - e_1(I)$ in terms of the degrees of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$.

We will treat mainly ideals of small deviations–particularly ideals of codimension r generated by $r+1$ or $r+2$ elements–because of their ubiquity in the construction of birational maps. Often, but not exclusively, I will be an ideal of finite co-length in a local ring, or in a ring of polynomials over a field.

CHAPTER 1

Degree/Multiplicity Functions

Introduction

Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring. Two of the basic structures defined over $\mathbf{R}$ to be considered here are a module M defined by a free presentation

$$\mathbf{R}^m \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbf{R}^n \longrightarrow M \to 0,$$

and an $\mathbf{R}$-algebra $\mathbf{A}$ given by generators and relations,

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_n]/(f_1, \ldots, f_m).$$

One of our goals is to extract numerical information from φ and $(f_1, \ldots, f_m)$, and track it as M and $\mathbf{A}$ undergo transformations of various kinds. By a *degree* of a module M or an algebra $\mathbf{A}$ we mean a numerical measure of information carried by M or $\mathbf{A}$. It must serve the purposes of allowing comparisons between modules/algebras and to exhibit flexible calculus rules that track the degree under some basic constructions, such as hyperplane sections, normalization (in the case of algebras), number of generators of cohomology modules, degrees of modules of syzygies, etc.

The premier example of a degree (vector space dimension excluded) is that of the *multiplicity* of a module. Let $(\mathbf{S}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring and let M be a finitely generated $\mathbf{S}$–module. The Hilbert–Samuel function of M is

$$H_M : n \mapsto \lambda(M/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}M),$$

which for $n \gg 0$ is given by a polynomial:

$$H_M(n) = \frac{\deg(M)}{d!} n^d + \text{lower order terms}.$$

The integer $d = \dim M$ is the *dimension* of M, and the integer $\deg(M)$ is its *multiplicity*. Under certain conditions, $\deg(M)$ can be interpreted as the *volume* of a manifold, or of a polytope.

This *degree* arises in the setting of finitely generated graded modules over graded $\mathbf{R}$-algebra $\mathbf{A}$, for an Artinian ring $\mathbf{R}$: From $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}[z_1, \ldots, z_d]$ and $M = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} M_n$ (more general grading will be considered later), under fairly broad conditions the function, referred as its *Hilbert function*,

$$H_M : n \mapsto \lambda(M_n)$$

provides a wealth of information, with a *degree* coding a great deal of it.

In reality the Hilbert functions mentioned above are distinct, but are closely related, that is, we will call either $H_M(n)$ or

$$\sum_{k\leq n} H_M(k)$$

as the Hilbert function of M, or refer to the second kind as the Hilbert–Samuel function.

This however is a very narrow view of what is desirable. It is important to obtain *degree like* information from M even when $\mathbf{R}$ is not Artinian, by which one means shared properties relative to certain exact or hyperplane sections. Another aim is to define functions like H_M when M is not necessarily a Noetherian module. For instance, if $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ is a Noetherian local ring and $J\subset I$ are $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals, the module whose components are

$$M_n = I^n/J^n$$

has a nice Hilbert function, since

$$\lambda(M_n) = \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J^n) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I^n),$$

a difference of ordinary Hilbert functions.

To give an example of the challenge we will undertake, consider a case inspired by the *Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity*. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a local Noetherian ring, let M be a submodule of the free $\mathbf{R}$-module $F=\mathbf{R}^r$ such that $\lambda(F/M)<\infty$. Setting $\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{R}[x_1,\ldots,x_d]=\bigoplus_n S_n$, let $M^n\subset S_n$ denote the $\mathbf{R}$-submodule generated by the products of n elements of M. The function $\lambda(S_n/M^n)$ has properties similar to (1.0.0.1) despite the fact that unlike the former it lacks a *direct* interpretation as a Hilbert function of a Noetherian graded module. (It should be said though that there are *indirect* ones.) The challenge will consist in attaching a degree when the M is an arbitrary submodule of F.

One of the paths we employ to obtain new degrees from old ones is the following. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring and $\mathbf{A}$ a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{R}$-algebra. Usually we will require that $\mathbf{A}$ be a standard graded algebra, but later we shall discuss more general gradings. Suppose we are equipped with a numerical function λ on the category of finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-modules. Let $M=\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} M_n$ be a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{A}$-module, to each we associate the Laurent power series

$$[\![M]\!] = \mathbb{P}_M(t) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \lambda(M_n)t^n.$$

Under some conditions, this function is a rational function,

$$[\![M]\!] = \frac{h_M(t)}{(1-t)^d},$$

$h_M(t)\in\mathbb{Z}[t,t^{-1}]$. From this representation is extracted the Hilbert polynomial

$$P_M(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1}(-1)^i \mathrm{e}_i(M)\binom{n+d-i-1}{d-i-1},$$

with the property that $\lambda(M_n)=P_M(n)$ for $n\gg 0$.

There is an obvious degradation in the quality of information about M when we move from $\mathbb{P}_M(\mathbf{t})$ to one of the individual coefficients of $P_M(\mathbf{t})$

$$\mathbb{P}_M(\mathbf{t}) \to P_M(\mathbf{t}) \to \{\mathrm{e}_0(M), \mathrm{e}_1(M), \ldots, \mathrm{e}_{d-1}(M)\} \to \mathrm{e}_i(M).$$

Nevertheless it will be the $\mathrm{e}_i(M)$, particularly on $\mathrm{e}_0(M)$ and $\mathrm{e}_1(M)$, that we look for carriers of information on M. The reasons are both for the practicality it involves but also justified by the surprising amount of information these coefficients may pack. Motivated by the celebrated theorem of Serre on multiplicities expressed by Euler characteristics (cf. [**15**, Theorems 4.7.4, 4.7.6]), there is a great deal of attention given to the relationships between $\mathrm{e}_1(M)$ and the Cohen-Macaulayness of M.

In the study of Hilbert functions associated to a filtration $\mathcal{M} = \{M_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of a module M, it is common to consider the (integral) function

$$H_{\mathcal{M}}(n) = \lambda(M/M_{n+1}).$$

There will be (under appropriate finiteness conditions) a rational function

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{h_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{t})}{(1-\mathbf{t})^{d+1}},$$

$h_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{t}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}^{-1}]$. From this representation is extracted the Hilbert polynomial

$$P_{\mathcal{M}}(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} (-1)^i \mathrm{e}_i(M) \binom{n+d-i}{d-i},$$

o with the property that $\lambda(M/M_{n+1}) = P_{\mathcal{M}}(n)$ for $n \gg 0$.

We introduce a word of caution in the definitions of Hilbert functions associated to a filtration $\mathcal{M} = \{M_n, n \geq 0\}$. If λ is a numerical function with properties that mimic those of a length function on Artinian modules, the core definition of the Hilbert function

$$n \mapsto \lambda(M_n/M_{n+1})$$

can be supplemented by the Hilbert functions

$$n \mapsto \sum_{k \leq n} \lambda(M_k/M_{k+1})$$

and

$$n \mapsto \lambda(M/M_{n+1}).$$

The latter may not be equal as the function may not be additive on short exact sequences. We will meet such functions when we discuss cohomological degrees. Under appropriate conditions–obviously when the quotients M/M_k are Artinian but also when $\mathcal{M}$ is an adic-filtration and $\lambda(\cdot)$ is the classical multiplicity–we have equivalencies in these definitions.

Primary decomposition. The function $\deg(\cdot)$ may fail to capture features which are significant for M. For instance, if M is filtered by a chain of submodules

$$M = M_1 \supset M_2 \supset \cdots \supset M_r \supset 0, \quad M_i/M_{i+1} \simeq \mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{p}_i,$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is a prime ideal, then

$$\deg(M) = \sum_{\dim \mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{p}_i = \dim M} \deg(\mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{p}_i).$$

Alternatively, if $\mathfrak{p}$ is an associated prime of M of dimension $\dim \mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{p} = \dim M$, the localization $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an Artinian module whose length $\lambda(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is the number of times $\mathfrak{p}$ occurs in (1.2.17.1), then

$$\deg(M) = \sum_{\dim \mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{p} = \dim M} \lambda(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \deg(\mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{p}).$$

This formulation still ignores the contributions of the lower dimensional components. Partly to address this, other degree functions have been defined that collects information about the associated primes of M in all codimensions. What kind of information is this? One of these indices is the following: If $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{S})$, the module $H^0_{\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is an Artinian $\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module. Its length,

$$\operatorname{mult}_M(\mathfrak{p}) := \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})),$$

is called the *multiplicity length* M at $\mathfrak{p}$. Since $\operatorname{mult}_M(\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}(M)$, its value is a good measure of the weight of $\mathfrak{p}$ as an associated prime of M. It can be used directly as follows.

The *arithmetic degree* of M is the integer

$$\text{arith-deg}(M) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}(M)} \operatorname{mult}_M(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot \deg \mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{p} = \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \operatorname{mult}_M(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot \deg \mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{p}.$$

A related degree is $\operatorname{gdeg}(M)$, the *geometric degree* of M, where in this sum one adds only the terms corresponding to minimal associated primes of M.

One feature of these degrees is that they can be expressed in a way which does not require the actual knowledge of the associated primes of M. Both $\text{arith-deg}(M)$ and $\operatorname{gdeg}(M)$ are put together from a sum of multiplicities of certain modules derived from M in a way that does not require the values of $\operatorname{mult}_M(\mathfrak{p})$.

Another type of arithmetic degree will be defined if $\mathbf{S}$ is a standard graded algebra over a Noetherian ring $\mathbf{R}$, not necessarily local. Using the notion of *j-multiplicity* of Flenner, O'Carroll and Vogel ([**51**]), one attaches a new degree, jdeg, to any finitely generated graded $\mathbf{S}$-module M ([**144**]). It arises as follows. For any prime $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$, the module $H = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is a finitely generated graded module over a standard graded ring over an Artin ring. It makes sense to consider the multiplicity

$$\deg(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})).$$

If the dimension of H is $\dim M_{\mathfrak{p}}$, its multiplicity is called the j-multiplicity of M at $\mathfrak{p}$. It is denoted by $j_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)$. For completeness, one sets $j_{\mathfrak{p}}(M) = 0$ if $\dim H < \dim(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

The jdeg of M is defined by the (finite) sum

$$\text{jdeg}_{\mathbf{R}}(M) := \sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in \text{Spec}\mathbf{R}} j_{\mathfrak{p}}(M).$$

It is defined globally and will have sensitivities not shared by the other degrees derived from the classical multiplicity.

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring (or a Noetherian graded algebra) and let $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R})$ be the category of finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-modules (or the appropriate category of graded modules). A *degree function* is a numerical function $\mathbf{d} : \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{N}$. The more interesting of them initialize on modules of finite length and have mechanisms that control how the function behaves under generic hyperplane sections. Thus, for example, if L is a given module of finite length, one function may require that

$$\mathbf{d}(L) := \lambda(L),$$

or when L a graded module

$$L = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} L_i,$$

the requirement is that

$$\mathbf{d}(L) := \sup\{i \mid L_i \neq 0\}.$$

In this Chapter we study some of these functions, particularly those that are not treated extensively in the literature. One of our aims is to provide an even broader approach to Hilbert functions that does not depend so much on the base rings being Artinian. We shall be looking at the associated multiplicities and seek ways to relate them to the reduction numbers of the algebras. Among the *multiplicities* treated here and in the next section are:

- Classical multiplicity
- Arithmetic degree and geometric degrees
- jdeg
- Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity
- Cohomological degree
- Homological degree
- bdeg



1.1. Hilbert Functions

1.1.1. Introduction. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring, $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ a standard graded algebra and M a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{S}$-module. We will pursue approaches to extract numerical invariants of the module M from various Hilbert functions attached to the module.

There are two prototypes here. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be an Artinian local ring, $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ a ring of polynomials, graded by setting $\deg x_i = 1$, and let M be a finitely generated $\mathbf{S}$-module. The *Hilbert function* of M is the assignment

$$H_M(n) := \lambda(M_n).$$

It is coded as a Laurent series with a rational representation called the *Hilbert-Poincaré series* of M

$$\mathbb{P}_M(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} H_M(n)\mathbf{t}^n = \frac{h_M(\mathbf{t})}{(1-\mathbf{t})^d} \in \mathbb{Z}[[\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}^{-1}]],$$

where $h_M(\mathbf{t})$ is a Laurent polynomial called the *h-polynomial* of M. If d is chosen so that $h_M(1) \neq 0$, then d is the Krull dimension of the module M, $d = \dim M$.

For values of $n > \deg h_M(\mathbf{t}) - d$, the Hilbert function is given by a polynomial P_M of degree $d-1$

$$H_M(n) = P_M(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (-1)^i \mathrm{e}_i(M) \binom{n+d-i-1}{d-i-1}.$$

Definition 1.1.1. The integer $a(M) = \deg h_M(\mathbf{t}) - \dim M$ is called the a–invariant of M.

The first iterated Hilbert function of M,

$$H^1_M(n) := \sum_{i\leq n} \lambda(M_i),$$

carries some additional information in the corresponding Hilbert polynomial

$$H^1_M(n) = P^1_M(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} (-1)^i \mathrm{e}_i(M) \binom{n+d-i}{d-i}.$$

The coefficients $\mathrm{e}_i(M)$ are labeled the *Hilbert coefficients* of M. The leading coefficient, $\mathrm{e}_0(M)$, is the *multiplicity* of M, and it is one of its most important invariants. The next coefficient, $\mathrm{e}_1(M)$, under certain conditions, is called the *Chern number* of M. It plays an ubiquitous role in the complexity of M. All these coefficients can be obtained from the h-polynomial $h_M(\mathbf{t})$,

$$\mathrm{e}_i(M) = \frac{h^{(i)}_M(1)}{i!}, \quad i = 0, \ldots, d.$$

The other Hilbert function is that associated to *good filtrations* over a local ring $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$. Let M be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module and let $\mathfrak{q}$ be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal The collection of subsets of M, $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathfrak{q}^n M,\ n \geq 0\}$, is the $\mathfrak{q}$-*adic filtration* of M. More generally, say that a decreasing collection of subsets of M, $\mathcal{F} = \{M_n,\ n \geq 0\}$, is a

$\mathfrak{q}$-good filtration if $M_0 = M$, $\mathfrak{q}M_n \subset M_{n+1}$, and $M_{r+1} = \mathfrak{q}M_r$ for $r \gg 0$. There is a graded module attached to $\{M, \mathcal{M}\}$,

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(M) := \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} M_n/M_{n+1},$$

called the *associated graded module*.

The module $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(M)$ has a Hilbert function in the manner defined above. These filtrations occur often in ordinary operations with modules. For example, given the $\mathfrak{q}$-filtration of M, and a submodule $N \subset M$, the submodules $\{N \cap \mathfrak{q}^n M\}$ define a good filtration on N.

DEFINITION 1.1.2. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and. M a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. The Hilbert coefficients associated to the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic filtration will be denoted by $\mathrm{e}_0(M) = \deg(M)$, $\mathrm{e}_1(M)$, etc.

Comparison of Hilbert functions. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a standard graded algebra over an Artin ring and let M a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{A}$-module. Suppose I is an ideal of finite co-length, generated by 1-forms. We seek comparisons between the Hilbert functions

$$\lambda(M_n), \quad \lambda(M/I^{n+1}M),$$

particularly about the information they share. A case in mind is when $\mathbf{A}$ is a polynomial ring over a field and I is its maximal homogeneous ideal.

Change of rings. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m}) \to (\mathbf{S}, \mathfrak{n})$ be a local homomorphism of rings of the same Krull dimension and such that $\lambda(\mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{S}) < \infty$. For any $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I, $I\mathbf{S}$ is $\mathfrak{n}$-primary.

PROPOSITION 1.1.3. *If M is a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module, the Hilbert polynomials associated to the filtrations $I^n M$ of M and $I^n M \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S}$ have the same degree, and their multiplicities satisfy*

$$\deg_{I\mathbf{S}}(M \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S}) \leq \deg_I(M) \cdot \lambda(\mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{S}).$$

Equality holds in case $\mathbf{S}$ is $\mathbf{R}$–flat, such as the case when $\mathbf{S}$ is the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic completion of $\mathbf{R}$, or $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[x]_{\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{R}[x]}$. In both of these cases the Hilbert functions coincide.

Syzygies. Two general methods to obtain the Hilbert functions of modules or algebras work by indirection: Syzygies and Gröbner bases.

One of the most used methods to obtain Hilbert functions of graded modules and their associated polynomials is via resolutions. Let k be a field, $\mathbf{S} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ a polynomial ring over k, and M a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{S}$-module. Then M, as an $\mathbf{S}$-module, admits a finite graded free resolution:

$$0 \to \bigoplus_j \mathbf{S}[-j]^{b_{pj}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_j \mathbf{S}[-j]^{b_{0j}} \longrightarrow M \to 0.$$

The Hilbert-Poincaré series of M is

$$\mathbb{P}_M(t) = \frac{\sum_{jp}(-1)^j t^{b_{pj}}}{(1-t)^d}.$$

Macaulay Theorem. The classical case, when $\mathbf{R}$ is a field and $\mathbf{A}$ is a standard graded algebra, say $\mathbf{A} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I$, has an important property of this degree regarding its computability.

THEOREM 1.1.4. *If $<$ is a term ordering for the ring of polynomials, then the algebras $\mathbf{A}$ and $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/in(I))$, where $in(I)$ is the corresponding initial ideal of I, have the same Hilbert functions. There is a similar assertion with regard to $\mathbf{A}$-modules.*

1.1.2. General gradings.

1.2. The Classical Multiplicity for Local Rings

1.2.1. Introduction. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and let M be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$–module. The basic multiplicity function arises as follows.

DEFINITION 1.2.1. Consider the Hilbert function of M is

$$H_M : n \mapsto \lambda(M/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}M),$$

which for $n \gg 0$ is given by a polynomial:

$$H_M(n) = \frac{\deg(M)}{d!} n^d + \text{lower order terms.}$$

The integer $\deg(M)$ is the *multiplicity* of the module M. (The integer $d = \dim M$ is its *dimension*).

1.2.2. Rules of computation. Let us derive some rules that govern the computation of multiplicities.

Exact sequences. The behavior of $\deg(M)$ and $\dim M$ with regard to submodules and primary decomposition follows from:

PROPOSITION 1.2.2. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a local ring and let*

$$0 \to L \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow N \to 0$$

be an exact sequence of $\mathbf{R}$–modules. Then

$$\deg(M) = \begin{cases} \deg(L) + \deg(N) & \text{if } \dim L = \dim N = \dim M, \\ \deg(L) & \text{if } \dim N < \dim M, \\ \deg(N) & \text{if } \dim L < \dim M. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Consider the induced exact sequence

$$0 \to L/L \cap \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}M \longrightarrow M/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}M \longrightarrow N/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}N \to 0.$$

The assertions will follow from direct comparison of the Hilbert functions of $N/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}N$ and $N/N \cap \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}M$: It will suffice to show that the module whose components are on the left of the exact sequence

$$0 \to N \cap \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}M/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}N \longrightarrow N/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}N \longrightarrow N/N \cap \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}M \to 0,$$

$$G = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} N \cap \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}M/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}N,$$

has dimension $< \dim N$. By the Artin-Rees Lemma, there is an integer such that for $n \geq r$,

$$N \cap \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}M = \mathfrak{m}^{n+1-r}(\mathfrak{m}^r M \cap N) \subset \mathfrak{m}^{n+1-r}N.$$

In particular, the Hilbert polynomial of G has degree at most that of the module G' whose components is a sum

$$\mathfrak{m}^n N/\mathfrak{m}^{n+2}N \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{m}^{n-r}N/\mathfrak{m}^{n+2-r}N.$$

The Hilbert polynomial of G' has degree $\dim N - 1$ (and multiplicity $r \cdot \deg(N)$). □

Similar rules apply to more general filtrations. Let us consider one of these.

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring, let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal and let $\mathcal{A} = \{I_n, n \geq 0\}$ be an I-good filtration. For a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module M, denote by $\mathrm{e}_i(M)$ the Hilbert coefficients of M for the filtration $\mathcal{A}M = \{I_n M, n \geq 0\}$. The function

$$H_M : n \mapsto \lambda(M/I_{n+1}M),$$

for $n \gg 0$ is given by a polynomial:

$$H_M(n) = \frac{\deg_{\mathcal{A}}(M)}{d!}n^d + \text{lower order terms.}$$

Definition 1.2.3. The integer $\deg_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ is called the *Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity* of M relative to I. It will be denoted also by $\deg_I(M)$, and at times even by $\deg(M)$ if no confusion arises.

Proposition 1.2.4. *Let*

$$0 \to A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \to 0$$

be an exact sequence of finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$*-modules. If* $r = \dim A < s = \dim B$*, then* $\mathrm{e}_i(B) = \mathrm{e}_i(C)$ *for* $i < s - r$*.*

Proof. From the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A \cap I_n B & \longrightarrow & I_n B & \longrightarrow & I_n C & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

we have the equality

$$\lambda(B/I_n B) = \lambda(A/I_n B \cap A) + \lambda(C/I_n C).$$

Since $\lambda(A/I_n A) \geq \lambda(A/I_n B \cap A)$, the Hilbert polynomials of B and C have the same coefficients in the specified range. □

Exact sequences

$$0 \to A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \to 0$$

where $\dim C < \dim B$ give rise to slightly different equalities. We leave the proof for the reader.

EXAMPLE 1.2.5. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, $n \geq 2$, be a set of elements such that any pair forms a regular sequence. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} &= x_1 \cdots x_n, \\ z_i &= \mathbf{x}/x_i, \quad i = 1 \ldots n. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$\deg(\mathbf{R}/(z_1, \ldots, z_n)) \leq \frac{1}{2}((\deg(\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{x})))^2 - n). \tag{1.2.5.1}$$

We argue by induction on n, the formula being clear for $n = 2$.

Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to (x_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n)/(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/(z_1, z_1) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/(x_1, z_1) \to 0.$$

Since

$$(x_1, z_1)/(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \simeq \mathbf{R}/(z_2', \ldots, z_n'),$$

where z_i', $i \geq 2$, denotes the products from elements in the set $\{x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ using the formation rule of the z_i.

Adding the multiplicities of the modules of the same dimension, we have

$$\deg(\mathbf{R}/(z_1, \ldots, z_n)) = \deg(\mathbf{R}/(z_1, \ldots, z_n)) + \deg(\mathbf{R}/(z_2', \ldots, z_n')).$$

As

$$\deg(\mathbf{R}/(x_1, z_1)) = \deg(\mathbf{R}/(x_1)) \cdot \deg(\mathbf{R}/(z_1)) = \deg(\mathbf{R}/(x_1)) \cdot \sum_{j \geq 2} \deg(\mathbf{R}/(x_j)),$$

and by induction

$$\deg(\mathbf{R}/(z_2', \ldots, z_n')) = \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} \deg(\mathbf{R}/(x_i)) \cdot \deg(\mathbf{R}/(x_j)),$$

we have

$$\deg(\mathbf{R}/(z_1, \ldots, z_n)) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \deg(\mathbf{R}/(x_i)) \cdot \deg(\mathbf{R}/(x_j)).$$

The rest of the calculation is clear. There are similar formulas in case every subset of k elements of $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ forms a regular sequence.

Hyperplane section. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a standard graded algebra over the Artin local ring $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$,

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{A}_1] = \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{A}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{A}_n + \cdots .$$

We denote by $P = (\mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{A}_1)$. This ideal play many roles in the study of the Hilbert functions of graded $\mathbf{A}$-modules. We examine one aspect grounded on the classical notion of *generic hyperplane section.*

Let $M = \bigoplus_n M_n$ be a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{A}$-module, and denote by

$$\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_m\} = \operatorname{Ass}_{\mathbf{A}}(M)$$

the set of associated primes. Let h be a homogeneous element, $h \in A_s$ that is not contained in any $P_i \neq P$. Consider the submodule

$$\operatorname{ann}_M(h) = \{x \in M \mid hx = 0\}.$$

If $\operatorname{ann}_M(h) \neq 0$, its only associated prime ideal is P, and therefore $P^r \cdot \operatorname{ann}_M(h) = 0$, for $r \gg 0$, which means that $\operatorname{ann}_M(h)$ has only a finite number of graded components, and is therefore a module of finite length.

Converted into Hilbert functions, this property gives rise to an exact sequence of graded modules,

$$0 \to \operatorname{ann}_M(h)[-s] \longrightarrow M[-s] \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/hM \to 0,$$

with

$$H_M(n) - H_M(n-s) = H_{M/hM}(n), \quad n \gg 0.$$

In particular, $\dim M/hM = \dim M - 1$.

There are variations of this behavior, we recall two of them. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring $\mathfrak{q}$ an $\mathbf{R}$-ideal. Let M be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module equipped with a decreasing $\mathfrak{q}$-good filtration of submodules, $\mathcal{F} = \{M_i\}$.

DEFINITION 1.2.6. The element $x \in \mathfrak{q}$ is *superficial* for $\mathcal{F}$–or by abuse of terminology for M–if there is an integer c such that

$$(M_{n+1} :_M x) \cap M_c = M_n$$

for all $n \geq c$.

This implies that multiplication by $\overline{x} \in \mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q}^2$ on $\operatorname{gr}(\mathcal{F})$ is injective in degrees $n \geq c$. The existence of such elements usually require some cardinality condition on the units of $\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{q}$. Let us give the fuller characterization of these elements from [**160**].

The setup is the following.

- Set $G = \operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(M)$, $G_0 = \bigoplus_{j\geq 0} \mathfrak{q}^j/\mathfrak{q}^{j+1}$, $Q = \bigoplus_{j\geq 1} \mathfrak{q}^j/\mathfrak{q}^{j+1}$.
- Let $\operatorname{Ass}(M) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_s\}$.
- Let $(0_M) = P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_s$ be an irreducible decomposition of the trivial submodule of M, $\mathfrak{p}_i = \sqrt{0 : M/P_i}$. Suppose $\mathfrak{q} \not\subset \mathfrak{p}_i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, r$, $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{p}_i$, $i = r+1, \ldots, s$.
- Setting $N = P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_r$, $\operatorname{Ass}(M/N) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_r\}$, $N \cap M_j = 0$ for $j \gg 0$.
- Then $N = P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_r = \{z \in M \mid \mathfrak{q}^n z = 0, n \gg 0\}$.
- Let $(0_G) = T_1 \cap \cdots \cap T_u \cap T_{u+1} \cap \cdots \cap T_v$ be an irreducible decomposition of the trivial submodule of G as a G_0-module.
- Set $\operatorname{Ass}_{G_0}(G) = \{\mathfrak{Q}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{Q}_v\}$, $\mathfrak{Q}_i = \sqrt{0 : G/T_i}$. Suppose $Q \not\subset \mathfrak{Q}_i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, u$, $Q \subset \mathfrak{Q}_i$, $i = u+1, \ldots, v$.
- Then $H = T_1 \cap \cdots \cap T_u = \{z \in G \mid Q^n z = 0, n \gg 0\}$.

THEOREM 1.2.7. *Let $x \in \mathfrak{q}$, and set $x^* = x + \mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q}^2$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) *x is M-superficial for $\mathfrak{q}$;*
(b) *$x^* \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{B}_i$;*
(c) *$H :_{\operatorname{gr}(\mathcal{F})} x^* = H$;*
(d) *$N : x = N$ and $M_{n+1} \cap xM = M_n$ for all large n;*
(e) *$(0 :_{\operatorname{gr}(\mathcal{F})} x^*)_n = 0$ for all large n;*
(1) *$M_{n+1} : x = M_n + (0 :_M x)$ and $M_n \cap (0 :_M x) = 0$ for all large n.*

We shall refer to x as an *M-filter regular* element of degree 1.

PROPOSITION 1.2.8. *Let $\mathbf{A}$ and M be as above. If $M \neq 0$, for some $s > 0$ there exists an M-filter regular element of degree s. Moreover, if $\mathbf{R}$ has infinite residue field, s can be arbitrarily chosen.*

When the residue field of $\mathbf{R}$ is infinite, being filter regular is a generic property of the elements in $A_s \setminus \mathfrak{m}A_s$.

One of the applications of this notion is to associated graded rings and modules with good filtrations. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring, and let M be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module and let $\mathfrak{q}$ be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. Let $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}M,\ n \geq 0\}$ be a $\mathfrak{q}$-good filtration of the finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module M. Let $\mathbf{A} = \operatorname{gr}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbf{R})$ and set

$$\mathbf{B} = \operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{M}}(M) := \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} M_n/M_{n+1},$$

for the associated graded module of $\mathcal{M}$.

Let $h \in \mathfrak{q}$. Let $\mathcal{M}'$ be the filtration induced $M_n + hM/hM$, and denote by $\mathbf{C}$ its associated graded module. We are going to compare $\mathbf{B}$ to $\mathbf{C}$.

PROPOSITION 1.2.9. *Suppose $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring, and $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ are as above. Assume that $h \in \mathfrak{q}$ is filter regular relative to $\mathbf{B}$. Then there is a surjection*

$$0 \to L \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{B} \otimes \mathbf{R}/(h) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} \to 0$$

such that $L_n = 0$ for $n \gg 0$.

PROOF. The mapping $\overline{\mathbf{B}} \to \mathbf{C}$, in degree n, is given by the natural homomorphism

$$M_n/(M_{n+1} + hM_{n-1}) \longrightarrow (M_n + hM)/(M_{n+1} + hM) \to 0.$$

Denote by h^* the image of $h \in \mathbf{A}_1 = \mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q}^2$. By the choice of h, there exists an integer c such that $\operatorname{ann}_{\mathbf{B}}(h^*) \cap \mathbf{B}_n = 0$ for $n > c$. It is easy to verify that this implies that for all $n > c$, $(M_n :_M h) \cap M_c = M_{n-1}$, which will show that $L_n = 0$, as desired. □

COROLLARY 1.2.10. *Let M and h be as above.*

(a) *If $h^* \in \mathbf{A}_1 = \mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q}^2$ is regular on $\mathbf{B}$, then $\mathbf{C} = \overline{\mathbf{B}}$.*
(b) *If $\mathfrak{q}$ is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary, the Hilbert coefficients of $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ satisfy*

$$\mathrm{e}_i(\mathbf{B}) = \mathrm{e}_i(\mathbf{C}), \quad 0 \leq i < \dim M.$$

We shall now treat a remarkable property of $\mathbf{B}$ discovered by J. Sally and finally clarified in [**95**, Lemma 2.2].

PROPOSITION 1.2.11. *Let M and h be as above. If* depth $\mathbf{C} > 0$, *then $h^* \in \mathbf{A}_1$ is a regular element on $\mathbf{B}$.*

PROOF. Since $\operatorname{ann}(h^*) \cdot \mathbf{A}_+^n = 0$, for $n \gg 0$, it suffices by the previous Corollary to prove that depth $\mathbf{A} > 0$. Let y be an element in $\mathfrak{q}^t$ such that the image of y in $\mathbf{A}_t$ is a regular element on $\mathbf{C}$. Then

$$M_{n+tj} : y^t = M_n + hM, \quad \forall n, j.$$

Since h is filter regular, there exists an integer c such that $(M_{n+j} : h^j) \cap M_c \subset M_n$ for all $j \geq 1$ and $n > c$. Let n and j be arbitrary and p any integer greater than c/t. Then

$$y^p(M_{n+j} : h^j) \subset (M_{n+pt+j} : h^j) \cap M_c \subset M_{n+pt}.$$

Therefore

$$(M_{n+j} : h^j) \subset (M_{n+pt} : y^p) \subset (M_n, hM).$$

Thus $(M_{n+j} : h^j) = M_n + h(M_{n+j} : h^{j+1})$ for all n and j. Iterating this formula n times, we get that

$$(M_{n+j} : h^j) \subset M_n + hM_{n-1} + h^2M_{n-2} + \cdots + h^n(M_{n+j} : h^{j+n}) = M_n.$$

Hence h^* is a regular element on $\mathbf{B}$. □

Rules of computation. Because of our usage of certain multiplicity functions, particularly $\mathrm{hdeg}_I(\cdot)$, we recall some basic observations about the calculation of Hilbert coefficients.

The terminology of *generic hyperplane section* should be replaced by that of *filter regular element* whenever we are dealing with Samuel's multiplicity and its Hilbert polynomials.

For $s = 1$, the h are called *generic hyperplane section*, although there are other usages for this expression (see [**131**, (22.6)]).

PROPOSITION 1.2.12. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *and* M *be as above.*

(a) *If* $M \neq 0$ *and* h *is a filter regular element of degree* 1, *then the Hilbert coefficients of* M *and* M/hM *satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}_i(M) &= \mathrm{e}_i(M/hM), \quad i < d-1. \\ \mathrm{e}_{d-1}(M) &= e_{d-1}(M/hM) + (-1)^d\lambda(0 :_M h). \end{aligned}$$

(b) *Let* $0 \to A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \to 0$ *be an exact sequence of finitely generated* $\mathbf{R}$*-modules. If* $r = \dim A < s = \dim B$, *then* $\mathrm{e}_i(B) = \mathrm{e}_i(C)$ *for* $i < s - r$.

(c) *If* $r = 0$ *and* $s \geq 3$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}_i(B) &= \mathrm{e}_i(C), \quad i < s \\ \mathrm{e}_s(B) &= \mathrm{e}_s(C) + (-1)^s\lambda(A). \end{aligned}$$

(d) *If* M *is a module of dimension* 1, *then* $\mathrm{e}_1(M) = -\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M))$.

(e) *If* M *is a module of dimension* 2, *then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}_1(M) &= \mathrm{e}_1(M/hM) + \lambda(0 :_M h) \\ &= -\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/hM)) + \lambda(0 :_M h). \end{aligned}$$

(f) *Furthermore, if* $M_0 = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ *and* $M' = M/M_0$, *then*

$$\mathrm{e}_1(M) = \mathrm{e}_1(M').$$

(g) *Let* $0 \to A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \to 0$ *be an exact sequence of finitely generated* $\mathbf{R}$*-modules of the same dimension. Then*

$$\mathrm{e}_1(B) \geq \mathrm{e}_1(A) + \mathrm{e}_1(C).$$

PROOF. We just observe (6): Since h is regular on M', we have the exact sequence $0 \to M_0/hM_0 \to M/hM \to M'/hM' \to 0$, from which we get the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_0/hM_0) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/hM)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M'/hM') \to 0,$$

which along with the equalities $0 :_M h = 0 :_{M'} h$ and $\lambda(0 :_{M'} h) = \lambda(M'/hM')$ since M' is a finite length module, give the desired assertion. □

Let us add the following observation:

PROPOSITION 1.2.13. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring, M a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module and $h \in \mathfrak{m}$ be such that $0 :_M h$ has finite length. Then*

$$\lambda(0 :_M h) \leq \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/hM)).$$

Furthermore,

- *If $\dim M > 0$ then h is a parameter;*
- *if $\dim M > 1$ and M/hM is Cohen-Macaulay then M is Cohen-Macaulay.*

PROOF. Consider the exact sequences induced by multiplication by h on M, $0 \to 0 :_M h \to M \to L \to 0$ and $0 \to L \to M \to M/hM \to 0$. Taking local cohomology we have the exact sequences

$$0 \to 0 :_M h \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(L) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(0 :_M h) = 0,$$

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(L) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/hM).$$

Counting lengths, we have the desired inequality.

To prove that h is a parameter if $\dim M > 0$, consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(L) = L_0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow L' \to 0.$$

Reducing mod h, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to 0 :_{L_0} h = 0 :_L h \to 0 :_{L'} h \to L_0/hL_0 \to L/hL \to L'/hL' \to 0.$$

Thus if $0 :_{L'} h \neq 0$, it has finite length, which is not possible since $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(L') = 0$. This proves that h is a parameter for L' and thus for L as well. The final assertion follows easily. □

COROLLARY 1.2.14 ([**124**]). *If M is a module of positive dimension then $\mathrm{e}_1(M) \leq 0$.*

REMARK 1.2.15. A major technical difficulty arise because of the lack of explicit methods to get hold of $\mathrm{e}_i(M)$, for $i \geq 2$. For instance, for $i = 2$, the observation above says that it suffices to know how handle $\dim M = 2$: When $\dim M = d > 2$, the passage from M to $M/(\mathbf{x})M$, where $\mathbf{x}$ is a superficial sequence of $d-2$, can e well controlled, that is we can estimate $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/(\mathbf{x})M)$ is terms of invariants of M (see Theorem 1.5.34).

Multiplicity length of a module. We shall now begin to develop mechanisms to allow to discern the role of associated primes on the multiplicity of a module.

Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring and let M be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$, we denote the $\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module

$$\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{p}\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$$

by $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$. (Note that this is a $\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module of finite length.) It is rarely accessible to direct computation, but as we shall see it occurs in several summation formulas that can be computed.

Definition 1.2.16. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring and M a finitely $\mathbf{R}$–module. For a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$, the integer

$$\operatorname{mult}_M(\mathfrak{p}) = \lambda(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}))$$

is the *length multiplicity* of $\mathfrak{p}$ with respect to M.

This number $\operatorname{mult}_M(\mathfrak{p})$, which vanishes if $\mathfrak{p}$ is not an associated prime of M, is a measure of the contribution of $\mathfrak{p}$ to the primary decomposition of the null submodule of M. It is not usually accessible through direct computation except in exceptional cases.

Associativity formulas. They assemble the classical multiplicity from local multiplicities.

Proposition 1.2.17 (First associativity formula). *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and let M be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. Then*

$$\deg(M) = \sum_{\dim \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p} = \dim M} \operatorname{mult}_M(\mathfrak{p}) \deg \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p}.$$

This formula may fail to capture features which are significant for M. For instance, if M is filtered by a chain of submodules

$$M = M_1 \supset M_2 \supset \cdots \supset M_r \supset 0, \quad M_i/M_{i+1} \simeq \mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{p}_i, \tag{1.2.17.1}$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is a prime ideal, then

$$\deg(M) = \sum_{\dim \mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{p}_i = \dim M} \deg(\mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{p}_i).$$

Alternatively, if $\mathfrak{p}$ is an associated prime of M of dimension $\dim \mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{p} = \dim M$, the localization $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an Artinian module whose length $\lambda(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is the number of times $\mathfrak{p}$ occurs in (1.2.17.1). This means that all modules sharing a filtration with the same $\mathfrak{p}_i$ occurring with the same multiplicities, have the same value for $\deg(M)$.

The following ([**131**, Theorem 24.7]) is the general version of Theorem 1.2.17.

Theorem 1.2.18 (General associativity formula). *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and M a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$–module of dimension d. Let $I = (x_1, \ldots, x_r, \ldots, x_d)$*

be a system of parameters for M, and set $J = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)$. Let $\mathbf{p}$ run over the set of minimal prime ideals of J. Then

$$\deg(I; M) = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \deg(I + \mathbf{p}/\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{p}) \cdot \deg(J_{\mathbf{p}}; M_{\mathbf{p}}).$$

Fitting ideal. The multiplicity of a module may be coded into its Fitting ideal in several cases of interest.

THEOREM 1.2.19. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian local ring and let E be a torsion module with a free presentation*

$$\mathbf{R}^m \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbf{R}^n \longrightarrow E \to 0.$$

Its Fitting ideal *is*

$$\mathrm{Fitt}(E) = I_n(\varphi).$$

Then

$$\deg(E) = \deg(\mathbf{R}/\mathrm{Fitt}(E))$$

in the following cases:

(a) *For every prime ideal $\mathrm{Fitt}(E) \subset \mathfrak{p}$, $E_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has projective dimension 1 over $\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$;*
(b) *$m = n + g - 1$, where $g = \mathrm{grade}\ \mathrm{Fitt}(E)$.*

PROOF. This follows by applying Proposition 1.2.17 to $\mathbf{R}/\mathrm{Fitt}(E)$, according to [**16**, Theorem 4.5(2)]. □

QUESTION 1.2.20. Does the formula of Theorem 1.2.19 apply to other interesting cases of modules, such as Cohen-Macaulay or of finite projective dimension?

Estimation of Hilbert polynomials. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian local ring or a standard graded algebra over the Artinian local ring $\mathbf{R}_0$. If M is a finitely generated graded module of dimension d, [or an associated graded module] and Hilbert

$$P_M(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (-1)^i \mathrm{e}_i(M) \binom{n + d - i - 1}{d - i - 1},$$

finding the Hilbert coefficients can be rather demanding. Making use of the Hilbert function $\lambda(M_n)$ would require knowledge of the a-invariant $a(M)$ of M. For instance, if $a(M)$ is known, at least some upper bound of it is at hand, a simple interpolation calculation available, in say, *Macaulay2*, would provide the values.

PROPOSITION 1.2.21. *Suppose $b \geq a(M)$. Consider the $d \times d$ matrix $\mathbf{A}$ with binomial entries*

$$\mathbf{A} = \left[\binom{j + d - i - 1}{d - i - 1} \right], \quad 0 \leq i \leq d - 1, b + 1 \leq j \leq b + d,$$

and set the column vector

$$\mathbf{c}^t = [\lambda(M_j)], \quad b + 1 \leq j \leq b + d.$$

For $\mathbf{x}^t = [x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}]$, solving for

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{c},$$

would give

$$\mathrm{e}_i(M) = (-1)^i x_i, \quad 0 \leq i \leq d - 1.$$

EXAMPLE 1.2.22. Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x, y, z]$ and $I = (x^2, y^2, z^2, xy - xz, xz - yz)$. For $J = (x^2, y^2, z^2)$ we have $I^3 = JI^2$, so we guess $b = 1$: The matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 3 & 1 \\ 10 & 4 & 1 \\ 15 & 5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{c} = [\lambda(I^2/I^3), \lambda(I^3/I^4), \lambda(I^4/I^5)] = [36, 64, 100].$$

Solving

$$[\mathrm{e}_0, \mathrm{e}_1, \mathrm{e}_2] = [8, 4, 0],$$

by Theorem 5.2.18(a) $\mathrm{e}_1 \leq \lambda(I/J) + \lambda(I^2/JI) = 3 + 3$.

Now we should compare to taking $b = 2$: We get the same result.

1.2.3. Reductions and Koszul homology. The following notion introduced by Northcott and Rees ([**138**]) plays a critical role in the whole theory of multiplicities.

DEFINITION 1.2.23. Suppose that $\mathbf{R}$ is a commutative ring and J, I ideals of $\mathbf{R}$ with $J \subset I$. Then J is a *reduction* of I if $I^{r+1} = JI^r$ for some integer r; the least such integer is the *reduction number* of I with respect to J. It is denoted by $r_J(I)$.

If $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ is a Noetherian local of dimension d, with an infinite residue field, and I is an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal, there a reduction J generated by d elements, $J = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$.

These sequences have the following properties. The second result are classical theorems of Auslander-Buchsbaum and Serre.

THEOREM 1.2.24 ([**15**, Corollary 4.6.10]). *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *and* I *be as above, and let* M *be a finitely generated* $\mathbf{R}$*-module of dimension* d*. If* J *is a reduction of* I*,* $\deg_J(M) = \deg_I(M)$*.*

THEOREM 1.2.25 ([**15**, Theorems 4.7.4, 4.7.6]). *Let* $\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x}; M)$ *be the Koszul complex of* $\mathbf{x}$ *with coefficients in* M *and denote by* $\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x}; M)$*,* $i \geq 0$ *the homology modules. Then*

(a) $\deg_{\mathbf{x}}(M) = \sum_{i \geq 0}(-1)^i \lambda(\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x}; M))$.
(b) $\deg_{\mathbf{x}}(M) \leq \lambda(M/\mathbf{x}M)$*, with equality if and only if* $\mathbf{x}$ *is a regular sequence on* M*.*
(c) *More precisely,* $\chi_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = \sum_{i \geq 1}(-1)^{i-1} \lambda(\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x}; M)) \geq 0$*, with equality if and only* $\mathbf{x}$ *is a regular sequence on* M*.*

1.2.4. Monomial ideals. (For other materials see [**199**, Section 7.3].) Let $f_1 = \mathbf{x}^{v_1}, \ldots, f_r = \mathbf{x}^{v_r}$ be a set of monomials generating the ideal I. The integral closure $\overline{I}$ is generated by the monomials whose exponents have the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} r_i v_i + \epsilon \subset \mathbb{N}^n$$

such that $r_i \geq 0$ and $\sum r_i = 1$ and ϵ is a positive vector with entries in $[0, 1)$.

Consider the integral closure of I^m. According to the valuative criterion, $\overline{I^m}$ is the integral closure of the ideal generated by the mth powers of the f_i. This means that the generators of $\overline{I^m}$ are defined by the exponent vectors of the form

$$\sum r_i m v_i + \epsilon,$$

with r_i and ϵ as above. We rewrite this as

$$m(\sum r_i v_i + \frac{\epsilon}{m}),$$

so the vectors enclosed must have denominators dividing m.

This is the first resemblance to Ehrhart polynomials. Suppose that I is of finite colength, so that $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/\overline{I})$ is the number of lattice points 'outside' the ideal. The convex hull $C(V)$ of the v_i's partitions the positive octant into 3 regions: an unbounded connected region, $C(V)$ itself, and the complement $\mathcal{P}$ of the union of other two. It is this bounded region that is most pertinent to our calculation (see also [**178**, p. 235], [**181**]).

To deal with $\overline{I^m}$ we are going to use the set of vectors v_i, but change the scale by $1/m$. This means that each I^m determines the same $\mathcal{P}$. We get that the number of lattice points is the length ℓ_m of $\mathbf{R}/\overline{I^m}$. Note that $\ell_m(1/m)^n$ is the volume of all these hypercubes, and therefore it is a Riemann sum of the volume of $\mathcal{P}$. Thus the limit is just the volume of the region defined by the convex hull of the v_i. This number, multiplied by $n!$, is also the multiplicity of the ideal. This is the second connection with the Ehrhart polynomials. We want to emphasize that the volume of $\mathcal{P}$ may differ from $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I)$, $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/\overline{I})$, or even from $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I_c)$, for the ideal generated by the lattice points in the convex hull of V.

Let us sum up some of these relationships between multiplicities and volumes of polyhedra.

PROPOSITION 1.2.26. *Let I be a monomial ideal of the ring $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ generated by $\mathbf{x}^{v_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^{v_m}$. Suppose that $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I) < \infty$. If $\mathcal{P}$ is the region of $\mathbb{N}^n$ defined as above by I, then*

$$\boxed{\mathrm{e}(I) = n! \cdot \mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{P}).} \tag{1.2.26.1}$$

EXAMPLE 1.2.27. We consider an example of an ideal of $k[x, y, z]$. Suppose that

$$I = (x^a, y^b, z^c, x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma), \quad \frac{\alpha}{a} + \frac{\beta}{b} + \frac{\gamma}{c} < 1.$$

The inequality ensures that the fourth monomial does not lie in the integral closure of the other three. A direct calculation shows that

$$\mathrm{e}(I) = ab\gamma + bc\alpha + ac\beta.$$

It is easy to see that if $a \geq 3\alpha$, $b \geq 3\beta$ and $c \geq 3\gamma$, the ideal $J = (x^a - z^c, y^b - z^c, x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma)$ is a minimal reduction and that the reduction number satisfies the inequality $\mathrm{r}_J(I) \leq 2$.

1.2.5. Localization and multiplicity loci. We will now quote two important theorems of M. Nagata ([**131**]) on the behavior of the multiplicity under localization. These results have a different character from those others in this section as they require structure theory.

THEOREM 1.2.28 ([**131**, Theorem 40.1]). *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Noetherian local ring and let* $\mathfrak{p}$ *be an analytically unramified prime ideal. If*

$$\dim \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p} + \text{height } \mathfrak{p} = \dim \mathbf{R},$$

then $\deg \mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \deg \mathbf{R}$.

The next result asserts that the multiplicity function $\deg : \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R}) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is *upper semi-continuous*:

THEOREM 1.2.29 ([**131**, Theorem 40.3]). *Assume that* $\mathbf{R}$ *is a locally analytically unramified Noetherian ring. For any integer* $r > 0$,

$$M_r(\mathbf{R}) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R}) \mid \deg \mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq r\}$$

is a closed set.

1.3. Arithmetical Degrees: arith-deg, gdeg, jdeg

1.3.1. Introduction. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring, $\mathbf{A}$ a standard graded $\mathbf{R}$-algebra and M a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{A}$-module. (Included is the case when $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{A}$.) The module M has two sets of associated primes, $\operatorname{Ass}_{\mathbf{A}}(M)$ and $\operatorname{Ass}_R(M)$. (Both sets are finite.) Along with these sets of primes one has several functions on $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$:

(a) If $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{A})$, the *multiplicity length* $\operatorname{mult}_M(P)$;
(b) If $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$, the dimension and multiplicity of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$;
(c) If $\mathbf{R}$ is a local ring, and $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$, $\deg(\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p})$;
(d) $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$, the local multiplicity $\deg(\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

They assemble into several *degree* functions. We have already observed in (1.2.17) that

$$\deg(M) = \sum_{\dim \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p} = \dim M} \operatorname{mult}_M(\mathfrak{p}) \deg \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p}.$$

In this section we introduce three other degrees that have this overall character: They are functions of the form

$$\mathbf{f}(M) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R})} a_M(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot b(\mathfrak{p}),$$

where $a_M(\cdot)$ and $b(\cdot)$ mimic the functions listed above.

1.3.2. Arithmetic and geometric degrees. We now define two new degrees. They are extensions of the classical multiplicity but seek to incorporate data contained in the primary decomposition of the modules.

Throughout the ring $\mathbf{A}$ will be as before, either a standard graded algebra or a local ring.

DEFINITION 1.3.1. Let M be a finitely generated $\mathbf{A}$–module. The *arithmetic degree* of M is the integer

$$\text{arith-deg}(M) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in \text{Ass}(M)} \text{mult}_M(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot \deg \mathbf{A}/\mathfrak{p}. \tag{1.3.1.1}$$

When applied to a module $M = \mathbf{A}/I$, it is clear that this number gives a numerical signature for the primary decomposition of I.

The definition applies to general modules, not just graded modules, although its main use is for graded modules over a standard algebra $\mathbf{A}$ over a local ring $\mathbf{R}$.

If all the associated primes of M have the same dimension, then arith-deg(M) is just the multiplicity $\deg(M)$ of M, which is obtained from its Hilbert polynomial.

In general, arith-deg(M) can be put together as follows. Collecting the associated primes of M by their dimensions:

$$\dim M = d_1 > d_2 > \cdots > d_n,$$
$$\text{arith-deg}(M) = a_{d_1}(M) + a_{d_2}(M) + \cdots + a_{d_n}(M),$$

where $a_{d_i}(M)$ is contribution of all primes in Ass(M) of dimension d_i.

The integer $a_{d_1}(M)$, in the case of a graded module M, is its multiplicity $\deg(M)$. Bayer and Mumford ([**8**]) have refined $\deg(M)$ into the following integer:

DEFINITION 1.3.2. The *geometric degree* of M is the integer

$$\text{gdeg}(M) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \text{ minimal } \in \text{Ass}(M)} \text{mult}_M(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot \deg \mathbf{A}/\mathfrak{p}. \tag{1.3.2.1}$$

If $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{S}/I$, we want to view reg$(\mathbf{A})$ and arith-deg$(\mathbf{A})$ as two basic measures of complexity of $\mathbf{A}$. [**8**] has a far-flung survey of reg$(\mathbf{A})$ and arith-deg$(\mathbf{A})$ in terms of the degree data of a presentation $\mathbf{A} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I$.

Stanley–Reisner rings. An important class of rings arises from monomial ideals generated by squarefree elements. They are elegantly coded in the following notion.

DEFINITION 1.3.3. A *simplicial complex* $\triangle$ on vertex set V is a family of subsets of V satisfying

(a) If $x \in V$ then $\{x\} \in \triangle$;
(b) If $F \in \triangle$ and $G \subset F$ then $G \in \triangle$.

The elements of $\triangle$ are called *faces* or *simplices*. If $|F| = p + 1$ then F has dimension p. We define the dimension of the complex as $\dim(\triangle) = \max_{F\in\triangle}(\dim F)$.

DEFINITION 1.3.4. Given any field k and any simplicial complex $\triangle$ on the finite vertex set $V = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ define the *face ring* or *Stanley-Reisner ring* $k[\triangle]$ by

$$k[\triangle] = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I_\triangle,$$

where

$$I_\triangle = (x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_r} \mid i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_r \text{ and } \{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_r}\} \notin \triangle).$$

We need only consider the minimal non-faces of $\triangle$ to arrive at a set of generators for $I_\triangle$.

Let f_p be the number of p-simplices. Since $\emptyset \in \triangle$ and $\dim \emptyset = -1$, $f_{-1} = 1$. Also f_0 is the number of vertices, thus $f_0 = n$. We call the d-tuple $\mathbf{f}(\triangle) = (f_0, \ldots, f_{d-1})$, consisting of the number of faces in each dimension, the $\mathbf{f}$–*vector* of $\triangle$. The Hilbert series of $k[\triangle]$ is determined entirely by $\mathbf{f}(\triangle)$,

$$H_{k[\triangle]}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{h_0 + h_1\mathbf{t} + \cdots + h_d\mathbf{t}^d}{(1-\mathbf{t})^d}$$

where the h_i are certain linear combinations of the f_j, in particular

$$h_d = (-1)^{d-1}(\chi(\triangle) - 1),\ \chi(\triangle) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1}(-1)^i f_i. \tag{1.3.4.1}$$

We quote two elementary facts about these rings (see [**15**]).

PROPOSITION 1.3.5. *Let $\triangle$ be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $V = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Then:*

(a) $\dim k[\triangle] = 1 + \dim \triangle = d$.
(b) $I_\triangle = \bigcap_F (x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_r}, x_{i_j} \notin F)$.

As a consequence we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{gdeg}(k[\triangle]) = \text{arith-deg}(k[\triangle]) &= \text{number of maximal faces of } \triangle; \\ \deg(k[\triangle]) &= \text{number of faces of } \triangle \text{ of maximal dimension.} \end{aligned}$$

One elementary use of the arithmetic degree of a module is: a Cayley-Hamilton type result:

THEOREM 1.3.6. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a finitely generated algebra over a field K and M a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. If $\varphi : M \to M$ is an endomorphism of M there is a monic polynomial $P(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{x}]$ with $\deg(P(\mathbf{x})) \leq$ arith-deg(M) such that $P(\varphi) = 0$.*

PROOF. Let $\mathbf{p}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_n$ be the associated primes of M. Suppose $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_1$ is not contained in any of the other primes. Set $M_1 = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathbf{p}}(M)$ and $M' = M/M_1$. φ restricts to an endomorphism $\varphi_1 : M_1 \to M_1$ which induces an endomorphism $\varphi' : M' \to M'$.

It is easy to verify that $\operatorname{Ass}(M) = \operatorname{Ass}(M_1) \cup \operatorname{Ass}(M')$ and

$$\text{arith-deg}(M) = \text{arith-deg}(M_1) + \text{arith-deg}(M'),$$

indeed if $\mathbf{q}$ is an associated prime of M' which does not contain $\mathbf{p}$, then $M_{\mathbf{q}} = M'_{\mathbf{q}}$, so $\mathbf{q} \in \operatorname{Ass}(M)$. On the other hand, if $\mathbf{p} \subset \mathbf{q}$ and $x' \in M'$ with $\mathbf{q}x' = 0$, for $x \in M$ mapping to x', $\mathbf{p}x \in M_1$ and therefore $x \in M_1$. Furthermore, if $P_1(\mathbf{x})$ and $P'(\mathbf{x})$ are monic polynomials such that $P_1(\varphi_1) = 0$ and $P'(\varphi') = 0$. Consider the endomorphism $\psi = P'(\varphi)P_1(\varphi)$ of M. On M_1 induces the trivial endomorphism $P'(\varphi_1)P_1(\varphi_1)$, so it induces an endomorphism on M', $P_1(\varphi')P'(\varphi')$, which is also trivial.

Thus by induction on the number of associated primes, it suffices to consider the case $\operatorname{Ass}(M)$ has a unique element $\mathbf{p}$. If $\mathbf{p}$ is a maximal ideal, M is an artinian module and arith-deg$(M) = \lambda(M) \deg \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p} = \lambda(M)$. Since $\lambda(M) \geq \nu(M)$, it suffices to find $P(\mathbf{x})$ of degree $\leq \nu(M)$. But this is always possible using the standard determinant trick.

We can replace $\mathbf{R}$ by $\mathbf{R}/\text{ann}(M)$, that is we may assume that $\mathbf{p}$ is a minimal prime ideal of $\mathbf{R}$. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be a Noether normalization of $\mathbf{R}$ and view M and φ as defined over $\mathbf{S}$. M is torsionfree over $\mathbf{S}$ of rank given by arith-deg(M). By [**199**, Proposition 1.119], there is a monic polynomial $P(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{S}[\mathbf{x}]$ such that $P(\varphi) = 0$. We lift it to a monic polynomial of the same degree with the desired property. □

QUESTION 1.3.7. Does the assertion requires that $\mathbf{R}$ be an affine domain?

1.3.3. Computation of the arithmetic degree of a module. We show how a program with the capabilities of *CoCoA* ([**22**]) or *Macaulay 2* ([**68**]) can be used to compute the arithmetic degree of a graded module M without availing itself of any primary decomposition. More details about the explicit computation of arith-deg(M) and gdeg(M) can be found in [**197**, p. 234].

Let $\mathbf{S} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and suppose $\dim M = d \leq n$. It suffices to construct graded modules $M_i,\ i = 1 \ldots n$, such that

$$a_i(M) = \deg(M_i).$$

For each integer $i \geq 0$, denote $L_i = \text{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S})$. By local duality ([**15**, Section 3.5]), a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathbf{S}$ of height i is associated to M if and only if $(L_i)_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$; furthermore $\lambda((L_i)_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{mult}_M(\mathfrak{p})$.

We are set to find a path to arith-deg(M): Compute for each L_i its degree $\text{e}_1(L_i)$ and codimension c_i. Then choose M_i according to

$$M_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } c_i > i \\ L_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

PROPOSITION 1.3.8. *For a graded* $\mathbf{S}$*–module* M *and for each integer* i *denote by* c_i *the codimension of* $\text{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S})$. *Then*

$$\text{arith-deg}(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \lfloor \frac{i}{c_i} \rfloor \ \deg(\text{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S})). \tag{1.3.8.1}$$

This can also be expressed as

$$\text{arith-deg}(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \deg(\text{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(\text{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S}), \mathbf{S})). \tag{1.3.8.2}$$

Note that in the first formula (one sets $\lfloor \frac{0}{0} \rfloor = 1$) gives a sum of sums of terms some of which are not always available.

Arithmetic/geometric degrees and hyperplane sections. To examine the critical behavior of arith-deg(M) and gdeg(M) under hyperplane sections we focus on the following (see [**8**], [**129**], [**194**]):

THEOREM 1.3.9. *Let* $\mathbf{A}$ *be a standard graded algebra, let* M *be a finitely generated graded* A*-module and let* $h \in \mathbf{A}_1$ *be a regular element on* M. *Then*

$$\text{arith-deg}(M/hM) \geq \text{arith-deg}(M). \tag{1.3.9.1}$$

PROOF. We first show that if M is a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$–module and $\mathfrak{p}$ is an associated prime of M, then for any $h \in \mathbf{R}$ that is regular on M, any minimal prime P of $(\mathfrak{p}, h)$ is an associated prime of M/hM.

We may assume that P is the unique maximal ideal of $\mathbf{R}$. Let $L = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)$; note that we cannot have $L \subset hM$ as otherwise since h is regular on M we would have $L = hL$. This means that there is a mapping

$$\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p} \longrightarrow M,$$

which on reduction modulo h does not have a trivial image. Since $\mathbf{R}/(\mathfrak{p}, h)$ is annihilated by a power of P, its image will also be of finite length and non-trivial, and therefore $P \in \mathrm{Ass}(M/hM)$.

We take stock of the relationship between the associated primes of a module M and the associated primes of M/hM, where h is a regular element on M. According to the above, for each associated prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of M, for which $(\mathfrak{p}, h)$ is not the unity ideal (which will be the case when M is a graded module and h is a homogeneous form of positive degree) there is at least one associated prime of M/hM containing $\mathfrak{p}$: any minimal prime of $(\mathfrak{p}, h)$ will do.

There may be associated primes of M/hM, such as $\mathfrak{n}$, which do not arise in this manner. We indicate this in the diagram below:



In the expression for arith-deg(M/hM) we are going to keep apart primes such as $\mathfrak{m}$ (which we call associated primes of the first kind) and primes as $\mathfrak{n}$, which are not minimal over $(\mathfrak{p}, h)$, for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}(M)$.

Finally we consider the proof proper. For $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathrm{Ass}(M/hM)$ of the first kind, let

$$A(\mathfrak{m}) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_r\}$$

be the set of associated primes of M such that $\mathfrak{m}$ is minimal over each ideal $(\mathfrak{p}_i, h)$. Note that these prime ideals have the same dimension, $\dim(A/\mathfrak{m}) + 1$. Denote by I the product of the $\mathfrak{p}_i$ and let $L(\mathfrak{m}) = L = \Gamma_I(M)$. We have an embedding

$$L/hL \hookrightarrow M/hM$$

since $L \cap hM = hL$. As a consequence, $\mathrm{mult}_{L/hL}(\mathfrak{m}) \leq \mathrm{mult}_{M/hM}(\mathfrak{m})$. On the other hand,

$$\mathrm{mult}_L(\mathfrak{p}_i) \quad = \quad \mathrm{mult}_M(\mathfrak{p}_i) \tag{1.3.9.2}$$

for any prime in the set $A(\mathfrak{m})$.

Let now

$$L = L_0 \supset L_1 \supset L_2 \supset \cdots \tag{1.3.9.3}$$

be a filtration of L whose factors are of the form $A/\mathfrak{q}$ for some homogeneous prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}$. The number of times in which the prime $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_i$ occurs in the filtration is $\mathrm{mult}_L(\mathfrak{p})$. Consider the effect of multiplication by h on the terms of this filtration: By the snake lemma, we have exact sequences

$$0 \to {}_hL_{i+1} \longrightarrow {}_hL_i \longrightarrow {}_hA/\mathfrak{q} \longrightarrow L_{i+1}/hL_{i+1} \longrightarrow L_i/hL_i \longrightarrow A/(\mathfrak{q}, h) \to 0.$$

Localizing at $\mathfrak{m}$ we get sequences of modules of finite length over $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Adding these lengths, and taking into account the collapsing that may occur, we get

$$\lambda(L_{\mathfrak{m}}/hL_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \sum \lambda((A/(\mathfrak{q}, h))_{\mathfrak{m}}) - \lambda(({}_hA/\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{m}}), \tag{1.3.9.4}$$

where ${}_hA/\mathfrak{q}$ is $A/\mathfrak{q}$ if $h \in \mathfrak{q}$ and zero otherwise. Note that some of the $\mathfrak{q}$ may occur repeatedly according to the previous observation. After the localization at $\mathfrak{m}$ only the $\mathfrak{q}$ corresponding to the $\mathfrak{p}_i$'s survive:

$$\mathrm{mult}_{L/hL}(\mathfrak{m}) = \lambda(L_{\mathfrak{m}}/hL_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p}_i \subset \mathfrak{m}} \mathrm{mult}_M(\mathfrak{p}_i)\lambda((A/(\mathfrak{p}_i, h))_{\mathfrak{m}}). \tag{1.3.9.5}$$

We thus have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{arith-deg}(M/hM) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathrm{mult}_{M/hM}(\mathfrak{m}) \deg(A/\mathfrak{m}) \\
&+ \sum_{\mathfrak{n}} \mathrm{mult}_{M/hM}(\mathfrak{n}) \deg(A/\mathfrak{n}) \\
&\geq \sum_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathrm{mult}_{M/hM}(\mathfrak{m}) \deg(A/\mathfrak{m}) \\
&\geq \sum_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathrm{mult}_{L(\mathfrak{m})/hL(\mathfrak{m})}(\mathfrak{m}) \deg(A/\mathfrak{m}) \\
&= \sum_{\mathfrak{m}} \Big(\sum_{\mathfrak{p}_i \in A(\mathfrak{m})} \mathrm{mult}_M(\mathfrak{p}_i)\lambda((A/(\mathfrak{p}_i, h))_{\mathfrak{m}}) \Big) \deg(A/\mathfrak{m}) \qquad (1.3.9.6) \\
&= \sum_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \mathrm{mult}_M(\mathfrak{p}_i) \Big(\sum_{\mathfrak{p}_i \in A(\mathfrak{m})} \lambda((A/(\mathfrak{p}_i, h))_{\mathfrak{m}}) \deg(A/\mathfrak{m}) \Big) \\
&= \sum_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \mathrm{mult}_M(\mathfrak{p}_i) \deg(A/\mathfrak{p}_i) \\
&= \text{arith-deg}(M),
\end{aligned}$$

where in equation (1.3.9.6) we first used the equality (1.3.9.2), and then derived from each $L(\mathfrak{m})$ the equality provided by the computation of multiplicities in (1.3.9.4) and

(1.3.9.5), while taking into account that

$$\begin{aligned}\deg(A/\mathfrak{p}_i) &= \deg(A/(\mathfrak{p}_i, h)) \\ &= \sum_{\mathfrak{p}_i \in A(\mathfrak{m})} \lambda((A/(\mathfrak{p}_i, h))_{\mathfrak{m}}) \deg(A/\mathfrak{m}),\end{aligned}$$

the last equality by [**15**, Corollary 4.6.8]. □

For gdeg the effect of hyperplane sections cuts the other way:

THEOREM 1.3.10. *Let* $\mathbf{A}$ *be a standard graded algebra, let* M *be a finitely generated graded* $\mathbf{A}$*-module and let* $h \in \mathbf{A}_1$ *be a regular element on* M*. Then*

$$\operatorname{gdeg}(M/hM) \leq \operatorname{gdeg}(M). \tag{1.3.10.1}$$

Associated graded rings/Initial ideals. There are comparisons between the arithmetic and geometric degrees of a ring $\mathbf{R}$ (or of an $\mathbf{R}$-module M) and the degrees of associated graded rings $\operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{R})$ for several filtrations. Some (consider the case of the I-adic filtration) occur simply by considering the passage $\mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}[It, t^{-1}]$ followed by the hyperplane section t^{-1}. Other detailed information is found by a direct analysis (see [**204**]).

In the case of polynomial rings over fields, a related comparison is that between the degrees of $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I$, for some homogeneous ideal I, and of $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\operatorname{in}_>(I)$, where $>$ is a term ordering. (See some bibliography discussed in [**197**, Chapter 9].)

1.3.4. jdeg**: a variation of the arithmetic degree.** To construct and develop this degree, we will use extensively the notion of **j**–multiplicity introduced and developed by Achilles and Manaresi ([**3**]), Flenner, O'Carroll and Vogel ([**51**]). It is employed in [**143**] to develop the notion of jdeg. Here we will recall the construction of jdeg and some of its properties that are required in our examination of normalization.

First though we briefly discuss a family of *transforms* from the category of modules to the category of graded modules. They are simply the composition of two functors: Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring and $I \subset \mathfrak{m}$ be two $\mathbf{R}$-ideals. For an $\mathbf{R}$-module M consider the composition

$$M \mapsto \operatorname{gr}_I(M) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n M/I^{n+1}M \mapsto \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\operatorname{gr}_I(M)).$$

Its properties are being for various purposes as we will have opportunity to examine. A very important case is that of a local ring, with $\mathfrak{m}$ its maximal ideal. Some of its properties are rather intriguing, beginning with the determination of the Krull dimension of $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\operatorname{gr}_I(M))$.

The j-multiplicity of a graded module. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and $\mathbf{A}$ be a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{R}$-algebra. For a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{A}$-module M, $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is a graded submodule of M which is annihilated by a sufficiently large power of $\mathfrak{m}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ can be considered as a graded module over the Artinian ring $A/\mathfrak{m}^k A$ for some $k \gg 0$. We will make use of its Hilbert polynomial to define a new multiplicity function of M.

DEFINITION 1.3.11. Consider an integer d such that $d \geq \dim M$. We set

$$j_d(M) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \dim \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) < d, \\ \deg(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) & \text{if } \dim \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = d. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we set $j(M) = j_{\dim M}(M)$, and call it the j-multiplicity of M.

REMARK 1.3.12. If $\mathbf{R}$ is an Artinian local ring, then $j(M)$ is the ordinary multiplicity $\deg(M)$.

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and $\mathbf{A}$ be a standard graded $\mathbf{R}$-algebra Let M be a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{A}$-module. Recall that the *analytic spread* $\ell(M)$ of M is the Krull dimension of $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ as an $\mathbf{A}$-module, in particular $\ell(M) \leq \ell(\mathbf{A}) = \dim \mathbf{A}/\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{A}$-module.

LEMMA 1.3.13. *Let M be a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{A}$-module. Then $\ell(M) = \dim(M/\mathfrak{m}^n M)$ for any $n \geq 1$.*

PROOF. It is enough to show that $\dim M/\mathfrak{m}M = \dim M/\mathfrak{m}^n M$ for any $n \geq 1$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{m}^n = (a_1, \ldots, a_r)$, the surjection $M^{\oplus r}/\mathfrak{m}M^{\oplus r} \to \mathfrak{m}^n M/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}M$ given by sending $(m_1, \ldots, m_r) \longmapsto \sum_{k=1}^r a_k r_k$ shows $\dim \mathfrak{m}^n M/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}M \leq \dim M^{\oplus r}/\mathfrak{m}M^{\oplus r} = \dim M/\mathfrak{m}M$. Observe that the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathfrak{m}^{n-1}M/\mathfrak{m}^n M \to M/\mathfrak{m}^n M \to M/\mathfrak{m}^{n-1}M \to 0$$

implies $\dim M/\mathfrak{m}^n M = \max\{\dim \mathfrak{m}^{n-1}M/\mathfrak{m}^n M, \dim M/\mathfrak{m}^{n-1}M\}$. The conclusion then follows immediately by induction. □

PROPOSITION 1.3.14. *The equality $\dim \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \dim M$ holds iff $\ell(M) = \dim(M)$.*

PROOF. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = H \to M \to C \to 0.$$

We note that if $C \neq 0$, it has positive depth. We know that $\mathfrak{m}^n H = 0$ for $n \gg 0$, so we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to H \cap \mathfrak{m}^n M \to H \to M/\mathfrak{m}^n M = M' \to C/\mathfrak{m}^n C = C' \to 0.$$

It follows from the Artin-Rees lemma that $H \cap \mathfrak{m}^n M = \mathfrak{m}^{n-r}(H \cap \mathfrak{m}^r M) = 0$ for some $r \gg 0$ and $n > r$. The sequence above becomes

$$0 \to H \to M/\mathfrak{m}^n M = M' \to C/\mathfrak{m}^n C = C' \to 0$$

Note that $\dim M' = \ell(M)$ by the previous lemma and $\dim H \leq \dim M' \leq \dim M$, so if $\dim H = \dim M$ then $\ell(M) = \dim M$.

Conversely, if $\ell(M) = \dim M$ then $\dim M' = \ell(M) = \dim M \geq \dim C > \dim C'$, the inequality because depth $C \geq 1$. It then shows $\dim H = \dim M'$. □

This notion has several properties that contrast to $\deg(\cdot)$, while others are similar. First we recall that this **j**-multiplicity behaves well with respect to short exact sequences (see [**51**, Proposition 6.1.2]).

PROPOSITION 1.3.15. *Let* $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ *be a Noetherian local ring and* $\mathbf{A}$ *be a standard graded* $\mathbf{R}$*-algebra. Assume that*

$$0 \to M_1 \to M_2 \to M_3 \to 0 \tag{1.3.15.1}$$

is an exact sequence of finitely generated graded $\mathbf{A}$*-modules. Then for* $d = \dim M_2$

$$j_d(M_2) = j_d(M_1) + j_d(M_3).$$

In particular, if $\dim M_1 = \dim M_2 = \dim M_3$ *then*

$$j(M_2) = j(M_1) + j(M_3).$$

PROOF. Taking local cohomology we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_3) \longrightarrow H \to 0, \tag{1.3.15.2}$$

where $H \subset \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_1)$. If $\dim M_3 < d$, $j_d(M_2) = j_d(M_1)$ since $\dim \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_3) < d$. Assume $\dim M_3 = d$. We claim that $\dim H < d$ and therefore it does not contribute to the multiplicity count. Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset P \subset A$ be an associated prime of H, with $\dim A/P = d$. Localizing (1.3.15.2) at P we get a short exact sequence of Artinian A_P-modules. Noting that for $i = 1, 2, 3$, $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_i)_P = (M_i)_P$, we get $H_P = 0$, which is a contradiction. □

Hilbert coefficients of Achilles-Manaresi polynomials. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local and M a finitely generated module over the algebra $\mathbf{A}[A_1]$. We can attach to $\mathrm{H} = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ a Hilbert function

$$n \mapsto \lambda(\mathrm{H}_n).$$

The corresponding Hilbert series and Hilbert polynomial will be still written as $P(M; \mathbf{t})$ and $H(M; \mathbf{t})$. We use a different notation for the coefficients of these functions:

$$H(M; \mathbf{t}) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (-1)^i j_i(M) \binom{\mathbf{t} + r - i - 1}{r - i - 1}, \quad r = \ell(M).$$

If $r = 1$ this polynomial does not provide for $j_1(M)$, so we use instead the function

$$n \mapsto \sum_{k \leq n} \lambda(\mathrm{H}_k) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} (-1)^i j_i(M) \binom{n + r - i}{r - i}.$$

If $\mathrm{H} \neq 0$, for effect of comparisons if need be, we regrade H so that $\mathrm{H}_n = 0$ for $n < 0$ and $\mathrm{H}_0 \neq 0$. The coefficients $j_i(M)$ are integers but unlike the usual case of an Artinian local $\mathbf{R}$ it is very hard to calculate being related to M via a mediating construction.

The jdeg *of a module.* Next we introduce the **j**-multiplicity of a module M at a given prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathbf{R}$. These numbers will then be assembled into the jdeg of M.

DEFINITION 1.3.16. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring and $\mathbf{A}$ be a standard graded $\mathbf{R}$-algebra. For a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{A}$-module M, a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathbf{R}$, the j-multiplicity of M at $\mathfrak{p}$ is the integer

$$j_{\mathfrak{p}}(M) = \begin{cases} \deg(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})) & \text{if } \dim \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \dim M_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

DEFINITION 1.3.17. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring and $\mathbf{A}$ be a standard graded $\mathbf{R}$-algebra. For a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{A}$-module M, the jdeg of M is the integer

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(M) := \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R})} j_{\mathfrak{p}}(M).$$

REMARK 1.3.18. Since the summands depend on $\mathbf{R}$, the strict notation should be $\operatorname{jdeg}_{\mathbf{R}}(M)$. It is easy to see that this is a finite sum. It is also a straightforward verification to show that $\operatorname{jdeg}(M) = 0$ iff $M = 0$.

If $\mathbf{R}$ is an integral domain, then $\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{R}[x]) = 1$, which shows that jdeg does not always code lots of information. This means that if $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{B}$ are very different algebras, one may have $\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{A}) = \operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{B})$. We are going to see that $\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A})$ is very discriminating.

We note that if $\mathbf{R}$ is an Artinian local ring, then $\operatorname{jdeg}(M)$ just gives the ordinary multiplicity $\deg(M)$.

Bounds. Some properties of jdeg follow directly from the definition: If $\mathbf{S}$ is a multiplicative subset of $\mathbf{R}$, $\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{S}^{-1}M) \leq \operatorname{jdeg}(M)$. Others require additional hypotheses ([**143**]):

THEOREM 1.3.19. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be an analytically unramified Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a finitely generated standard graded $\mathbf{R}$-algebra. Then for every finitely generated graded $\mathbf{A}$-module M*

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(M) \leq \operatorname{gdeg}(M).$$

Symmetric algebras. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be an integral domain and let M be an $\mathbf{R}$-module of rank $r \geq 1$. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be the symmetric algebra of M, $\mathbf{S} = \operatorname{Sym}_{\mathbf{R}}(M)$. If M is a free (or projective) $\mathbf{R}$-module, $\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{S}) = \operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{R}) = 1$. For more general modules though the associated primes of $\mathbf{S}$ are difficult to determine (see [**192**, lookup] for references). Let us consider one special case.

PROPOSITION 1.3.20. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local domain of dimension $d > 0$ and let M be a non-free module of rank r that is free on the punctured spectrum, and let $\mathbf{S} = \operatorname{Sym}_{\mathbf{R}}(M)$. Then*

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{S}) = \begin{cases} 1 + j_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{S}), & \text{if } \nu(M) \geq \dim \mathbf{R} + \operatorname{rank}(M) \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. The only possible primes in $\operatorname{Ass}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{S})$ are (0), and $\mathfrak{m}$ if $\mathbf{S}$ is not an integral domain. According to Proposition 1.3.14, we must check whether $\ell(M) = \dim \mathbf{S}$, and which by [**192**, lookup] is equivalent to the assertion on the dimension of $\mathbf{S}$. □

To obtain more explicit bounds, one needs other kind of data. Let us consider one of these that will be used later.

THEOREM 1.3.21. *Let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local domain of dimension 1, with a finite integral closure. Let M be a module of rank r that is minimally generated by $n=r+1$ elements. Let*

$$0\to L\longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^n\longrightarrow M\to 0$$

be a minimal presentation of M. Denote by $c(L)$ the $\mathbf{R}$-ideal generated by the entries of the elements of L, that is the Fitting ideal $\operatorname{Fitt}_r(M)$. If $\mathbf{S}=\operatorname{Sym}_{\mathbf{R}}(M)$, then

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{S})\leq 1+e_0(c(L))+\nu(L)\cdot\lambda(\overline{\mathbf{R}}/\mathbf{R}).$$

PROOF. L is a module of rank 1, whose generators define the symmetric algebra of M,

$$0\to (L)=L\mathbf{B}\longrightarrow \mathbf{B}=\mathbf{R}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]\longrightarrow \mathbf{S}=\operatorname{Sym}_{\mathbf{R}}(M)\to 0.$$

If $\mathbf{R}$ is integrally closed, that is, a discrete valuation domain (or more generally a PID), (L) would be generated by a 1-form, $(L)=(c\mathbf{f})$, where the entries of $\mathbf{f}$ generate the unit ideal. In this case $M\simeq \mathbf{R}/(c)\oplus\mathbf{R}^r$, and therefore $j_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{S})=\lambda(\mathbf{R}/(c))=\deg(\mathbf{R}/(c))$. To take advantage of this elementary fact, let us change make a change of rings, $\mathbf{R}\to\overline{\mathbf{R}}$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0\to\mathbf{R}\to\overline{\mathbf{R}}\to\overline{\mathbf{R}}/\mathbf{R}\to 0.$$

Tensoring by $\mathbf{S}$, we obtain the exact complex

$$\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(\overline{\mathbf{R}}/\mathbf{R},\mathbf{S})\longrightarrow\mathbf{S}\longrightarrow\overline{\mathbf{S}}=\overline{\mathbf{R}}\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{S}\longrightarrow\overline{\mathbf{R}}/\mathbf{R}\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{S}\to 0.$$

It gives the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} j_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{S}) &\leq j_{\mathfrak{m}}(\overline{\mathbf{S}})+\deg(\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(\overline{\mathbf{R}}/\mathbf{R},\mathbf{S})\\ &\leq \lambda(\overline{\mathbf{S}}/c(L)\overline{\mathbf{S}})+\deg(\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(\overline{\mathbf{R}}/\mathbf{R},\mathbf{S})\\ &\leq e_0(c(L))+\nu(L)\lambda(\overline{\mathbf{R}}/\mathbf{R}),\end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m},\mathbf{S})=\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}L\mathbf{B}$. As for the equality $\lambda(\overline{\mathbf{S}}/c(L)\overline{\mathbf{S}})=e_0(c(L))$, this is a general fact. □

Observe that L is isomorphic to an ideal of $\mathbf{R}$, and therefore $\nu(L)\leq\deg\mathbf{R}$. As for $\lambda(\overline{\mathbf{R}}/\mathbf{R})$, need to recall a bound in terms of $\deg(\mathbf{R})$.

If $\dim\mathbf{R}>1$, but M is still free on the punctured spectrum and $\dim\mathbf{R}+\operatorname{rank}(M)=\nu(M)$, it is harder to estimate $\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{S})$. One reason is that the ideal $L\mathbf{B}$ now has codimension $\nu(M)-\operatorname{rank}(M)=\dim\mathbf{R}>1$, although it is still generated by 1-forms. One approach, is the following. Let $H=\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{S})\subset\mathbf{S}$. Denote by $\mathcal{L}$ the inverse image of H in $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{R}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$. Since $\mathbf{S}/H$ is an integral domain, we actually have the primary decomposition

$$L\mathbf{B}=\mathcal{L}\cap Q,$$

where Q is $\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{B}$-primary. We can express $\mathcal{L}$ as

$$\mathcal{L}=\bigcup_{r\geq 1}(L\mathbf{B}:_{\mathbf{B}}\mathfrak{m}^r).$$

The ideal $\mathcal{L}$ is preferably obtained by elimination ([**88**]). The index r where the operation stabilizes will be called the of M will be denoted by $\mathrm{ie}(M)$.

PROPOSITION 1.3.22. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$*,* M *and* r *be as above. Then*

$$j_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{S}) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}^r).$$

PROOF. We note that $\mathfrak{m}^r H = 0$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to H \longrightarrow \mathbf{S} \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}/H \to 0.$$

Tensoring it by $k = \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}$, we have the exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(k, \mathbf{S}/H) \longrightarrow k \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} H \longrightarrow k \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S} \longrightarrow k \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S}/H \to 0. \tag{1.3.22.1}$$

Let us examine the dimensions of the terms at the end. Since $\dim \mathbf{S} = \dim \mathbf{R} + \mathrm{rank}(M)$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ is not an associated prime of $\mathbf{S}/H$, $\dim k \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S}/H < \dim \mathbf{S}$.

At the other end, $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(k, \mathbf{S}/H)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbf{S}/H$-module that is annihilated by $\mathfrak{m}$. Thus its dimension is also bounded by $\dim \mathbf{S} - 1$. Counting the multiplicities in (1.3.22.1) we only have to account for the modules of dimension $\dim \mathbf{S}$. This gives $\deg(k \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} H) = \deg(k \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S}) = 1$, and therefore using a composition series for $\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}^r$, we get $\deg(H) = \deg(H \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}^r) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}^r)$, as desired. □

REMARK 1.3.23. A computational approach to this calculation is the following. Let $I = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \subset \mathbf{R}$ be an ideal, let

$$0 \to \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_n] \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}[It] \to 0$$

be a presentation of its Rees algebra. Setting

$$\begin{aligned} L &= (Q, I), \\ L' &= L : \mathfrak{m}^{\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

one has $H = L'/L$. Consider the following example computed by R. Villarreal,

$$I = (x, y, z)^2 + w(x, y) \subset \mathbf{R} = k[x, y, z, w].$$

Using *Macaulay 2* ([**68**]), one verifies that

$$\begin{aligned} L : \mathfrak{m}^{\infty} &= L : \mathfrak{m}^3, \\ \deg(H) &= 12, \\ \lambda(\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}^3) &= 15. \end{aligned}$$

1.3.5. Associated graded rings and invariance. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring and let I be an ideal. We are going to examine some properties of $\mathrm{jdeg}(\cdot)$ as it applies to modules over the Rees algebra $\mathbf{R}[It]$ of the ideal I. We set $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}[It]$.

PROPOSITION 1.3.24. *Let* $\mathbf{B} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} B_i$ *be a finitely generated graded* $\mathbf{A}$*-subalgebra of* $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$*. If* $\mathbf{B}$ *satisfies the condition* S_2 *of Serre then the filtration of* $\{B_i, i \geq 0\}$ *is decreasing, i.e.* $\mathrm{gr}(\mathbf{B})$ *is well-defined.*

PROOF. This follows from [**199**, Proposition 4.6]. □

An important property of jdeg is the following invariance. It will provide the backbone for many calculations.

THEOREM 1.3.25. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a reduced universally catenary ring of dimension* d *and let* I *be an ideal of* $\mathbf{R}$*. Let* $\mathbf{B}$ *be a finitely generated graded* $\mathbf{A}$*-subalgebra with* $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}[It] \subsetneq \mathbf{B} \subset \overline{\mathbf{A}}$*, and assume that* $\mathbf{B}$ *satisfies the condition* S_2 *of Serre. Then* $\operatorname{jdeg}(\operatorname{gr}(\mathbf{A})) = \operatorname{jdeg}(\operatorname{gr}(\mathbf{B}))$.

PROPOSITION 1.3.26. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Noetherian ring, let* A *be an* $\mathbf{R}$*-module and let* $\Phi : A \to A$ *be a nilpotent endomorphism of* A*. Then* $\dim \ker \Phi = \dim A = \dim \operatorname{coker} \Phi$.

PROOF. This follows from [**42**, Exercise 12.8]. Indeed

$$\operatorname{rad}(\operatorname{ann}(\ker \Phi)) = \operatorname{rad}(\operatorname{ann}(A)) = \operatorname{rad}(\operatorname{ann}(\operatorname{coker} \Phi))$$

so the $\mathbf{R}$-modules $\ker \Phi$, A, $\operatorname{coker} \Phi$ have the same support. Hence, they all have the same dimension. □

Theorem 1.3.25 is a consequence of the following calculation.

PROPOSITION 1.3.27. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a reduced universally catenary ring of dimension* d *and let* I *be an ideal of* $\mathbf{R}$ *containing regular elements. Let* B *be a finitely generated graded* $\mathbf{A}$*-subalgebra defined by a decreasing filtration, with* $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}[It] \subsetneq \mathbf{B} \subset \overline{\mathbf{A}}$*. Then*

$$j_{\mathfrak{p}}(\operatorname{gr}(\mathbf{A})) = j_{\mathfrak{p}}(\operatorname{gr}(\mathbf{B}))$$

for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$.

PROOF. Let $C = \mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}$, consider the following diagram induced by multiplication by t^{-1}:



which induces the exact sequence

$$0 \to C_0 \to \operatorname{gr}(\mathbf{A}) \to \operatorname{gr}(\mathbf{B}) \to C' \to 0.$$

Note that $\dim(C_0)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \dim C'_{\mathfrak{p}} = \dim C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$ because the multiplication by t^{-1} is nilpotent. Also, since the **j**-multiplicity is additive with respect to exact sequences of modules of the same dimension, using the vertical exact sequence, one has

$j_{\mathfrak{p}}(C_0) = j_{\mathfrak{p}}(C')$ for every $\mathfrak{p}$ since $j_{\mathfrak{p}}(C) = j_{\mathfrak{p}}(C[+1])$. From the other exact sequence we obtain $j_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathrm{gr}(\mathbf{A})) = j_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathrm{gr}(\mathbf{B}))$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$. □

Obviously, the most significant part of this proof is the fact that if I is integral over J, then $j_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathrm{gr}_J(\mathbf{R})) = j_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathrm{gr}_I(\mathbf{R}))$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$. Later, we shall discuss a theorem of Flenner and Manaresi ([**50**]) (see Theorem 2.3.9) with the proof of a converse. It is the hard part of a characterization of integrality.

Approximation complexes. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension d, and let I be an ideal. If $\mathcal{A} = I_n, n \geq 0$ is an I-good filtration, for

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{n \geq 0} I_n t^n,$$

asserts that

$$\mathrm{jdeg}(\mathrm{gr}_I(\mathbf{R})) = \mathrm{jdeg}(\mathrm{gr}(\mathbf{A})).$$

We can further profit by selecting a minimal reduction J of I, and seeking to determine $\mathrm{jdeg}(\mathrm{gr}_J(\mathbf{R}))$. We are going to discuss two cases: $\dim \mathbf{R}/I = 1, 2$.

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring of dimension d and let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of dimension 1, that is $\mathrm{codim}\, \mathfrak{p} = d - 1$. Assume that $\mathfrak{p}$ is generically a complete intersection. In order to approach the calculation of $\mathrm{jdeg}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbf{R}))$, we select a minimal reduction J of $\mathfrak{p}$. If $\ell(\mathfrak{p}) = d - 1$, $J = \mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathrm{jdeg}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbf{R})) = \deg(\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p})$.

We are going to assume that $\ell(\mathfrak{p}) = d$, and that J is one of its minimal reductions with $\nu(J) = d$. Under these conditions, from the theory of approximation complexes ([**192**, lookup]), there is an exact complex ($\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_d]$)

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_1(J) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{B}[-1] \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}_0 = \mathrm{H}_0(J) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{B} \longrightarrow G = \mathrm{gr}_J(\mathbf{R}) \to 0,$$

where $\mathrm{H}_i(J)$ are the Koszul homology modules on a minimal set of generators of J.

THEOREM 1.3.28. *Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a one-dimensional prime ideal as above. Set $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p}$. If Z_1 is the module of syzygies of J,*

$$\mathrm{jdeg}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbf{R})) \leq 1 + \lambda(\mathfrak{p}/J) + \lambda(\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbf{S}) \cdot \nu(L) + \lambda(\overline{\mathbf{S}}/c(Z_1)\overline{\mathbf{S}}).$$

PROOF. $\mathrm{H}_1(J)$ is the canonical module of $\mathrm{H}_0(J) = \mathbf{R}/J$. According to [**192**, lookup], $\mathrm{H}_1(J)$ is isomorphic to the canonical module of $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p}$. It is thus a torsion free $\mathbf{S}$-module, while $\mathfrak{p}/J$ is an $\mathbf{R}$-module of finite length. This implies that the submodule $\mathfrak{p}\mathbf{B}_0$ maps one-one onto $\mathfrak{p}G$.

The exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathfrak{p}G = \mathfrak{p}B_0 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G_0 = \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} G \to 0$$

gives the cohomology exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathfrak{p}B_0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(G)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(G_0) \to 0,$$

and therefore

$$j_{\mathfrak{m}}(G) = \lambda(\mathfrak{p}/J) + j_{\mathfrak{m}}(G_0).$$

We are now in the setting of Theorem 1.3.21:

$$\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathrm{gr}_J(\mathbf{R}) = \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p}}(J/\mathfrak{p}J),$$

where $J/\mathfrak{p}J$ is an $\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p}$-module of rank $d-1$, free on the punctured spectrum of $\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p}$, to which we apply the estimation of Theorem 1.3.21. □

EXAMPLE 1.3.29. Let k be a field of characteristic $\neq 2$. In the normal hypersurface ring $\mathbf{R} = k[x,y,z]/(x^2+yz)$ let $J = \mathfrak{p} = (x,y)$. Set $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p}$; then $\overline{\mathbf{S}} = \mathbf{S} = k[z]$ and $c(Z_1) = (z)$. It follows that

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\operatorname{gr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbf{R})) = 2.$$

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of dimension 2 that is a complete intersection on the punctured spectrum (e.g. $\mathbf{R}$ is a regular local ring and $\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p}$ is normal). We shall assume that $\mathfrak{p}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Suppose $\ell(I) = d$ (as otherwise the analysis is simple), and let J be a minimal reduction (generated by d elements). The approximation complex associated to J,

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_2(J) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{B}[-2] \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_1(J) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{B}[-1] \to \mathrm{H}_0(J) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{B} \longrightarrow \operatorname{gr}_J(\mathbf{R}) \to 0,$$

where $\mathrm{H}_i(J)$ are the Koszul homology modules of J, and $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_d]$, can be quickly examined. We set ourselves in the context of [**192**, Theorem 5.3.4].

PROPOSITION 1.3.30. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring of dimension d, and let I be a prime ideal of codimension $d-2$. Suppose I is Cohen-Macaulay, and a complete intersection in codimension $d-1$, $\ell(I) = d$, and let J be a minimal reduction. The the Koszul homology modules of J satisfy: $\mathrm{H}_2(J)$ is the canonical module of $\mathbf{R}/I$ and* $\operatorname{depth} \mathrm{H}_1(J) \geq 1$.

The proof is analogous to that of [**192**, Theorem 5.3.4]. A consequence is that both $\mathrm{H}_2(J)$ and $\mathrm{H}_1(J)$ are $\mathbf{R}/I$-modules, since $I\mathrm{H}_1(J)$ vanishes at each localization in codimension $d-1$. As was the case in Theorem 1.3.21, these facts lead to the exact sequences: (set $B_0 = \mathbf{B}/J\mathbf{B}$)

$$0 \to IG = IB_0 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G_0 = \mathbf{R}/I \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} G \to 0$$

and the exact sequence of $\mathbf{R}/I$-modules

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_2(J) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{B}[-2] \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_1(J) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{B}[-1] \to \mathbf{R}/I \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{B} \longrightarrow G_0 \to 0.$$

THEOREM 1.3.31. *Let I be as above and J a minimal reduction of I. Then*

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(G) = \lambda(I/J) + \operatorname{jdeg}(G_0) = \lambda(I/J) + 1 + j_{\mathfrak{m}}(G_0).$$

We note that the second sequence says that G_0 is the symmetric algebra of the $\mathbf{R}/I$-module J/IJ, and by the acyclicity lemma G_0 is Cohen-Macaulay. The issue is how to make use of the approximation complex beyond what is already done in Proposition 1.3.22.

1.3.6. Determinants and arithmetic multiplicities. We give a discussion of the expression of several properties of modules by associated ideals and their arithmetic multiplicities.

DEFINITION 1.3.32. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian domain and E a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. If r is the torsion free rank of E, its *determinant* is

$$\det_{\mathbf{R}}(E) = (\wedge^r E)^{**} = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\wedge^r E, \mathbf{R}), \mathbf{R}).$$

For simplicity, we will often just write $\det(E)$ for $\det_{\mathbf{R}}(E)$.

REMARK 1.3.33. We note several properties of the notion.

(a) If $\mathbf{R}$ is a normal domain, then $\det_{\mathbf{R}}(E)$ is a reflexive ideal. It is clear that it is isomorphic to a divisorial ideal of $\mathbf{R}$. In case $\mathbf{R}$ is a graded ring and E is homogeneous, $\det_{\mathbf{R}}(E)$ is a homogeneous module with a homogeneous isomorphism with a divisorial ideal of $\mathbf{R}$.

(b) If E^{**} is the bidual of E, then $\det_{\mathbf{R}}(E) = \det_{\mathbf{R}}(E^{**})$. Thus we may assume that E is reflexive.

(c) The set of (homogeneous) isomorphism classes of the $\det_{\mathbf{R}}(E)$ can be made into a group by usual definition

$$I \cdot J = (IJ)^{**}.$$

(d) If $E \subset F$ are modules of the same rank r, the induced homomorphism $\wedge^r E \to \wedge^r F$ leads to a natural inclusion

$$\det_{\mathbf{R}}(E) \subset \det_{\mathbf{R}}(F).$$

(e) Under the condition above, the ideal

$$I = \operatorname{ann}(\det_{\mathbf{R}}(F)/\det_{\mathbf{R}}(E))$$

is divisorial (and graded when the modules are homogeneous). Indeed,

$$I = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\det_{\mathbf{R}}(F), \det_{\mathbf{R}}(E)) \subset \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\det_{\mathbf{R}}(F), \det_{\mathbf{R}}(F)) \subset \mathbf{R}.$$

THEOREM 1.3.34. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian normal domain, and let $E \subset F$ be finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-modules of the same rank. Set $I = \operatorname{ann}(\det(F)/\det(E))$. Then I is a divisorial ideal and denote by*

$$I = \bigcap_1^s \mathfrak{p}_i^{(e_i)}$$

its primary decomposition. Then

(a) *Moreover, if E and F are reflexive modules the maximum length of the chains of distinct reflexive modules between E and F,*

$$E_0 = E \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_n = F$$

is given by

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{R}/I) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{e}_i$$

(b) *If $\mathbf{R}$ is a local ring, or a standard graded algebra and the modules are homogeneous, then*

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{R}/I) \leq \deg(\mathbf{R}/I).$$

PROOF. The definition of $\det(\cdot)$ localizes properly. In particular, for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of height 1, we have that $\det(E)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \det(E_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Using the structure theorem for finitely generated modules over a PID, it follows that if $E \subset F$ are reflexive modules of the same rank and $\det(E) = \det(F)$ then $E = F$. Indeed, in the exact sequence

$$0 \to E \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow F/E \to 0,$$

by the Depth Lemma ([**199**, Lemma 3.52]) the associated primes of F/E have height one since F is torsion free and E has the condition S_2 of Serre.

Note that as we move along the elements of the chain

$$E_0 = E \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_n = F,$$

the corresponding ideals $I_i = \operatorname{ann}(\det(F)/\det(E_i))$ give rise to an increasing chain of *distinct* divisorial ideals. This already shows hat the length of such chains is bounded by $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{e}_i$.

To build a chain of this length, we induct on $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{e}_i$. As already observed, the prime ideals that occur in the decomposition of $I = \operatorname{ann}(\det(F)/\det(E))$ are the associated primes of F/E.

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be one of the $\mathfrak{p}_i$, say $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}P_1$ and let $\overline{x} \in F/E$ be an element annihilated by $\mathfrak{p}$. Define e_1 as the bidual of the submodule $(E, x) \subset F$. It is an easy verification that

$$I_1 = \operatorname{ann}(\det(F)/\det(E_1)) = \mathfrak{p}_1^{(e_1-1)} \bigcap_{i=2}^{s} \mathfrak{p}_i^{(e_i)}.$$

The second assertion, that $\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{R}/I) \leq \deg(\mathbf{R}/I)$ (in the graded case) is a property of $\operatorname{jdeg}(\cdot)$ that has been discussed already. □

The actual significance of I is the following:

COROLLARY 1.3.35. *Suppose that* $\mathbf{R}$ *is a normal standard graded algebra (or a normal Noetherian local domain) and that* $E \subset F$ *are homogeneous modules of the same rank. If* E *and* F *are reflexive modules, then*

$$\deg(\mathbf{R}/I) = \mathrm{e}_1(E) - e_1(F).$$

PROOF. The difference $\mathrm{e}_1(E) - e_1(F)$ is the multiplicity of F/E. On the other hand, by Proposition 1.2.17,

$$\deg(F/E) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda((F/E)_{\mathfrak{p}_i}) \deg(\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p}_i).$$

After noting that $\mathrm{e}_i = \lambda((F/E)_{\mathfrak{p}_i})$, we have the desired formula. □

1.4. Castelnuovo–Mumford Regularity

1.4.1. Introduction. This is one of most useful of the degree functions and has excellent treatments in [**42**] and [**43**]. It has several equivalent formulations, one of which is the following: Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ be a ring of polynomials over the field k with the standard grading, and let $\mathbf{A}$ be a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{R}$-module with a minimal graded resolution

$$0 \to F_n \longrightarrow F_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \to 0,$$

$$F_j = \bigoplus_j \mathbf{R}[-a_{ij}].$$

Then $\operatorname{reg}(\cdot)$ is defined by (see below for a more precise formulation)

$$\operatorname{reg}(\mathbf{A}) = \sup\{a_{i,j} - i\}.$$

Before we point out a more abstract formulation of the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, or simply *regularity*, one of its important properties shows up: The Hilbert-Poincaré-series of $\mathbf{A}$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{\sum_{ij}(-1)^j \mathbf{t}^{a_{ij}}}{(1-\mathbf{t})^d},$$

encodes the Hilbert function $H_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{t})$ and Hilbert polynomial $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{t})$, and from the expression for $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{A}}(t)$, one has

$$H_{\mathbf{A}}(n) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}(n), \quad n \geq \operatorname{reg}(\mathbf{A}).$$

Another use of *regularity* is for the estimation of various indices attached to algebraic structures. Consider for instance a standard graded algebra $\mathbf{A}$ over the infinite field k. If $\dim \mathbf{A} = d$, by Noether Normalization, there are subalgebras, $\mathbf{B} = k[z_1, \ldots, z_d]$, $z_i \in A_1$, $d = \dim \mathbf{A}$, such that $\mathbf{A}$ is finite over $\mathbf{B}$. This implies that

$$A_{n+1} = (z_1, \ldots, z_d)A_n.$$

The smallest such degree n is called the *reduction number of* $\mathbf{A}$ *relative to* $\mathbf{B}$, $\mathrm{r}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{A})$. Its value may vary with the choice of $\mathbf{B}$, but the inequality

$$\mathrm{r}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{A}) \leq \operatorname{reg}(\mathbf{A})$$

will always hold.

Cohomological formulation. Let $\mathbf{R} = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} R_n = R_0[R_1]$ be a finitely generated graded algebra over the Noetherian ring $\mathbf{R}_0$. For any graded $\mathbf{R}$-module F, define

$$\alpha(F) = \begin{cases} \sup\{n \mid F_n \neq 0\} & \text{if } F \neq 0, \\ -\infty & \text{if } F = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathbf{R} = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} R_n$ be a standard graded ring of Krull dimension d with irrelevant maximal ideal $M = (\mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{R}_+)$ (note that $(R_0, \mathfrak{m})$ is a local ring), and let E be a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{R}$-module. Some local cohomology modules $\mathrm{H}^i_J(E)$ of E often give rise to graded modules with $\alpha(\mathrm{H}^i_J(E)) < \infty$. This occurs, for instance, when $J = \mathbf{R}_+$ or $J = M$. This fact gives rise to several numerical measures of the cohomology of E.

Definition 1.4.1. For any finitely generated graded $\mathbf{R}$-module F, and for each integer $i \geq 0$, the integer

$$a_i(F) = \alpha(\mathrm{H}^i_M(F))$$

is the ith *a-invariant* of F.

We shall also make use of the following complementary notion.

Definition 1.4.2. . For any finitely generated graded $\mathbf{R}$-module F, and for each integer $i \geq 0$, the integer

$$\underline{a}_i(F) = \alpha(\mathrm{H}^i_{R_+}(F))$$

is the ith $\underline{a}$*-invariant* of F.

By abuse of terminology, if F has Krull dimension d, we shall refer to $a_d(F)$ as simply the a-invariant of F. In the case $F = \mathbf{R}$, if $\omega_{\mathbf{R}}$ is the canonical module of $\mathbf{R}$, by local duality it follows that

$$a(\mathbf{R}) \;=\; -\inf\{\, i \mid (\omega_{\mathbf{R}})_i \neq 0 \,\}. \tag{1.4.2.1}$$

The $\underline{a}_i$-invariants are usually assembled into the *Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity* of F

$$\operatorname{reg}(F) = \sup\{\underline{a}_i(F) + i \mid i \geq 0\}.$$

EXAMPLE 1.4.3. For several general examples, we direct the reader to [**15**, Section 3.6]. If $\mathbf{R}$ is Cohen-Macaulay and I is an ideal of positive height such that $\mathcal{R}(I)$ is Cohen-Macaulay, it is easy to see that $a(\mathcal{R}(I)) = -1$; ultimately this is a consequence of the fact that for a field k the canonical ideal of $k[t]$ is $tk[t]$.

EXAMPLE 1.4.4. The a- and $\underline{a}$-invariants are closely related in several cases of interest. Suppose that $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d > 0$, and I an ideal of dimension one that is generically a complete intersection. Set $G = \operatorname{gr}_I(\mathbf{R})$, choose $x \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus I$ so that (I, x) is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary (in particular I_x is a complete intersection by hypothesis). We let $\mathcal{M}$ be the maximal homogeneous ideal of G and set $G' = G_x$. Note that G' is a ring of polynomials so all of its invariants are known. Let us apply [**199**, Proposition 3.15] with $J = G_+$. We have exact sequences

$$\mathrm{H}^i_{G_+}(G) \cong \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathcal{M}}(G), \quad i < d-1$$

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^{d-1}_{\mathcal{M}}(G) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{d-1}_{G_+}(G) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{d-1}_{G'_+}(G') \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^d_{\mathcal{M}}(G) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^d_{G_+}(G) \to 0.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} a_i(G) &= \underline{a}_i(G), \quad i < d-1, \\ a_{d-1}(G) &\leq \underline{a}_{d-1}(G) \leq \max\{a_{d-1}(G), d-1, \} \\ \max\{d-1, \underline{a}_d(G)\} \geq a_d(G) &\geq \underline{a}_d(G). \end{aligned}$$

The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of Rees algebras. The following calculation has been carried out by several authors ([**110**, Proposition 4.1], [**141**, Lemma 4.8], [**?**, Theorem 1.1]).

PROPOSITION 1.4.5. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian local ring and I a proper ideal. Then* $\operatorname{reg}(\mathbf{R}[It]) = \operatorname{reg}(\operatorname{gr}_I(\mathbf{R}))$.

The proofs use the paired short exact sequences (see [**99**]):

$$0 \to I \cdot \mathbf{R}[It] = \mathcal{R}_+[+1] \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}[It] = \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \operatorname{gr}_I(\mathbf{R}) = G \to 0 \tag{1.4.5.1}$$

$$0 \to \mathcal{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \to 0, \tag{1.4.5.2}$$

with the tautological isomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}_+ = It \cdot \mathbf{R}[It] \cong \mathcal{R}_+[+1] = I \cdot \mathbf{R}[It]$$

playing a pivotal role.

1.4.2. Basic properties. The role of the regularity in the connection between the Hilbert series and the Hilbert polynomial of modules over polynomial rings was already observed. The following is more precise and general ([**15**, Theorem 4.4.3]).

THEOREM 1.4.6. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a local Artinian ring,* $\mathbf{A}$ *a standard graded algebra over* $\mathbf{R}$*, and* M *a finitely generated graded* $\mathbf{A}$*-module. Then*

$$H_M(n) - \mathcal{P}_M(n) = \sum_{j\geq 0}(-1)^j \lambda(\mathrm{H}^j_{\mathbf{A}_+}(M)_n),$$

in particular $H_M(n) = \mathcal{P}_M(n)$ *for* $n > \operatorname{reg}(M)$.

We briefly describe the behavior of $\operatorname{reg}(\cdot)$ with regard to some exact sequences.

PROPOSITION 1.4.7. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a standard graded algebra, and let*

$$0 \to A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \to 0$$

be an exact sequence of finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$*-modules* (*and homogeneous homomorphisms*)*, then*

$$\operatorname{reg}(B) \leq \operatorname{reg}(A) + \operatorname{reg}(C),$$

Similarly,

$$\operatorname{reg}(A) \leq \operatorname{reg}(B) + \operatorname{reg}(C), \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{reg}(C) \leq \operatorname{reg}(A) + \operatorname{reg}(B).$$

PROOF. The functions $\underline{a}_i(\cdot) = \alpha(\mathrm{H}^i_{R_+}(\cdot))$ clearly satisfy $\underline{a}_i(B) \leq \underline{a}_i(A) + \underline{a}_i(C)$. Thus $a_i(B) + i \leq \operatorname{reg}(A) + \operatorname{reg}(C)$ for each i.

The other assertions have similar proofs. □

A more precise statement ([**139**, Proposition 4]) asserts:

PROPOSITION 1.4.8. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a standard graded algebra, and let*

$$0 \to A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \to 0$$

be an exact sequence of finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$*-modules* (*and homogeneous homomorphisms*)*. Then*

(i) *If* $\operatorname{reg}(B) > \operatorname{reg}(C)$*, then* $\operatorname{reg}(A) = \operatorname{reg}(C)$.
(ii) *If* $\operatorname{reg}(B) < \operatorname{reg}(C)$*, then* $\operatorname{reg}(A) = \operatorname{reg}(C) + 1$.
(iii) *If* $\operatorname{reg}(B) = \operatorname{reg}(C)$*, then* $\operatorname{reg}(A) \leq \operatorname{reg}(B) + 1$.

The function $\operatorname{reg}(\cdot)$ can also be characterized by its initialization on modules of finite length (see [**42**, Proposition 20.20]):

PROPOSITION 1.4.9. *If* h *is a linear form of* $\mathbf{R}$ *whose annihilator* $0 :_A h$ *has finite length, then*

$$\operatorname{reg}(A) = \max\{\operatorname{reg}(0 :_A h), \operatorname{reg}(A/hA)\}.$$

The proof goes through an analysis of the exact sequence

$$0 \to (0 :_A h)[-1] \longrightarrow A[-1] \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A/hA \to 0.$$

As a long exercise, the reader can verify that $\operatorname{reg}(\cdot)$ is the unique degree function satisfying these rules.

1.4.3. Bounds on syzygies. Let k be a field, $\mathbf{S} = k[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ a polynomial ring over k, $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{S}/I$ a homogeneous k-algebra, and M a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{R}$-module. Then M, as an $\mathbf{S}$-module, admits a finite graded free resolution:

$$0 \to \oplus_j \mathbf{S}[-j]^{b_{pj}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \oplus_j \mathbf{S}[-j]^{b_{0j}} \longrightarrow M \to 0.$$

DEFINITION 1.4.10. The *Castelnuovo-Mumford* regularity, or simply the *Castelnuovo regularity*, of M is the integer

$$\operatorname{reg} M = \max\{j - i \colon b_{ij} \neq 0\}.$$

In other words, $\operatorname{reg} M = \max\{\alpha_+(\operatorname{Tor}_i^{\mathbf{S}}(M,k)) - i \colon i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ where for a graded module N with $N_j = 0$ for large j, we set $\alpha_+(N) = \max\{j \colon N_j \neq 0\}$. Let q be an integer. The module M is called *q-regular* if $q \geq \operatorname{reg}(M)$, equivalently, if $\operatorname{Tor}_i^{\mathbf{S}}(M,k)_{j+i} = 0$ for all i and all $j > q$.

Eisenbud and Goto [**43**] give an interesting interpretation of regularity. Denoting by $M_{\geq q}$ the truncated graded $\mathbf{R}$-module $\bigoplus_{j \geq q} M_j$, one has (see also [**197**, Appendix B]):

THEOREM 1.4.11. *The following conditions are equivalent:*
(a) *M is q-regular;*
(b) *$\operatorname{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)_{j-i} = 0$ for all i and all $j > q$;*
(c) *$M_{\geq q}$ admits a linear $\mathbf{S}$-resolution, i.e., a graded resolution of the form*

$$0 \to \mathbf{S}[-q-l]^{c_l} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}[-q-1]^{c_1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}[-q]^{c_0} \longrightarrow M_{\geq q} \to 0.$$

PROOF. (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c): By definition, the module $M_{\geq q}$ has a linear resolution if and only if for all i

$$\operatorname{Tor}_i^{\mathbf{S}}(M_{\geq q}, k)_r = \operatorname{H}_i(\mathbf{x}; M_{\geq q})_r = 0$$

for $r \neq i + q$. Here $\operatorname{H}(\mathbf{x}; M)$ denotes the Koszul homology of M with respect to the sequence $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \dots, x_m$.

Since $(M_{\geq q})_j = 0$ for $j < q$, we always have $\operatorname{H}_i(\mathbf{x}; M_{\geq q})_r = 0$ for $r < i + q$, while for $r > i + q$

$$\operatorname{H}_i(\mathbf{x}; M_{\geq q})_r = \operatorname{H}_i(\mathbf{x}; M)_r = \operatorname{Tor}_i^{\mathbf{S}}(M,k)_r.$$

Thus the desired result follows.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c): We may assume $q = 0$, and $M = M_{\geq 0}$. Then it is immediate that $\operatorname{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is concentrated in degree 0. This implies that $M = \operatorname{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \oplus M/\operatorname{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$. The first summand is a direct sum of copies of k. Hence M is 0-regular if and only if $M/\operatorname{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is 0-regular. In other words we may assume that $\operatorname{depth} M > 0$. Without any problems we may further assume that k is infinite. Then there exists an element $y \in S$ of degree 1 which is M-regular. From the cohomology exact sequence associated with

$$0 \to M[-1] \xrightarrow{y} M \longrightarrow M/yM \to 0$$

we see that M/yM is 0-regular. By induction on the dimension on M, we may suppose that M/yM has linear S/yS-resolution. But if F is a minimal graded free $\mathbf{S}$-resolution, the F/yF is a minimal graded $\mathbf{S}/y\mathbf{S}$-resolution of M/yM. This implies that F is a linear $\mathbf{S}$-resolution of M.

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (b): Again we may assume $q = 0$, and $M = M_{\geq 0}$. Then M has a linear resolution

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}[-2]^{c_2} \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}[-1]^{c_1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}^{c_0} \longrightarrow M \to 0.$$

Computing $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S})$ with this resolution we see at once that $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S})_j = 0$ for $j < -i$. By duality (see [**15**, Section 3.6]) there exists an isomorphism of graded $\mathbf{R}$-modules

$$\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_k(\operatorname{Ext}^{m-i}_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S}[-m]), k).$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)_{j-i} = 0$ for all $j > 0$, as desired. □

1.4.4. Regularity of some derived functors. Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring in n indeterminates over the field k. We briefly describe some results of Chardin, Ha and Hoa ([**23**]) on regularity bounds for derived functors of $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ and $\mathbf{X} \otimes \mathbf{Y}$. The first of their results ([**23**, Lemma 2.1]) is a beautiful cohomological calculation:

THEOREM 1.4.12. *Let $\mathbf{F}_\bullet$ be a graded complex of free $\mathbf{R}$-modules with*

$$\mathbf{F}_i = \bigoplus_{f_i \leq j \leq b_i} \mathbf{R}[-j]^{\beta_{ij}}.$$

Set $T_i = \sum_j \beta_{ij}$. Then

$$\operatorname{reg}(\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{F}_\bullet)) \leq \max\{b_i, b_{i+1}, [T_{i+1}(b_i - f_{i+1})]^{2^{n-2}} + f_{i+1} + 2, [T_i(b_{i-1} - f_i)]^{2^{n-2}} + f_i\}.$$

For two finitely generated graded M and N, it leads directly to estimates for $\operatorname{reg}(\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_i(M, N))$. Let us state their result on $\operatorname{reg}(\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(M, N))$ ([**23**, Theorem 2.3(2)]). For a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{R}$-module P, set the following notation for the Betti number, initial degree (indeg) and regularity of $\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_i(P, k)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i(P) &= \dim_k(\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_i(P, k)) \\ f_i(P) &= \operatorname{indeg}(\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_i(P, k)) \\ \operatorname{reg}_i(P) &= \operatorname{reg}(\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_i(P, k)). \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 1.4.13. *Let M and N be finitely generated graded modules over the polynomial ring $\mathbf{R}$. With the notation above, set $T_i = \sum_{p-q=i} \beta_p(M)\beta_q(N)$, $r_M = \operatorname{reg}(M) - \operatorname{indeg}(M)$, $r_N = \operatorname{reg}(N) - \operatorname{indeg}(N)$, and $\delta = \operatorname{indeg}(N) - \operatorname{indeg}(M)$. Then*

$$\operatorname{reg}(\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(M, N)) + i \leq (r_M + r_N + 1)^{2^{n-2}} \max\{T_i, T_{i+1}\}^{2^{n-2}} + 1 - \delta.$$

This establishes the existence of polynomials of $\operatorname{reg}(M)$ and $\operatorname{reg}(N)$ and of the various Betti numbers that bound the regularity of the Exts and Tors. Kia Dalili reformulated these assertions in a convenient format in terms of extended degree functions.

Questions. There are several questions about the expected value of the regularity. One of the boldest is ([**43**]):

CONJECTURE 1.4.14 (Eisenbud-Goto). *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a standard graded domain over an algebraically closed field k. Then*

$$\operatorname{reg}(\mathbf{R}) \leq \deg(\mathbf{R}) - \operatorname{codim}(\mathbf{R}),$$

where $\operatorname{codim} \mathbf{R} = \dim_k(\mathbf{R}_1) - \dim \mathbf{R}$.

1.5. Cohomological Degrees

1.5.1. Introduction. Taking Cohen-Macaulay structures, with the rich variety of techniques they support, (e.g. algebras over a local ring) as baselines, it becomes clear that the next class of objects to be examined are those that are Cohen-Macaulay on the punctured spectrum. Multiplicity theory is still a handy technique here. It is to broaden this to very general algebras that the technique of the extended degrees was introduced.

1.5.2. Definition of cohomological degrees. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring (or a standard graded algebra over an Artinian local ring) of infinite residue field. We denote by $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R})$ the category of finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module (or the corresponding category of graded $\mathbf{R}$-modules).

A general class of these functions was introduced in [**38**] , while a prototype was defined earlier in [**194**]. In his thesis ([**72**]), T. Gunston carried out a more formal examination of such functions in order to introduce his own construction of a new cohomological degree. One of the points that must be taken care of is that of an appropriate *generic hyperplane* section. Let us recall the setting.

Throughout we suppose that the residue field k of $\mathbf{R}$ is infinite. Moreover, in some of the discussions we will assume that $\mathbf{R}$ is a quotient of a Gorenstein ring. Both conditions are realized by considering two changes of rings: $\mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[X]_{\mathfrak{m}[X]} \to \widehat{\mathbf{S}}$, the latter being the completion relative to the maximal ideal.

DEFINITION 1.5.1. If $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ is a local ring, a *notion of genericity* on $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R})$ is a function

$$U : \{\text{isomorphism classes of } \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R})\} \longrightarrow \{\text{non-empty subsets of } \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2\}$$

subject to the following conditions for each $A \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R})$:

(a) If $f - g \in \mathfrak{m}^2$ then $f \in U(A)$ if and only if $g \in U(A)$.
(b) The set $\overline{U(A)} \subset \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ contains a non-empty Zariski-open subset.
(c) If depth $A > 0$ and $f \in U(A)$, then f is regular on A.

There is a similar definition for graded modules. We shall usually switch notation, denoting the algebra by $\mathbf{S}$.

Another extension is that associated to an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I ([**116**]): A notion of genericity on $\mathcal{R}$ with respect to I is a function

$$U : \{\text{isomorphism classes of } \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R})\} \longrightarrow \{\text{non-empty subsets of } I/\mathfrak{m}I\}$$

subject to the following conditions for each $A \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R})$:

(a) If $f - g \in \mathfrak{m}I$ then $f \in U(A)$ if and only if $g \in U(A)$.
(b) The set $\overline{U(A)} \subset I/\mathfrak{m}I$ contains a non-empty Zariski-open subset.
(c) If depth $A > 0$ and $f \in U(A)$, then f is regular on A.

Fixing a notion of genericity $U(\cdot)$ one has the following extension of the classical multiplicity.

DEFINITION 1.5.2. A *cohomological degree*, or *extended multiplicity function*, is a function

$$\mathrm{Deg}(\cdot) : \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{N},$$

that satisfies the following conditions.

(a) If $L = H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is the submodule of elements of M that are annihilated by a power of the maximal ideal and $\overline{M} = M/L$, then

$$\mathrm{Deg}(M) = \mathrm{Deg}(\overline{M}) + \lambda(L), \tag{1.5.2.1}$$

where $\lambda(\cdot)$ is the ordinary length function.

(b) (Bertini's rule) If M has positive depth, there is $h \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$, such that

$$\mathrm{Deg}(M) \geq \mathrm{Deg}(M/hM). \tag{1.5.2.2}$$

(c) (The calibration rule) If M is a Cohen-Macaulay module, then

$$\mathrm{Deg}(M) = \deg(M), \tag{1.5.2.3}$$

where $\deg(M)$ is the ordinary multiplicity of M.

These functions will be referred to as **big Degs**. If $\dim \mathbf{R} = 0$, $\lambda(\cdot)$ is the unique Deg function. For $\dim \mathbf{R} = 1$, the function $\mathrm{Deg}(M) = \lambda(L) + \deg(M/L)$ is the unique extended degree. When $d \geq 2$, there are several big Degs. An explicit Deg, for all dimensions, was introduced in [**194**].

DEFINITION 1.5.3. For an extended degree Deg the quantity

$$I(M) = \mathrm{Deg}(M) - \deg(M)$$

is called the *Cohen-Macaulay defficiency* of M.

We refer to h as a *superficial* element relative to M and Deg. We will abuse the terminology when h is chosen to be superficial for M/L.

To define such functions on general local rings one makes use to the standard changes of rings. For example, if $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ is a Noetherian local ring and $\mathbf{X}$ is a set of indeterminates, $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}]_{\mathfrak{m}[\mathbf{X}]}$ is a Noetherian local ring of dimension d and the flat change of rings $\mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{S}$ preserves multiplicity. Its large residue field permits the development of notions of genericity and the definition of extended degrees.

In one special case this notion becomes familiar.

PROPOSITION 1.5.4. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a local Noetherian ring and* M *a finitely generated* $\mathbf{R}$*-module of Krull dimension one. For any extended multiplicity function* $\mathrm{Deg}(\cdot)$*,*

$$\mathrm{Deg}(M) = \deg(M) + \lambda(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) = \text{arith-deg}(M).$$

If I is an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal, in the proposition above, replacing $\deg(M)$ by the Samuel's multiplicity $e(I; M)$ would result in the extended multiplicity $\mathrm{Deg}_I(M)$. This usually means that M is a finitely generated graded module over a ring such as $\mathrm{gr}_I(\mathbf{R})$.

Functions with the Bertini rule. Both the notion of genericity and the Bertini property above occur in limited ways. We will encounter functions

$$\mathbf{T} : \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R}) \to \mathbb{Z},$$

such that for certain classes of modules M satisfies

$$\mathbf{T}(M/hM) \leq \mathbf{T}(M),$$

for generic elements h.

1.5.3. General properties of extended degrees. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and let $\mathbf{A}$ be standard graded algebra over $\mathbf{R}$. We are going to derive basic properties of extended degree functions defined over $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{A}$. Throughout Deg is an unspecified extended degree function. We examine some relationships between the $\mathrm{Deg}(M)$ of a module and some data expressed in its projective resolution, typically the degrees and the ranks of the higher modules of syzygies.

REMARK 1.5.5. The axiom (ii) above admits variations. Let M be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module and set $H = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ and $N = M/H$. Suppose h is superficial with regard N and Deg. Then we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to H/hH \longrightarrow M/hM \longrightarrow N/hN \to 0,$$

and therefore

$$\mathrm{Deg}(M/hM) = \mathrm{Deg}(H/hH) + \mathrm{Deg}(N/hN) \leq \mathrm{Deg}(H) + \mathrm{Deg}(N) = \mathrm{Deg}(M).$$

Cohen-Macaulay defficiency. The following assertion is a justification for the terminology.

PROPOSITION 1.5.6. *For any extended degree* Deg*, the Cohen-Macaulay defficiency*

$$I(M) = \mathrm{Deg}(M) - \deg(M)$$

vanishes if and only if M is Cohen-Macaulay.

PROOF. We begin by observing that the axioms guarantee that $\mathrm{Deg}(M) \geq \deg(M)$. Let us use induction on the dimension of M. If $\dim M \leq 1$, the assertion holds since $\mathrm{Deg}(M) = \deg(M) + \lambda(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M))$.

If $\dim M \geq 2$ and $L = \lambda(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M))$, and h is a superficial element for $\mathrm{Deg}(M')$, $M' = M/L$, then

$$\mathrm{Deg}(M) = \lambda(L) + \mathrm{Deg}(M') \geq \lambda(L) + \mathrm{Deg}(M'/hM').$$

The assumption implies that $L = 0$ and $\mathrm{Deg}(M'/hM') = \deg(M') = \deg(M)$. By induction M' is Cohen-Macaulay and therefore M is Cohen-Macaulay as well

□

1.5.3.1. *Betti numbers.* We first want to emphasize the control that extended degrees have over the Betti numbers of the modules.

THEOREM 1.5.7. *Let M be a module of dimension d and let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$ be a superficial sequence relative to M and the extended degree* Deg. *Then*

$$\lambda(M/(\mathbf{x})M) \leq \mathrm{Deg}(M).$$

PROOF. This is a straightforward calculation. If $M_0 = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ and $M' = M/H$, the exact sequence

$$0 \to H/x_1H \longrightarrow M/x_1M \longrightarrow M'/x_1M' \to 0$$

gives

$$\mathrm{Deg}(M') = \mathrm{Deg}(M) - \lambda(H) \leq \mathrm{Deg}(M) - \lambda(H/x_1H),$$

and allows an easy induction on the dimension of the module. □

We assume that $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m}, k = \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m})$ is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. For any finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module A, we denote by $\beta_i^{\mathbf{R}}(A)$ its i-th Betti number, and by $\mu^i_{\mathbf{R}}(A)$ its i-th *Bass number*:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i^{\mathbf{R}}(A) &= \dim_k \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(k, A) \\ \mu^i_{\mathbf{R}}(A) &= \dim_k \mathrm{Ext}^i_R(k, A). \end{aligned}$$

We recall a classical result on the Betti numbers of the residue field of $\mathbf{R}$ under the change of rings $\mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{x})$ where $\mathbf{x}$ is a regular element of $\mathbf{R}$. This is best expressed in terms of the *Poincaré series* of $\mathbf{R}$:

$$P(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \beta_i^{\mathbf{R}}(k)\mathbf{t}^i.$$

THEOREM 1.5.8. ([**71**, Corollary 3.4.2]) *Let $\mathbf{x}$ be a regular element of $\mathbf{R}$. Put $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{x})$. Then*

(a) ([Tate]) *If $\mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{m}^2$ then*

$$P(\mathbf{R}') = \frac{P(\mathbf{R})}{1 - \mathbf{t}^2}.$$

(b) *If $\mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$ then*

$$P(\mathbf{R}') = \frac{P(\mathbf{R})}{1 + \mathbf{t}}.$$

THEOREM 1.5.9. *Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. For any* Deg$(\cdot)$ *function and any integer $i \geq 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i^{\mathbf{R}}(A) &\leq \beta_i^{\mathbf{R}}(k) \cdot \mathrm{Deg}(A), \\ \mu^i_{\mathbf{R}}(A) &\leq \mu^i_{\mathbf{R}}(k) \cdot \mathrm{Deg}(A), \end{aligned}$$

in particular, $\nu(A) \leq \mathrm{Deg}(A)$.

PROOF. If L is the submodule of A of finite support, the exact sequence

$$0 \to L \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A' \to 0$$

gives $\beta_i(A) \leq \beta_i(L) + \beta_i(A')$ (for simplicity we set $\beta_i(A) = \beta_i^{\mathbf{R}}(A)$). For the summand $\beta_i(L)$, by induction on the length of L, one has that $\beta_i(L) \leq \lambda(L)\beta_i(k)$. For the other summand, one chooses a sufficiently generic hyperplane section (good for both A' and $\mathbf{R}$ if need be, in particular an element $\mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$) and setting $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{x})$ gives

$$\beta_i(A') = \beta_i^{\mathbf{R}'}(A'/\mathbf{x}A')).$$

Now by an induction on the dimension of A', one has

$$\beta_i^{\mathbf{R}'}(A'/\mathbf{x}A') \leq \beta_i^{\mathbf{R}'}(k)\mathrm{Deg}(A'/\mathbf{x}A').$$

Finally, since $\mathrm{Deg}(A'/\mathbf{x}A') \leq \mathrm{Deg}(A')$, we appeal to Theorem 1.5.8(b) (saying that $\beta_i^{\mathbf{R}'}(k) \leq \beta_i^{\mathbf{R}}(k)$) to prove the assertion.

One can use a similar argument for $\mu^i_{\mathbf{R}}(A)$. □

Degs and arithmetic degree.

THEOREM 1.5.10. *Let* $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ *be a Noetherian local ring and* Deg *an extended degree function on* $\mathbf{R}$*-modules. If* M *is a finitely generated* $\mathbf{R}$*-module then*

$$\text{arith-deg}(M) \leq \mathrm{Deg}(M).$$

PROOF. Since both functions are additive on exact sequences $0 \to \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \to M \to M' \to 0$ and have the same value on modules of finite support, we may assume that $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = 0$. Let h be a suitable hyperplane section, then

$$\text{arith-deg}(M) \leq \text{arith-deg}(M/hM) \leq \mathrm{Deg}(M/hM) \leq \mathrm{Deg}(M),$$

the first inequality by Theorem 1.3.9, the second by induction on the dimension, and the third by the Bertini property of extended degrees. □

1.5.4. Degs and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a standard graded algebra and M a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{R}$-module. Suppose $\alpha(M)$ is the maximum of the degrees of a minimal generating set of M. If M is a module of finite length, it is clear that

$$\mathrm{reg}(M) \leq \alpha(M) + \lambda(M) - 1.$$

We will prove an extension of this inequality where $\lambda(M)$ is replaced by $\mathrm{Deg}(M)$ ([**132**, Theorem 2.5]).

THEOREM 1.5.11. *Let* $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *be a ring of polynomials over the infinite field* k*, and let* M *be a finitely generated graded* $\mathbf{R}$*-module of positive dimension. Then we have for every sufficiently general linear form* h *and for any extended degree* Deg*,*

$$\mathrm{Deg}(M/hM) - \mathrm{reg}(M/hM) \leq \mathrm{Deg}(M) - \mathrm{reg}(M).$$

PROOF. By Proposition 1.4.9, we have

$$\mathrm{reg}(M) = \max\{\mathrm{reg}(0 :_M h), \mathrm{reg}(M/hM)\},$$

so that if $\mathrm{reg}(M) = \mathrm{reg}(M/hM)$ we can make use of Remark 1.5.5 asserting that $\mathrm{Deg}(M/hM) \leq \mathrm{Deg}(M)$ for all sufficiently generic $h's$.

We may thus assume $\operatorname{reg}(M/hM) < \operatorname{reg}(M)$. For any module A, denote $A^* = A/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(A)$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to H \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow N \to 0,$$

where $H = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$. Note that $\dim N > 0$. Since h is regular on N, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to H/hH \longrightarrow M/hM \longrightarrow N/hN \to 0.$$

Taking the local cohomology of this sequence we obtain the exact sequences

$$0 \to H/hH \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/hM) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(N/hN) \to 0.$$

and the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/hM) \simeq \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(N/hN), \quad i \geq 1,$$

$(M/hM)^* \simeq (N/hN)^*$. In particular we have that $\operatorname{reg}(N/hN) \leq \operatorname{reg}(M/hM)$.

We now process $\operatorname{Deg}(M/hM)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Deg}(M/hM) &= \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/hM) + \operatorname{Deg}((M/hM)^*) \\
&= \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/hM) + \operatorname{Deg}((N/hN)^*) \\
&\leq \sum_{j \leq \operatorname{reg}(M/hM)} \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)_j) + \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(N/hN)_j) + \operatorname{Deg}((N/hN)^*) \\
&= \sum_{j \leq \operatorname{reg}(M/hM)} \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)_j) + \operatorname{Deg}(N/hN) \\
&\leq \sum_{j \leq \operatorname{reg}(M/hM)} \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)_j) + \operatorname{Deg}(N).
\end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof it suffices to add to this expression the observation

$$\operatorname{reg}(M) - \operatorname{reg}(M/hM) \leq \sum_{j=\operatorname{reg}(M/hM)+1}^{\operatorname{reg}(M)} \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)_j).$$

Summing up we obtain the desired inequality. □

THEOREM 1.5.12. *Let* $\mathbf{A}$ *be a finitely generated graded* $\mathbf{R}$*-module, generated by elements of degree at most* $\alpha(\mathbf{A})$*. Then for any extended degree function* Deg*,*

$$\operatorname{reg}(\mathbf{A}) \leq \operatorname{Deg}(\mathbf{A}) + \alpha(\mathbf{A}).$$

1.5.5. The homological degree. To establish the existence of cohomological degrees in arbitrary dimensions, we describe in some detail one such function introduced in [**194**]. If a and b are integers, we set $\binom{a}{b} = 0$ if $a < b$, and $\binom{a}{b} = 1$ if $a = b$ and possibly negative.

DEFINITION 1.5.13. Let M be a finitely generated graded module over the graded algebra $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{S}$ a Gorenstein graded algebra mapping onto $\mathbf{A}$, with maximal graded ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Set $\dim \mathbf{S} = r$, $\dim M = d$. The *homological degree* of M is the integer

$$\text{(1.5.13.1)} \qquad \operatorname{hdeg}(M) = \deg(M) + \sum_{i=r-d+1}^{r} \binom{d-1}{i-r+d-1} \cdot \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(M,\mathbf{S})).$$

This expression becomes more compact when $\dim M = \dim \mathbf{S} = d > 0$:

$$\text{(1.5.13.2)} \qquad \operatorname{hdeg}(M) = \deg(M) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \binom{d-1}{i-1} \cdot \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(M,\mathbf{S})).$$

The definition of hdeg can be extended to any Noetherian local ring $\mathbf{S}$ by setting $\operatorname{hdeg}(M) = \operatorname{hdeg}(\widehat{\mathbf{S}} \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} M)$. On other occasions, we may also assume that the residue field of $\mathbf{S}$ is infinite, an assumption that can be realized by replacing $(\mathbf{S}, \mathfrak{m})$ by the local ring $\mathbf{S}[X]_{\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{S}[X]}$. In fact, if X is any set of indeterminates, the localization is still a Noetherian ring, so the residue field can be assumed to have any needed cardinality, as we shall assume in the proof.

REMARK 1.5.14. Consider the case when $\dim M = 2$; we assume that $\dim \mathbf{S} = 2$ also. The expression for $\operatorname{hdeg}(M)$ is now

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(M) = \deg(M) + \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{S}}(M,\mathbf{S})) + \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Ext}^2_{\mathbf{S}}(M,\mathbf{S})).$$

The last summand, by duality, is the length of the submodule $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$. The middle term is a module of dimension at most one, so can be described according to Proposition 1.5.4 by the equality

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{S}}(M,\mathbf{S})) = \deg(\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{S}}(\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{S}}(M,\mathbf{S}),\mathbf{S})) + \deg(\operatorname{Ext}^2_{\mathbf{S}}(\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{S}}(M,\mathbf{S}),\mathbf{S})).$$

There are alternative ways to define the hdeg of an $\mathbf{R}$-module M in a manner that does not require the direct presence of the Gorenstein ring $\mathbf{S}$. For simplicity we assume $\dim \mathbf{S} = \dim M$. If we take for $\mathbf{S}$ the completion of $\mathbf{R}$ and denote by $E = E_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{m})$ the injective envelope of its residue field. For each integer i define

$$M_i = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M), E).$$

Note that M_i is a finitely generated $\mathbf{S}$-module.

We leave to the reader to show that:

PROPOSITION 1.5.15. *For $\mathbf{R}$ and M as above,*

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(M) = \begin{cases} \lambda(M), & \text{if } \dim M = 0 \\ \deg(M) + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{i} \cdot \operatorname{hdeg}(M_i), & \text{if } \dim M > 0. \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 1.5.16. *The function* $\operatorname{hdeg}(\cdot)$ *is a cohomological degree.*

The proof requires a special notion of generic hyperplane sections that fits the concept of *genericity* defined earlier. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be a Gorenstein standard graded ring with infinite residue field and M a finitely generated graded module over $\mathbf{S}$. We recall that a *superficial* element of order r for M is an element $z \in S_r$ such that $0 :_M z$ is a submodule of M of finite length.

DEFINITION 1.5.17. A *special hyperplane section* of M is an element $h \in \mathbf{S}_1$ that is superficial for all the iterated Exts

$$M_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_p} = \operatorname{Ext}^{i_1}_{\mathbf{S}}(\operatorname{Ext}^{i_2}_{\mathbf{S}}(\cdots(\operatorname{Ext}^{i_{p-1}}_{\mathbf{S}}(\operatorname{Ext}^{i_p}_{\mathbf{S}}(M,\mathbf{S}),\mathbf{S}),\cdots,\mathbf{S}))),$$

and all sequences of integers $i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \cdots \geq i_p \geq 0$.

By local duality it follows that, up to shifts in grading, there are only finitely many such modules. Actually, it is enough to consider those sequences in which $i_1 \leq \dim S$ and $p \leq 2 \cdot \dim S$, which ensures the existence of such 1-forms as h. It is clear that this property holds for generic hyperplane sections.

The following result establishes $\operatorname{hdeg}(\cdot)$ as a *bona fide* cohomological degree.

THEOREM 1.5.18. *Let $\mathbf{S}$ be a standard Gorenstein graded algebra and M a finitely generated graded module of depth at least 1. If $h \in \mathbf{S}_1$ is a generic hyperplane section on M, then*

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(M) \geq \operatorname{hdeg}(M/hM).$$

PROOF. This will require several technical reductions. We assume that h is a regular, generic hyperplane section for M that is regular on $\mathbf{S}$. We also assume that $\dim M = \dim \mathbf{S} = d$, and derive several exact sequences from

$$0 \to M \xrightarrow{h} M \longrightarrow N \to 0. \tag{1.5.18.1}$$

□

For simplicity, we write $M_i = \operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(M,\mathbf{S})$, and $N_i = \operatorname{Ext}^{i+1}_{\mathbf{S}}(N,\mathbf{S})$ in the case of N. (The latter because N is a module of dimension $\dim \mathbf{S} - 1$ and $N_i = \operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}/(h)}(N, \mathbf{S}/(h))$.)

Using this notation, in view of the binomial coefficients in the definition of $\operatorname{hdeg}(\cdot)$, it will be enough to prove:

LEMMA 1.5.19. *For M and h as above,*

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(N_i) \quad \leq \quad \operatorname{hdeg}(M_i) + \operatorname{hdeg}(M_{i+1}), \text{ for } \ i \geq 1.$$

PROOF. The sequence (1.5.18.1) gives rise to the cohomology long sequence

$$0 \to M_0 \longrightarrow M_0 \longrightarrow N_0 \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow N_1 \longrightarrow M_2 \longrightarrow \cdots$$
$$\cdots \longrightarrow M_{d-2} \longrightarrow M_{d-2} \longrightarrow N_{d-2} \longrightarrow M_{d-1} \longrightarrow M_{d-1} \longrightarrow N_{d-1} \to 0,$$

which are broken up into shorter exact sequences as follows:

$$0 \to L_i \longrightarrow M_i \longrightarrow \widetilde{M_i} \to 0 \tag{1.5.19.1}$$

$$0 \to \widetilde{M_i} \longrightarrow M_i \longrightarrow G_i \to 0 \tag{1.5.19.2}$$

$$0 \to G_i \longrightarrow N_i \longrightarrow L_{i+1} \to 0. \tag{1.5.19.3}$$

We note that all L_i have finite length, because of the condition on h. For $i = 0$, we have the usual relation $\deg(M) = \deg(N)$. When $\widetilde{M_i}$ has finite length, then M_i, G_i and N_i have finite length, and

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{hdeg}(N_i) = \lambda(N_i) &= \lambda(G_i) + \lambda(L_{i+1}) \\ &\leq \operatorname{hdeg}(M_i) + \operatorname{hdeg}(M_{i+1}). \end{aligned}$$

□

It is a similar relation that we want to establish for all other cases.

PROPOSITION 1.5.20. *Let* $\mathbf{S}$ *be a Gorenstein graded algebra and let*

$$0 \to A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \to 0$$

be an exact sequence of graded modules. Then

(a) *If* A *is a module of finite length, then*

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(B) = \operatorname{hdeg}(A) + \operatorname{hdeg}(C).$$

(b) *If* C *is a module of finite length, then*

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(B) \leq \operatorname{hdeg}(A) + \operatorname{hdeg}(C).$$

(c) *Moreover, in the previous case, if* $\dim B = d$*, then*

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(A) \leq \operatorname{hdeg}(B) + (d-1)\operatorname{hdeg}(C).$$

(d) *If* C *is a module of finite length and* $\operatorname{depth} B \geq 2$*, then*

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(A) = \operatorname{hdeg}(B) + \operatorname{hdeg}(C).$$

PROOF. They are all clear if B is a module of finite length so we assume that $\dim B = d \geq 1$.

(a) This is immediate since $\deg(B) = \deg(C)$ and the cohomology sequence gives

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(B, \mathbf{S}) &= \operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(C, \mathbf{S}),\ 1 \leq i \leq d-1, \text{ and} \\ \lambda(\operatorname{Ext}^d_{\mathbf{S}}(B, \mathbf{S})) &= \lambda(\operatorname{Ext}^d_{\mathbf{S}}(A, \mathbf{S})) + \lambda(\operatorname{Ext}^d_{\mathbf{S}}(C, \mathbf{S})). \end{aligned}$$

(b) Similarly we have

$$\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(B, \mathbf{S}) = \operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(A, \mathbf{S}),\ 1 \leq i < d-1,$$

and the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to \operatorname{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(B, \mathbf{S}) \to \operatorname{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(A, \mathbf{S}) \to \operatorname{Ext}^d_{\mathbf{S}}(C, \mathbf{S}) \\ \to \operatorname{Ext}^d_{\mathbf{S}}(B, \mathbf{S}) \to \operatorname{Ext}^d_{\mathbf{S}}(A, \mathbf{S}) \to 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5.20.1}$$

If $\operatorname{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(A, \mathbf{S})$ has finite length, then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(B, \mathbf{S})) &\leq \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(A, \mathbf{S})) \\ \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Ext}^d_{\mathbf{S}}(B, \mathbf{S})) &\leq \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Ext}^d_{\mathbf{S}}(A, \mathbf{S})) + \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Ext}^d_{\mathbf{S}}(C, \mathbf{S})). \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise, $\dim \operatorname{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(A, \mathbf{S}) = 1$, and

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(A, \mathbf{S})) = \deg(\operatorname{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(A, \mathbf{S})) + \lambda(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(\operatorname{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(A, \mathbf{S}))).$$

Since we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\mathrm{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(B,\mathbf{S})) &= \deg(\mathrm{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(A,\mathbf{S})), \\ \lambda(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(B,\mathbf{S}))) &\leq \lambda(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(A,\mathbf{S}))), \end{aligned}$$

we again obtain the stated bound.

(c) In the sequence (1.5.20.1), if $\dim \mathrm{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(B,\mathbf{S}) = 0$, then

$$\lambda(\mathrm{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(A,\mathbf{S})) \leq \lambda(\mathrm{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(B,\mathbf{S})) + \lambda(C) \tag{1.5.20.2}$$

and also

$$\lambda(\mathrm{Ext}^{d}_{\mathbf{S}}(A,\mathbf{S})) \leq \lambda(\mathrm{Ext}^{d}_{\mathbf{S}}(B,\mathbf{S})).$$

When taken into the formula for $\mathrm{hdeg}(A)$, the binomial coefficient $\binom{d-1}{d-2}$ gives the desired factor for $\lambda(C)$.

On the other hand, if $\dim \mathrm{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(B,\mathbf{S}) = 1$, we also have

$$\mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(A,\mathbf{S})) \leq \mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(B,\mathbf{S})) + \lambda(C),$$

the dimension one case of (1.5.20.2).

(d) This follows by applying the definition of hdeg to the exact sequence. □

Suppose that $\dim \widetilde{M_i} \geq 1$. From Proposition 1.5.20(b) we have

$$\mathrm{hdeg}(N_i) \leq \mathrm{hdeg}(G_i) + \lambda(L_{i+1}). \tag{1.5.20.3}$$

We must now relate $\mathrm{hdeg}(G_i)$ to $\deg(M_i)$. Apply the functor $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(\cdot)$ to the sequence (1.5.19.2) and consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \to & \widetilde{M_i} & \longrightarrow & M_i & \longrightarrow & G_i & \to & 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ 0 & \to & \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(\widetilde{M_i}) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_i) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(G_i) & & \end{array},$$

in which we denote by H_i the image of the natural map

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_i) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(G_i).$$

Through the snake lemma, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to \widetilde{M_i}/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(\widetilde{M_i}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} M_i/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_i) \longrightarrow G_i/H_i \to 0. \tag{1.5.20.4}$$

Furthermore, from (1.5.19.1) there is a natural isomorphism

$$\beta : M_i/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_i) \cong \widetilde{M_i}/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(\widetilde{M_i}),$$

while from (1.5.19.2) there is a natural injection

$$\widetilde{M_i}/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(\widetilde{M_i}) \hookrightarrow M_i/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_i),$$

whose composite with β is induced by multiplication by h on $M_i/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_i)$. We may thus replace $\widetilde{M_i}/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(\widetilde{M_i})$ by $M_i/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_i)$ in (1.5.20.4) and take α as multiplication by h:

$$0 \to M_i/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_i) \xrightarrow{h} M_i/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_i) \longrightarrow G_i/H_i \to 0.$$

Observe that since

$$\operatorname{Ext}^j_{\mathbf{S}}(M_i/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_i),\mathbf{S}) = \operatorname{Ext}^j_{\mathbf{S}}(M_i,\mathbf{S}),\ j < \dim S,$$

h is still a regular, generic hyperplane section for $M_i/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_i)$. By induction on the dimension of the module, we have

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(M_i/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_i)) \geq \operatorname{hdeg}(G_i/H_i).$$

Now from Proposition 1.5.20(a), we have

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(G_i) = \operatorname{hdeg}(G_i/H_i) + \lambda(H_i).$$

Since these summands are bounded, by $\operatorname{hdeg}(M_i/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_i))$ and $\lambda(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_i))$ respectively, (in fact, $\lambda(H_i) = \lambda(L_i)$), we have

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(G_i) \leq \operatorname{hdeg}(M_i/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_i)) + \lambda(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_i)) = \operatorname{hdeg}(M_i),$$

the last equality by Proposition 1.5.20(a) again. Finally, taking this estimate into (1.5.20.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{hdeg}(N_i) &\leq \operatorname{hdeg}(G_i) + \lambda(L_{i+1}) \\ &\leq \operatorname{hdeg}(M_i) + \operatorname{hdeg}(M_{i+1}), \end{aligned} \tag{1.5.20.5}$$

to establish the claim.

Remark 1.5.21. That equality does not always hold is shown by the following example. Suppose that $\mathbf{R} = k[x,y]$ and $M = (x,y)^2$. Then $\operatorname{hdeg}(M) = 4$, but $\operatorname{hdeg}(M/hM) = 3$ for any hyperplane section h. To get an example of a ring one takes the idealization of M.

Remark 1.5.22. It should be emphasized that the *weight* binomial coefficients in the definition of hdeg were chosen to enable the Bertini property at the expense of its behavior on other short exact sequences. Suppose $\mathbf{R} = k[x,y,z]$, and $M = R \oplus R/(x,y)$. Then

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(M) = \deg(\mathbf{R}) + \binom{3-1}{2-1} \deg(\mathbf{R}/(x,y)) = 1 + 2 = 3.$$

Example 1.5.23. This example shows how the function hdeg captures important aspects of the structure of the module. We recall the notion of a *sequentially* Cohen-Macaulay module. This is a module M having a filtration

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_r = M,$$

with the property that each factor M_i/M_{i-1} is Cohen-Macaulay and

$$\dim M_i/M_{i-1} < \dim M_{i+1}/M_i,\ i = 1,\ldots,r-1.$$

If L is the leftmost nonzero submodule in such a chain, it follows easily that $N = M/L$ is also sequentially Cohen-Macaulay (L is Cohen-Macaulay by hypothesis) and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ext}^p_{\mathbf{S}}(M,\mathbf{S}) &= \operatorname{Ext}^p_{\mathbf{S}}(L,\mathbf{S}),\ p = \dim L \\ \operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(M,\mathbf{S}) &= \operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(N,\mathbf{S}),\ i < p \end{aligned}$$

with the other Ext's vanishing. This gives the expression

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{hdeg}(M) &= \deg(M) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \binom{d-1}{i-1} \cdot \deg(\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S})) \\ &= \deg(M) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \binom{d-1}{i-1} \cdot \deg(\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S})\end{aligned}$$

in multiplicities of all the Ext modules. The multiplicity $\deg(\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S}))$ can be written as the ordinary multiplicity of one of the factors of the filtration.

Generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules. The expression for the function $\operatorname{hdeg}(\cdot)$ arrives in known territory if M is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay module.

DEFINITION 1.5.24. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and M a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. M is a* generalized Cohen-Macaulay module *if $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$.*

A typical characterization is:

THEOREM 1.5.25. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and M a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. M is a* generalized Cohen-Macaulay module *if and only if the modules $\operatorname{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ are finitely generated for all $i < \dim M$.*

For these modules the expression $\operatorname{hdeg}(M)$, by local duality, converts into:

PROPOSITION 1.5.26. *If M is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay module of dimension d, then*

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(M) = \deg(M) + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{i} \cdot \lambda(\operatorname{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)).$$

Buchsbaum modules. This is an important class of rings introduced by Stückrad and Vogel. They are studied in great detail in [**179**].

DEFINITION 1.5.27. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and M a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. M is a* Buchsbaum module *if the partial Euler characteristic $\chi_1(\mathbf{x}; M)$ is independent of the system of parameters $\mathbf{x}$ for M. In other words, $\lambda(M/(\mathbf{x})M) - \deg_{\mathbf{x}}(M)$ is independent of $\mathbf{x}$. This integer is called the* Buchsbaum invariant *of M.*

Two of its properties are:

THEOREM 1.5.28. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and M a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. Then*

(a) *M is a Buchsbaum module if and only if every system of parameters for M is a d-sequence relative to M.*
(b) *If M is a Buchsbaum module then $\operatorname{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ are $\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}$-vector spaces for $i < \dim M$. (In particular they are generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules.) The converse does not hold true.*

COROLLARY 1.5.29. *If M is a Buchsbaum module, then*

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(M) = \deg(M) + I(M),$$

where $I(M)$ is the Buchsbaum invariant of M.

An effective test for the property is given by the following theorem of K. Yamagishi ([**208**]).[1]

THEOREM 1.5.30. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a regular local ring of dimension n. A finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module M is a Buchsbaum module if and only if its Bass numbers are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}, M)) &= \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{n}{n-j} \lambda(\mathrm{H}^j_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{n}{i-j} \lambda(\operatorname{Ext}^{n-j}_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R}))\end{aligned}$$

for all $i < \dim M$.

REMARK 1.5.31. Note that this requires that $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R})$, for $i > 0$, be a module of finite support. In the case of Buchsbaum modules, it suffices to check that $\mathfrak{m} \cdot \operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(M, R) = 0$, $i > 0$.

A related theme is how to decide whether an $\mathbf{R}$-module M is Cohen-Macaulay on the punctured spectrum. If $\mathbf{R}$ is a regular local ring and the annihilator of M is equidimensional a criterion can be cast as follows. Suppose $\operatorname{codim} M = r$ and

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_{r+1} \xrightarrow{\varphi} F_r \longrightarrow \cdots$$

is a projective resolution of M. For $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$, $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $\operatorname{proj\,dim}_{\mathbf{R}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} = r$, a condition equivalent to saying that the image of $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ splits off $(F_r)_{\mathfrak{p}}$. In other words that the ideal $I(\varphi)$ of maximal minors of φ is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary.

It would be less cumbersome to find a more amenable module and make use of the rigidity of Tor since $\mathbf{R}$ is a regular local ring. Let us sketch this with a non amenable module! The following is an equivalence:

$$M \text{ is free on the punctured spectrum} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{r+1}(M, M) \text{ has finite support.}$$

1.5.6. Homological torsion. There are other combinatorial expressions of the terms $\operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S}))$ that behave well under hyperplane section. It will turn out to be useful in certain characterizations of generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules and in the study of Hilbert coefficients.

DEFINITION 1.5.32. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be a ring of dimension r and M an $\mathbf{S}$-module of dimension $d \geq 2$. Its *homological torsion* is the integer

$$\mathbf{T}(M) = \sum_{i=r-d+1}^{r-1} \binom{r-2}{i-d+r-1} \cdot \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S})).$$

[1] We thank T. Kawasaki for the reference.

If I is an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary, the integer obtained using hdeg_I will be denoted $\mathbf{T}_I(M)$.

If $r = d$, this formula becomes

$$\mathbf{T}(M) = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \binom{d-2}{i-1} \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S})).$$

If $d = 2$, $\mathbf{T}(M) = \mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S})) = \deg(\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S}))+\deg(\mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S}), \mathbf{S}))$.

The restriction to $d \geq 2$ explanation: $\lambda(M)$ if $d = 0$, or $\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M))$ if $d = 1$ are quantities we liken to the ordinary torsion.

EXAMPLE 1.5.33. If M is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay module of dimension $d \geq 2$,

$$\mathbf{T}_I(M) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \binom{d-2}{i-1} \lambda(\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)),$$

with equality if M is Buchsbaum.

THEOREM 1.5.34. *Let M be a module of dimension $d \geq 3$ and let h be a generic hyperplane section. Then $\mathbf{T}(M/hM) \leq \mathbf{T}(M)$.*

PROOF. We use induction on d. If $d \geq 3$, using the notation of Lemma 1.5.19, in particular setting $N = M/hM$, we have

$$\mathbf{T}(N) = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \binom{d-2}{i-1} \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(N, \mathbf{S})).$$

By Lemma 1.5.19,

$$\mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(N, \mathbf{S})) \leq \mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S})) + \mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}_{\mathbf{S}}(N, \mathbf{S})),$$

which by rearranging gives $\mathbf{T}(N) \leq \mathbf{T}(M)$, as desired. □

Higher order *homological torsion* functions can be similarly defined. For this reason it is appropriate to write $\mathbf{T}^{(1)}(M) := \mathbf{T}(M)$.

DEFINITION 1.5.35. Let M be a $\mathbf{S}$-module of dimension $d \geq 3$. Its *second order homological torsion* is the integer

$$\mathbf{T}^{(2)}(M) = \sum_{i=1}^{d-2} \binom{d-3}{i-1} \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S})).$$

If I is an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary, the integer obtained using hdeg_I will be denoted $\mathbf{T}^{(2)}_I(M)$.

More generally, let M be a module of dimension d. For each $j \leq d$, set

$$\mathbf{T}^{(j)}(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-j} \binom{d-j-1}{i-1} \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S})).$$

THEOREM 1.5.36. *Let M be a module of dimension $d \geq 4$ and let h be a generic hyperplane section. Then $\mathbf{T}^{(2)}(M/hM) \leq \mathbf{T}^{(2)}(M)$. A similar assertion holds for all $\mathbf{T}^{(j)}$.*

COROLLARY 1.5.37. *Let M be a module of dimension $r = d + 1 \geq 3$. Then*

$$\mathrm{hdeg}(M) > \mathbf{T}^{(1)}(M) \geq \mathbf{T}^{(2)}(M) \geq \cdots \geq \mathbf{T}^{(d)}(M).$$

REMARK 1.5.38. There are a number of rigidity questions about the values of the Hilbert coefficients $\mathrm{e}_i(I)$. Typically they have the form

$$|e_1(I)| \geq |e_2(I)| \geq \cdots \geq |e_d(I)|.$$

Two of these cases are (i) parameter ideals and (ii) normal ideals, or more generally the case of the normalized filtration. Because values of $\mathbf{T}_I^{(i)}(\mathbf{R})$ have been used, in a few cases, to bound the $\mathrm{e}_i(I)$, the descending chain in Corollary 1.5.37 argue for an underlying rigidity. This runs counter to the known formulas for the values of the $\mathrm{e}_i(I)$ for general ideals (e.g. ([**157**, Theorem 4.1])).

Generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules. These modules can be characterized in terms of their homological torsions.

PROPOSITION 1.5.39. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring of dimension $d \geq 2$ and M a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. Then M is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay module if and only if $T_I(M)$ is bounded for every $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I. More precisely, if $I = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ is a parameter ideal, and $I_n = (x_1^n, \ldots, x_d^n)$, it suffices that all $T_{I_n}(M)$ be bounded.*

PROOF. If $d = 2$, $T(M) = \mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R}))$. This is a module of dimension at most one. If the dimension is 1, $\deg_{I_n}(\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R})$ is a polynomial of degree 1 for large n.

If $d \geq 3$, let h be a generic hyperplane section. It will suffice to show that M/hM is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay module. For that we can use Theorem 1.5.34 and induction. □

Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity and homological degree. The following result of Chardin, Ha and Hoa ([**23**] provides a polynomial bound for the homological degree in terms of the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity. (For $d = 2$, a similar bound had been found by Gunston in his thesis ([**72**]).)

THEOREM 1.5.40. *Let M be a non-zero finitely generated graded $\mathbf{R}$-module of dimension $d > 0$. Denote by n the minimal number of generators of M and by $\alpha(M)$ the maximal degree of the a minimal set of homogeneous generators. Then*

$$\mathrm{hdeg}(M) \leq \left[n \binom{\mathrm{reg}(M) - \alpha(M) + n}{n} \right]^{2^{(d-1)^2}}. \tag{1.5.40.1}$$

Specialization and torsion. One of the uses of extended degrees is the following. Let M be a module and $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ be a superficial sequence for the module M relative to an extended degree Deg. How to estimate the length of $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ in terms of the initial data of M?

Let us consider the case of $r = 1$. Let $H = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ and write

$$0 \to H \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M' \to 0. \tag{1.5.40.2}$$

Reduction modulo x_1 gives the exact sequence

$$0 \to H/x_1H \longrightarrow M/x_1M \longrightarrow M'/x_1M' \to 0. \tag{1.5.40.3}$$

From the first sequence we have $\mathrm{Deg}(M) = \mathrm{Deg}(H) + \mathrm{Deg}(M')$, and from the second

$$\mathrm{Deg}(M/x_1M) - \mathrm{Deg}(H/x_1H) = \mathrm{Deg}(M'/x_1M') \leq \mathrm{Deg}(M').$$

Taking local cohomology of the second exact sequence yields the short exact sequence

$$0 \to H/x_1H \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/x_1M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M'/x_1M') \to 0,$$

from we have the estimation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Deg}(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/x_1M)) &= \mathrm{Deg}(H/x_1H) + \mathrm{Deg}(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M'/x_1M')) \\ &\leq \mathrm{Deg}(H/x_1H) + \mathrm{Deg}(M'/x_1M') \\ &\leq \mathrm{Deg}(H) + \mathrm{Deg}(M') = \mathrm{Deg}(M). \end{aligned}$$

We resume these observations as:

PROPOSITION 1.5.41. *Let M be a module and let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ be a superficial sequence relative to M and* Deg. *Then*

$$\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/(x_1, \ldots, x_r)M)) \leq \mathrm{Deg}(M).$$

Now we derive a more precise formula using hdeg. It will be of use later.

THEOREM 1.5.42. *Let M be a module of dimension $d \geq 2$ and let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}\}$ be a superficial sequence for M and* hdeg. *Then*

$$\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/(\mathbf{x})M)) \leq \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) + \mathbf{T}(M).$$

PROOF. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to H = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M' \to 0.$$

We have $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S}) = \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{S}}(M', \mathbf{S})$ for $d > i \geq 0$, and therefore $T(M) = T(M')$. On the other hand, reduction mod $\mathbf{x}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/(\mathbf{x})M)) &\leq \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M'/(\mathbf{x})M')) + \lambda(H/(\mathbf{x})H) \\ &\leq \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M'/(\mathbf{x})M')) + \lambda(H), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that it is enough to prove the assertion for M'.

If $d > 2$, we apply Theorem 1.5.34, to pass to M'/x_1M'. This reduces all the way to the case $d = 2$. Let M be a module of positive depth. Write $h = \mathbf{x}$. The assertion requires that $\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/hM)) \leq \mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S}))$. We have the cohomology exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S}) \xrightarrow{h} \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathbf{S}}(M/hM, \mathbf{S}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \mathbf{S}) = 0,$$

where

$$\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/hM)) = \mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathbf{S}}(M/hM, \mathbf{S})).$$

If $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{S}}(M,\mathbf{S})$ has finite length the assertion is clear. Otherwise $L=\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{S}}(M,\mathbf{S})$ is a module of dimension 1 over a discrete valuation domain V with h for its parameter. By the fundamental theorem for such modules,

$$V=V^r\oplus(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{s}V/h^{e_j}V),$$

so that multiplication by h gives

$$\lambda(L/hL)=r+s\leq r+\sum_{j=1}^{s}e_j=\operatorname{hdeg}(L).$$

An alternative argument at this point is to consider the exact sequence (we may assume $\dim\mathbf{S}=1$)

$$0\to L_0\longrightarrow L\xrightarrow{h}L\longrightarrow L/hL\to 0,$$

where both L_0 and L/hL have finite length. If F denotes the image of the multiplication by h on L, we have the exact sequences $0\to L_0\longrightarrow L\longrightarrow F\to 0$ and $0\to F\longrightarrow L\longrightarrow L/hL\to 0$. Dualizing we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S}}(L,\mathbf{S})=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S}}(F,\mathbf{S})$ and the exact sequence

$$0\to\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S}}(L,\mathbf{S})\xrightarrow{h}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S}}(L,\mathbf{S})\longrightarrow\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{S}}(L/hL,\mathbf{S})\longrightarrow\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{S}}(F,\mathbf{S}),$$

which shows that

$$\lambda(L/hL)\leq\deg(L)+\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(F))\leq\deg(L)+\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(L))=\operatorname{hdeg}(L),$$

as desired. □

1.5.7. Cohomological degrees and Samuel multiplicities. There are variations of cohomological degree functions obtained by using Samuel's notion of multiplicity.

Definition 1.5.43. Let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and I is an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. We denote by $\operatorname{hdeg}_I(\cdot)$ the function obtained by replacing, in the definition of $\operatorname{hdeg}(\cdot)$, $\deg(M)$ by Samuel's $e(I;M)$.

Let us make a rough comparison between $\operatorname{hdeg}(M)$ and $\operatorname{hdeg}_I(M)$.

Proposition 1.5.44. *Let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. Suppose $\mathfrak{m}^r\subset I$. If M is an $\mathbf{R}$-module of dimension d, then*

$$\operatorname{hdeg}_I(M)\leq r^d\cdot\deg(M)+r^{d-1}\cdot(\operatorname{hdeg}(M)-\deg(M)).$$

Proof. If r is the index of nilpotency of $\mathbf{R}/I$, for any $\mathbf{R}$-module L of dimension s,

$$\lambda(L/(\mathfrak{m}^r)^nL)\geq\lambda(L/I^nL).$$

The Hilbert polynomial of L gives

$$\lambda(L/(\mathfrak{m}^r)^nL)=\deg(M)\frac{r^s}{s!}n^s+\text{lower terms}.$$

We now apply this estimate to the definition of $\operatorname{hdeg}(M)$, taking into account that its terms are evaluated at modules of decreasing dimension. □

For later reference we rephrase Proposition 1.5.41 for use with Samuel's multiplicities.

THEOREM 1.5.45. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal, and let M be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module of dimension $d \geq 1$. Let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ be a superficial sequence in I relative to M and* hdeg_I. *Then*

$$\mathrm{hdeg}_I(M/(\mathbf{x})M) \leq \mathrm{hdeg}_I(M).$$

Moreover, if $r < d$ then

$$\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0(M/(\mathbf{x})M)) \leq \mathrm{hdeg}_I(M) - e(I; M).$$

COROLLARY 1.5.46. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d > 0$ and infinite residue field. For an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary I there is a minimal reduction J such that*

$$\lambda(\mathbf{R}/J) \leq \mathrm{hdeg}_I(\mathbf{R}).$$

In particular, the set of all $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/J)$ for all parameter ideals with the same integral closure is bounded.

1.5.8. The extreme cohomological degree: bdeg. In his thesis ([**72**]), Tor Gunston introduced the following cohomological degree:

DEFINITION 1.5.47. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian local ring with infinite residue field or a standard graded algebra over an infinite field. For a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module (graded if required) M, $\mathrm{bdeg}(M)$ is the integer

$$\mathrm{bdeg}(M) = \min\{\mathrm{Deg}(M) \mid \mathrm{Deg} \text{ is a cohomological degree function}\}.$$

This function is well-defined since cohomological degrees exist (e.g. hdeg). It is obviously a cohomological degree itself. Gunston proved that [**72**, Theorem 3.1.3]):

THEOREM 1.5.48 (Gunston). *Suppose that M is a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module of positive depth. Then for a generic hyperplane section h,*

$$\mathrm{bdeg}(M) = \mathrm{bdeg}(M/hM).$$

COROLLARY 1.5.49. *If M is a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module of dimension d there are there is a generic superficial sequence $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$ for M such that*

$$\mathrm{bdeg}(M) \leq \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/(x_1, \ldots, x_i)M)) \leq (d+1)\mathrm{hdeg}(M) - d\,\mathrm{deg}(M).$$

PROOF. The first inequality is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.5.48, the second from Theorem 1.5.45. □

Rules of computation. One of the difficulties of computing cohomological degrees lies on their behavior on short exact sequences. The degree bdeg has slightly more amenable properties, according to the following rules.

PROPOSITION 1.5.50. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a standard graded algebra and* A *a finitely generated graded* $\mathbf{R}$*-module with Hilbert function* $H_A(\mathbf{t})$*. Then*

$$\operatorname{bdeg}(A) \leq \sum_{j=\alpha(A)}^{\operatorname{reg}(A)} H_A(j).$$

PROOF. Set $L = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(A)$ and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to L \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A' = A/L \to 0.$$

We may assume $\dim A \geq 1$. Let h be a generic hyperplane section. The proof follows by induction from the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{reg}(A') &= \operatorname{reg}(A'/hA'),\\
\alpha(A) &\geq \alpha(A'/hA'),\\
H_A(j) &= H_L(j) + H_{A'}(j) \geq H_L(j) + H_{A'/hA'}(j),\\
\operatorname{bdeg}(A) &= \lambda(L) + \operatorname{bdeg}(A') = \lambda(L) + \operatorname{bdeg}(A'/hA')\\
\operatorname{reg}(A) &= \max\{\operatorname{reg}(L), \operatorname{reg}(A')\} = \max\{\operatorname{reg}(L), \operatorname{reg}(A'/hA')\}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

PROPOSITION 1.5.51. ([**72**, Proposition 3.2.2]) *Suppose* A, B, C *are finitely generated* $\mathbf{R}$*-modules and*

$$0 \to A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \to 0$$

is an exact sequence. If $\lambda(C) < \infty$*, then*

$$\operatorname{bdeg}(B) \leq \operatorname{bdeg}(A) + \lambda(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(B)) - \lambda(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(A)).$$

In particular, we have

$$\operatorname{bdeg}(B) \leq \operatorname{bdeg}(A) + \lambda(C), \quad \textit{and if } \operatorname{depth} B > 0, \textit{ then } \operatorname{bdeg}(B) \leq \operatorname{bdeg}(A).$$

A calculation in [**33**, Proposition 3.2] then leads to:

PROPOSITION 1.5.52. *Suppose* A, B, C *are finitely generated* $\mathbf{R}$*-modules and*

$$0 \to A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \to 0$$

is an exact sequence. Then

(a) $\operatorname{bdeg}(B) \leq \operatorname{bdeg}(A) + \operatorname{bdeg}(C)$*;*
(b) $\operatorname{bdeg}(A) \leq \operatorname{bdeg}(B) + (\dim A - 1)\operatorname{bdeg}(C)$.

PROOF. We prove only (1). The case where A has finite length is a consequence of bdeg being an extended degree. For the other cases, one make use of indiction on the dimension, repeated use of snake lemma and the following special case. □

LEMMA 1.5.53. *Suppose A, B, C are finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-modules and*

$$0 \to A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \to 0$$

is an exact sequence. If C has finite length then

$$\mathrm{bdeg}(B) \leq \mathrm{bdeg}(A) + \mathrm{bdeg}(C).$$

PROOF. For a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module, denote by A_0 its submodule of finite support; set $A' = A/A_0$. If $A = A_0$, the assertion follows from the additivity of the length function. Clearly we may replace A by A/A_0 and B by B/A_0; thus changing notation we may assume that A has positive depth. If follows that B_0 embeds in C. We may now replace B by B/B_0 and C by C/B_0.

Changing notation again, we may assume that both A and B have positive depth. Let h be an appropriate hyperplane section for A and B, that is $\mathrm{bdeg}(A) = \mathrm{bdeg}(A/hA)$ and $\mathrm{bdeg}(B) = \mathrm{bdeg}(B/hB)$. Reduction mod h gives the exact sequence

$$0 \to E \longrightarrow A/hA \longrightarrow B/hB \longrightarrow C/hC \to 0,$$

and thus by induction (E has finite length and $\mathrm{bdeg}(E) = \mathrm{bdeg}(C/hC)$),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{bdeg}(B) = \mathrm{bdeg}(B/hB) &\leq \mathrm{bdeg}((A/hA)/E) + \mathrm{bdeg}(C/hC) \\ &\leq \mathrm{bdeg}(A) + \mathrm{bdeg}(C), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

We continue with the proof of Proposition 1.5.52. If C has positive depth, $A_0 = B_0$ and as in the Lemma we replace A and B by A/A_0 and B/B_0, respectively. Picking an appropriate hyperplane section t, reduction gives an exact sequence with the same bdegs but in lower dimension. Consider the diagram



Since C/C_0 has positive depth (or vanishes),

$$\mathrm{bdeg}(B) \leq \mathrm{hdeg}(A_1) + \mathrm{bdeg}(C/C_0) = \mathrm{hdeg}(A_1) + \mathrm{bdeg}(C) - \mathrm{bdeg}(C_0),$$

while the Lemma gives

$$\operatorname{bdeg}(A_1) \leq \operatorname{bdeg}(A) + \operatorname{bdeg}(C_0),$$

which proves the assertion. □

Unfortunately the delicate task of bounding $\operatorname{bdeg}(C)$ in terms of $\operatorname{bdeg}(B)$ and $\operatorname{bdeg}(C)$ is not possible: Just consider a module with a free resolution

$$0 \to F \xrightarrow{\varphi} F \longrightarrow C \to 0.$$

Then $\operatorname{hdeg}(C) = \deg(\mathbf{R}/(\det(\varphi)))$.

EXAMPLE 1.5.54. Let G be a graph on a vertex V set indexed by the set of variables and edge set E and set $I = I(G)$ its edge ideal.

(a) Let I be monomial ideal defined by the complete graph K_n: $I = (x_i x_j, i < j)$. Consider the exact sequence (a so-called *deconstruction sequence* in the terminoloy of R. Villarreal)

$$0 \to \mathbf{R}/I : x_n \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/I \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/(I, x_n) \to 0.$$

$I : x_n = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$ and $\mathbf{R}/(x_n, I) = \mathbf{R}'/I'$, where $\mathbf{R}' = k[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$ and I' is the ideal corresponding to the graph K_{n-1}. Using (1.5.52) and induction we get

$$\operatorname{bdeg}(\mathbf{R}/I) = \deg(\mathbf{R}/I) = n, \quad \operatorname{bdeg}(I) \leq 1 + n(n-1).$$

(b) For a graph with a minimal vertex cover $\{x_1, \ldots, x_c\}$–in other words $(x_1, \ldots, x_c)$ is a minimal prime of I of maximal dimension–one has to repeat the deconstruction step above $c-1$ times: From

$$I = x_1 I_1 + x_2 I_2 + \cdots + x_c I_c,$$
$$0 \to \mathbf{R}/J_1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/I \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/(I, x_1) \to 0$$

that is

$$0 \to \mathbf{R}/J_1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/I \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/(I, x_1) \to 0$$

$J_1 = (I_1 + x_2 I_2 + \cdots + x_c I_c)$.

$$\operatorname{bdeg}(\mathbf{R}/I) \leq c+1, \quad \operatorname{bdeg}(I) \leq 1 + (n-1)(c+1).$$

PROPOSITION 1.5.55. *Let I be a monomial ideal of $\mathbf{R}$ generated by the set of monomials $\{m_1, \ldots, m_r\}$ of degree $\leq d$. Then*

$$\operatorname{bdeg}(\mathbf{R}/I) \leq \binom{n+d-1}{d}.$$

PROOF. Denote $f(p, q)$ a bound for $\operatorname{bdeg}(\mathbf{R}/I)$ valid for ideals generated by monomials of degree $p < d$ or in $p < n$ variables. The reconstruction sequence and Proposition 1.5.52 assert that we can take

$$f(d, n) = \binom{n+d-1}{d}.$$

As the case of graph ideals indicate this often overstates the value of $\operatorname{bdeg}(\mathbf{R}/I)$. We use induction on the number r of monomials. If x_n is a variable present in one of the

monomials m_i, say $m_i = x_n m_i'$, $i \leq s$ and $m_i \notin (x_n)$ for $i > s$, $I : x_n = (m_1', \ldots, m_s')$, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{R}/I : x_n = \mathbf{R}/(m_1', \ldots, m_s') \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/I \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/(I, x_n) = \mathbf{R}'/(m_{s+1}, \ldots, m_r) \to 0.$$

□

This gives, using Theorem 1.5.9, the following uniform bound for the Betti numbers of monomial ideals.

COROLLARY 1.5.56. *Let $I = (m_1, \ldots, m_r)$ be a monomial ideal of $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, $\deg m_{\leq} d$. Then the Betti numbers of $\mathbf{R}/I$ are bounded by*

$$\beta_i(\mathbf{R}/I) \leq \operatorname{bdeg}(\mathbf{R}/I) \cdot \binom{n}{i} \leq \binom{n+d-1}{d} \cdot \binom{n}{i}.$$

A more interesting calculation is:

THEOREM 1.5.57. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Buchsbaum local ring with infinite residue field and $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$ be a system of parameters. Then*

$$\operatorname{bdeg}_{(\mathbf{x})}(\mathbf{R}) = \lambda(\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{x})).$$

PROOF. We use induction with basic properties of d-sequences (Proposition 3.3.3):

(a) Set $I = (\mathbf{x})$. Since $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}) = 0 : x_1$, consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to (0 : x_1) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}' \to 0.$$

From (3.3.3) and basic properties of Buchsbaum rings (see [**179**]), we have that $(0 : x_1) \cap I = 0$ and $\mathbf{R}'$ is a Buchsbaum ring of positive depth.

(b) In the equality $\operatorname{bdeg}(\mathbf{R}) = \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})) + \operatorname{bdeg}(\mathbf{R}')$, we make use of another property $\operatorname{bdeg}(\cdot)$: There exists a generic element $x \in I' = I\mathbf{R}'$ such that

$$\operatorname{bdeg}(\mathbf{R}') = \operatorname{bdeg}(\mathbf{R}'/(x)).$$

(c) If $d > 1$, $\mathbf{R}'$ is a Buchsbaum ring of dimension $d - 1$. Thus by induction $\operatorname{bdeg}(\mathbf{R}'/(x)) = \lambda(\mathbf{R}'/I')$.

(d) Let us return to the exact sequence above (and the case $d = 1$). Tensoring it by $\mathbf{R}/I$, we get the complex

$$(0 : x_1)/I(0 : x_1) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/I \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}'/I' \to 0.$$

Since $(0 : x_1) \cap I$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to (0 : x_1) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/I \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}'/I' \to 0,$$

which gives the formula $\operatorname{bdeg}_I(\mathbf{R}) = \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I)$. □

COROLLARY 1.5.58. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Buchsbaum local ring. For any system of parameters $\mathbf{x} = \{x, \ldots, x_d\}$, $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{x}))$ depends only on the integral closure of $(\mathbf{x})$.*

The following follows from a general property of Deg:

COROLLARY 1.5.59. *Let* $\mathbf{S}$ *be a regular local ring of dimension* n *and* $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{S}/L$ *a Buchsbaum ring of dimension* d*. If* $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$ *is a system of parameters for* $\mathbf{R}$*, then the Betti numbers of* $\mathbf{R}$ *are bounded by*

$$\beta_i(\mathbf{R}) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{S}/(L, \mathbf{x})) \cdot \binom{n}{i}.$$

Semiadditive degree functions. If $\mathbf{R}$ is a Noetherian local ring a *semiadditive degree function* is a mapping

$$\mathbf{d} : \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R}) \to \mathbb{Q}$$

such that if $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$ is a short exact sequence of modules in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R})$ then

$$\mathbf{d}(B) \leq \mathbf{d}(A) + \mathbf{d}(C).$$

Such functions are susceptible, as the example above indicates, to the derivation of binomial bounds.

We have seen several degrees with this property, starting with $\nu(\cdot)$ (and more generally Bass and Betti numbers) and including $-e_1(\mathbf{x}; \cdot)$, $\chi_1(\mathbf{x}; \cdot)$, $\operatorname{reg}(\cdot)$, $\operatorname{bdeg}(\cdot)$.

QUESTION 1.5.60. Is $\operatorname{hdeg}(\cdot)$ semiadditive?

QUESTION 1.5.61. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d. Consider the set of rational numbers

$$\frac{\operatorname{hdeg}(M) - \operatorname{hdeg}(M/hM)}{\deg(M)}$$

over all $M \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{R})$ and all generic hyperplane sections. Is this set finite, or, more generally, bounded? Can it be expressed as an invariant of $\mathbf{R}$?

QUESTION 1.5.62. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be a system of parameters of the Noetherian local ring $\mathbf{R}$. If $\mathbf{x}$ is a d-sequence, find an estimation for $\operatorname{hdeg}_{(\mathbf{x})}(\mathbf{R})$.

QUESTION 1.5.63. Let $\mathbf{R} = k[\Delta]$ be the Stanley-Reisner ring of the simplicial complex Δ. Find estimations for $\operatorname{hdeg}(\mathbf{R})$ and/or $\operatorname{bdeg}(\mathbf{R})$.

CHAPTER 2

Normalization of Algebras, Ideals and Modules

Introduction

In chapter we treat various metrics to gauge the passage from an algebraic structure $\mathbf{A}$ to its integral closure $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$. The main topics are:

- Tracking numbers
- Complexity of normalization of algebras
- Normalization of ideals
- Sally modules of filtrations
- Normalization of modules

2.1. The Tracking Number of an Algebra

2.1.1. Introduction. The terminology *tracking number* (or *twist*) refers to the use of integers as locators, or tags, for modules and algebras in partially ordered sets. A forerunner of this use was made in the previous section, when divisorial ideals were employed to bound chains of algebras with Serre's property S_2.

There are immediate generalizations of this notion to more general gradings. Here we have in mind just applications to graded algebras that admit a standard Noether normalization, that is, the so-called *semistandard* graded algebras. In a brief discussion we treat abstract tracking numbers.

2.1.2. The determinant of a module or an algebra: field case. We now give a more conceptual explanation of the boundedness of the chains of the previous section based on another family of divisors attached to the extensions ([**34**]). Its added usefulness will include extensions to all characteristics.

Let E be a finitely generated graded module over the ring of polynomial over the field k, $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$. If $\dim E = d$, denote by $\det_{\mathbf{R}}(E)$ the determinantal divisor of E: if E has multiplicity e,

$$\det(E) = (\wedge^r E)^{**} \cong \mathbf{R}[-\delta].$$

Definition 2.1.1. The integer δ will be called the *tracking number* of E: $\delta = \operatorname{tn}(E)$.

Chern coefficients. We first develop the basic properties of the tracking number. It may help to begin with these examples:

Example 2.1.2. If $\mathbf{A}$ is a homogeneous domain over a field k, $\mathbf{R}$ a homogeneous Noether normalization and $\mathbf{S}$ a hypersurface ring over which $\mathbf{A}$ is birational,

$$\mathbf{R} \subset \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[t]/(f(t)) \subset \mathbf{A}$$

($f(t)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree e), we have $(\wedge^r \mathbf{S})^{**} = \mathbf{R}[-\binom{e}{2}]$. As a consequence $\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}) \leq \binom{e}{2}$.

Another illustrative example is that of the fractionary ideal $I = (x^2/y, y)$. This I is positively generated and $I^{**} = \mathbf{R}(1/y)$: $\operatorname{tn}(I) = -1$.

The following observation shows the use of tracking numbers to locate the members of certain chains of modules.

PROPOSITION 2.1.3. *If $E \subset F$ are modules with the same multiplicity that satisfy condition S_2, then $\operatorname{tn}(E) \geq \operatorname{tn}(F)$ with equality only if $E = F$.*

PROOF. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to E \xrightarrow{\varphi} F \longrightarrow C \to 0,$$

and we shall key on the annihilator of C (the *conductor* of F into E). Localization at a height 1 prime $\mathfrak{p}$ gives a free resolution of $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and the equality

$$\det(F) = \det(\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cdot \det(E).$$

Therefore $\det(E) = \det(F)$ if and only if C has codimension at least two, which is not possible if $C \neq 0$ since it has depth at least 1. □

COROLLARY 2.1.4. *If the modules in the strictly increasing chain*

$$E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_n$$

have the same multiplicity and satisfy condition S_2, then $n \leq \operatorname{tn}(E_0) - \operatorname{tn}(E_n)$.

REMARK 2.1.5. The inequality $\operatorname{tn}(E_0) - \operatorname{tn}(E_n) \geq n$ is rarely an equality, for the obvious reasons. Let $E \subset F$ be distinct graded modules of the same multiplicity satisfying S_2. Then $\det(E) = f \cdot \det(F)$ where f is a homogeneous polynomial of degree given by $\operatorname{tn}(E) - \operatorname{tn}(F)$. If

$$f = f_1^{a_1} \cdots f_r^{a_r}$$

is a primary decomposition of f, a tighter measure of the "spread" between E and F is the integer

$$a_1 + \cdots + a_r,$$

rather than

$$\operatorname{tn}(E) - \operatorname{tn}(F) = a_1 \cdot \deg(f_1) \cdots a_r \cdot \deg(f_r).$$

PROPOSITION 2.1.6. *If the complex*

$$0 \to A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B \xrightarrow{\psi} C \to 0$$

of finitely generated graded $\mathbf{R}$-modules is an exact sequence of free modules in every localization $\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ at height one primes, then $\operatorname{tn}(B) = \operatorname{tn}(A) + \operatorname{tn}(C)$.

PROOF. We break up the complex into simpler exact complexes:

$$0 \to \ker(\varphi) \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A' = \operatorname{image}(\varphi) \to 0$$

$$0 \to A' \longrightarrow \ker(\psi) \longrightarrow \ker(\psi)/A' \to 0$$

$$0 \to B' = \text{image}(\psi) \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow C/B' \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to \ker(\psi) \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow B' \to 0.$$

We note that codim $\ker(\varphi) \geq 1$, codim $C/B' \geq 2$, codim $\ker(\psi)/A' \geq 2$ by hypothesis, so that we have the equality of determinantal divisors:

$$\det(A) = \det(A') = \det(\ker(\psi)), \text{ and } \det(C) = \det(B').$$

What this all means is that we may assume that the given complex is exact.

Suppose that $r = \text{rank}(A)$ and $\text{rank}(C) = s$ and set $n = r + s$. Consider the pair $\wedge^r A$, $\wedge^s C$. For $v_1, \ldots, v_r \in A$ and $u_1, \ldots, u_s \in C$, choose w_i in B such that $\psi(w_i) = u_i$ and consider

$$v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_r \wedge w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_s \in \wedge^n B.$$

Different choices for w_i would produce elements in $\wedge^n B$ that differ from the above by terms that contain at least $r + 1$ factors of the form

$$v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_r \wedge v_{r+1} \wedge \cdots,$$

with $v_i \in A$. Such products are torsion elements in $\wedge^n B$. This implies that modulo torsion we have a well-defined pairing:

$$[\wedge^r A/\text{torsion}] \otimes_R [\wedge^s C/\text{torsion}] \longrightarrow [\wedge^n B/\text{torsion}].$$

When localized at primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of codimension at most 1, the complex becomes an exact complex of projective $\mathbf{R}_\mathfrak{p}$-modules and the pairing is an isomorphism. Upon taking biduals and the $\circ$ divisorial composition, we obtain the asserted isomorphism. □

Corollary 2.1.7. *Let*

$$0 \to A_1 \longrightarrow A_2 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A_n \to 0$$

be a complex of graded $\mathbf{R}$*-modules and homogeneous homomorphisms that is an exact complex of free modules in codimension* 1*. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i \text{tn}(A_i) = 0.$$

Proposition 2.1.8. *Suppose that* $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ *and let*

$$0 \to A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow D \to 0,$$

be an exact sequence of graded $\mathbf{R}$*-modules and homogeneous homomorphisms. If* $\dim B = \dim C = d$*,* codim $A \geq 1$ *and* codim $D \geq 2$*, then* $\text{tn}(B) = \text{tn}(C)$*.*

Corollary 2.1.9. *If* E *is a graded* $\mathbf{R}$*-module of dimension* d*, then*

$$\text{tn}(E) = \text{tn}(E/\text{mod torsion}) = \text{tn}(E^{**}).$$

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a homogeneous algebra defined over a field k that admits a Noether normalization $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$; then clearly $\operatorname{tn}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{A}) = \operatorname{tn}_{\mathbf{R}'}(\mathbf{A}')$, where K is a field extension of k, $\mathbf{R}' = K \otimes_k \mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{A}' = K \otimes_k \mathbf{A}$. Partly for this reason, we can always define the tracking number of an algebra by first enlarging the ground field. Having done that and chosen a Noether normalization $\mathbf{R}$ that is a standard graded algebra, it will follow that $\operatorname{tn}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{A})$ is independent of $\mathbf{R}$: the $\mathbf{R}$-torsion submodule $\mathbf{A}_0$ of $\mathbf{A}$ is actually an ideal of $\mathbf{A}$ whose definition is independent of $\mathbf{R}$.

Calculation rules. We shall now derive several rules to facilitate the computation of tracking numbers.

PROPOSITION 2.1.10. *Let E be a finitely generated graded module over the polynomial ring $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$. If E is torsion free over $\mathbf{R}$, then $\operatorname{tn}(E) = \mathrm{e}_1(E)$, the first Chern number of E.*

PROOF. Let

$$0 \to \oplus_j \mathbf{R}[-\beta_{d,j}] \to \cdots \to \oplus_j \mathbf{R}[-\beta_{1,j}] \to \oplus_j \mathbf{R}[-\beta_{0,j}] \to E \to 0$$

be a (graded) free resolution of E. The integer

$$\mathrm{e}_1(E) = \sum_{i,j} (-1)^i \beta_{i,j}$$

is (see [**15**, Proposition 4.1.9]) the next to the leading Hilbert coefficient of E. It is also the integer that one gets by taking the alternating product of the determinants in the free graded resolution (see Corollary 2.1.7). □

EXAMPLE 2.1.11. Suppose that $\mathbf{A} = k[(x, y, z)^2]$ a subalgebra of the ring of polynomials $k[x, y, z]$. Setting the weight of the indeterminates to $\frac{1}{2}$, $\mathbf{A}$ becomes a standard graded algebra with $\mathbf{R} = k[x^2, y^2, z^2]$ as a Noether normalization. A calculation easily shows that the Hilbert series of $\mathbf{A}$ is

$$H_{\mathbf{A}}(t) = \frac{h_{\mathbf{A}}(t)}{(1-t)^3} = \frac{1+3t}{(1-t)^3}.$$

Thus $\deg(\mathbf{A}) = h_{\mathbf{A}}(1) = 4$, $\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{A}) = h'_{\mathbf{A}}(1) = 3$.

In general, the connection between the tracking number and the first Hilbert coefficient has to be 'adjusted' in the following manner.

PROPOSITION 2.1.12. *Let E be a finitely generated graded module over $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$. If $\dim E = d$ and e_0 is its torsion submodule,*

$$0 \to E_0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E' \to 0,$$

then

$$\operatorname{tn}(E) = \operatorname{tn}(E') = \mathrm{e}_1(E') = e_1(E) + \hat{\mathrm{e}}_0(E_0),$$

where $\hat{\mathrm{e}}_0(E)$ is the multiplicity of e_0 if $\dim E_0 = d-1$, and 0 otherwise.

PROOF. Denote by $H_{\mathbf{A}}(t)$ the Hilbert series of an $\mathbf{R}$-module $\mathbf{A}$ (see [**15**, Chap. 4]) and write

$$H_{\mathbf{A}}(t) = \frac{h_{\mathbf{A}}(t)}{(1-t)^d},$$

if $\dim \mathbf{A} = d$. For the exact sequence defining E', we have

$$h_E(t) = h_{E'}(t) + (1-t)^r h_{E_0}(t),$$

where $r = 1$ if $\dim E_0 = d-1$, and $r \geq 2$ otherwise. Since

$$\mathrm{e}_1(E) = h'_E(1) = h'_{E'}(1) + r(1-t)^{r-1}|_{t=1} h_{E_0}(1),$$

the assertion follows. □

REMARK 2.1.13. This suggests a reformulation of the notion of tracking number. By using exclusively the Hilbert function, the definition could be extended to all finite modules over a graded algebra.

COROLLARY 2.1.14. *Let E and F be graded $\mathbf{R}$-modules of dimension d. Then*

$$\mathrm{tn}(E \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} F) = \deg(E) \cdot \mathrm{tn}(F) + \deg(F) \cdot \mathrm{tn}(E).$$

PROOF. By Corollary 2.1.9, we may assume that E and F are torsion free modules. Let $\mathbb{P}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$ be minimal projective resolutions of E and F respectively. The complex $\mathbb{P} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbb{Q}$ is acyclic in codimension 1, by the assumption on E and F. We can then use Corollary 2.1.7,

$$\mathrm{tn}(E \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} F) = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \mathrm{tn}(\oplus_{i+j=k} \mathbb{P}_i \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbb{Q}_j).$$

Expanding gives the desired formula. □

Very similar to Proposition 2.1.12 is:

PROPOSITION 2.1.15. *Let $E \subset F$ be graded torsion free $\mathbf{R}$-modules of the same multiplicity. If E is reflexive, then*

$$\mathrm{tn}(E) = \mathrm{tn}(F) + \deg(F/E).$$

Simplicial complexes. Let $\mathbf{S} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, for a field k, and I a monomial ideal. It should be a straightforward calculation to determine $\mathrm{tn}(\mathbf{S}/I)$. Here is a special case:

THEOREM 2.1.16. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $V = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, and denote by $k[\Delta]$ the corresponding Stanley-Reisner ring. If $\dim k[\Delta] = d$, then*

$$\mathrm{tn}(k[\Delta]) = df_{d-1} - f_{d-2} + f'_{d-2},$$

where f_i denotes the number of faces of dimension i, and f'_{d-2} denotes the number of maximal faces of dimension $d-2$.

PROOF. Set $k[\Delta] = \mathbf{S}/I_\Delta$, and consider the decomposition $I_\Delta = I_1 \cap I_2$, where I_1 is the intersection of the primary components of dimension d and I_2 of the remaining components. The exact sequence

$$0 \to I_1/I_\Delta \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}/I_\Delta \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}/I_1 \to 0$$

gives, according to Proposition 2.1.12,

$$\mathrm{tn}(k[\Delta]) = \mathrm{e}_1(k[\Delta]) + \hat{e}_0(I_1/I_\Delta).$$

From the Hilbert function of $k[\Delta]$ ([**15**, Lemma 5.1.8]), we have that $\mathrm{e}_1 = df_{d-1} - f_{d-2}$, while if I_1/I_Δ is a module of dimension $d-1$, its multiplicity is the number of maximal faces of dimension $d-2$. □

THEOREM 2.1.17. *Let* $\mathbf{S} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *be a ring of polynomials and* $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{S}/I$ *a graded algebra. For a monomial ordering* $>$*, denote by* $I' = in_>(I)$ *the initial ideal associated with* I *and set* $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{S}/I'$*. Then* $\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{B}) \geq \operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A})$.

PROOF. Let J be the component of I of maximal dimension and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to J/I \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}/I \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}/J \to 0.$$

Now $\dim J/I < \dim \mathbf{A}$, and therefore $\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}) = \operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{S}/J) = \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{S}/J)$. Denote by J' the corresponding initial ideal of J, and consider the sequence

$$0 \to J'/I' \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}/I' \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}/J' \to 0.$$

Noting that $\mathbf{S}/I$ and $\mathbf{S}/J$ have the same multiplicity, as do $\mathbf{S}/I'$ and $\mathbf{S}/J'$ by Macaulay's theorem, we find that $\dim J'/I' < \dim \mathbf{A}$. This means that

$$\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{S}/I') = \operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{S}/J') = \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{S}/J') + \hat{e}_0(J'/I') = \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{S}/J) + \hat{e}_0(J'/I') = \operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}) + \hat{e}_0(J'/I').$$

□

EXAMPLE 2.1.18. Set $\mathbf{A} = k[x, y, z, w]/(x^3 - yzw, x^2y - zw^2)$. The Hilbert series of this (Cohen-Macaulay) algebra is

$$H_{\mathbf{A}}(t) = \frac{h_{\mathbf{A}}(t)}{(1-t)^2} = \frac{(1+t+t^2)^2}{(1-t)^2},$$

so that

$$\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{A}) = h'_{\mathbf{A}}(1) = 18.$$

Consider now the algebra $\mathbf{B} = k[x, y, z, w]/J$, where J is the initial ideal of I for the Deglex order. A calculation with *Macaulay 2* gives

$$J = (x^2y, x^3, xzw^2, xy^3zw, y^5zw).$$

By Macaulay's Theorem, $\mathbf{B}$ has the same Hilbert function as $\mathbf{A}$. An examination of the components of $\mathbf{B}$ gives an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{B}_0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{B} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}' \to 0,$$

where $\mathbf{B}_0$ is the ideal of elements with support in codimension 1. By Corollary 2.1.9,

$$\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{B}) = \operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{B}') = \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{B}').$$

At same time one has the following equality of h-polynomials,

$$h_{\mathbf{B}}(t) = h_{\mathbf{B}'}(t) + (1-t)h_{\mathbf{B}_0}(t),$$

and therefore

$$\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{B}') = \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{B}) + e_0(\mathbf{B}_0).$$

A final calculation of multiplicities gives $\mathrm{e}_0(\mathbf{B}_0) = 5$, and

$$\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{B}) = 18 + 5 = 23.$$

The example shows that $\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A})$ is independent of the Hilbert function of the algebra.

2.1.3. Bounding tracking numbers. We now describe how the technique of generic hyperplane sections leads to bounds of various kinds. We are going to assume that the algebras are defined over infinite fields.

One of the important properties of the tracking number is that it will not change under hyperplane sections as long as the dimension of the ring is at least 3. So one can answer questions about the tracking number just by studying the 2-dimensional case. The idea here is that tracking number is more or less the same material as e_1 and hence cutting by a superficial element will not change it unless the dimension drops below 2.

PROPOSITION 2.1.19. *Let E be a finitely generated graded module of dimension d over $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ with $d > 2$. Then for a general element h of degree one, $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R}/(h)$ is also a polynomial ring, and $\mathrm{tn}_{\mathbf{R}}(E) = \mathrm{tn}_{\mathbf{R}'}(E')$, where $E' = E/hE$.*

PROOF. First we shall prove the statement for a torsion free module E. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to E \longrightarrow E^{**} \longrightarrow C \to 0.$$

Note that C has codimension at least 2 since after localization at any height 1 prime E and E^{**} are equal. Now for a linear form h in $\mathbf{R}$ that is a superficial element for C we can tensor the above exact sequence with $\mathbf{R}/(h)$ to get the complex

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1(C, \mathbf{R}/(h)) \longrightarrow E/hE \longrightarrow E^{**}/hE^{**} \longrightarrow C/hC \to 0.$$

Now as an $\mathbf{R}$-module, C/hC has codimension at least 3, so as an $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R}/(h)$ module it has codimension at least 2. Also as $\mathrm{Tor}_1(C, \mathbf{R}/(h))$ has codimension at least 2 as an $\mathbf{R}$-module, it is a torsion $\mathbf{R}/(h)$-module. Hence we have $\mathrm{tn}_{\mathbf{R}'}(E/hE) = \mathrm{tn}_{\mathbf{R}'}(E^{**}/hE^{**})$. But E^{**} is a torsion free $\mathbf{R}/(h)$-module, so

$$\mathrm{tn}_{\mathbf{R}'}(E/hE) = \mathrm{e}_1(E^{**}/hE^{**}) = e_1(E^{**}) = \mathrm{tn}_{\mathbf{R}}(E^{**}) = \mathrm{tn}_{\mathbf{R}}(E).$$

To prove the statement for a general $\mathbf{R}$-module E, we consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \to E_0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E' \to 0,$$

where e_0 is the torsion submodule of E. But E' is torsion free, so by the first case we know that $\mathrm{tn}_{\mathbf{R}/(h)}(E'/hE') = \mathrm{tn}_{\mathbf{R}}(E')$ for a general linear element h of $\mathbf{R}$.

Now if in addition we restrict ourselves to those h that are superficial for E and e_0, we can tensor the above exact sequence with $\mathbf{R}/(h)$ and get

$$0 = \mathrm{Tor}_1(E', \mathbf{R}/(h)) \longrightarrow E_0/hE_0 \longrightarrow E/hE \longrightarrow E'/hE' \to 0,$$

but since e_0/hE_0 is a torsion $\mathbf{R}'$-module, where $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R}/(h)$, we have $\mathrm{tn}_{\mathbf{R}'}(E/hE) = \mathrm{tn}_{\mathbf{R}'}(E'/hE') = \mathrm{tn}_{\mathbf{R}}(E') = \mathrm{tn}_{\mathbf{R}}(E)$. □

We shall now derive the first of our general bounds for $\mathrm{tn}(E)$ in terms of the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity $\mathrm{reg}(E)$ of the module. For terminology and basic properties of the $\mathrm{reg}(\cdot)$ function, we shall use [**42**, Section 20.5].

THEOREM 2.1.20. *Suppose that $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ and that E a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{R}$-module of dimension d. Then*

$$\mathrm{tn}(E) \leq \deg(E) \cdot \mathrm{reg}(E).$$

PROOF. The assertion is clear if $d = 0$. For $d \geq 1$, if e_0 denotes the submodule of E consisting of the elements with finite support, then $\deg(E) = \deg(E/E_0)$, $\mathrm{tn}(E) = \mathrm{tn}(E/E_0)$ and $\mathrm{reg}(E/E_0) \leq \mathrm{reg}(E)$, the latter according to [**42**, Corollary 20.19(d)]. From this reduction, the assertion is also clear if $d = 1$.

If $d \geq 3$, we use a hyperplane section h so that $\mathrm{tn}_{\mathbf{R}}(E) = \mathrm{tn}_{\mathbf{R}/(h)}(E/hE)$ according to Proposition 2.1.19, and $\mathrm{reg}(E/hE) \leq \mathrm{reg}(E)$ according to [**42**, Proposition 20.20]. (Of course, $\deg(E) = \deg(E/hE)$.)

With these reductions, we may assume that $d = 2$ and that depth $E > 0$. Denote by e_0 the torsion submodule of E and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to E_0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E' \to 0.$$

Noting that either e_0 is zero or depth $E_0 > 0$, on taking local cohomology with respect to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, x_2)$, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(E_0) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(E) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(E') \to \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathfrak{m}}(E_0) = 0 \to \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathfrak{m}}(E) \to \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathfrak{m}}(E') \to 0,$$

from which we get

$$\mathrm{reg}(E) = \max\{\mathrm{reg}(E_0), \mathrm{reg}(E')\}.$$

This provides the final reduction to $d = 2$ and E torsion free. Let

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} \mathbf{R}[-b_j] \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathbf{R}[-a_i] \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0$$

be a minimal projective resolution of E. From Corollary 2.1.7, we have

$$\mathrm{tn}(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i - \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_j.$$

Reducing this complex modulo a hyperplane section h, we get a minimal free resolution for the graded module E/hE over the PID $\mathbf{R}/(h)$. By the basic theorem for modules over such rings, after basis change we may assume that

$$b_j = a_j + c_j, \quad c_j > 0, \quad j = 1 \dots s.$$

Noting that $\alpha = \mathrm{reg}(E) = \max\{a_i, b_j - 1 \mid i = 1 \dots r, j = 1 \dots s\} \geq 0$ and $\deg(E) = r - s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(E)\mathrm{reg}(E) - \mathrm{tn}(E) &= (r-s)\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i + \sum_{j=1}^{s} (a_j + c_j) \\ &= \sum_{i=s+1}^{r} (\alpha - a_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{s} c_j \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

2.1.4. Positivity of tracking numbers. We shall now prove our main result, a somewhat surprising positivity result for a reduced homogeneous algebra $\mathbf{A}$. Since such algebras already admit a general upper bound for $\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A})$ in terms of its multiplicity, together these statements are useful in the construction of integral closures by all algorithms that use intermediate extensions that satisfy condition S_2.

THEOREM 2.1.21. *Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a reduced non-negatively graded algebra that is finite over a standard graded Noether normalization $\mathbf{R}$. Then $\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}) \geq 0$. Moreover, if $\mathbf{A}$ is an integral domain and k is algebraically closed, then $\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}) \geq \deg(\mathbf{A}) - 1$.*

PROOF. Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{S}/I$, $\mathbf{S} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, be a graded presentation of $\mathbf{A}$. From our earlier discussion, we may assume that I is height-unmixed (as otherwise the lower dimensional components gives rise to the torsion part of $\mathbf{A}$, which is dropped in the calculation of $\operatorname{tn}(E)$ anyway).

Let $I = P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_r$ be the primary decomposition of I, and define the natural exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{S}/I \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}/P_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{S}/P_r \longrightarrow C \to 0,$$

from which a calculation with Hilbert coefficients gives

$$\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{S}/P_i) + \hat{\mathrm{e}}_0(C).$$

This shows that it suffices to assume that $\mathbf{A}$ is a domain.

Let $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ denote the integral closure of $\mathbf{A}$. Note that $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ is also a non-negatively graded algebra and that the same Noether normalization $\mathbf{R}$ can be used. Since $\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}) \geq \operatorname{tn}(\overline{\mathbf{A}})$, we may assume that $\mathbf{A}$ is integrally closed.

Since the cases $\dim \mathbf{A} \leq 1$ are trivial, we may assume $\dim \mathbf{A} = d \geq 2$. The case $d = 2$ is also clear since $\mathbf{A}$ is then Cohen-Macaulay. Assume then that $d > 2$. We are going to change the base field using rational extensions of the form $k(t)$, which do not affect the integral closure condition. (Of course we may assume that the base field is infinite.)

If h_1 and h_2 are linearly independent hyperplane sections in $\mathbf{R}$ they define a regular sequence in $\mathbf{A}$, since the algebra is normal and therefore satisfies condition S_2. Effecting a change of ring of the type $k \to k(t)$ gives a hyperplane section $h_1 - t \cdot h_2 \in \mathbf{R}(t)$, which is a prime element in $\mathbf{A}$, according to Nagata's trick ([**52**, Lemma 14.1]). Clearly we can choose h_1 and h_2 so that $h_1 - t \cdot h_2$ is a generic hyperplane section, for the purpose of applying Proposition 2.1.19 to $\mathbf{A}$. This completes the reduction to domains in dimension $d - 1$.

The last assertion follows in the reduction to the case where $\dim \mathbf{R}$ is 2, as $\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}) \geq \operatorname{tn}(\overline{\mathbf{A}})$ and $\deg(\mathbf{A}) = \deg(\overline{\mathbf{A}})$. The algebra $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ is Cohen–Macaulay so that its h–polynomial $h(t)$ has only nonnegative coefficients and it follows easily that $h'(1) \geq h(1) - 1$, since $h(0) = 1$ as k is algebraically closed. □

We single out:

COROLLARY 2.1.22. *Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a semistandard graded domain over an algebraically closed field. If $\mathbf{A}$ has S_2 and $\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}) = \deg(\mathbf{A}) - 1$, then $\mathbf{A}$ is normal.*

EXAMPLE 2.1.23. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a ring of polynomials over an algebraically closed field, $\dim \mathbf{A} \geq 4$, and let I be a codimension two prime ideal generated by 3 quadrics. If I is Cohen-Macaulay then A/I is normal. Indeed, the resolution of $\mathbf{A}/I$ is given by a Hilbert-Burch complex

$$0 \to \mathbf{A}[-3]^2 \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}[-2]^3 \longrightarrow \mathbf{A} \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}/I \to 0.$$

A straightforward computation of the first Hilbert coefficients (see [**15**, Proposition 4.1.9]), shows that $\deg(\mathbf{A}/I) = 3$, and $\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}/I) = 2$.

One application is to the study of constructions of the integral closure of an affine domain in arbitrary characteristics.

THEOREM 2.1.24. *Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a semistandard graded domain over a field k and let $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ be its integral closure. Then any chain*

$$\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{A}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathbf{A}_n = \overline{\mathbf{A}}$$

of distinct subalgebras satisfying Serre's condition S_2 has length at most $\binom{\mathrm{e}}{2}$, where $\mathrm{e} = \deg(\mathbf{A})$. If k is algebraically closed, such a chain has length at most $\binom{\mathrm{e}-1}{2}$.

PROOF. It will suffice, according to Corollary 2.1.4, to show that $0 \leq \operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}) \leq \binom{\mathrm{e}}{2}$. The non-negativity having been established in Theorem 2.1.21, we now prove the upper bound.

If k is a field of characteristic zero, then by the theorem of the primitive element $\mathbf{A}$ contains a hypersurface ring $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[t]/(f(t))$, where $\mathbf{R}$ is a ring of polynomials $\mathbf{R} = k[z_1, \ldots, z_d]$, $\deg(z_i) = 1$, and $f(t)$ is a homogeneous polynomial in t of degree e. As $\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}) \leq \operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{S}) = \binom{\mathrm{e}}{2}$, the assertion holds in this case. (We also observe that when $\dim A \geq 3$, any hyperplane section, say h, used to reduce the dimension that were employed in the proof of Theorem 2.1.21, could be chosen so that the image of $\mathbf{S}$ in $\overline{\mathbf{A}}/h\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ would be $\mathbf{S}/(h)$, and therefore we would maintain the same upper bound.)

To complete the proof in other characteristics we resort to the following construction developed in [**199**, Proposition 6.4]. If $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$, let

$$E = \sum \mathbf{R} y_1^{j_1} \cdots y_n^{j_n}, \quad 0 \leq j_i < r_i = [F_i : F_{i-1}]$$

be the $\mathbf{R}$-module of rank e constructed there. As the rank satisfies the equality $\mathrm{e} = \prod_{i=1}^n r_i$, e is the number of 'monomials' $y_1^{j_1} \cdots y_n^{j_n}$. Their linear independence over $\mathbf{R}$ is a simple verification. Note also that there are monomials of all degrees between 0 and $(r_1 - 1, \ldots, r_n - 1)$. Thus according to Proposition 2.1.10, the bound for $\operatorname{tn}(E)$ is obvious, with equality holding only when E is a hypersurface ring over $\mathbf{R}$. (The precise value for $\operatorname{tn}(E)$ could be derived from Corollary 2.1.14.)

For the case of an algebraically closed field k the last assertion follows from Theorem 2.1.21: $\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}) \geq e - 1$, so that the length of the chains is at most $\operatorname{tn}(E) - \operatorname{tn}(\overline{\mathbf{A}}) \leq \binom{\mathrm{e}}{2} - (\mathrm{e} - 1) = \binom{\mathrm{e}-1}{2}$. □

REMARK 2.1.25. When the operations used to create the chain of divisorial extensions are those described by [**199**, Proposition 6.66], the last bound further reduces

to

$$\left\lceil \frac{(\mathrm{e}-1)(\mathrm{e}-2)}{4} \right\rceil .$$

There is another way in which the length of the divisorial chains may shorten. Let $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{B} \subset \overline{\mathbf{A}}$ be divisorial extensions of a graded algebra of dimension d as above and let $\mathbf{R} = k[z_1, \ldots, z_d]$ be a Noether normalization. The canonical module of $\mathbf{B}$ is the graded module $\omega_{\mathbf{B}} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{R}[-d])$. We recall that B is *quasi-Gorenstein* if $\omega_{\mathbf{B}} \simeq \mathbf{B}[-a]$. In terms of their tracking numbers this means that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tn}(\omega_{\mathbf{B}}) &= -\mathrm{tn}(\mathbf{B}) + d \cdot \deg(\mathbf{B}) \\ &= \mathrm{tn}(\mathbf{B}) + a \cdot \deg(\mathbf{B}), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\mathrm{tn}(\mathbf{B}) = \deg(\mathbf{B}) \cdot \text{half-integer}.$$

A consequence is that if more than one quasi-Gorenstein extension occur in a same divisorial chain, they must lie fairly far apart.

2.1.5. Algebras with Noether normalization. We now treat a very different venue of applications of Theorem 2.2.4. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian domain and let $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$–algebra. We will assume that $\mathbf{B}$ is $\mathbf{R}$-torsion free. By abuse of terminology, by *arithmetic Noether normalizations* we shall mean statements asserting the existence of extensions

$$\mathbf{R} \subset \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[y_1, \ldots, y_r] \subset \mathbf{B},$$

$\mathbf{B}$ finite over $\mathbf{S}$, where r depends uniformly on the Krull dimensions of $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{R}$, or on some of the fibers. We shall refer to $\mathbf{S}$ as a Noether normalization of $\mathbf{B}$.

Part of the significance of the existence of $\mathbf{S}$ lies with the fact that it gives rise to a fibration $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{B}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{S})$, that may add to the understanding of the geometry of $\mathbf{B}$. The 'simpler' $\mathbf{S}$ would bring greater benefit. Another motivation lies in that $\mathbf{S}$ may serve as a platform for certain constructions on $\mathbf{B}$, such as of its integral closure.

What are expected values for r? The answers tend to come in two groups, depending on $\mathbf{B}$ being a standard graded algebra or not, or whether it is an integral domain or not. (The values for r varies by one accordingly.) For instance, if $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{B}_+$, where $\mathbf{R}$ is an integral domain of field of fractions K, by a standard dimension formula (see [**192**, Lemma 1.1.1]),

$$\dim \mathbf{B} = \dim \mathbf{R} + \text{height } Q = \dim \mathbf{R} + \dim K \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{B},$$

$\dim K \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{B} = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n] = n$ is the lower bound for r.

In one of his first papers, Shimura ([**168**]) proves such a result when $\mathbf{R}$ is a ring of algebraic integers.

THEOREM 2.1.26 ([**168**]). *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a ring of algebraic integers of field of fractions K and let B be a homogeneous $\mathbf{R}$–algebra that is a domain. Then there is a graded subalgebra $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[y_1, \ldots, y_r]$, $r = \dim K \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{B}$, over which $\mathbf{B}$ is integral.*

Note that $\mathbf{S}$ is actually a ring of polynomials over $\mathbf{R}$, since $\dim K \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{B} = \dim \mathbf{B} - \dim \mathbf{R}$. Shimura also remarked that this bound is not achieved if $\mathbf{R} = \mathbb{C}[t]$.

Tracking numbers and jdeg. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a normal domain of field of fractions K, and let $\mathbf{A}$ be a semistandard graded algebra finite over the polynomial graded subring $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[y_1, \ldots, y_r]$. One can define the *determinant* of $\mathbf{A}$ as an $\mathbf{S}$-module

$$\det{}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{A}) = (\wedge^r \mathbf{A})^{**},$$

where r is the rank of $\mathbf{A}$ as an $\mathbf{S}$-module.

In case $\mathbf{R}$ is a field, the graded module $\det{}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{A})$ isomorphic to a unique module $\mathbf{S}[-n]$, so the integer n can be employed as a marker for $\mathbf{A}$. It was used in [**34**] to define the *tracking number* of $\mathbf{A}$, $\operatorname{tn}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{A}) = n$. If $\mathbf{R}$ is not a field, the integer n is still well-defined (as $\operatorname{tn}_K(K \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{A})$), but it will not suffice to fix $\det{}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{A})$ entirely, particularly when given two such $\mathbf{S}$-algebras $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{B}$ we want to compare $\det{}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\det{}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{B})$.

To address this issue, we proceed as follows. To the rank 1 reflexive module $\det{}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{A})$, one can attach several homogeneous divisorial ideals of $\mathbf{S}$, all in the same divisor class group. Suppose $I \subset \mathbf{S}$ is one of these, and

$$I = (\bigcap \mathfrak{p}_i^{(r_i)}) \cap (\bigcap \mathfrak{q}_{\mathfrak{j}}{}^{(s_j)}),$$

is its primary decomposition, where we denote by $\mathfrak{p}_i$ the primes that are extended from $\mathbf{R}$, and $\mathfrak{q}_i$ those that are not. This means that $\mathfrak{q}_j \cap \mathbf{R} = (0)$ and thus $\mathfrak{q}_j K\mathbf{S} = (f_j)K\mathbf{S}$, $\deg(f_j) > 0$. We associate a *degree* to I by setting

$$\deg(I) = \sum_i r_i + \sum_j s_j \cdot \deg(f_j).$$

DEFINITION 2.1.27. Let $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{S}$ as above and let $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{B} \subset \overline{\mathbf{A}}$ be finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-algebras. Set $\det_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{B}) = (\wedge^r \mathbf{B})^{**}$ and consider the natural image of $(\wedge^r \mathbf{A})^{**}$ in $\det_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{B})$. Let

$$I = \operatorname{ann}(\det_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{B})/\det_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{A})) = \det_{\mathbf{S}}(A) :_{\mathbf{S}} \det_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{B}).$$

The *relative tracking number* of $\mathbf{A}$ in $\mathbf{B}$ is the integer

$$\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) = \deg(I).$$

EXAMPLE 2.1.28. If $\mathbf{A} = \mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]/(z^3 + xz^2 + x^2y)$, $\mathbf{S} = \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$, then $\overline{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{A}[z^2/x]$. It follows that $\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}, \overline{A}) = 1$.

It is clear that $\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$ is well-defined. We note that in [**34**], in case $\mathbf{R}$ is a field, one defines an absolute tracking number simply by declaring $\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A})$ as the degree of the free rank one $\mathbf{S}$-module $(\wedge^r \mathbf{A})^{**}$. It turns out that $\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) = \operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}) - \operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{B})$.

THEOREM 2.1.29. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a normal domain and let $\mathbf{A}$ be a semistandard graded $\mathbf{R}$-algebra with finite integral closure $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ that admits a Noether normalization $\mathbf{S}$. If $\mathbf{B}$ is a graded subalgebra, $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{B} \subset \overline{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\mathbf{A}$ satisfies the S_2 condition of Serre, then*

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}) = \operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}).$$

PROOF. We first clarify $\operatorname{Ass}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A})$. If $\mathfrak{p}$ is one of these primes and $\mathfrak{p} \neq (0)$, the ideal $P = \mathfrak{p}\mathbf{S}$ has the same height as $\mathfrak{p}$ and therefore cannot consist of zerodivisors of $\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}$ if height $\mathfrak{p} \geq 2$ since $\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}$ has the condition S_1 of Serre (as an $\mathbf{A}$–module or as an $\mathbf{S}$-module). Thus $\mathfrak{p}$ has height 1.

We now argue the asserted equality. Let K be the field of fractions of $\mathbf{R}$. If $\mathfrak{p} = (0)$, we note that $\operatorname{jdeg}(K\mathbf{B}/K\mathbf{A})$ is just the difference $\mathrm{e}_1(K\mathbf{A}) - \mathrm{e}_1(K\mathbf{B})$ of Hilbert coefficients of the graded modules $K\mathbf{A}$ and $K\mathbf{B}$. According to [**34**, Proposition 3.1], for modules such as $K\mathbf{A}$ and $K\mathbf{B}$, these values are the tracking numbers $\operatorname{tn}(K\mathbf{A})$ and $\operatorname{tn}(K\mathbf{B})$, respectively. The difference $\operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{A}) - \operatorname{tn}(\mathbf{B})$ clearly accounts for the second summand in the definition of $\deg(I)$, for $I = \operatorname{ann}(\det_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{B})/\det_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{A}))$.

Now we must account for the j-multiplicity of $\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}$ for a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of height 1. Localizing at $\mathfrak{p}$, we may assume that $\mathbf{R}$ is a discrete valuation domain and $\mathfrak{p}$ is its maximal ideal. Let H be the submodule of $\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}$ of support in $\mathfrak{p}$. We claim that the multiplicity of H is the corresponding integer r_i for $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{p}$ in the expression of $\deg(I)$. Consider the exact sequences of $\mathbf{S}$-modules

$$0 \to \mathbf{A} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A} \to 0,$$

$$0 \to H \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A} \longrightarrow C \to 0,$$

and the prime ideal $P = \mathfrak{p}\mathbf{S}$. Note that P is the only associated prime ideal of H as an $\mathbf{S}$-module and no associated prime of C is contained in it, and in particular $(\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A})_P = H_P$. Applying the additivity formula for multiplicities to H and noting that $\deg(\mathbf{S}/P) = 1$, we have $\deg(H) = \lambda(H_P)$. Since $\mathbf{S}_P$ is a discrete valuation domain the length of the torsion module $(\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A})_P$ is given by r_i.

We have therefore matched each summand that occurs in $\deg(I)$ to one in definition of $\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A})$. □

Remark 2.1.30. It is not difficult to see that for the context here, the assertion of Theorem 2.2.4 could be improved by saying that the number of extensions between $\mathbf{A}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ is bounded by

$$\sum_i r_i + \sum_j s_j,$$

rather than the whole of $\deg(I)$. (A similar observation is given in [**147**].)

2.1.6. Abstract tracking numbers. If $\mathbf{R}$ is an integrally closed local ring, it is unclear how to construct tracking numbers for its $\mathbf{R}$-modules. While the determinant of an $\mathbf{R}$–module E (here $\dim E = \dim \mathbf{R}$) can be formed,

$$\det(E) = (\wedge^r E)^{**},$$

there does not seem to be a natural way to attach a degree to it.

In the case of an $\mathbf{R}$–algebra $\mathbf{A}$ of finite integral closure $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$, there is an *ad hoc* solution for the set of submodules of $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ of rank $r = \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{A})$. The construction proceeds as follows. Let F be a normalizing free $\mathbf{R}$–module,

$$F = \mathbf{R}^r = \mathbf{R}e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{R}e_r \subset \mathbf{A} \subset \overline{\mathbf{A}}.$$

There exists f with $0 \neq f \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $f \cdot \overline{\mathbf{A}} \subset F$. For the $\mathbf{R}$–submodule E of $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$,

$$\det(fE) \subset \mathbf{R}(e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_r) = \mathbf{R}\epsilon.$$

This means that

$$\det(fE) = I.\mathbf{R}\epsilon,$$

where I is a divisorial ideal of $\mathbf{R}$, so If^{-r} is also a divisorial ideal with a primary decomposition

$$If^{-r} = \bigcap \mathfrak{p}_i^{(r_i)}.$$

DEFINITION 2.1.31. The tracking number of E (offset by F) is the integer

$$\mathrm{tn}(E) = \sum_i r_i \cdot \deg(\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p}_i) + \mathrm{tn}(\mathbf{R}\epsilon).$$

The value $\mathrm{tn}(E)$ is defined up to an offset but it is independent of f. It will have several of the properties of the tracking number defined for graded modules and can play the same role in the comparison of the lengths of chains of subalgebras lying between $\mathbf{A}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$. When $\mathbf{R}$ is more general one can define $\mathrm{tn}(E)$ as the supremum of its local values.

Questions. In addition to the issues already raised—particularly with regard on how to determine the tracking number of an $\mathbf{R}$-algebra without a Noether normalization—some of a more general nature are:

(a) What are the properties of the $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$–modules with positive tracking numbers? For example, from Corollary 2.1.9 the set of such modules is closed under tensor products.

(b) If E is a module, $\mathrm{tn}(E^*) = -\mathrm{tn}(E)$, that has the following consequence. Let E be a torsion free $\mathbf{R}$-module. From Proposition 4.1.4, the natural homomorphism

$$E^* \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)$$

is an isomorphism in codimension 1, so that

$$\mathrm{tn}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)) = \mathrm{tn}(E^* \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E) = \deg(E)\mathrm{tn}(E^*) + \deg(E^*)\mathrm{tn}(E) = 0.$$

2.2. Complexity of Normalization

2.2.1. Introduction. A general theory of the integral closure of algebraic structures–as a full fledged integration of algorithms, cost estimates, along with tight predictions at termination–is highly desirable but it is an as yet unrealized goal. Several stretches of this road have been built and we will begin to describe the role of multiplicities in the undertaking.

We will now describe the setting in which our discussion takes place. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a geometric/arithmetic integral domain, and let $\mathbf{A}$ be a graded algebra (more generally with a $\mathbb{Z}^r$-grading)

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n], \quad x_i \text{ homogeneous},$$

of integral closure

$$\overline{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{R}[y_1, \ldots, y_m], \quad y_i \text{ homogeneous}.$$

The construction of $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ usually starts out from an observation of Emmy Noether in her last paper [**136**]: If $d \in \mathbf{A}$ is a regular element of $\mathbf{A}$ contained in the Jacobian ideal, then

$$\mathbf{A} \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{A}} \subseteq \frac{1}{d}\mathbf{A}.$$

One approach to the construction of $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ ([**108**]) consists in finding processes $\mathcal{P}$ that create extensions

$$\mathbf{A} \mapsto \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{A}) \subset \overline{\mathbf{A}},$$
$$\mathcal{P}^n(\mathbf{A}) = \overline{\mathbf{A}}, \quad n \gg 0.$$

typically $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(I(\mathbf{A}), I(\mathbf{A}))$, where $I(\mathbf{A})$ is some ideal connected to the conductor/Jacobian of $\mathbf{A}$. Another approach ([**176**]), in characteristic p, is distinct. Starting with $\frac{1}{d}\mathbf{A}$, and using the Frobenius map, it constructs a descending sequence

$$V_0 = \frac{1}{d}\mathbf{A} \supset V_1 \supset \cdots \supset V_m = \overline{\mathbf{A}}.$$

Predicting properties of $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ in $\mathbf{A}$, possibly by adding up the changes $\mathcal{P}^i(\mathbf{A}) \to \mathcal{P}^{i+1}(\mathbf{A})$ (or the similar terms V_i in the descending sequences) is a natural question in need of clarification.

To realize this objective, we select the following specific goals:

(a) We develop numerical indices for $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$, that is for $\mathbf{A}_i = \mathcal{P}^i$, find r such that

$$\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{n+r} = \mathbf{A}_n \cdot \overline{\mathbf{A}}_r, \quad n \geq 0.$$

(b) How many "steps" are there between $\mathbf{A}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$,

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_0 \subset \mathbf{A}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathbf{A}_{s-1} \subset \mathbf{A}_s = \overline{\mathbf{A}},$$

where the $\mathbf{A}_i$ are constructed by an effective process?

(c) Express r and s in terms of invariants of $\mathbf{A}$.

(d) Generators of $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$: Number and distribution of their degrees.

Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a commutative Noetherian ring, and let $\mathbf{A}$ be a finitely generated graded algebra (of integral closure $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$). We want to gauge the 'distance' from $\mathbf{A}$ to $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$. To this end, we introduce two degree functions whose properties help to determine the position of the algebras in the chains.

(a) (tracking number) $\mathrm{tn} : \mathbf{A} \to \mathbb{N}$, for a certain class of algebras (includes $\mathbf{R}$ a field). We shall use for distance

$$\mathrm{tn}(\mathbf{A}) - \mathrm{tn}(\overline{\mathbf{A}})$$

(b) jdeg : a multiplicity on all finitely generated graded $\mathbf{A}$-modules. We shall use for distance

$$\mathrm{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{A}}/\mathbf{A})$$

The first of these will be a *determinant*. Consider a graded $\mathbf{R} = k[z_1, \ldots, z_r]$–module E: Suppose E has torsion free rank r. The *determinant* of E is the graded module

$$\det_{\mathbf{R}}(E) = (\wedge^r E)^{**}.$$

$$\det_{\mathbf{R}}(E) \simeq \mathbf{R}[-c(E)], \quad \mathrm{tn}(E) := c(E)$$

The integer $c(E)$ is the **tracking number** of E. If E has no associated primes of codimension 1, $\mathrm{tn}(E)$ can be read off the Hilbert series $H_E(t)$ of E:

$$H_E(t) = \frac{\mathbf{h}(t)}{(1-t)^d}, \quad \mathrm{tn}(E) = \mathbf{h}'(1)$$

This shows that $\operatorname{tn}(E)$ is independent of $\mathbf{R}$. It comes with the following property.

PROPOSITION 2.2.1. *Let $\mathbf{R} = k[z_1, \ldots, z_d]$ and $E \subset F$ two graded $\mathbf{R}$–modules of the same rank. If E and F have the condition S_2 of Serre,*

$$\operatorname{tn}(E) \geq \operatorname{tn}(F),$$

with equality if and only if $E = F$. Therefore, if

$$E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_n$$

be a sequence of distinct f.g. graded $\mathbf{R}$-modules of the same rank. Then

$$n \leq \operatorname{tn}(E_0) - \operatorname{tn}(E_n).$$

In Section 2.1 we will treat this function in a broader setting.

The other function, jdeg, has different properties. One of the properties jdeg addresses our main issue. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian domain and $\mathbf{A}$ a semistandard graded $\mathbf{R}$-algebra. Consider a sequence of integral graded extensions

$$\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{A}_0 \to \mathbf{A}_1 \to \mathbf{A}_2 \to \cdots \to \mathbf{A}_n = \overline{\mathbf{A}},$$

where the $\mathbf{A}_i$ satisfy the S_2 condition of Serre. Then $n \leq \operatorname{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{A}}/\mathbf{A})$ ([**144**, Theorem 2]).

The main goal becomes to express $\operatorname{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{A}}/\mathbf{A})$ in terms of *priori* data, that is, one wants to view this number as an invariant of $\mathbf{A}$. Relating it to other, more accessible, invariants of $\mathbf{A}$ would bring considerable predictive power to the function jdeg. This is the point of view of [**147**] and [**92**], when jdeg is the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity or the Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity, respectively.

2.2.2. Normalization and jdeg**.** In this subsection we make use of the jdeg of appropriate modules in order to give estimates for the complexity of normalization processes.

Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a universally catenary ring and $\mathbf{A}$ a standard graded integral domain over $\mathbf{R}$. We examine the character of the chains of graded subalgebras between $\mathbf{A}$ and its integral closure $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$. We will show that the lengths of certain chains are bounded by $\operatorname{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{A}}/\mathbf{A})$.

To prepare the way we first make the following observation.

PROPOSITION 2.2.2. *Let $\mathbf{A}$ be an equidimensional Noetherian local ring of dimension $d > 0$ and M a finitely generated module of dimension d. Assume that N is a submodule of M such that $\dim(M/N) = d-1$. If M satisfies the condition S_1 of Serre and N the condition S_2, then M/N satisfies S_1 and in particular it is equidimensional.*

PROOF. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be an associated prime of M/N. It is enough to prove that $\mathfrak{p}$ has codimension 1. Applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}/\mathfrak{p}, \cdot)$ to the exact sequence

$$0 \to N \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/N \to 0,$$

gives the exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}/\mathfrak{p}, M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}/\mathfrak{p}, M/N) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}/\mathfrak{p}, N).$$

If height $\mathfrak{p} > 1$, the modules at the ends vanish, and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}/\mathfrak{p}, M/N)$ would be 0. □

PROPOSITION 2.2.3. *Let* $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{B} \subset \mathbf{B}' \subset \overline{\mathbf{A}}$ *be finitely generated graded* $\mathbf{R}$*-algebras all satisfying the* S_2 *condition of Serre. Then*

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{B}'/\mathbf{A}) = \operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}) + \operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{B}'/\mathbf{B}).$$

PROOF. Because $\mathbf{B}$, $\mathbf{B}'$ satisfy the condition S_2 and have the same total ring of fractions

$$\dim \mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A} = \dim \mathbf{B}'/\mathbf{A} = \dim \mathbf{B}'/\mathbf{B} = \dim \mathbf{A} - 1.$$

Noting that the quotients are equidimensional, for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}\mathbf{R}$, consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \to (\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} \to (\mathbf{B}'/\mathbf{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} \to (\mathbf{B}'/\mathbf{B})_{\mathfrak{p}} \to 0.$$

By Proposition 1.3.15,

$$j_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbf{B}'/\mathbf{A}) = j_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}) + j_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbf{B}'/\mathbf{B})$$

for every $\mathfrak{p}$, therefore

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{B}'/\mathbf{A}) = \operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}) + \operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{B}'/\mathbf{B}).$$

□

THEOREM 2.2.4. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Noetherian domain and* $\mathbf{A}$ *a semistandard graded* $\mathbf{R}$*-algebra of finite integral closure* $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$*. Consider a sequence of distinct integral graded extensions*

$$\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{A}_0 \to \mathbf{A}_1 \to \mathbf{A}_2 \to \cdots \to \mathbf{A}_n = \overline{\mathbf{A}},$$

where the $\mathbf{A}_i$ *satisfy the* S_2 *condition of Serre. Then* $n \leq \operatorname{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{A}}/\mathbf{A})$.

PROOF. By Proposition 2.2.3 and Remark 1.3.18, we have

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{A}}/\mathbf{A}) > \operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{A}_{n-1}/\mathbf{A}) > \cdots > \operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{A}_1/\mathbf{A}_0) > 0.$$

Therefore, $n \leq \operatorname{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{A}}/\mathbf{A})$. □

To illustrate the values of $\operatorname{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{A}}/\mathbf{A})$ may take we consider two classes of applications. First, let $\mathbf{A}$ be a semistandard graded domain over a field k and let $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ be its integral closure. If $\mathbf{A}$ satisfies the condition S_2 and $\mathbf{A} \neq \overline{\mathbf{A}}$, the graded module $\overline{\mathbf{A}}/\mathbf{A}$ has dimension $\dim \mathbf{A} - 1$. Its Hilbert polynomial satisfies

$$H_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}/\mathbf{A}}(n) = H_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}(n) - H_{\mathbf{A}}(n).$$

Therefore

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{A}}/\mathbf{A}) = \deg(\overline{\mathbf{A}}/\mathbf{A}) = \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{A}) - \mathrm{e}_1(\overline{\mathbf{A}}),$$

where $\mathrm{e}_1(\cdot)$ is the next to the leading coefficient of the Hilbert polynomial of the graded algebra. These coefficients are positive and

$$\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{A}) - \mathrm{e}_1(\overline{\mathbf{A}}) \leq \binom{\mathrm{e}_0(\mathbf{A})}{2},$$

according to [**34**], [**199**, Theorem 6.90].

Let now $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be an analytically unramified Cohen–Macaulay local ring of dimension d and I an $\mathfrak{m}$–primary ideal. If $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}[It]$,

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{A}}/\mathbf{A}) = \deg(\overline{\mathbf{A}}/\mathbf{A}) = \mathrm{e}_1(\overline{\mathbf{A}}) - \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{A}),$$

the switch in signs explained by the character of the Hilbert-Samuel function of $\mathbf{A}$. A focus is to bound $\mathrm{e}_1(\overline{\mathbf{A}})$, the main control of normalization algorithms for $\mathbf{R}[It]$. Several bounds are treated in [**147**], in particular

$$\mathrm{e}_1(\overline{\mathbf{A}}) \leq \frac{d-1}{2}\mathrm{e}_0(\mathbf{A}),$$

if $\mathbf{R}$ is a regular local ring.

As we are going to see, these cases can be vastly generalized, to arbitrary Rees algebras of ideals or modules, and to algebras which are finite over a polynomial subring.

2.2.3. Divisorial generation. Several of the modules that occur in the construction of normalizations have the property S_2 of Serre. It suggests the following notion of *generation*.

DEFINITION 2.2.5. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring and M a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. M is *divisorially generated* by r elements if there exists a submodule $E \subset M$ generated by r elements such that M is the S_2-closure of E.

THEOREM 2.2.6. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian domain and $\mathbf{A}$ a semistandard graded $\mathbf{R}$-algebra of finite integral closure $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$. Then $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ is the S_2-closure of a subalgebra generated by homogeneous elements $\mathbf{A}[z_1, \ldots, z_r]$, for $r \leq 1 + \mathrm{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{A}}/\mathbf{A})$.*

PROOF. This is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.2.4. Let $\mathbf{A}_0$ be the S_2-closure of $\mathbf{A}$. If $\overline{\mathbf{A}} \neq \mathbf{A}_0$, choose a homogeneous element $z_1 \in \overline{\mathbf{A}} \setminus \mathbf{A}_0$. In the same manner, if needed choose $z_2, \ldots$ and form the chain

$$\mathbf{A}_0 \subset \mathbf{A}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathbf{A}_r \subset \overline{\mathbf{A}}.$$

Let $r = \mathrm{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{A}}/\mathbf{A})$ and set $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}[z_1, \ldots, z_r]$. The S_2-closure of $\mathbf{B}$ contains all $\mathbf{A}_i$. □

In several cases, $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ may actually have higher depth while $\mathbf{A}_0$ is Cohen-Macaulay. For example, if $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ is a two-dimensional local normal domain and I is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary with a minimal reduction $J = (a, b)$, then in the sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}[Jt] \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{A}} \longrightarrow C \to 0,$$

the module C is Cohen-Macaulay, which can be used to bound its number of generators. Let us phrase one of these situations in the context of Corollary 1.3.35. A different and more comprehensive approach that emphasizes exclusively the multiplicity can be found in [**185**, Section 3].

THEOREM 2.2.7. *Suppose that $\mathbf{R}$ is a normal standard graded algebra (or a normal Noetherian local domain) and that $E \subset F$ are homogeneous modules of the same rank. If E is Cohen-Macaulay and F is a reflexive module with* $\operatorname{depth} F \geq \dim R - 1$, *then*

$$\nu(F) \leq \mathrm{e}_0(F) + \mathrm{e}_1(E) - \mathrm{e}_1(F).$$

PROOF. The module F/E is Cohen-Macaulay of multiplicity $\mathrm{e}_1(E) - \mathrm{e}_1(F)$, which will bound $\nu(F/E)$. □

REMARK 2.2.8. In the case of ideal above, $\deg(C) = \overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(J) - \mathrm{e}_1(J) = \overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(J)$, so that $\overline{\mathbf{R}[Jt]}$ will be generated over $\mathbf{R}[Jt]$ by

$$1 + \overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(J)$$

elements.

If I is an ideal of codimension one, what is the bound? Suppose I is unmixed. Then there is a minimal reduction (may assume the residue field of $\mathbf{R}$ is infinite) $J = (a, b)$. It is easy to see that $\mathbf{R}[Jt]$ is Cohen-Macaulay. The module $C = \overline{\mathbf{R}[Jt]}/\mathbf{R}[Jt]$ is Cohen-Macaulay and since at every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathbf{R}$ of codimension one $\mathbf{R}[Jt]$ is normal, the support of C is $\{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Thus $\operatorname{jdeg}(C) = \deg(C)$, therefore $\overline{\mathbf{R}[Jt]}$ is generated by

$$1 + \operatorname{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{R}[Jt]}/\mathbf{R}[Jt])$$

elements over $\mathbf{R}[Jt]$.

For contrast, wed briefly describe some of the related results of [**185**, Section 3]. Note that their emphasis in exclusively on the multiplicity. Let $\mathbf{A} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I$ be a reduced equidimensional affine algebra over a field k of characteristic zero, $n = \operatorname{emb}(\mathbf{A})$, of dimension $\dim \mathbf{A} = d$. We call $\operatorname{ecodim}(\mathbf{A}) = n - d$ the *embedding codimension* of $\mathbf{A}$.

LEMMA 2.2.9 ([**199**, Lemma 6.95]). *Let k be a field of characteristic zero, $\mathbf{S}$ a reduced and equidimensional standard graded k-algebra of dimension d and multiplicity e, and assume that $\operatorname{emb}(\mathbf{S}) \leq d + 1$. Let $\mathbf{S} \subset \mathbf{B}$ be a finite and birational extension of graded rings.*

(a) *The $\mathbf{S}$-module $\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{S}$ satisfies*

$$\deg(\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{S}) \leq (\mathrm{e} - 1)^2.$$

If $\mathrm{e} \geq 3$ then

$$\deg(\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{S}) \leq (\mathrm{e} - 1)^2 - 1.$$

If $\mathrm{e} \geq 4$ or if $\mathbf{B}$ is S_2 but not Cohen-Macaulay, then

$$\deg(\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{S}) \leq (\mathrm{e} - 1)^2 - 2.$$

(b) *If $\mathbf{B}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then*

$$\deg(\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{S}) \leq \binom{\mathrm{e}}{2}.$$

If moreover k is algebraically closed and $\mathbf{S}$ is a domain, then

$$\deg(\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{S}) \leq \binom{\mathrm{e} - 1}{2}.$$

THEOREM 2.2.10 ([**199**, Theorem 6.96]). *Let k be a field of characteristic zero and $\mathbf{A}$ a reduced and equidimensional standard graded k–algebra of dimension d and multiplicity e. If $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{B}$ is a finite and birational extension of graded rings with $\operatorname{depth}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{B} \geq d - 1$ then*

$$\nu_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{B}) \leq (\mathrm{e} - 1)^2 + 1$$

and

$$\operatorname{emb}(\mathbf{B}) \leq (\mathrm{e} - 1)^2 + d + 1.$$

REMARK 2.2.11. [**199**, Theorem 6.93(a)] and Lemma 2.2.9 show that the estimates of Theorem 2.2.10 can be sharpened under suitable additional assumptions. Indeed, if $\mathrm{e} \geq 3$ then

$$\nu_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{B}) \leq (\mathrm{e}-1)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{emb}(\mathbf{B}) \leq (\mathrm{e}-1)^2 + d.$$

If $\mathrm{e} \geq 4$ or if $\mathbf{B}$ satisfies S_2 and $\mathrm{e} \geq 3$, then

$$\nu_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{B}) \leq (\mathrm{e}-1)^2 - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{emb}(\mathbf{B}) \leq (\mathrm{e}-1)^2 + d - 1.$$

COROLLARY 2.2.12. *Let k be a field of characteristic zero and $\mathbf{A}$ a reduced and equidimensional standard graded k–algebra of dimension* 3 *and multiplicity* $\mathrm{e} \geq 3$. *The integral closure $\mathbf{B} = \overline{\mathbf{A}}$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\operatorname{emb}(\mathbf{B}) \leq (\mathrm{e}-1)^2 + 2.$$

REMARK 2.2.13. If in the setting of Theorem 2.2.10 and its proof, $\mathbf{T}$ is a homogeneous Noether normalization of $\mathbf{A}$, then $\nu_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{B}) \leq \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{e}-1)^2 + \mathrm{e}$, as can be seen by applying the theorem with $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{S}$. This bound is not strictly module-theoretic: the algebra structure of $\mathbf{B}$ really matters. The assertion fails if B is merely a finite $\mathbf{T}$-module, even a graded reflexive T-module, with depth ${}_{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{B} \geq d-1$. For example, let $\mathbf{T}$ be a polynomial ring in d variables over an infinite field and I a homogeneous perfect ideal of $\mathbf{T}$ of height 2 that is generically a complete intersection but has a large number of generators (such ideals exist whenever $d \geq 3$). There is an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \to \mathbf{T}[-a] \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow I \to 0\,,$$

with E a graded reflexive $\mathbf{T}$-module. Now indeed depth $E = d-1$ and $\deg(E) = 2$, whereas $\nu_{\mathbf{T}}(E) \gg 0$.

2.3. Normalization of Ideals

2.3.1. Introduction. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian domain and I an ideal. By the *normalization* of I we mean the integral closure of the Rees algebra of I,

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}[It] = \sum_{n\geq 0} I^n t^n \subset \overline{\mathbf{A}} = \sum_{n\geq 0} \overline{I^n} t^n.$$

The study of $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ has features that open opportunities beyond those examined in the previous sections. The ultimate goal is to describe properties of $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ that can be predicted from $\mathbf{A}$. To that end, following [**148**], we will introduce several multiplicity based measures.

S_2-ification. For the purpose of this section to assume that $\mathbf{R}$ is a Noetherian domain that is a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein ring. For an ideal I, we will assume that $\mathbf{B} = \overline{\mathbf{R}[It]}$ is a finitely generated module over $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}[It]$. For any graded subalgebra $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{B}$.

We are going to collect some properties of $\mathbf{C}$ ([**197**, Theorems 6.17, 6.18, 6.19]; see also [**199**, Proposition 4.4]).

PROPOSITION 2.3.1. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a normal domain of dimension d, and let $\mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{C}$ be a surjection with $\mathbf{S}$ a locally Gorenstein ring. If* $\dim \mathbf{S} = r$, *then*

(a) $\operatorname{Ext}^{r-d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{S})$ *is a canonical module $\omega_{\mathbf{C}}$ of $\mathbf{C}$.*

(b) *The S_2-ification of* $\mathbf{C}$ *is a graded subalgebra* $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{D} \subset \mathbf{B}$*, where*

$$\mathbf{D} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(\omega_{\mathbf{C}}, \omega_{\mathbf{C}}) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^{r-d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathrm{Ext}^{r-d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{S}), \mathbf{S}).$$

The other property permits the definition of an associated graded ring to $\mathbf{D}$ ([**199**, Proposition 4.6]).

PROPOSITION 2.3.2. *For any* $\mathbf{A}$*-algebra* $\mathbf{D} = \sum_{n\geq 0} D_n t^n \subset \mathbf{B}$ *satisfying the condition* S_2 *of Serre, the filtration* $\{D_n\}$ *is decreasing, and the associated graded ring*

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{R}) = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} D_n/D_{n+1}$$

satisfies the condition S_1 *of Serre.*

2.3.2. Indices of normalization. Suppose $\mathbf{R}$ is a commutative ring and J, I are ideals of $\mathbf{R}$ with $J \subset I$. J is a *reduction* of I if $I^{r+1} = JI^r$ for some integer r; the least such integer is the *reduction number* of I relative to J. It is denoted $\mathrm{r}_J(I)$. I is *equimultiple* if there is a reduction J generated by height I elements.

DEFINITION 2.3.3. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a locally analytically unramified normal domain and let I be an ideal.

(a) The *normalization index* of I is the smallest integer $s = s(I)$ such that

$$\overline{I^{n+1}} = I \cdot \overline{I^n} \quad n \geq s.$$

(b) The *generation index* of I is the smallest integer $s_0 = s_0(I)$ such that

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \overline{I^n} t^n = \mathbf{R}[\overline{I}t, \ldots, \overline{I^{s_0}} t^{s_0}].$$

(c) The *normal relation type* of I is the maximum degree of a minimal generating set of the presentation ideal

$$0 \to M \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_m] \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}[\overline{I}t, \ldots, \overline{I^{s_0}} t^{s_0}] \to 0.$$

For example, if $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ is a polynomial ring over a field and $I = (x_1^d, \ldots, x_d^d)$, then $I_1 = \overline{I} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)^d$. It follows that $s_0(I) = 1$, while $s(I) = \mathrm{r}_I(I_1) = d - 1$.

If $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ is a local ring, these indices have an expression in term of the special fiber ring F of the normalization map $\mathbf{A} \to \overline{\mathbf{A}}$.

PROPOSITION 2.3.4. *With the above assumptions let*

$$F = \overline{\mathbf{A}}/(\mathfrak{m}, It)\overline{\mathbf{A}} = \sum_{n\geq 0} F_n.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} s(I) &= \sup\{n \mid F_n \neq 0\}, \\ s_0(I) &= \inf\{n \mid F = F_0[F_1, \ldots, F_n]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if the index of nilpotency of F_i is r_i, then

$$s(I) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{s_0(I)} (r_i - 1).$$

Although these integers areell defined—since $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ is finite over $\mathbf{A}$—it is not clear, even in case $\mathbf{R}$ is a regular local ring, which invariants of $\mathbf{R}$ and of I have a bearing on the determination of $s(I)$. An affirmative case is that of a monomial ideal I of a ring of polynomials in d indeterminates over a field—when $s \leq d-1$.

REMARK 2.3.5. For normal ideals the information about the Hilbert function is very detailed–see, for instance a modern survey in [**123**]. It remains a goal to have for general normalizations that level of specificity.

2.3.3. Cohen-Macaulay normalization. Expectably, normalization indices are easier to obtain when the normalization of the ideal is Cohen-Macaulay. The following is directly derived from the known characterizations of Cohen-Macaulayness of Rees algebras of ideals in terms of associated graded rings and reduction numbers ([**2**], [**109**], [**172**]).

THEOREM 2.3.6. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring and let $\{I_n,\ n \geq 0\}$ be a decreasing multiplicative filtration of ideals, with $I_0 = R$, $I_1 = I$, and the property that the corresponding Rees algebra $\mathbf{B} = \sum_{n\geq 0} I_n t^n$ is finite over $\mathbf{A}$. Suppose that* height $I \geq 1$ *and let J be a minimal reduction of I. If $\mathbf{B}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then*

$$I_{n+1} = JI_n = I_1 I_n \quad \text{for every } n \geq \ell(I_1) - 1,$$

and in particular, $\mathbf{B}$ is generated over $\mathbf{R}[It]$ by forms of degrees at most $\ell - 1 = \ell(I_1) - 1$,

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} I_n t^n = R[I_1 t, \ldots, I_{\ell-1} t^{\ell-1}].$$

The proof of Theorem 2.3.6 relies on substituting in any of the proofs mentioned above ([**2**, Theorem 5.1], [**109**, Theorem 2.3], [**172**, Theorem 3.5]) the I-adic filtration $\{I^n\}$ by the filtration $\{I_n\}$.

COROLLARY 2.3.7. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local analytically unramified normal Cohen–Macaulay ring and let I be an ideal. If $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay then both indices of normalization $s(I)$ and $s_0(I)$ are at most $\ell(I) - 1$. Moreover, if I^n is integrally closed for $n < \ell(I)$, then I is normal.*

A case this applies to is that of monomial ideals in a polynomial ring, since the ring $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ is Cohen–Macaulay by Hochster's theorem ([**15**, Theorem 6.3.5]) (see [**155**]).

EXAMPLE 2.3.8. Let $I = I(\mathcal{C}) = (x_1x_2x_5, x_1x_3x_4, x_2x_3x_6, x_4x_5x_6)$ be the edge ideal associated to the clutter



Consider the incidence matrix A of this clutter, i.e., the columns of A are the exponent vectors of the monomials of I. Since the polyhedron $Q(A) = \{x|xA \geq 1;\, x \geq 0\}$ is integral, we have the equality $\overline{\mathbf{R}[It]} = \mathbf{R}_s(I)$, the symbolic Rees algebra of I (see [**59**, Proposition 3.13]). The ideal I is not normal because the monomial $x_1x_2\cdots x_6$ is in $\overline{I^2} \setminus I^2$.

The minimal primes of I are:

$$\begin{array}{llll} \mathfrak{p}_1 = (x_1, x_6), & \mathfrak{p}_2 = (x_2, x_4), & \mathfrak{p}_3 = (x_3, x_5), & \\ \mathfrak{p}_4 = (x_1, x_2, x_5), & \mathfrak{p}_5 = (x_1, x_3, x_4), & \mathfrak{p}_6 = (x_2, x_3, x_6), & \mathfrak{p}_7 = (x_4, x_5, x_6). \end{array}$$

For any n,

$$I^{(n)} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{7} \mathfrak{p}_i^n.$$

A computation with *Macaulay* 2 ([**68**]) gives that $\overline{I^2} = (I^2, x_1x_2\cdots x_6)$ and that $\overline{I^3} = I\,\overline{I^2}$. By Theorem 2.3.6, $I^{(n)} = II^{(n-1)}$ for $n \geq \ell(I) = 4$, where $\ell(I)$ is the analytic spread of I. As a consequence,

$$\overline{\mathbf{R}[It]} = \mathbf{R}[It, x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6t^2].$$

2.3.4. Integrality and multiplicity. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and let $J \subset I$ be ideals. If J is a reduction of I, say $I^{r+1} = JI^r$, for some r, this relationship has several expressions in terms of multiplicities. The simplest of these is when the ideals are $\mathfrak{m}$-primaries. It follows from the definition of the Hilbert-Samuel function that $\mathrm{e}_0(J) = e_0(I)$. The converse holds if $\mathbf{R}$ is a universally catenary local ring, according to a ground-braking theorem of Rees ([**153**]). Several extensions, to more general ideals have been proved since. The current state of affairs is that provided by [**50**] for ideals, and pushed to fuller generality for modules in [**184**].

THEOREM 2.3.9 ([**50**, Theorem 3.3]). *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be an equidimensional complete Noetherian local ring and let $J \subset I$ be $\mathbf{R}$-ideals. Then the following are equivalent:*

(a) *J is a reduction of I;*
(b) *If $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are the Rees algebras of I and J, then $j_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathrm{gr}(\mathbf{A})) = j_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathrm{gr}(\mathbf{B}))$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$.*

2.3.5. Briançon-Skoda theorems. Let us recall the notion of the Briançon-Skoda number of an ideal. If I is an ideal of a Noetherian ring $\mathbf{R}$, the *Briançon-Skoda number* $c(I)$ of I is the smallest integer c such that $\overline{I^{n+c}} \subset J^n$ for every n and every reduction J of I. The motivation for this definition is a result of [**12**] asserting that for the rings of convergent power series over $\mathbb{C}^n$ (later extended to all regular local rings) $c(I) < \dim \mathbf{R}$. The existence of uniform values for $c(I)$ has been established for much broader classes of rings (and modules) by Huneke ([**102**]). Examination of their proofs should yield effective bounds. Here we will derive a related invariant.

The following extends [**147**, Theorems 2.2 and 4.2], which dealt with equimultiple ideals. Let us recall:

DEFINITION 2.3.10. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension d. We say that $\mathbf{R}$ is unmixed, if $\dim \widehat{\mathbf{R}}/\mathfrak{p} = d$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}$, where $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}$ denotes the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic completion of $\mathbf{R}$. This is a notion that can be extended to modules. (Rings and modules with this property are equidimensional.)

There are numerous questions in local algebra that permit changes of rings of the form $\mathbf{R} \to \widehat{\mathbf{R}}$, noteworthy being consideration of Hilbert polynomials and their coefficients. In the case of unmixed local rings the following formulation is useful.

PROPOSITION 2.3.11. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be an unmixed complete local ring. There exists a Gorenstein local ring $\mathbf{S}$, $\dim \mathbf{S} = \dim \mathbf{R}$, an embedding of $\mathbf{S}$-modules*

$$\mathbf{R} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{S}^n.$$

Let us state this as:

PROPOSITION 2.3.12. *If $\mathbf{S}$ is a Gorenstein local ring and $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{S}/L$ is local ring such that $\dim \mathbf{S} = \dim \mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ is equi-dimensional and without embedded primes, then $\mathbf{R}$ can be embedded in a finitely generated free $\mathbf{S}$-module.*

PROOF. We are going to argue that $\mathbf{R}$ embeds into its S_2-ification $\mathbf{A}$, which in turn embeds into some $\mathbf{S}^n$:

(a) $\mathbf{R}$ has a canonical module: $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{S}/L, \mathbf{S})$.
(b) $\mathbf{A} = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S}}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{S}/L, \mathbf{S}), \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{S}/L, \mathbf{S})) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S}}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{S}/L, \mathbf{S}), \mathbf{S})$.
(c) The natural mapping $\mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{A}$ is an isomorphism at each associated prime of $\mathbf{R}$, therefore it is an embedding.
(d) Let $\mathbf{S}^n \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{S}/L, \mathbf{S}) \to 0$ be a surjection; dualizing with $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{S}}(\cdot, \mathbf{S})$ gives an embedding of $\mathbf{A}$ into $\mathbf{S}^n$.

Finally, the composition $\mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{S}^n$ gives the assertion. □

COROLLARY 2.3.13. *Let $\mathbf{S}$ is be a local ring and let $\mathbf{R} = S/L$ be a ring of dimension $\dim \mathbf{S}$. If $\mathbf{R}$ can embedded into a MCM $\mathbf{S}$-module then $\mathbf{R}$ is unmixed.*

PROOF. By assumption $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}$ embeds into a maximal $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}$-module, in particular $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}$ is equidimensional and has no embedded primes. □

THEOREM 2.3.14. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a reduced, unmixed ring and let* I *be an ideal of Briançon-Skoda number* $c(I)$*. Then*

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{R}[It]}/\mathbf{R}[It]) \leq c(I) \cdot \operatorname{jdeg}(\operatorname{gr}_I(\mathbf{R})).$$

PROOF. Let J be a minimal reduction of I. We consider the $\mathbf{R}[Jt]$-module $\mathbf{C} = \overline{\mathbf{R}[It]}/\mathbf{R}[Jt]$. Then $\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{C}) \geq \operatorname{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{R}[It]}/\mathbf{R}[It])$. By definition of $c = c(I)$, $\mathbf{C}$ is a submodule of the graded $\mathbf{R}[Jt]$-module

$$\mathbf{D} = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} J^{n-c}/J^n.$$

The inclusions $J^{n-c} \supset J^{n-c+1} \supset \cdots \supset J^n$ induce a filtration of $\mathbf{D}$. Because local cohomology commutes with direct sums, from the Hilbert functions of the factors in this filtration it follows that

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{D}) = c \cdot \operatorname{jdeg}(\operatorname{gr}_J(\mathbf{R})) = c \cdot \operatorname{jdeg}(\operatorname{gr}_I(\mathbf{R})).$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{C}) \leq \operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{D})$, so $\operatorname{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{R}[It]}/\mathbf{R}[It]) \leq c(I) \cdot \operatorname{jdeg}(\operatorname{gr}_I(\mathbf{R}))$. □

There are several extensions of this result if we broaden the notion of Briançon-Skoda number of an ideal.

THEOREM 2.3.15. *Let* k *be a perfect field, let* $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ *be a reduced* k*-algebra essentially of finite type. Suppose that* $\mathbf{R}$ *has isolated singularities. If* L *is the Jacobian ideal of* $\mathbf{R}$*, then for any ideal* I *of analytic spread* $\ell = \dim R$*,*

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{R}[It]}/\mathbf{R}[It]) \leq (\ell + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/L) - 1) \cdot \operatorname{jdeg}(\operatorname{gr}_I(\mathbf{R})).$$

PROOF. Let $\mathbf{D}$ be a S_2-ification of $\mathbf{R}[It]$. From Theorem 3.2.23, setting $\mathbf{C} = \overline{\mathbf{R}[It]}$ and $c = \ell - 1$, we have $L\overline{I^{n+c}} \subset D_n$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & D_n/D_{n+c} & & & & C_{n+c}/LC_{n+c} & & \\ & & \uparrow & & & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (LC_{n+c}+D_{n+c})/D_{n+c} & \longrightarrow & C_{n+c}/D_{n+c} & \longrightarrow & C_{n+c}/(LC_{n+c}+D_{n+c}) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Considering that the modules in the short exact sequence have dimension $\dim \mathbf{R}$ and that the module on the right is supported in $\mathfrak{m}$ alone, it follows that

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{D}) = \operatorname{jdeg}((L\mathbf{C}+\mathbf{D})/\mathbf{D})+\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{C}/L\mathbf{C}+\mathbf{D}) = \operatorname{jdeg}((L\mathbf{C}+\mathbf{D})/\mathbf{D})+\deg(\mathbf{C}/L\mathbf{C}+\mathbf{D}).$$

Given the embedding on the left and the surjection on the right, we have that

$$\operatorname{jdeg}((L\mathbf{C}+\mathbf{D})/\mathbf{D}) \leq \operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{D}/\mathbf{D}[-c]) = c \cdot \operatorname{jdeg}(\operatorname{gr}(\mathbf{D})),$$

and

$$\deg(\mathbf{C}/L\mathbf{C}+\mathbf{D}) \leq \deg(\mathbf{C}/L\mathbf{C}) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/L)\deg(\mathbf{C}/\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{C}).$$

Since $\operatorname{jdeg}(\operatorname{gr}(\mathbf{D})) = \operatorname{jdeg}(\operatorname{gr}_I(\mathbf{R}))$ by Proposition 1.3.25, we obtain the estimate of multiplicities

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{D}) \leq c \cdot \operatorname{jdeg}(\operatorname{gr}_I(\mathbf{R})) + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/L) \cdot f_0(\mathbf{C}),$$

where $f_0(C) = \deg(\mathbf{C}/\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{C})$.

Finally, we consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathfrak{m}C_n/C_{n+1} \longrightarrow C_n/C_{n+1} \longrightarrow C_n/\mathfrak{m}C_n \to 0.$$

Taking into account that $\operatorname{gr}(\mathbf{C})$ is a ring which has the condition S_1, $\bigoplus_n \mathfrak{m}C_n/C_{n+1}$ either vanishes or has the same dimension as the ring. Arguing as above, we have

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\operatorname{gr}(\mathbf{C})) = \operatorname{jdeg}(\bigoplus_n \mathfrak{m}C_n/C_{n+1}) + f_0(\mathbf{C}).$$

A final application of Proposition 1.3.25, yields either

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{R}[It]}/\mathbf{R}[It]) \leq (\ell + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/L) - 1) \cdot \operatorname{jdeg}(\operatorname{gr}_I(\mathbf{R}))$$

as in the assertion of the theorem, or

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{R}[It]}/\mathbf{R}[It]) \leq (\ell + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/L) - 2) \cdot \operatorname{jdeg}(\operatorname{gr}_I(\mathbf{R})),$$

if $\overline{I} \neq \mathfrak{m}$. □

The argument above are relatively indifferent to the ideal I, suggesting the convenience of defining the *Briançon-Skoda number of the ring* $\mathbf{R}$ as the integer

$$c(\mathbf{R}) = \sup\{c(I) \mid I \subset \mathbf{R}.\}$$

Conjecture 2.3.16. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring of finite Krull dimension. Then

$$c(\mathbf{R}[x]) \leq c(\mathbf{R}) + 1.$$

2.3.6. Equimultiple ideals. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be an unramified local domain and let I be an ideal. Suppose I is *equimultiple*, that is it has a reduction J generated by height I elements.

Proposition 2.3.17. *Suppose further that* $\mathbf{R}$ *is a Cohen-Macaulay ring, and let* $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_m\}$ *be the set of minimal primes of* I*. Then*

(a) $c(I) = \max\{c(I_{\mathfrak{p}_i}) \mid i = 1, \ldots, m\}$*, in particular if* $\mathbf{R}$ *is a regular local ring then* $c(I) \leq \operatorname{height} I - 1$*.*
(b) $\operatorname{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{R}[It]}/\mathbf{R}[Jt]) = \sum_{i=1}^m \operatorname{e}_1(\overline{I_{\mathfrak{p}_i}})$*.*
(c) *?*

Theorem 2.3.18. *Let* $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ *be a reduced local Cohen–Macaulay ring of dimension* $d > 0$ *and let* I *be an* $\mathfrak{m}$*–primary ideal.*

(a) *If in addition* $\mathbf{R}$ *is an algebra essentially of finite type over a perfect field* k *with type* t*, and* $\delta \in \operatorname{Jac}_k(\mathbf{R})$ *is a non zerodivisor, then*

$$\overline{\operatorname{e}}_1(I) \leq \frac{t}{t+1}\big[(d-1)\operatorname{e}_0(I) + \operatorname{e}_0(I + \delta\mathbf{R}/\delta\mathbf{R})\big].$$

(b) *If the assumptions of* (a) *hold, then*

$$\overline{\operatorname{e}}_1(I) \leq (d-1)\big[\operatorname{e}_0(I) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/\overline{I})\big] + \operatorname{e}_0(I + \delta\mathbf{R}/\delta\mathbf{R}).$$

(c) *If* $\mathbf{R}$ *is analytically unramified and* $\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}$ *is infinite, then*

$$\overline{\operatorname{e}}_1(I) \leq c(I)\ \min\{\frac{t}{t+1}\ \operatorname{e}_0(I), \operatorname{e}_0(I) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/\overline{I})\}.$$

PROOF. We may assume that $\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}$ is infinite. Then, passing to a minimal reduction we may suppose that I is generated by a regular sequence $f_1, \dots, f_d$. Notice this can only decrease $c(I)$. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be a local ring obtained from $\mathbf{R}$ by a purely transcendental residue field extension and by factoring out $d-1$ generic elements $a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}$ of I. To be more precise, $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}(\{X_{ij}\})/(a_1, \dots, a_{d-1})$ with $\{X_{ij}\}$ a set of $(d-1)d$ indeterminates and $a_i = \sum_{j=1}^{d} X_{ij} f_j$. Notice that $\mathbf{S}$ is also a birational extension of a localization of a polynomial ring over $\mathbf{R}$, and hence is analytically unramified according to [**131**, Theorem 36.8] and [**152**, 1.6]. Furthermore $\mathbf{S}$ is a one–dimensional local ring and the $\mathbf{S}$–ideal IS is generated by a single non zerodivisor, say $I\mathbf{S} = f\mathbf{S}$. From [**105**, Theorem 1] one has

$$\overline{I}\mathbf{S} = \overline{I\mathbf{S}}, \tag{2.3.18.1}$$

$$\overline{I^n}S = \overline{(IS)^n} \quad \text{for } n \gg 0. \tag{2.3.18.2}$$

The last fact combined with the genericity of $a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}$ yields $\overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I) = \overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I\mathbf{S})$. Moreover $\overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I\mathbf{S}) = \lambda(\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbf{S})$ as $\mathbf{S}$ is a one–dimensional analytically unramified local ring. Thus

$$\overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I) = \lambda(\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbf{S}). \tag{2.3.18.3}$$

In the setting of (a) and (b) the element δ is a non zerodivisor on $\mathbf{S}$. Furthermore Theorem 3.2.23 shows that

$$\delta I^{d-1} \subset \delta\ \overline{I^{d-1}} \subset I^n \colon \overline{I^n} \qquad \text{for every } n. \tag{2.3.18.4}$$

For $n \gg 0$, by (2.3.18.2), (2.3.18.4) and since $f^n\mathbf{S}$ is contained in the conductor $\mathbf{S}\colon \overline{\mathbf{S}}$, we obtain

$$\delta f^{d-1}\mathbf{S} \subset f^n\mathbf{S} \colon \overline{f^n\mathbf{S}} = f^n\mathbf{S} \colon f^n\overline{\mathbf{S}} = \mathbf{S} \colon \overline{\mathbf{S}}.$$

Hence

$$\delta f^{d-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}} \subset \mathbf{S} \colon \overline{\mathbf{S}}. \tag{2.3.18.5}$$

We prove (a) by computing lengths along the inclusions

$$\delta f^{d-1}\mathbf{S} \subset \delta f^{d-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}} \subset \mathbf{S} \colon \overline{\mathbf{S}} \subset \mathbf{S}. \tag{2.3.18.6}$$

Also recall that

$$\lambda(\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbf{S}) \leq t\ \lambda(\mathbf{S}/\mathbf{S} \colon \overline{\mathbf{S}}) \tag{2.3.18.7}$$

by [**76**, the proof of 3.6] (see also [**14**, Theorem 1] and [**37**, 2.1]). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{t+1}{t}\ \overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I) &= \lambda(\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbf{S}) + \frac{1}{t}\ \lambda(\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbf{S}) && \text{by (2.3.18.3)} \\
&\leq \lambda(\delta f^{d-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\delta f^{d-1}\mathbf{S}) + \lambda(\mathbf{S}/\mathbf{S} \colon \overline{\mathbf{S}}) && \text{by (2.3.18.7)} \\
&\leq \lambda(\mathbf{S}/\delta f^{d-1}\mathbf{S}) && \text{by (2.3.18.6)} \\
&= (d-1)\ \lambda(\mathbf{S}/f\mathbf{S}) + \lambda(\mathbf{S}/\delta\mathbf{S}) \\
&= (d-1)\mathrm{e}_0(I) + \mathrm{e}_0(I + \delta\mathbf{R}/\delta\mathbf{R}) && \text{by the genericity of } a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we prove part (b). The inclusion (2.3.18.5) yields the filtration

$$\mathbf{S} = \delta f^{d-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S} \subset f^{d-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S} \subset \ldots \subset f^2\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S} \subset f\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S} \subset \overline{\mathbf{S}},$$

which shows

$$\overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I) = \lambda(\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbf{S}) = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda(f^{i-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}/f^i\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}) + \lambda(f^{d-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}/\delta f^{d-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}). \tag{2.3.18.8}$$

Multiplication by f induces epimorphisms of $\mathbf{S}$–modules

$$\frac{f^{i-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}}{f^i\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}} \twoheadrightarrow \frac{f^i\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}}{f^{i+1}\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}}\ . \tag{2.3.18.9}$$

Now (2.3.18.8) and (2.3.18.9) show

$$\overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I) \leq (d-1)\ \lambda(\overline{\mathbf{S}}/f\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}) + \lambda(f^{d-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}/\delta f^{d-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}). \tag{2.3.18.10}$$

Next we claim that

$$\lambda(\overline{\mathbf{S}}/f\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}) = \lambda(\overline{I}/I). \tag{2.3.18.11}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(\overline{\mathbf{S}}/f\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}) &= \lambda(\overline{\mathbf{S}}/f\overline{\mathbf{S}}) - \lambda(f\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}/f\overline{\mathbf{S}}) \\
&= \lambda(\mathbf{S}/f\mathbf{S}) - \lambda(\mathbf{S}/\mathbf{S} \cap f\overline{\mathbf{S}}) \\
&= \lambda(\mathbf{S} \cap f\overline{\mathbf{S}}/f\mathbf{S}) \\
&= \lambda(\overline{f\mathbf{S}}/f\mathbf{S}) \\
&= \lambda(\overline{I}/I) \qquad \text{by (2.3.18.1).}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(f^{d-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}/\delta f^{d-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}) &\leq \lambda(f^{d-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\delta f^{d-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}}) \\
&= \lambda(\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\delta\overline{\mathbf{S}}) \\
&= \lambda(\mathbf{S}/\delta\mathbf{S}) \\
&= \mathrm{e}_0(I + \delta\mathbf{R}/\delta\mathbf{R}) \qquad \text{by the genericity of } a_1, \ldots, a_{d-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\lambda(f^{d-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}/\delta f^{d-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}) \leq \mathrm{e}_0(I + \delta\mathbf{R}/\delta\mathbf{R}). \tag{2.3.18.12}$$

Combining (2.3.18.10), (2.3.18.11) and (2.3.18.12) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I) &\leq (d-1)\ \lambda(\overline{I}/I) + \mathrm{e}_0(I + \delta\mathbf{R}/\delta\mathbf{R}) \\
&= (d-1)\big[\mathrm{e}_0(I) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/\overline{I})\big] + \mathrm{e}_0(I + \delta\mathbf{R}/\delta\mathbf{R}).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we prove part (c). Write $b = c(I)$. We first claim that

$$f^b\overline{\mathbf{S}} \subset \mathbf{S}\colon \overline{\mathbf{S}}. \tag{2.3.18.13}$$

Indeed, for $n \gg 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
f^n\mathbf{S} &\supset \overline{I^{n+b}\mathbf{S}} \\
&= \overline{(I\mathbf{S})^{n+b}} \qquad \text{by (2.3.18.2)} \\
&= \overline{f^{n+b}\mathbf{S}} \\
&= f^{n+b}\overline{\mathbf{S}} \qquad \text{since } n \gg 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $f^b\overline{\mathbf{S}} \subset \mathbf{S}$, proving (2.3.18.13). Now (2.3.18.13) yields the filtrations

$$f^b\mathbf{S} \subset f^b\overline{\mathbf{S}} \subset \mathbf{S}\colon \overline{\mathbf{S}} \subset \mathbf{S}, \tag{2.3.18.14}$$

$$\mathbf{S} = f^b\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S} \subset \ldots \subset f^2\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S} \subset f\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S} \subset \overline{\mathbf{S}}. \tag{2.3.18.15}$$

Filtration (2.3.18.14) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{t+1}{t}\,\overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I) &= \lambda(\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbf{S}) + \frac{1}{t}\,\lambda(\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbf{S}) && \text{by (2.3.18.3)}\\
&\leq \lambda(f^b\overline{\mathbf{S}}/f^b\mathbf{S}) + \lambda(\mathbf{S}/\mathbf{S}\colon \overline{\mathbf{S}}) && \text{by (2.3.18.7)}\\
&\leq \lambda(\mathbf{S}/f^b\mathbf{S}) && \text{by (2.3.18.14)}\\
&= b\ \lambda(\mathbf{S}/f\mathbf{S})\\
&= b\ e_0(I) && \text{by the genericity of } a_1, \ldots, a_{d-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand filtration (2.3.18.15) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I) &= \lambda(\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbf{S})\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{b} \lambda(f^{i-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}/f^i\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S})\\
&\leq b\ \lambda(\overline{\mathbf{S}}/f\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}) && \text{by (2.3.18.9)}\\
&= b\ \lambda(\overline{I}/I) && \text{by (2.3.18.11)}\\
&= b\ (\mathrm{e}_0(I) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/\overline{I})).
\end{aligned}$$

□

REMARK 2.3.19. The multiplicity $\mathrm{e}_0(I + \delta\mathbf{R}/\delta\mathbf{R})$ occurring in Theorem 2.3.18 can be bounded by

$$\mathrm{e}_0(I + \delta\mathbf{R}/\delta\mathbf{R}) \leq (d-1)!\ \mathrm{e}_0(I)\ \deg(\mathbf{R}/\delta\mathbf{R}),$$

where $\deg(\mathbf{R}/\delta\mathbf{R})$ is the multiplicity of the local ring $\mathbf{R}/\delta\mathbf{R}$. Indeed, [**114**, Theorem 3] gives

$$\mathrm{e}_0(I + \delta\mathbf{R}/\delta\mathbf{R}) \leq (d-1)!\ \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I + \delta\mathbf{R})\ \deg(\mathbf{R}/\delta\mathbf{R}).$$

COROLLARY 2.3.20. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a regular local ring of dimension $d > 0$ and let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$–primary ideal. Then*

$$\mathrm{e}_1(I) \leq \overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I) \leq (d-1)\ \min\{\frac{\mathrm{e}_0(I)}{2}, \mathrm{e}_0(I) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/\overline{I})\}.$$

PROOF. We may assume that $\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}$ is infinite. The classical Briançon–Skoda theorem gives that $c(I) \leq d-1$, see [**120**, Theorem 1]. The assertions now follow from Theorems 2.3.14 and 2.3.18(c). □

We are now going to use Corollary 2.3.20 to bound the length of divisorial chains for classes of Rees algebras.

COROLLARY 2.3.21. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a regular local ring of dimension $d > 0$ and let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$–primary ideal. Then $(d-1)\ \min\{\frac{\mathrm{e}_0(I)}{2}, \mathrm{e}_0(I) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/\overline{I})\} + 1$ bounds the length of any chain of graded $\mathbf{R}$–subalgebras satisfying the condition S_2 of Serre lying between $\mathbf{R}[It]$ and $\overline{\mathbf{R}[It]}$.*

PROOF. The assertion follows from Theorem 2.2.4 and Corollary 2.3.20. □

REMARK 2.3.22. Let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be a regular local ring of dimension 2 and let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$–primary integrally closed ideal. Then $\mathrm{e}_1(I) = \overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I) = \mathrm{e}_0(I) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I) \leq \frac{\mathrm{e}_0(I)}{2}$. This follows, for instance, from Corollary 2.3.20 combined with the inequality $\mathrm{e}_1(I) \geq \mathrm{e}_0(I) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I)$, see [**137**, Theorem 1]. Furthermore by [**101**, 2.1] or [**140**, 3.3], the equality $\mathrm{e}_1(I) = \mathrm{e}_0(I) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I)$ implies that I has reduction number at most one if $\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}$ is infinite, a fact proved in [**121**, 5.4]. Thus $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is Cohen–Macaulay according to [**186**, 3.1] and [**67**, 3.10]. Now by [**209**, Theorem 2′, p. 385], I is normal.

REMARK 2.3.23. Let k be an infinite field, write $\mathbf{R} = k[X_1,\ldots,X_d]_{(X_1,\ldots,X_d)}$, let $\mathfrak{m}$ denote the maximal ideal of $\mathbf{R}$, and let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$–primary $\mathbf{R}$–ideal generated by homogeneous polynomials in $k[X_1,\ldots,X_d]$ of degree s. Then $\overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I) = \mathrm{e}_1(\mathfrak{m}^s) = \frac{d-1}{2}\mathrm{e}_0(I)(1-\frac{1}{s}) \approx \frac{d-1}{2}\mathrm{e}_0(I)$. This shows that the estimate of Corollary 2.3.20 is essentially sharp.

PROPOSITION 2.3.24. *Let k be a perfect field, let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be a reduced local Cohen–Macaulay k–algebra essentially of finite type of dimension $d>0$, and let $\delta \in \mathrm{Jac}_k(\mathbf{R})$ be a non zerodivisor. Then for any $\mathfrak{m}$–primary ideal I,*

$$c(I) \leq d - 1 + \mathrm{e}_0(I + \delta\mathbf{R}/\delta\mathbf{R}).$$

PROOF. We may assume that $\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}$ is infinite. Then, replacing I by a minimal reduction with the same Briançon–Skoda number we may suppose that I is generated by a regular sequence of length d. As in the proof of Theorem 2.3.18 let $\mathbf{S}$ be a local ring obtained from $\mathbf{R}$ by a purely transcendental residue field extension and by factoring out $d-1$ generic elements $a_1,\ldots,a_{d-1}$ of I. Write $I\mathbf{S} = f\mathbf{S}$ and let b be the smallest non negative integer with $f^b\overline{\mathbf{S}} \subset \mathbf{S}\colon \overline{\mathbf{S}}$.

We first claim that

$$c(I) \leq b. \tag{2.3.24.1}$$

Indeed, for any integer $n \geq 0$ we have

$$\overline{I^{n+b}}\mathbf{S} \subset \overline{I^{n+b}\mathbf{S}} \subset f^{n+b}\overline{\mathbf{S}} \subset f^n\mathbf{S},$$

hence $\overline{I^{n+b}}\mathbf{S} \subset I^n\mathbf{S}$. As $\mathrm{gr}_I(\mathbf{R})$ is a polynomial ring in d variables over $\mathbf{R}/I$, the generic choice of $a_1,\ldots,a_{d-1}$ gives that $\mathrm{gr}_I(\mathbf{R})$ embeds into $\mathrm{gr}_{I\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{S})$. Therefore $\overline{I^{n+b}} \subset I^n$, proving (2.3.24.1).

By (2.3.24.1) it suffices to show that $b \leq d - 1 + \mathrm{e}_0(I + \delta\mathbf{R}/\delta\mathbf{R})$. To this end we may assume $b \geq d-1$. The definition of b yields the filtration

$$\mathbf{S} = f^b\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S} \subset \ldots \subset f^{d-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}. \tag{2.3.24.2}$$

On the other hand (2.3.18.5) implies

$$\mathbf{S} = \delta f^{d-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S} \subset f^{d-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}. \tag{2.3.24.3}$$

If $f^i\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S} = f^{i-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}$ for some $b \geq i \geq d$, then multiplication by f^{b-i} yields $\mathbf{S} = f^b\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S} = f^{b-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}$, contradicting the minimality of b. Thus (2.3.24.2) gives

$$\lambda(f^{d-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{S}/\mathbf{S}) \geq b - d + 1.$$

On the other hand from (2.3.18.12) and (2.3.24.3) we deduce

$$\lambda(f^{d-1}\overline{\mathbf{S}}+\mathbf{S}/\mathbf{S}) \leq \mathrm{e}_0(I+\delta\mathbf{R}/\delta\mathbf{R}).$$

Thus $b-d+1 \leq \mathrm{e}_0(I+\delta\mathbf{R}/\delta\mathbf{R})$. □

REMARK 2.3.25. In the setting of the proof of Proposition 2.3.24, $c(I)=c(I\mathbf{S})=b$. Indeed, (2.3.18.2) implies that for $n \gg 0$,

$$f^{n+c(I)}\overline{\mathbf{S}} = \overline{I^{n+c(I)}\mathbf{S}} = \overline{I^{n+c(I)}}\mathbf{S} \subset I^n\mathbf{S} = f^n\mathbf{S},$$

showing that $f^{c(I)}\overline{\mathbf{S}} \subset \mathbf{S}$. Hence $b \leq c(I)$ and then $b=c(I)$ by (2.3.24.1). Clearly $c(I\mathbf{S}) \leq b$. For $n \gg 0$, $f^{n+c(I\mathbf{S})}\overline{\mathbf{S}} = \overline{f^{n+c(IS)}\mathbf{S}} \subset f^n\mathbf{S}$ and therefore $f^{c(I\mathbf{S})}\overline{\mathbf{S}} \subset \mathbf{S}$, showing that $b \leq c(I\mathbf{S})$.

2.3.7. Ideals of positive dimension.

2.4. Sally Modules and Normalization of Ideals

2.4.1. Introduction. Let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be an analytically unramified local ring of dimension d and I an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. Let $\mathcal{F}=\{F_n,\ n\geq 0\}$ be a decreasing, multiplicative filtration of ideals, with $F_0=R$, $F_1=I$, with the property that the corresponding Rees algebra

$$\mathcal{R}=R(\mathcal{F})=\sum_{n\geq 0}F_nt^n$$

is a Noetherian ring. We will examine in detail the case when $\mathcal{F}$ is a subfiltration of the integral closure filtration of the powers of I, $F_n \subset \overline{I^n}$.

There are several algebraic structures attached to $\mathcal{F}$, among which we single out the associated graded ring of $\mathcal{F}$ and its Sally modules. The first is

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R})=\sum_{n\geq 0}F_n/F_{n+1},$$

whose properties are closely linked to $\mathbf{R}(\mathcal{F})$. We note that if J is a minimal reduction of F_1, then $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R})$ is a finite generated module over $\mathrm{gr}_J(\mathbf{R})$, so that it a semi-standard graded algebra.

To define the Sally module, we choose a minimal reduction J of I (if need be, we may assume that the residue field of $\mathbf{R}$ is infinite). Note that $\mathcal{R}$ is a finite extension of the Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}_0=\mathbf{R}[Jt]$ of the ideal J. The corresponding Sally module $\mathbf{S}$ defined by the exact sequence of finitely generated modules over $\mathcal{R}_0$,

$$0 \to F_1\mathcal{R}_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_+[+1] \longrightarrow S=\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty}F_{n+1}/J^nF_1 \to 0. \tag{2.4.0.1}$$

As an $\mathcal{R}_0$-module, $\mathbf{S}$ is annihilated by an $\mathfrak{m}$–primary ideal. If $S\neq 0$, $\dim S \leq d$, with equality if $\mathbf{R}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. The Artinian module

$$S/JtS=\bigoplus_{k\geq 1}F_{k+1}/JF_k$$

gives some control over the number of generators of $\mathcal{R}$ as an $\mathcal{R}_0$-module. If S is Cohen-Macaulay, this number is also its multiplicity. It would, of course, be more useful to obtain bounds for the length of $\mathcal{R}/(\mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{R}_+)\mathcal{R}$, but this requires lots more.

2.4.2. General properties of Sally modules. The cohomological properties of $\mathcal{R}$, $\operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R})$ and S become more entwined when $\mathbf{R}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Indeed, under this condition, the exact sequence (2.4.0.1) and the exact sequences (originally paired in [**99**]):

$$0 \to \mathcal{R}_+[+1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}) \to 0 \tag{2.4.0.2}$$

$$0 \to \mathcal{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow R \to 0, \tag{2.4.0.3}$$

with the tautological isomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}_+[+1] \cong \mathcal{R}_+$$

gives a fluid mechanism to pass cohomological information around.

PROPOSITION 2.4.1. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d and $\mathcal{F}$ a filtration as above. Then*

(a) $\operatorname{depth} \mathcal{R} \leq \operatorname{depth} \operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}) + 1$, *with equality if* $\operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R})$ *is not Cohen-Macaulay.*
(b) $\operatorname{depth} S \leq \operatorname{depth} \operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}) + 1$, *with equality if* $\operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R})$ *is not Cohen-Macaulay.*

PROOF. For (a), see [**199**, Theorem 3.25]. For (b), it follows simply because $F_1\mathcal{R}_0$ is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay $\mathcal{R}_0$-module. □

2.4.3. Hilbert functions. Another connection between $\mathcal{F}$ and S is realized via their Hilbert functions. Set

$$H_{\mathcal{F}}(n) = \lambda(\mathbf{R}/F_n), \quad H_S(n-1) = \lambda(F_n/IJ^{n-1}).$$

The associated Poincaré-series

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{F}}(t) = \frac{f(t)}{(1-t)^{d+1}},$$

$$\mathbb{P}_S(t) = \frac{g(t)}{(1-t)^d}$$

are related by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{F}}(t) &= \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{R}/J)\cdot t}{(1-t)^{d+1}} + \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I)(1-t)}{(1-t)^{d+1}} - H_S(t) \\ &= \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I) + \lambda(I/J)\cdot t}{(1-t)^{d+1}} - H_S(t). \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 2.4.2. *The h-polynomials $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ are related by*

$$f(t) = \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I) + \lambda(I/J)\cdot t - (1-t)g(t). \tag{2.4.2.1}$$

In particular, if $f(t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} a_i t^i$ and $g(t) = \sum_{n\geq 1} b_i t^i$, then for $i \geq 2$

$$a_i = b_{i-1} - b_i.$$

COROLLARY 2.4.3. *If moreover* $\operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R})$ *is Cohen-Macaulay, then the* h*-vector of* S *is positive and non-increasing,*

$$b_i \geq 0, \quad b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \cdots \geq 0.$$

In particular, if $b_{k+1} = 0$ *for some* k*, then* $\mathcal{R}$ *is generated by its elements of degree at most* k*.*

PROOF. That $b_i \geq 0$ follows because S is Cohen–Macaulay, while the positivity of the a_i's for the same reason and the difference relation shows that $b_{i-1} \geq b_i$. For the other assertion, since S is Cohen-Macaulay, $b_k = \lambda(F_{k+1}/JF_k)$ so that if it vanishes no fresh generators for F_{k+1} are needed. □

REMARK 2.4.4. The equality (2.4.2.1) has several useful general properties, of which we remark the following. For $k \geq 2$, one has

$$f^{(k)}(1) = kg^{(k-1)}(1),$$

that is the coefficients e_i of the Hilbert polynomials of $\operatorname{gr}_F(\mathbf{R})$ and $\mathbf{S}$ are identical, more precisely

$$\mathrm{e}_{i+1}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{e}_i(S), \quad i \geq 1.$$

Observe that when $\operatorname{depth} \operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}) \geq d-1$, S is Cohen–Macaulay so its h-vector is positive, and therefore all the e_i along with it (see [**125**, Corollary 2]).

COROLLARY 2.4.5. *p If* $\operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R})$ *is Cohen-Macaulay and* $g(t)$ *is a polynomial of degree at most* 4*, then*

$$\mathrm{e}_2(\mathcal{F}) \geq \mathrm{e}_3(\mathcal{F}) \geq \mathrm{e}_4(\mathcal{F}) \geq \mathrm{e}_5(\mathcal{F}).$$

PROOF. By our assumption

$$g(t) = b_1 t + b_2 t^2 + b_3 t^3 + b_4 t^4.$$

As

$$\mathrm{e}_{k+1}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{e}_k(S) = \frac{g^{(k)}(1)}{k!},$$

we have the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}_2(\mathcal{F}) &= b_1 + 2b_2 + 3b_3 + 4b_4 \\ \mathrm{e}_3(\mathcal{F}) &= b_2 + 3b_3 + 6b_4 \\ \mathrm{e}_4(\mathcal{F}) &= b_3 + 4b_4 \\ \mathrm{e}_5(\mathcal{F}) &= b_4 \end{aligned}$$

Now the assertion follows because $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq b_3 \geq b_4 \geq 0$ according to Corollary 2.4.3. □

This considerably lowers the possible number of distinct Hilbert functions for such algebras.

REMARK 2.4.6. The assumptions of Corollary 2.4.5 are satisfied for instance if $\dim \mathbf{R} \leq 6$ and $\mathcal{R}$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

2.4.4. Sally modules and Hilbert coefficients. Depth estimates, the Sally module provides a quick way to establish the positivity of certain Hilbert coefficients.

THEOREM 2.4.7. *Let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring of dimension d and infinite residue field. Let I be an ideal generated by a system of parameters, $\mathbf{A}=R[It]$ and $\mathbf{B}=\sum_{n\geq 0}I_nt^n$ a finite $\mathbf{A}$–subalgebra of $\mathbf{R}[t]$. Define the Sally module $S_{\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}}$ by the exact sequence*

$$0\to I_1\mathbf{A}\longrightarrow \mathbf{B}_+\longrightarrow S_{\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}}\to 0.$$

If I is a primary ideal and J is a minimal reduction, we set $S_J(I)$ for the corresponding Sally module. Then:

(a) *$S_{\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}}$ is an $\mathbf{A}$–module that is either 0 or has Krull dimension d, more precisely, has the condition S_1 of Serre.*
(b) *If J is a minimal reduction of I_1 and $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{R}[Jt]$, the multiplicity of $S_{\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}}$ is $s_0(S_{\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}})=\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{B})-\mathrm{e}_0(\mathbf{B})+\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I_1)$.*
(c) *The first 3 Hilbert coefficients, $\mathrm{e}_0(\mathbf{B}),\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{B}),\mathrm{e}_2(\mathbf{B})$, of the function $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I_n)$ are non-negative. Furthermore, if $\dim\mathbf{R}=2$, $\mathrm{e}_2(I)=0$ if only if the Sally module of I^q vanishes for $q\gg 0$.*

PROOF. We only prove the assertions regarding $\mathrm{e}_2(I)$ (Narita's Theorem [**134**]).

We first consider the case for $d=2$ and without great loss of generality take $I_n=I^n$. Let

$$\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I^n)=\mathrm{e}_0\binom{n+1}{2}-\mathrm{e}_1\binom{n}{1}+\mathrm{e}_2,\quad n\gg 0$$

be the Hilbert polynomial of I. Let q be an integer greater than the postulation number for this function. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I^{qn})=\lambda(\mathbf{R}/(I^q)^n) &= f_0\binom{n+1}{2}-f_1\binom{n}{1}+f_2\\ &= \mathrm{e}_0\binom{qn+1}{2}-\mathrm{e}_1\binom{qn}{1}+\mathrm{e}_2,\quad n\gg 0.\end{aligned}$$

Comparing these two polynomials in n, gives

$$\begin{aligned}f_0 &= q^2\mathrm{e}_0\\ f_1 &= q\mathrm{e}_1+\frac{1}{2}q^2\mathrm{e}_0-\frac{1}{2}q\mathrm{e}_0\\ f_2 &= \mathrm{e}_2.\end{aligned}$$

By [**199**, Theorem 2.11], the multiplicity $s_0(I^q)$ of the Sally module of I^q is given by

$$s_0(I^q)=f_1-f_0+\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I^q),$$

which by the equalities above yields $\mathrm{e}_2(I)=s_0(I^q)$, the multiplicity of the Sally module of I^q. Thus $\mathrm{e}_2(I)$ is nonnegative and vanishes if and only if the reduction number of I^q is less than or equal to 1.

In dimension $d>2$, we reduce $\mathbf{R}$ and I modulo a superficial element. □

2.4.5. Number and distribution of generators of normalizations. Another application of Sally modules is to obtain a bound for the number of generators (and the distribution of their degrees) of $\mathcal{R}$ as an $\mathcal{R}_0$-algebra. (Sometimes the notation is used to denote the number of module generators.) A distinguished feature is the front loading of the new generators in the Cohen-Macaulay case.

THEOREM 2.4.8. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a filtration as above.*

(a) *If* depth $\operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}) \geq d-1$, *the $\mathcal{R}_0$-algebra $\mathcal{R}$ can be generated by* $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{F})$ *elements.*
(b) *If* depth $\operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}) = d$, *the $\mathcal{R}_0$-algebra $\mathcal{R}$ can be generated by* $\mathrm{e}_0(\mathcal{F})$ *elements.*
(c) *If $\mathcal{R}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, it can be generated by $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_1/J) + (d-2)\lambda(\mathcal{F}_2/\mathcal{F}_1 J)$ elements.*

PROOF. (a) From the relation (2.4.2.1), we have

$$\mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{F}) = f'(1) = \lambda(F_1/J) + g(1).$$

From the sequence (2.4.0.1) that defines $\mathbf{S}$, one has

$$\nu(\mathcal{R}) \leq \nu(F_1/J) + \nu(S) \leq \lambda(F_1/J) + \nu(S).$$

Since $\mathbf{S}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, $\nu(S) = \mathrm{e}_0(S) = g(1)$, which combine to give the promised assertion.

(b) A generating set for $\mathcal{R}$ can be obtained from a lift of a minimal set of generators for $\operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R})$, which is given by its multiplicity since it is Cohen-Macaulay.

(c) Since $\mathcal{R}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, its reduction number is $\leq d-1$. Thus the h-polynomial of $\operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R})$ has degree $\leq d-1$, and consequently the h-polynomial of the Sally module has degree at most $d-2$. As the h-vector of S is decreasing, its multiplicity is at most $(d-2)\lambda(\mathcal{F}_2/\mathcal{F}_1 J)$, and we conclude as in (a). □

REMARK 2.4.9. A typical application is to the case $d = 2$ with $\mathcal{F}$ being the filtration $F_n = \overline{I^n}$.

REMARK 2.4.10. There are several relevant issues here. The first, to get bounds for $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{F})$. This is addressed in [**147**]. For instance, when $\mathbf{R}$ is a regular local ring of characteristic zero, $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{F}) \leq \dfrac{(d-1)\mathrm{e}_0}{2}$.

To further these calculations, natural questions are:

- How far away from depth $\operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}) \geq d-1$ can we go? In particular find classes of filtrations whose h-polynomials are positive.
- Extend estimations to equimultiple ideals. How to approach more general reductions?
- Look for connections in the spirit of [**105**, Theorem 2].
- How is the issue of no-gaps in the h-vector of S related to the old issue of no-gaps in the canonical module of the Rees algebra?
- In order to extend the bounds for $\nu(\mathcal{R})$, it would be helpful to prove a version of the Eakin-Sathaye theorem for non-adic filtrations. One guess is that there is already a version of this in [**199**].

2.5. Normalization of Modules

2.5.1. Introduction. Let us recall the notion of the Rees algebra of a module. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring, let E be a finitely generated torsion free $\mathbf{R}$–module having a rank, and choose an embedding $\varphi : E \hookrightarrow R^r$. The *Rees algebra* $\mathcal{R}(E)$ of E is the subalgebra of the polynomial ring $\mathbf{R}[t_1, \ldots, t_r]$ generated by all linear forms $a_1t_1 + \cdots + a_rt_r$, where $(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ is the image of an element of E in $\mathbf{R}^r$ under the embedding φ. The Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(E)$ is a standard graded algebra whose nth component is denoted by E^n and is independent of the embedding φ since E is torsion free and has a rank.

There is a more general notion of Rees algebra of a module (see [**44**] and the discussion in [**199**, pp. 10–11]). One of the reasons for taking the approach here is to benefit from the properties of a fixed embedding $E \subset R^r$, but also to define additional structures.

The algebra $\mathcal{R}(E)$ is a subring of the polynomial ring $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[t_1, \ldots, t_r]$. Following [**92**], we consider the ideal (E) of $\mathbf{S}$ generated by the forms $a_1t_1 + \cdots + a_rt_r$. Its Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}((E))$ (defined over $\mathbf{S}$) is much larger than $\mathcal{R}(E)$, but has an associated graded ring $G = \operatorname{gr}_{(E)}(\mathbf{S})$, something that $\mathcal{R}(E)$ lacks–a fact that makes the study of $\mathcal{R}(E)$ more difficult than the Rees algebras of ideals.

2.5.2. Reductions and integral closure of modules. There are several measures of size attached to a Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(E)$, all derived from ordinary Rees algebras. We survey them briefly.

PROPOSITION 2.5.1. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring of dimension d and E a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module of rank r. Then*

$$\dim \mathcal{R}(E) = d + r = d + \text{height } \mathcal{R}(E)_+.$$

PROOF. See [**199**, Proposition 8.1]. □

By analogy with the case of ideals, one defines:

DEFINITION 2.5.2. Let U be a submodule of E. We say that U is a *reduction* of E, or equivalently E is *integral* over U, if $\mathcal{R}(E)$ is integral over the $\mathbf{R}$-subalgebra generated by U.

Alternatively, the integrality condition is expressed by the equations $\mathcal{R}(E)_{s+1} = U \cdot \mathcal{R}(E)_s$, $s \gg 0$. The least integer $s \geq 0$ for which this equality holds is called the *reduction number* of E *with respect to* U and denoted by $r_U(E)$. For any reduction U of E the module E/U is torsion, hence U has the same rank as E. This follows from the fact that a module of linear type, such as a free module, admits no proper reductions.

Let E be a submodule of $\mathbf{R}^r$. The *integral closure* of E in $\mathbf{R}^r$ is the largest submodule $\overline{E} \subset \mathbf{R}^r$ having E as a reduction. (A broader definition exists, but we shall limit ourselves to this case.)

If $\mathbf{R}$ is a local ring with residue field k then the *special fiber* of $\mathcal{R}(E)$ is the ring $\mathcal{F}(E) = k \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{R}(E)$; its Krull dimension is called the *analytic spread* of E and is denoted by $\ell(E)$.

Now assume in addition that k is infinite. A reduction of E is said to be *minimal* if it is minimal with respect to inclusion. For any reduction U of E one has $\nu(U) \geq \ell(E)$

(here $\nu(\cdot)$ denotes the minimal number of generators function), and equality holds if and only if U is minimal. Minimal reductions arise from the following construction. The algebra $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is a standard graded algebra of dimension $\ell = \ell(E)$ over the infinite field k. Thus it admits a Noether normalization $k[y_1, \ldots, y_\ell]$ generated by linear forms; lift these linear forms to elements $x_1, \ldots, x_\ell$ in $\mathcal{R}(E)_1 = E$, and denote by U the submodule generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_\ell$. By Nakayama's Lemma, for all large r we have $\mathcal{R}(E)_{r+1} = U \cdot \mathcal{R}(E)_r$, making U a minimal reduction of E.

Having established the existence of minimal reductions, we can define the *reduction number* $r(E)$ of E to be the minimum of $r_U(E)$, where U ranges over all minimal reductions of E.

PROPOSITION 2.5.3. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring with infinite residue field, of dimension $d \geq 1$ and E a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module having rank r. Then*

$$r \leq \ell(E) \leq d + r - 1. \tag{2.5.3.1}$$

Moreover, if $E \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^r$ and $0 \neq \lambda(\mathbf{R}^r/E) < \infty$, then $\ell(E) = d + r - 1$.

PROOF. See [**199**, Propositions 8.3, 8.4]. □

Integral Closure and Normality. Let E be a module of rank r. Having considered the notion of reductions of E we make the following definition. This portion of our exposition is highly dependent on [**92**] and [**199**, Chapter 8].

DEFINITION 2.5.4. Let $E \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^r$ be an embedding of modules of the same rank. Then E is *integrally closed in* $\mathbf{R}^r$ if it is not a proper reduction of any submodule of $\mathbf{R}^r$. Further, E is *normal in* $\mathbf{R}^r$ if all components $\mathcal{R}(E)_n$ are integrally closed.

REMARK 2.5.5. As defined thus far, the integral closure of a module has a relative character. Thus if E allows two embeddings, $\varphi_1 : E \to \mathbf{R}^r$ and $\varphi_2 : E \to \mathbf{R}^s$, the integral closures of E may be different. An *absolute integral closure* for E could be defined as follows: let K be the field of fractions of $\mathbf{R}$, and set $T = K \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E$. For any valuation overring V of $\mathbf{R}$, we can consider the image of $V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E$ in $K \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E = T$, $VE \subset T$. The intersection $\overline{E}$ of these groups, where

$$E \subset \overline{E} = \bigcap_V VE \subset T,$$

is the desired closure. Note that when $\mathbf{R}$ is integrally closed it is easy to see that the integral closure of E in any embedding coincides with the absolute integral closure.

PROPOSITION 2.5.6. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a normal domain and E a torsion free finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. The Rees algebra*

$$\mathcal{R}(E) = \mathbf{R} \oplus E \oplus E_2 \oplus \cdots$$

is integrally closed if and only if each e_n is an integrally closed module.

PROOF. If each component e_n is integrally closed, we have

$$\bigcap_V V\mathcal{R}(E) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \bigcap_V VE_n = \mathcal{R}(E),$$

V running over all the valuation overrings of $\mathbf{R}$. For each V we have $V\mathcal{R}(E) = \mathcal{R}(VE)$, where VE is a finitely generated torsion free V-module and therefore $\mathcal{R}(VE)$ is a ring of polynomials over V since VE is a free V-module. This gives a representation of $\mathcal{R}(E)$ as an intersection of polynomial rings and it is thus normal. The converse is similar. □

Let $\mathbf{R}$ be an integral domain, and let $E \subset \mathbf{R}^r$ be an $\mathbf{R}$-module of rank r. Suppose $U \subset E$ is a submodule. Denote $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_r]$.

PROPOSITION 2.5.7. *U is a reduction of E if and only if the $\mathbf{S}$-ideal (U) is a reduction of the ideal $\mathbf{S}$-ideal (E).*

PROOF. Another way to express that U is a reduction of E is to say that U and E have the same integral closure in $\mathbf{R}^r$, in other words, for every valuation V of $\mathbf{R}$, $VU = VE \subset V^r$. A similar observation applies to the ideals (U) and (E) of $\mathbf{S}$. In this case, instead of using all the valuations of $\mathbf{S}$, it suffices to verify that for every valuation V of $\mathbf{R}$, $(U)V[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_r] = (E)V[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_r]$, which is equivalent to $UV = EV$. □

The invariants of the Rees algebras of E and (E) may be different. It is easy to see that $\ell(E) = \ell((E))$, the latter the special fiber relative to the maximal graded ideal. On the other hand, while clearly $\mathrm{r}_U(E) \leq \mathrm{r}_{(U)}((E))$, it is not clear that equality will always hold. The differences will be sharpened when we discuss the Briançon-Skoda numbers of E and (E).

2.5.3. Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity. Let us recall the definition of Buchsbaum–Rim polynomials. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension d and let $E \subsetneq \mathbf{R}^r$ be a submodule such that the length $\lambda(\mathbf{R}^r/E)$ is finite. Let E^n denote the image of the $\mathbf{R}$–linear map $S_n(E) \to \mathbf{S} = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} S_n = S(\mathbf{R}^r) = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_e]$. Buchsbaum and Rim proved that the length $\lambda(S_n/E^n)$ is a polynomial in n of degree $d+r-1$ for sufficiently large n ([**16**, 3.1 and 3.4]). This polynomial is called the *Buchsbaum–Rim polynomial* of E, which is of the form

$$P(n) = \mathrm{br}(E)\binom{n+d+r-2}{d+r-1} - \mathrm{br}_1(E)\binom{n+d+r-3}{d+r-2} + \text{lower terms.}$$

The positive integer $\mathrm{br}(E)$ in the Buchsbaum–Rim polynomial is called the *Buchsbaum–Rim multiplicity* of E. For any reduction U of E, it is known that $\mathrm{br}(U) = \mathrm{br}(E)$ ([**10**, 3.1]).

Let $\mathbf{R}$ be an analytically unramified normal local ring. Then since the integral closure $\overline{\mathcal{R}(E)}$ is finite over $\mathcal{R}(E)$, one obtains the following polynomial expression for $n \gg 0$,

$$\lambda(S_n/\overline{E^n}) = \overline{P}(n) = \overline{\mathrm{br}}(E)\binom{n+d+r-2}{d+r-1} - \overline{\mathrm{br}}_1(E)\binom{n+d+r-3}{d+r-2} + \text{lower terms.}$$

Notice that $\mathrm{br}(E) = \overline{\mathrm{br}}(E)$ because $\lambda(\overline{E^n}/E^n)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $d+r-2$ for $n \gg 0$ and $\mathrm{br}(E) - \overline{\mathrm{br}}(E) \geq 0$. More generally if $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} A_n$ is a graded subalgebra such that $\mathcal{R}(E) \subset \mathcal{A} \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(E)}$, then for $n \gg 0$,

$$\lambda(S_n/A_n) = P_{\mathcal{A}}(n) = \mathrm{br}(\mathcal{A})\binom{n+d+r-2}{d+r-1} - \mathrm{br}_1(\mathcal{A})\binom{n+d+r-3}{d+r-2} + \text{lower terms.}$$

Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian local ring and let $E \neq 0$ be a finitely generated torsion free $\mathbf{R}$–module. The module E is called an *ideal module* if E^{**} is free, where * denotes dualizing into the ring $\mathbf{R}$. For example, if $\mathbf{R}$ is Cohen–Macaulay ring of dimension at least 2, then a submodule $E \subsetneq \mathbf{R}^r$ having finite length $\lambda(\mathbf{R}^r/E)$ is an ideal module ([**173**, 5.1]). An ideal module E has a rank([**173**, 5.1]), say e, and affords a *natural* embedding $E \hookrightarrow E^{**} = \mathbf{R}^r$. Composing an epimorphism $\mathbf{R}^n \twoheadrightarrow E$ with this embedding, we obtain the $\mathbf{R}$–linear map $\varphi : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^r$ with image $(\varphi) = E$. Notice that the ith Fitting ideal $\mathrm{Fitt}_i(\mathbf{R}^r/E)$ of $\mathbf{R}^r/E$ is the $\mathbf{R}$–ideal generated by $(e-i)\times(e-i)$–minors of φ, and that these ideals only depend on E. Hence we may write $\det_0(E)$ for $\mathrm{Fitt}_0(\mathbf{R}^r/E)$. We define the *codimension* of E as the height of $\det_0(E)$, the *deviation* of E as $\mathrm{d}(E) = \nu(E) - e + 1 - \mathrm{grade}\ (\det_0(E))$, and the *analytic deviation* as $\mathrm{ad}(E) = \ell(E) - e + 1 - \mathrm{grade}\ (\det_0(E))$. An ideal module E is said to be a *complete intersection*, an *almost complete intersection*, or *equimultiple* if $\mathrm{d}(E) \leq 0$, $\mathrm{d}(E) \leq 1$, or $\mathrm{ad}(E) \leq 0$ respectively. At this point we need the notion of $\mathfrak{m}$–full modules. A submodule $E \subset \mathbf{R}^r$ over a local ring $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ is called $\mathfrak{m}$–*full* if there is an element $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $\mathfrak{m}E :_{\mathbf{R}^r} x = E$. Now we are ready to find bounds for the Buchsbaum–Rim multiplicity.

PROPOSITION 2.5.8. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be an analytically unramified Cohen–Macaulay normal local ring of dimension $d \geq 2$ and let $E \subsetneq \mathbf{R}^r$ be a submodule such that $\lambda(\mathbf{R}^r/E) < \infty$. Let s be the integer such that $\mathrm{Fitt}_{e-1}(\mathbf{R}^r/E)$ is contained in $\mathfrak{m}^s$ but not in $\mathfrak{m}^{s+1}$. Then*

$$e(\mathfrak{m})s^{d-1} \cdot \binom{d+r-2}{e-1} \leq \mathrm{br}(E) \leq e(\det_0(E)) \cdot \binom{d+r-1}{e-1},$$

where $e(\mathfrak{m})$ and $e(\det_0(E))$ are the Hilbert–Samuel multiplicities of the ideals $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\det_0(E)$ respectively.

PROOF. Let $\mathbf{S} = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} S_n = S(\mathbf{R}^r) = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_e]$ and let $\mathbf{R}^n \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbf{R}^r \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^r/E \longrightarrow 0$ be a presentation of $\mathbf{R}^r/E$. Since $\mathrm{Fitt}_{e-1}(\mathbf{R}^r/E) = I_1(\varphi) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^s$ and $E = \text{image } (\varphi)$, we have

$$\overline{E^n} \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{m}^{ns}} S_n \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0.$$

Since integrally closed modules are $\mathfrak{m}$–full ([**11**, 2.6 and 2.7]), we obtain

$$\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{m}^{ns}} S_n) \leq \nu(\overline{E^n}).$$

Now for sufficiently large n, we have a polynomial expression

$$\lambda\left(\overline{\mathfrak{m}^{ns}}/\overline{\mathfrak{m}^{ns+1}}\right) = \overline{e_0}\binom{ns+d-1}{d-1} - \overline{e_1}\binom{ns+d-2}{d-2} + \cdots + (-1)^{d-1}\overline{e_{d-1}} \leq \nu(\overline{\mathfrak{m}^{ns}}).$$

Let U be a minimal reduction of E. Then U is a complete intersection and using [**10**, 3.1 and 4.4], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{m}^{ns}})\cdot\binom{n+r-1}{e-1} &= \nu(\overline{\mathfrak{m}^{ns}}S_n)\leq \nu(\overline{E^n})\\ &= \nu(\overline{U^n})\leq \mathrm{br}(U)\cdot\binom{n+d+r-3}{d+r-2}+\binom{n+d+r-3}{d+r-3}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\frac{\overline{e_0}s^{d-1}}{(d-1)!(e-1)!}n^{d+r-2}+\text{lower terms}\leq\frac{\mathrm{br}(U)}{(d+r-2)!}n^{d+r-2}+\text{lower terms}.$$

Since $\mathrm{br}(E)=\mathrm{br}(U)$ and $\mathrm{e}_0=\overline{e_0}$, we have

$$\mathrm{br}(E)\geq e_0s^{d-1}\cdot\binom{d+r-2}{e-1}.$$

The other inequality follows from the fact that

$$\lambda(S_n/E^n)\leq\lambda(S_n/\mathrm{det}_0(E)^nS_n)\quad\text{for all } n\geq 0.$$

□

2.5.3.1. *Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity and* jdeg. Let $E\subset\mathbf{R}^r$ be a submodule of finite co-length. Let us show how to obtain how to obtain the Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity $\mathrm{br}(E)$ as an expression of an appropriate jdeg. As a side effect, it will permit the definition of a global Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity.

Proposition 2.5.9. *Let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian integral domain of dimension d and let E be a torsion free $\mathbf{R}$–module of rank r with a fixed embedding $E\hookrightarrow\mathbf{R}^r$. Then*

(a) *The components of $\mathbf{G}=\bigoplus_{n\geq 0}E^nS/E^{n+1}$ have a natural grading*

$$G_n=E^n+E^nS_1/E^{n+1}+E^nS_2/E^{n+1}S_1+\cdots.$$

(b) *There is a decomposition*

$$\mathbf{G}=\mathcal{R}(E)+H,$$

where $\mathcal{R}(E)$ is the Rees algebra of E and H is the $\mathbf{R}$-torsion submodule of G.

(c) *If $E\subset\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{R}^r$ and $\lambda(\mathbf{R}^r/E)<\infty$, $H=\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(G)$ has dimension $d+r$ and multiplicity equal to the Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity of E.*

Proof. Only Part (c) requires attention. The module H has a natural bigraded structure as an $\mathcal{R}(E)[y_1,\ldots,y_d]$-module which we redeploy in terms of total degrees as follows

$$H=\bigoplus_{n\geq 0}H_n,\quad H_n=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n}E^iS_{n-i}/E^{i+1}S_{n-i-1}.$$

Observe that $\lambda(H_n)=\lambda(S_n/E^n)$, the Buchsbaum-Rim Hilbert function of the module E (see [**16**]). For large n,

$$\lambda(S_n/E^n)=\mathrm{br}(E)\binom{n+d+r-2}{d+r-1}+\text{lower terms}.$$

(The nonzero integer $\mathrm{br}(E)$ is the Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity of E (see [**199**, Section 8.3] for details).) The degree of this polynomial shows that $\dim H = d + r - 1$. □

We could show directly, using properties of Rees algebras, that $\dim H = d + r - 1$ and thereby prove the existence of the polynomial above.

COROLLARY 2.5.10. *Let E be a module as above. Then* $\mathrm{jdeg}(\mathbf{G}) = \mathrm{br}(E) + 1$.

PROOF. It suffices to note that $\mathrm{Ass}_R(\mathbf{G}) = \{0, \mathfrak{m}\}$, and use the calculation above for $j_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{G})$ and take into account that $j_{(0)}(\mathbf{G}) = \deg(\mathcal{R}(E)_{(0)}) = \deg(K[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_r]) = 1$. □

We can extract additional degrees information from the decomposition $\mathbf{G} = H + \mathcal{R}(E)$.

DEFINITION 2.5.11. Suppose $E \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^r$ is a submodule of rank r. For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{R}) \setminus (0)$, the Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity of E is the j-multiplicity of H,

$$\mathrm{br}_{\mathfrak{p}}(E) = j_{\mathfrak{p}}(H).$$

When $\mathfrak{p}$ is a minimal prime of $\mathbf{R}^r/E$, this definition coincides with the Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity of E (or, of the embedding $E \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^r$).

THEOREM 2.5.12 ([**184**, Theorem 1.1]). *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a universally catenary integral domain and let E be a torsion free $\mathbf{R}$-module of rank r with an embedding $E \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^r$. Suppose U is a submodule of E of rank r, and fix the induced embedding $U \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^r$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) $\mathrm{br}(U_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathrm{br}(E_{\mathfrak{p}})$ *for each* $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$.
(b) *U is a reduction of E.*

PROOF. Under the conditions, it suffices to apply Theorem 2.3.9 together with Proposition 2.5.7. (A more general proof is that of [**184**].) □

2.5.3.2. *Buchsbaum-Rim coefficients.* Several questions could be asked about the Hilbert coefficients of modules (see [**55**]), that is about the range of values of $\mathrm{e}_1(J; M)$. We are going to raise some questions however about modules and their Buchsbaum-Rim coefficients.

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension d. The Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity ([**16**]) arises in the context of an embedding

$$0 \to E \xrightarrow{\varphi} F = \mathbf{R}^r \longrightarrow C \to 0,$$

where C has finite length. Denote by

$$\varphi : \mathbf{R}^m \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^r$$

a matrix with entries in $\mathfrak{m}$. There is a homomorphism

$$\mathcal{S}(\varphi) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^m) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^r)$$

of symmetric algebras whose image is $\mathcal{R}(E)$, and whose cokernel we denote by $C(\varphi)$,

$$0 \to \mathcal{R}(E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^r) = \mathbf{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_r] \longrightarrow C(\varphi) \to 0. \tag{2.5.12.1}$$

This exact sequence (with a different notation) is studied in [**16**] in great detail. Of significance for us is the fact that $C(\varphi)$, with the grading induced by the homogeneous homomorphism $S(\varphi)$, has components of finite length which for $n \gg 0$:

THEOREM 2.5.13. *$\lambda(S_n(\mathbf{R}^r)/E^n)$ is a polynomial in n of degree $d+r-1$ for $n \gg 0$,*

$$\lambda(S_n(\mathbf{R}^r)/E^n) = \operatorname{br}(E)\binom{n+d+r-2}{d+r-1} - \operatorname{br}_1(E)\binom{n+d+r-3}{d+r-2} + \text{lower terms.}$$

This polynomial is called the *Buchsbaum-Rim polynomial* of E. The leading coefficient $\operatorname{br}(E)$ is the *Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity* of φ; if the embedding φ is understood, we shall simply denote it by $\operatorname{br}(E)$. This number is determined by an Euler characteristic of the Buchsbaum-Rim complex ([**16**]).

Assume the residue field is infinite and $\mathbf{R}$ is unmixed. The minimal reductions U of E are minimally generated by $r+d-1$ elements. If $E = \mathbf{R}^n$, we refer to U as a *parameter module*. The corresponding coefficient $\operatorname{br}(U) = \operatorname{br}(E)$, but $\operatorname{br}_1(U) \leq \operatorname{br}_1(E)$. It is not clear what the possible values of $\operatorname{br}_1(U)$ are. In similarity to the case of ideals, there are the following questions:

(a) $\operatorname{br}_1(U) \leq 0$ according to [**74**] with equality if $\mathbf{R}$ is Cohen–Macaulay. When does a converse hold?
(b) When are the values of $\operatorname{br}_1(E)$ bounded, or even constant?
(c) What happens in low dimensions?

Let us begin an exploration, beginning with $\dim \mathbf{R} = 1$. In this case $U \subset F = \mathbf{R}^r$ is a module generated by r elements. Let $F_0 = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(F)$ and consider the exact complex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & U_0 & \longrightarrow & U & \longrightarrow & U' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F_0 & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & F' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with $U_0 = F_0 \cap U$. Note that U' is a free $\mathbf{R}'$-module, for $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{R}_0$, $\mathbf{R}_0 = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})$.

The Buchsbaum-Rim polynomial of U is easily seen to be

$$\lambda(\mathbf{R}'/(\det \varphi'))\binom{n+r-1}{r} - \operatorname{br}_1(U)\binom{n+r-2}{r-1} + \text{lower terms.}$$

The coefficient $\operatorname{br}_1(U)$ comes (with the sign reversed) from the multiplicity of $\mathbf{R}_0(x_1, \ldots, x_r)^n$ (Recall that by Artin-Rees $(\mathbf{R}_0 \cdot F^n) \cap U^n = 0$, for $n \gg 0$), that is

$$\operatorname{br}_1(U) = -r \cdot \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})).$$

To advance, we need a technique like that of Proposition 1.2.12, but applied to Buchsbaum-Rim coefficients.

CONJECTURE 2.5.14. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and let $U \subset \mathfrak{m}\mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter module. According to Hayasaka and Hyry ([**74**]),

$$\operatorname{br}_1(U) \leq 0.$$

In analogy with the case of ideals in [**53**], one asks whether $\mathbf{R}$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $\mathbf{R}$ is unmixed and

$$\boxed{\mathrm{br}_1(U)=0.}\ .$$

Interestingly enough, [**74**, Theorem 1.1] characterizes the Cohen-Macaulayness of $\mathbf{R}$ in terms of a single value of the Buchsbaum-Rim function of U.

2.5.4. Briançon-Skoda theorem for modules. One application of these estimations is to provide a module version of Theorem 2.3.14. It will have a surprising development. For that we recall the notion of the Briançon-Skoda number of a module following [**92**]. If E is a submodule of rank r of $\mathbf{R}^r$, the *Briançon-Skoda number* $c(E)$ of E is the smallest integer c such that $\overline{E^{n+c}}\subset F^nS_c$ for every n and every reduction F of E. In contrast, the Briançon-Skoda number of the ideal (E) of $\mathbf{S}$ is the smallest integer $c=c((E))$ such that $\overline{(E)^{n+c}}\mathbf{S}\subset F^n\mathbf{S}$ for every n and every reduction F of E. In particular this implies that $\overline{E^{n+c}}\subset F^nS_c$, so that $c(E)\leq c((E))$. Note that one has equality of analytic spreads $\ell(E)=\ell((E))$.

We shall refer to both $c(E)$ and $c((E))$ as the Briançon-Skoda numbers of E. When $\mathbf{R}$ is a regular local ring, applying the Briançon-Skoda theorem to $\mathbf{S}$, gives $c((E))\leq \ell(E)-1$.

Combining Theorem 2.3.14 and Corollary 2.5.10 one has:

THEOREM 2.5.15. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a reduced quasi-unmixed ring and let E be a module of Briançon-Skoda number $c((E))$ and Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity* $\mathrm{br}(E)$. *Then*

$$\mathrm{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{S}[(E)t]}/\mathbf{S}[(E)t])\leq c((E))\cdot(\mathrm{br}(E)+1).$$

We now give the module version of Theorem 2.3.15.

THEOREM 2.5.16. *Let k be a perfect field, and let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be an integral domain which is a k-algebra essentially of finite type and dimension d. Suppose that $\mathbf{R}$ has isolated singularities and L is the Jacobian ideal of $\mathbf{R}$. If $E\subset\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{R}^r$ be a module such that $\lambda(\mathbf{R}^r/E)<\infty$, then*

$$\mathrm{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{S}[(E)t]}/\mathbf{S}[(E)t])\leq (d+r+\lambda(\mathbf{R}/L)-2)\cdot(\mathrm{br}(E)+1).$$

PROOF. The argument is nearly identical to that of Theorem 2.3.15. First, note that LS is the Jacobian ideal of $\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{T}_r]$. Let $\mathbf{D}$ be a S_2-ification of $\mathbf{S}[(E)t]$. From Theorem 3.2.23, setting $\mathbf{C}=\overline{\mathbf{S}[(E)t]}$ and $c=\ell(E)-1=d+r-2$, we have $L\overline{(E)^{n+c}}\subset D_n$. Observe that for all large n, $\mathbf{C}_{n+1}=(E)\mathbf{C}_n\subset\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{C}_n$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & & & D_n/D_{n+c} & & C_{n+c}/LC_{n+c} & & \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (LC_{n+c}+D_{n+c})/D_{n+c} & \longrightarrow & C_{n+c}/D_{n+c} & \longrightarrow & C_{n+c}/(LC_{n+c}+D_{n+c}) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Considering that the modules in the short exact sequence have dimension $d+r-1$ and that the module on the right is supported in $\mathfrak{m}$ alone, it follows that

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{D}) = \operatorname{jdeg}((L\mathbf{C}+\mathbf{D})/\mathbf{D})+\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{C}/L\mathbf{C}+\mathbf{D}) = \operatorname{jdeg}((L\mathbf{C}+\mathbf{D})/\mathbf{D})+\deg(\mathbf{C}/L\mathbf{C}+\mathbf{D}).$$

Given the embedding on the left and the surjection on the right, we have that

$$\operatorname{jdeg}((L\mathbf{C}+\mathbf{D})/\mathbf{D}) \leq \operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{D}/\mathbf{D}[-c]) = c\cdot\operatorname{jdeg}(\operatorname{gr}(\mathbf{D})),$$

and

$$\deg(\mathbf{C}/L\mathbf{C}+\mathbf{D}) \leq \deg(\mathbf{C}/L\mathbf{C}) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/L)\deg(\mathbf{C}/\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{C}).$$

Since $\operatorname{jdeg}(\operatorname{gr}(\mathbf{D})) = \operatorname{jdeg}(\operatorname{gr}_I(\mathbf{R}))$ by Proposition 1.3.25, we obtain the estimate of multiplicities

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{D}) \leq c\cdot\operatorname{jdeg}(\operatorname{gr}_I(\mathbf{R})) + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/L)\cdot f_0(\mathbf{C}),$$

where $f_0(C) = \deg(\mathbf{C}/\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{C})$.

Finally, we consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathfrak{m}C_n/C_{n+1} \longrightarrow C_n/C_{n+1} \longrightarrow C_n/\mathfrak{m}C_n \to 0.$$

Taking into account that $\operatorname{gr}(\mathbf{C})$ is a ring which has the condition S_1, $\bigoplus_n \mathfrak{m}C_n/C_{n+1}$ either vanishes or has the same dimension as the ring. Arguing as above, we have

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\operatorname{gr}(\mathbf{C})) = \operatorname{jdeg}(\bigoplus_n \mathfrak{m}C_n/C_{n+1}) + f_0(\mathbf{C}).$$

A final application of Proposition 1.3.25, yields either

$$\operatorname{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{S}[(E)t]}/\mathbf{S}(E)t]) \leq (d+r+\lambda(\mathbf{R}/L)-2)\cdot(\operatorname{br}(E)+1),$$

since $\operatorname{jdeg}(\operatorname{gr}_{(E)}(S)) = \operatorname{br}(E)+1$. □

2.5.5. Complexity of the normalization of modules. Let us apply this result to the complexity of the normalization of the Rees algebra of a module $E \subset \mathbf{R}^r$, with $\lambda(\mathbf{R}^r/E) < \infty$. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be the Rees algebra of the $\mathbf{S}$-ideal (E). By Theorem 2.2.4, the chain of intermediate graded algebras $\mathbf{D}$,

$$\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{D}_0 \subset \mathbf{D}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathbf{D}_s \subset \overline{\mathbf{A}},$$

satisfying the condition S_2, has length bounded by $\operatorname{jdeg}(\overline{\mathbf{A}}/\mathbf{A})$. Each one of these algebras $\mathbf{D}_i$ contains the subalgebra $\mathbf{D}' = \mathbf{D}\cap\overline{\mathcal{R}(E)}$, inducing the chain of subalgebras

$$\mathcal{R}(E) \subset \mathbf{D}'_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathbf{D}'_s \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(E)}.$$

Therefore, if $\overline{\mathbf{S}[(E)t]}$ can be built in s such steps, $\overline{\mathcal{R}(E)}$ will also be built in s steps, although we cannot guarantee the algebras $\mathbf{D}'_i$ will satisfy the condition S_2.

The significance here comes when we compare the estimates for s which come from Theorem 2.5.15,

$$s \leq c((E))\cdot\operatorname{jdeg}(\operatorname{gr}_{(E)}(\mathbf{S})) = c((E))\cdot(\operatorname{br}(E)+1),$$

with

$$s \leq \binom{r+c(E)-1}{r}\cdot\operatorname{br}(E),$$

given by [**92**, Theorem 2.3], using chains of subalgebras of $\overline{\mathcal{R}(E)}$ satisfying the condition S_2. Despite the inequality $c(E) \leq c((E))$, one is likely to obtain in most cases significant enhancements.

2.5.6. A remark on the Zariski-Lipman conjecture. Let k be a field of characteristic zero and let $\mathbf{R}$ be a finitely generated k–algebra, that is a homomorphic image of a ring of polynomials $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I$. A k–derivation of $\mathbf{R}$ is a k-linear mapping $\delta : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ that satisfies Leibniz rule,

$$\delta(ab) = a\delta(b) + b\delta(a)$$

for all pairs of elements of $\mathbf{R}$. The set of all such maps is a (often non–commutative) algebra that is a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$–module $\mathfrak{D} = Der_k(\mathbf{R})$. The algebra and module structures of $\mathfrak{D}$ often code aspects of the singularities of $\mathbf{R}$.

A more primitive object attached to $\mathbf{R}$ is its module of Kähler differentials, $\Omega_k(\mathbf{R})$, of which $\mathfrak{D}$ is its $\mathbf{R}$–dual, $\mathfrak{D} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega_k(\mathbf{R}), \mathbf{R})$. More directly, the structure of $\Omega_k(\mathbf{R})$ reflects many properties of $\mathbf{R}$. Thus the classical Jacobian criterion asserts that $\mathbf{R}$ is a smooth algebra over k exactly when $\Omega_k(\mathbf{R})$ is a projective $\mathbf{R}$–module. For an algebra $\mathbf{R}$, without nontrivial nilpotent elements, local complete intersections are also characterized by saying that the projective dimension of $\Omega_k(\mathbf{R})$ is at most one. The technical issues are the comparison between the set of polynomials that define $\mathbf{R}$, represented by the ideal I, and the syzygies of either $\Omega_k(\mathbf{R})$ or $\mathfrak{D}$.

The *Zariski–Lipman* conjecture (ZLC) makes predictions about $\mathfrak{D}$, similar to those properties of $\Omega_k(\mathbf{R})$. The most important of these questions originated with Zariski–Lipman: *If $\mathfrak{D}$ is RR–projective then $\mathbf{R}$ is a regular ring.* In [**119**], the question is settled affirmatively for rings of Krull dimension 1, and in all dimensions the rings are shown to be normal. Subsequently, Scheja and Storch ([**165**]) established the conjecture for hypersurface rings, that is when $\mathbf{R}$ is defined by a single equation, $I = (f)$. The last major progress on the question was the proof by Hochster ([**85**]) of the graded case.

A related set of questions is collected in [**191**]: whether the finite projective dimension of either $\Omega_k(\mathbf{R})$ or $\mathfrak{D}$ necessarily forces $\mathbf{R}$ to be a local complete intersection. (It is not known whether this is true if $\mathfrak{D}$ is projective.) Several lower dimension cases are known, but the most significant progress was made by Avramov and Herzog when they solved the graded case ([**7**]).

We offer a comment on a reformulation of (ZLC). For terminology, we refer to [**199**].

PROPOSITION 2.5.17. (ZLC) *holds if $\Omega_k(\mathbf{R})$ is integrally closed.*

PROOF. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be the localization of an affine k-algebra $\mathbf{A}$ of Krull dimension r; $r = \dim \mathbf{R} + \text{tr. deg. }_k(\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m})$. The hypohesis actually means that $\Omega_k(\mathbf{R})$, modulo torsion, call it E, is integrally closed. It will suffice to show that E is $\mathbf{R}$-projective. We will argue by induction on the Krull dimension of R. Consider the natural embedding

$$E \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}^* = E^{**}.$$

We may assume that $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ is a local ring and that on the punctured spectrum of $\mathbf{R}$ the embedding is an isomorphism.

To make the argument less technical, we assume that $\mathbf{R}$ is the localization of $\mathbf{A}$ at one of its maximal ideals and that $\mathbf{R}$ is regular on the punctured spectrum.

If E is not contained in $\mathfrak{m}E^{**}$, E will have a free summand and therefore by an argument of Lipman-Zariski (cf. [**119**]) the completion of $\mathbf{R}$ has the form $\mathbf{R}_0[[x]]$. In that case we could reduce the dimension.

In the other case, E is contained in $\mathfrak{m}E^{**}$ and the cokernel is a module of finite length. Since E is integrally closed, it has the property that it is $\mathfrak{m}$-full, and in particular for any torsionfree module F with $E \subset F$ and $\lambda(F/E) < \infty$, the minimal numbers of generators satisfy $\nu(F) \leq \nu(E)$. For the case of $\mathfrak{m}E^{**}$, this would mean

$$\nu(\mathfrak{m}) \geq \nu(E) \geq \nu(\mathfrak{m}E^{**}) = \nu(\mathfrak{m}) \cdot \operatorname{rank}(E),$$

an inequality that implies $\dim \mathbf{R} = 1$, when (ZLC) is routine. □

CHAPTER 3

The Hilbert Coefficients and the Character of Local Rings

Introduction

Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian local ring. Our goal here to study what the values of the Hilbert coefficients of filtrations defined on $\mathbf{R}$ reveal about the nature of $\mathbf{R}$. It is an attempt to express in a single reading, or in a small number of samplings of these coefficients, the overall character of $\mathbf{R}$, that is whether properties such as being Cohen-Macaulay, Buchsbaum, Gorenstein, regular or have finite local cohomology are present.

- Chern coefficients of local rings
- Bounding Hilbert coefficients
- Euler coefficients

3.1. The Chern Coefficients of Local Rings

3.1.1. Introduction. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d > 0$, and let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. One of our goals is to study the set of I-good filtrations of $\mathbf{R}$. More concretely, we will consider the set of multiplicative, decreasing filtrations of $\mathbf{R}$ ideals, $\mathcal{A} = \{I_n, I_0 = R, I_{n+1} = II_n, n \gg 0\}$, integral over the I-adic filtration, conveniently coded in the corresponding Rees algebra and its associated graded ring

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{n\geq 0} I_n t^n, \quad \operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{n\geq 0} I_n/I_{n+1}.$$

We will study certain strata of these algebras. For that we will focus on the role of the Hilbert polynomial of the Hilbert–Samuel function $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I_{n+1})$,

$$H^1_{\mathcal{A}}(n) = P^1_{\mathcal{A}}(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} (-1)^i \mathrm{e}_i(\mathcal{A}) \binom{n+d-i}{d-i}, \quad n \gg 0,$$

particularly of its coefficients $\mathrm{e}_0(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A})$. These coefficients have an asymptotic character but have also a remarkable sensitivity to the structural properties of the ring $\mathbf{R}$ (see [**53**]).

Two of our main goals are to establish relationships between the coefficients $\mathrm{e}_i(\mathcal{A})$, for $i = 0, 1$, and marginally $\mathrm{e}_2(\mathcal{A})$. If $\mathbf{R}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring, there are numerous related developments, noteworthy ones being given and discussed in [**46**] and [**159**]. The situation is very distinct in the non-Cohen-Macaulay case. Just to illustrate the issue, suppose $d > 2$ and consider a comparison between $\mathrm{e}_0(I)$ and $\mathrm{e}_1(I)$, a subject that has received considerable attention. It is often possible to pass to a reduction $\mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{S}$, with $\dim \mathbf{S} = 2$, or even $\dim \mathbf{S} = 1$, so that $\mathrm{e}_0(I) = \mathrm{e}_0(I\mathbf{S})$ and $\mathrm{e}_1(I) = \mathrm{e}_1(I\mathbf{S})$. If $\mathbf{R}$ is

Cohen-Macaulay, this is straightforward. However, in general the relationship between $\mathrm{e}_0(I\mathbf{S})$ and $\mathrm{e}_1(I\mathbf{S})$ may involve other invariants of $\mathbf{S}$, some of which may not be easily traceable all the way to $\mathbf{R}$.

Our perspective is partly influenced by the interpretation of the coefficient e_1 as a *tracking number* introduced in [**34**], that is, as a numerical tag of the algebra $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A})$ in the set of all such algebras with the same multiplicity. The coefficient e_1 under various circumstances is also called the *Chern number or Chern coefficient* of the algebra.

3.1.2. Tracking number of a filtration. We discuss two interpretations for the coefficient $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A})$. They both require special settings. The first, involves the *determinant* of the associated graded rings with fields of representatives.

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring of dimension $d > 0$, with a coefficient (infinite) field $k \subset \mathbf{R}$, $k \simeq \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}$. For a filtration $\mathcal{A}$ as above, the associated graded ring

$$G = \mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{n\geq 0} I_n/I_{n+1}$$

admits a Noether normalization

$$\mathbf{S} = k[z_1, \ldots, z_d] \to G,$$

$\deg z_i = 1$. The multiplicity $\mathrm{e}_0(\mathcal{A})$ is the torsionfree rank of G as an $\mathbf{S}$-module. We may then define the *determinant* of G,

$$\det(G) := (\wedge^r(G))^{**} \simeq \mathbf{S}[-\delta], \quad r = \mathrm{e}_0(\mathcal{A}).$$

δ is the tracking number of G as an $\mathbf{S}$-module and is denoted by $\mathrm{tn}_{\mathbf{S}}(G) = \delta$.

Applying Proposition 2.1.12 gives:

PROPOSITION 3.1.1. *Let G_0 be the torsion $\mathbf{S}$-submodule of G. If $\dim G_0 < d-1$, then*

$$\mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{tn}_{\mathbf{S}}(G).$$

Otherwise,

$$\mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{tn}_{\mathbf{S}}(G) + \deg(G_0).$$

These equalities suggest that relationships between $\mathrm{e}_0(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A})$ are to be expected, a fact that has been repeatedly borne out. As for the coefficient $\mathrm{e}_2(\mathcal{A})$ it can often be understood as the degree of a *determinant*.

PROPOSITION 3.1.2. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d > 0$ with a field of coefficients as above, and J a minimal reduction for the filtration $\mathcal{A}$. Let $T = S_{\mathcal{A}/J}$ be the corresponding Sally module* [**199**, Theorem 2.11]. *If $T \neq 0$, it is a module of dimension d with the condition S_1 of Serre, and therefore torsionfree as a module over $\mathbf{S} = k[z_1, \ldots, z_d]$ of determinant*

$$\det(S_{\mathcal{A}/J}) := (\wedge^r T)^{**} \simeq \mathbf{S}[-\delta], \quad r = \mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A}) - \mathrm{e}_0(\mathcal{A}) + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I_1),$$

where the degree $\delta = \mathrm{e}_2(\mathcal{A})$.

PROOF. This is another application of Proposition 2.1.12. The interpretation of δ comes from [**199**, Theorem 2.11]. □

A second interpretation of $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A})$ uses the setting of normalization of ideals. Let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local domain of dimension d, which is a quotient of a Gorenstein ring. For an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I, we are going to consider the set of all graded subalgebras $\mathbf{A}$ of the integral closure of $\mathbf{R}[It]$,

$$\mathbf{R}[It] \subset \mathbf{A} \subset \overline{\mathbf{A}} = \overline{\mathbf{R}[It]}.$$

We will assume that $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ is a finite $\mathbf{R}[It]$-algebra. We denote the set of these algebras by $\mathfrak{S}(I)$. Each of these algebras

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum I_n t^n,$$

comes with a filtration that is decreasing $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq I_3 \supseteq \cdots$, it has an associated graded ring

$$\operatorname{gr}(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n/I_{n+1}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.1.3. *If $\mathbf{A}$ has the condition S_2 of Serre, then $\{I_n, n \geq 0\}$ is a decreasing filtration.*

PROOF. See [**199**, Proposition 4.6]. □

We are going to describe the role of the Hilbert coefficient $\mathrm{e}_1(\cdot)$ in the study of normalization of $\mathbf{R}[It]$ ([**147**], [**144**]). For each $\mathbf{A} = \sum A_n t^n \in \mathfrak{S}(I)$, we may consider the Hilbert polynomial (for $n \gg 0$)

$$\lambda(\mathbf{R}/A_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} (-1)^i \mathrm{e}_i(\mathbf{A}) \binom{n+d-i}{d-i}.$$

The multiplicity $\mathrm{e}_0(\mathbf{A})$ is constant across the whole set $\mathfrak{S}(I)$, $\mathrm{e}_0(\mathbf{A}) = e_0(I)$. The next proposition shows the role of $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{A})$ in tracking $\mathbf{A}$ in the set $\mathfrak{S}(I)$.

PROPOSITION 3.1.4. *Let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be a normal, Noetherian local domain which is a quotient of a Gorenstein ring, and let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. For algebras $\mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{B}$ of $\mathfrak{S}(I)$:*

(a) *If the algebras $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ satisfy $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{B}$, then $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{A}) \leq \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{B})$.*
(b) *If $\mathbf{B}$ is the S_2-ification of $\mathbf{A}$, then $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{A}) = \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{B})$.*
(c) *If the algebras $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ satisfy the condition S_2 of Serre and $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{B}$, then $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{A}) = \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{B})$ if and only if $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$.*
(d) *$\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{A}) = e_1(\overline{\mathbf{A}})$ if and only if $\mathbf{A}$ satisfies the condition R_1 of Serre.*

PROOF. The first two assertions follow directly from the relationship between Krull dimension and the degree of Hilbert polynomials. The exact sequence of graded $\mathbf{R}[It]$-modules

$$0 \to \mathbf{A} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A} \to 0$$

gives that the dimension of $\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}$ is at most $d-1$. Moreover, $\dim \mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A} = d-1$ if and only if its multiplicity is

$$\deg(\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}) = \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{B}) - \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{A}) > 0.$$

The last assertion follows because with $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ satisfying S_2, the quotient $\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}$ is nonzero, will satisfy S_1 and therefore has Krull dimension $d-1$. □

COROLLARY 3.1.5. *Given a sequence of distinct algebras in* $\mathfrak{S}(I)$,

$$\mathbf{A}_0 \subset \mathbf{A}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathbf{A}_n = \overline{\mathbf{R}[It]},$$

that satisfy the condition S_2 *of Serre, then*

$$n \leq \mathrm{e}_1(\overline{\mathbf{R}[It]}) - \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{A}_0) \leq \mathrm{e}_1(\overline{\mathbf{R}[It]}) - \mathrm{e}_1(I).$$

3.2. Bounding Hilbert Coefficients

3.2.1. Introduction. There are two classes of filtrations that will play an important role in this presentation. If $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ is a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d > 0$, we focus on:

- Parameter ideals $I = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ and their I-adic filtrations, and
- Integral closure filtrations $\{\overline{I^n}\}$.

The first type will be used to examine the structure of $\mathbf{R}$ itself, while the second group is an essential element in studying the structure of the normalization of blowups.

The observations above highlights the importance of having lower bounds for $\mathrm{e}_1(I)$ and upper bounds for $\mathrm{e}_1(\overline{\mathbf{R}[It]})$. For simplicity we denote the last coefficient as $\overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I)$. In the Cohen-Macaulay case, for any parameter ideal J, $\mathrm{e}_1(J) = 0$. Upper bounds for $\overline{e}_1(I)$ were given in [**147**]. For instance, [**147**, Theorem 3.2(a),(b)] show that if $\mathbf{R}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay algebra of type t, essentially of finite type over a perfect field k and δ is a non zerodivisor in $\mathrm{Jac}_k(\mathbf{R})$, then

$$\overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I) \leq \frac{t}{t+1}\big[(d-1)\mathrm{e}_0(I) + \mathrm{e}_0(I + \delta\mathbf{R}/\delta\mathbf{R})\big]$$

and

$$\overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I) \leq (d-1)\big[\mathrm{e}_0(I) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/\overline{I})\big] + \mathrm{e}_0(I + \delta\mathbf{R}/\delta\mathbf{R}).$$

For the I-adic filtration, the sharpest bounds were established by Elias ([**46**]) and Rossi-Valla ([**159**]): Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d, then

$$\mathrm{e}_1(I) \leq \binom{\mathrm{e}_0(I)}{2} - \binom{\nu(I)-d}{2} - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I) + 1.$$

In [**200**] there were listed some questions and conjectural statements about the values of e_1 for very general filtrations associated to the $\mathfrak{m}$–primary ideals of a local Noetherian ring $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$:

(a) (Conjecture 1: the negativity conjecture) Let $\mathbf{R}$ be an unmixed local ring. For an ideal J, generated by a system of parameters, $\mathrm{e}_1(J) < 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{R}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay.

(b) (Conjecture 2: the positivity conjecture) For every $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I, for its integral closure filtration $\mathcal{A}$

$$\mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A}) \geq 0.$$

(c) (Conjecture 3: the uniformity conjecture) For each Noetherian local ring $\mathbf{R}$, there exist two functions $\mathbf{f}_l(\cdot)$, $\mathbf{f}_u(\cdot)$ defined with some extended multiplicity degree over $\mathbf{R}$, such that for each $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I and any I-good filtration $\mathcal{A}$

$$\mathbf{f}_l(I) \leq \mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A}) \leq \mathbf{f}_u(I).$$

(d) (Question 4: the independence question) For any two minimal reductions J_1, J_2 of an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I,

$$\mathrm{e}_1(J_1) = \mathrm{e}_1(J_2).$$

All of these questions were subsequently settled. Thus Conjecture 1 was partly settled in [**57**], and fully in [**53**, Theorem 2.1] (For another proof, see Theorem 3.2.12.):

THEOREM 3.2.1. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian local ring with $d = \dim \mathbf{R} > 0$ and let Q be a parameter ideal in $\mathbf{R}$. Then following are equivalent:*

(a) $\mathbf{R}$ *is Cohen–Macaulay*;
(b) $\mathbf{R}$ *is unmixed and* $\mathrm{e}_1(Q) = 0$;
(c) $\mathbf{R}$ *is unmixed and* $\mathrm{e}_1(Q) \geq 0$.

REMARK 3.2.2. . This raises the issue for what additional classes of rings is $\mathrm{e}_1(Q) = 0$ equivalent to the Cohen-Macaulayness of $\mathbf{R}$? [**53, 55, 56, 61**] contain several new questions and developments which we will be reporting on in this Chapter.

Conjecture 2 was settled by S. Goto, J. Hong and M. Mandal ([**60**, Theorem 1.1]). Conjecture 3 is in a state of flux regarding the nature of its setting. Conjecture 4 met a prompt counterexample, but nevertheless it has been proved the there is only a finite number of values for $\mathrm{e}_1(I)$ for parameter ideals with the same integral closure ([**61**]).

There are several other questions that will not be treated here, such as the likely shape of the bounds for $\mathrm{e}_i(I)$, $i \geq 1$, in the non-Cohen-Macaulay case.

Reduction to dimension one. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d > 0$, I an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a filtration as above. We consider morphisms $\mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{S}$, with $\dim \mathbf{S} = 1$ and $\mathrm{e}_i(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{e}_i(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{S})$, for $i = 0, 1$.

If $\dim \mathbf{R} = 1$, let $T = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})$ and $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}/T$. For large n, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to T \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/A_n \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}/\mathbf{S}A_n \to 0,$$

and have the equality of Hilbert polynomials (set $\mathcal{A}' = \mathbf{S}\mathcal{A}$)

$$\mathrm{e}_0(\mathcal{A})n - \mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{e}_0(\mathcal{A}')n - \mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A}') + \lambda(T),$$

which gives the equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}_0(\mathcal{A}) &= \mathrm{e}_0(\mathcal{A}') \\ \mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A}) &= \mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A}') - \lambda(T). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{A}$ is the filtration defined by the parameter x, $\mathrm{e}_1(x\mathbf{S}) = 0$, $\mathrm{e}_1(x) = -\lambda(T)$.

If $\dim R \geq 2$, if we pass to $\mathbf{S}$ as above, by Proposition 1.2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}_0(\mathcal{A}) &= \mathrm{e}_0(\mathcal{A}') \\ \mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A}) &= \mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A}'). \end{aligned}$$

To reduce the dimension, for instance from 2 to 1, we need a morphism $\mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{S}$ so that the data $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{S})$, needed to express $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A}')$, can be tracked in $\mathbf{R}$. The morphism of choice is to take $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}/(x)$ where x is a superficial element for the filtration $\mathcal{A}$. This will guarantee that $\mathrm{e}_i(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{e}_i(\mathcal{A}')$, for $i = 0, 1$.

To illustrate, consider the case of an ideal $J \subset \mathbf{R}$, generated by a system of parameters. Assume $\dim \mathbf{R} \geq 2$. We choose a set of generators $\{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$ so that for $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}/(x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1})$, $\mathrm{e}_i(J) = \mathrm{e}_i(J\mathbf{S})$ for $i = 0, 1$. Since

$$\mathrm{e}_1(J\mathbf{S}) = -\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{S})),$$

we need a mechanism to estimate this value in terms of data of the original $\mathbf{R}$. This value occurs in the expression of the big Degs of $\mathbf{S}$. Thus if we use the Samuel's multiplicity defined by J,

$$\mathrm{Deg}_J(\mathbf{S}) = \mathrm{e}_0(J\mathbf{S}) + \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{S})).$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathrm{Deg}_J(\mathbf{S}) \leq \mathrm{Deg}_J(\mathbf{R}),$$

so that

$$0 \leq -\mathrm{e}_1(J) = -\mathrm{e}_1(J\mathbf{S}) = \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{S})) \leq \mathrm{Deg}_J(\mathbf{R}) - \mathrm{e}_0(J).$$

This last expression is the Cohen-Macaulay defficiency of $\mathbf{R}$ given in the *scale* Deg_I.

3.2.2. Cohen-Macaulayness versus the negativity of e_1. Given the role of the Hilbert coefficient e_1 as a tracking number in the normalization of blowup algebras, it is of interest to know its signature.

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension d. If $\mathbf{R}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then $\mathrm{e}_1(J) = 0$ for ideal any J generated by a system of parameter $x_1, \ldots, x_d$. As a consequence, for any $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I, $\mathrm{e}_1(I) \geq 0$.

If $d = 1$, the property $\mathrm{e}_1(J) = 0$ is characteristic of Cohen-Macaulayness. For $d \geq 2$, the situation is somewhat different. Consider the ring $\mathbf{R} = k[x, y, z]/(z(x, y, z))$. Then for $T = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})$ and $\mathbf{S} = k[x, y] = \mathbf{R}/T$, $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{R}) = e_1(\mathbf{S}) = 0$.

We are going to argue that the negativity of $\mathrm{e}_1(J)$ is an expression of the lack of Cohen-Macaulayness of $\mathbf{R}$ in numerous classes of rings. To provide a framework, we state a conjecture posed in [**200**]:

Conjecture 3.2.3. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian local ring that admits an embedding into a big Cohen-Macaulay module. Then for a parameter ideal J, $\mathrm{e}_1(J) < 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{R}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay.

Completions of unmixed local rings will satisfy the embedding condition.

We next establish the *small* version of the conjecture.

THEOREM 3.2.4. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 2$. Suppose there is an embedding*

$$0 \to \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow C \to 0,$$

where E is a finitely generated maximal Cohen-Macaulay $\mathbf{R}$-module. If $\mathbf{R}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay then for any parameter ideal J, $\mathrm{e}_1(J) < 0$.

PROOF. We may assume that the residue field of $\mathbf{R}$ is infinite. We are going to argue by induction on d. For $d = 2$, let J be a parameter ideal. If $\mathbf{R}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay, depth $C = 0$.

Let $J = (x, y)$; we may assume that x is a superficial element for the purpose of computing $\mathrm{e}_1(J)$ and is also superficial relative to C, that is, x is not contained in any associated prime of C distinct from $\mathfrak{m}$.

Tensoring the exact sequence above by $\mathbf{R}/(x)$, we get the exact complex

$$0 \to T = \operatorname{Tor}_1^R(\mathbf{R}/(x), C) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/(x) \longrightarrow E/xE \longrightarrow C/xC \to 0,$$

where T is a nonzero module of finite support. Denote by $\mathbf{S}$ the image of $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R}/(x)$ in E/xE. $\mathbf{S}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension 1. By the Artin-Rees Theorem, for $n \gg 0$, $T \cap (y^n)\mathbf{R}' = 0$, and therefore from the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T \cap (y^n)\mathbf{R}' & \longrightarrow & (y^n)\mathbf{R}' & \longrightarrow & (y^n)\mathbf{S} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & R' & \longrightarrow & S & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

the Hilbert polynomial of the ideal $y\mathbf{R}'$ is

$$e_0 n - \mathrm{e}_1 = e_0(y\mathbf{S})n + \lambda(T).$$

Thus

$$\mathrm{e}_1(J) = -\lambda(T) < 0, \tag{3.2.4.1}$$

as claimed.

Assume now that $d \geq 3$, and let x be a superficial element for J and for the modules E and C. In the exact sequence

$$0 \to T = \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{R}/(x), C) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R}/(x) \longrightarrow E/xE \longrightarrow C/xC \to 0$$

T is either zero, and we would go on with the induction procedure, or T is a nonzero module of finite support.

If $T \neq 0$, by Proposition 1.2.4, we have that $\mathrm{e}_1(J\mathbf{R}') = \mathrm{e}_1(J(\mathbf{R}'/T))$, and the embedding $\mathbf{R}'/T \hookrightarrow E/xE$. By the induction hypothesis, it suffices to prove that if $\mathbf{R}'/T$ is Cohen-Macaulay then $\mathbf{R}'$, and therefore $\mathbf{R}$, will be Cohen-Macaulay. This is the content of [**104**, Proposition 2.1]. For convenience we sketch the proof.

We may assume that $\mathbf{R}$ is a complete local ring which is a quotient $\mathbf{R} = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}/L$, where $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}$ is a Gorenstein ring of dimension $\dim \mathbf{R}$. Since $\mathbf{R}$ is embedded in a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module, it satisfies the condition S_1 of Serre and therefore $\operatorname{Ext}^{d-1}_{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}}(\mathbf{R}, \widehat{\mathbf{R}})$ is a module of finite length. By local duality ([**15**, Theorem 3.5.8]), $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})$ is a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module.

Consider the exact sequences

$$0 \to T \to \mathbf{R}' \to \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}'/T \to 0,$$

$$0 \to \mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{x} \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}' \to 0.$$

From the first sequence, taking local cohomology,

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(T) = T \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}') \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{S}) = 0,$$

since $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(T) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $\mathbf{S}$ is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension ≥ 2; one also has $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{S}) = \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}') = 0$.

From the second sequence we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}) \xrightarrow{x} \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}') = 0,$$

and therefore since $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})$ is finitely generated, by Nakayama Lemma, $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}) = 0$, and $T = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}') = 0$. □

We now analyze what is required to extend the proof to big Cohen-Macaulay cases. We are going to assume that $\mathbf{R}$ is an integral domain and that E is a big balanced Cohen-Macaulay module (see [**15**, Chapter 8], [**167**]). Embed $\mathbf{R}$ into E,

$$0 \to \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow C \to 0.$$

The argument above ($d \geq 3$) will work if in the induction argument we can pick $x \in J$ superficial for the Hilbert polynomial of J and avoids the finite set of associated primes of E and all associated primes of C different from $\mathfrak{m}$. It is this last condition that is the most troublesome.

There is one case when this can be overcome, to wit, when $\mathbf{R}$ is a complete local ring and E is countably generated. Indeed, C will be countably generated and $\mathrm{Ass}(C)$ will be a countable set. The prime avoidance result of [**17**, Lemma 3] allows for the choice of x. Let us apply these ideas in an important case.

THEOREM 3.2.5. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local integral domain essentially of finite type over a field. If $\mathbf{R}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay then for any parameter ideal J, $\mathrm{e}_1(J) < 0$.*

PROOF. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be the integral closure of $\mathbf{R}$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}$ its completion. Tensor the embedding $\mathbf{R} \subset \mathbf{A}$ to obtain

$$0 \to \widehat{\mathbf{R}} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{A} = \widehat{\mathbf{A}}.$$

From the properties of pseudo-geometric local rings ([**131**, Section 37]), $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}$ is a reduced semi-local ring with a decomposition

$$\widehat{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{A}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{A}_r,$$

where each $\mathbf{A}_i$ is a complete local domain, of dimension $\dim \mathbf{R}$ and finite over $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}$.

For each $\mathbf{A}_i$ we make use of [**69**] and [**70**] and pick a countably generated big balanced Cohen-Macaulay $\mathbf{A}_i$-module and therefore $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}$-module. Collecting the e_i we have an embedding

$$0 \to \widehat{\mathbf{R}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{A}_r \longrightarrow E = E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_r.$$

As E is a countably generated big balanced Cohen-Macaulay $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}$-module, the argument above shows that if $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay then $\mathrm{e}_1(J\widehat{\mathbf{R}}) < 0$. This suffices to prove the assertion about $\mathbf{R}$. □

REMARK 3.2.6. There are other classes of local rings admitting big balanced Cohen-Macaulay modules. A crude to handle it would be: Let E be such a module and assume it is generated by s elements. Let X be a set of indeterminates of cardinality larger than s. The local ring $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[X]_{\mathfrak{m}[X]}$ is $\mathbf{R}$-flat and has the same depth as $\mathbf{R}$. If $\mathbf{S} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E$ is a big balanced Cohen-Macaulay $\mathbf{S}$-module, with its residue field of cardinality larger than that of a set of generators of C, prime avoidance would again work. It is not known however where $\mathbf{S} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E$ is balanced.

EXAMPLE 3.2.7. We will consider some classes of examples.

(i) Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a regular local ring and let F be a nonzero (finitely generated) free $\mathbf{R}$-module. For any non-free submodule of F, the idealization (trivial extension) of $\mathbf{R}$ by N, $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R} \oplus N$ is a non Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Picking $E = \mathbf{R} \oplus F$, Theorem 3.2.5 implies that for any parameter ideal $J \subset \mathbf{S}$, $\mathrm{e}_1(J) < 0$. It is not difficult to give an explicit formula for $\mathrm{e}_1(J)$ in this case.

(ii) Let $\mathbf{R} = \mathbb{R} + (x, y)\mathbb{C}[x, y] \subset \mathbb{C}[x, y]$, for x, y distinct indeterminates. $\mathbf{R}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay but its localization at the maximal irrelevant ideal is a Buchsbaum ring. It is easy to verify that $\mathrm{e}_1(x, y) = -1$ and that $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{S}) = 0$ for the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic filtration of $\mathbf{S}$.

Note that $\mathbf{R}$ has an isolated singularity. For these rings, [**144**, Theorem 5] can be extended (does not require the Cohen-Macaulay condition), and therefore describes bounds for $\mathrm{e}_1(\overline{\mathbf{A}})$ of integral closures. Thus, if $\mathbf{A}$ is the Rees of the parameter ideal J, one has

$$\mathrm{e}_1(\overline{\mathbf{A}}) - e_1(J) \leq (d - 1 + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/L))e_0(J),$$

where L is the Jacobian of $\mathbf{R}$.

In this example, one has $d = 2$, $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/L) = 1$,

$$\mathrm{e}_1(\overline{\mathbf{A}}) - e_1(J) \leq 2e_0(J).$$

(iii) Let k be a field of characteristic zero and let $f = x^3 + y^3 + z^3$ be a polynomial of $k[x, y, z]$. Set $\mathbf{A} = k[x, y, z]/(f)$ and let $\mathbf{R}$ be the Rees algebra of the maximal irrelevant ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathbf{A}$. Using the Jacobian criterion, $\mathbf{R}$ is normal. Because the reduction number of $\mathfrak{z}$ is 2, $\mathbf{R}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay. Furthermore, it is easy to verify that $\mathbf{R}$ is not contained in any Cohen-Macaulay domain that is finite over $\mathbf{R}$. Let $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{M}}$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is the irrelevant maximal ideal of $\mathbf{R}$. The first superficial element (in the reduction to dimension two) can be chosen to be prime. Now one takes the integral which will be a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module.

The argument extends to geometric domains in any characteristic if depth $\mathbf{R} = d - 1$.

REMARK 3.2.8. Uniform lower bounds for e_1 are rare but still exist in special cases. For example, if $\mathbf{R}$ is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring, then according to [**63**,

Theorem 5.4],

$$\mathrm{e}_1(J) \quad \geq \quad -\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \binom{d-2}{i-1} \lambda(\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})), \tag{3.2.8.1}$$

with equality if $\mathbf{R}$ is Buchsbaum.

It should be observed that uniform lower bounds may not always exist. For instance, if $\mathbf{A} = k[x, y, z]$, and $\mathbf{R}$ is the idealization of (x, y), then for the ideal $J = (x, y, z^n)$, $\mathrm{e}_1(J) = -n$.

The Koszul homology modules $\mathrm{H}_i(J)$ of J is a first place where to look for bounds for $\mathrm{e}_1(J)$. We recall [**15**, Theorem 4.6.10], that the multiplicity of J is given by the formula

$$\mathrm{e}_0(J) = \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J) - \sum_{i=1}^{d} (-1)^{i-1} h_i(J),$$

where $h_i(J)$ is the length of $\mathrm{H}_i(J)$. The summation term is non-negative and only vanishes if $\mathbf{R}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Unfortunately it does not gives bounds for $\mathrm{e}_1(J)$. There is a formula involving these terms in the special case when J is generated by a d-sequence. Then the corresponding *approximation complex* is acyclic, and the Hilbert-Poincaré series of J ([**78**, Corollary 4.6]) is

$$\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{d} (-1)^i h_i(J) t^i}{(1-t)^d},$$

and therefore

$$\mathrm{e}_1(J) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} (-1)^i i h_i(J).$$

Later in the notes, we shall prove the existence of lower bounds more generally, by making use of extended degree functions.

3.2.2.1. *Cohen-Macaulayness versus the Euler number.* We develop a more abstract approach to the relationship between the signature of e_1 and the Cohen-Macaulayness of a local ring. We also give a more self-contained proof of the main result that avoids the use of big Cohen-Macaulay modules.

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 2$. The enabling idea above was the embedding of $\mathbf{R}$ into a Cohen-Macaulay (possibly big Cohen-Macaulay) module E,

$$0 \to \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow C \to 0.$$

Unfortunately, it may not be always possible to find the appropriate $\mathbf{R}$-module E. Instead we will seek embed $\mathbf{R}$ into a Cohen-Macaulay module E over a ring $\mathbf{S}$ closely related to $\mathbf{R}$ for the purpose of computing associated graded rings of adic-filtrations.

THEOREM 3.2.9. ([**57**, Theorem 3.3]) *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local domain of dimension $d \geq 2$, which is a homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay local domain $\mathbf{S}$, let $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{p}$. Let J be an $\mathbf{R}$-ideal generated by a system of parameters. If $\mathbf{R}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay then $\mathrm{e}_1(J) < 0$.*

PROOF. If height $\mathfrak{p} \geq 1$, we first replace $\mathbf{S}$ by $\mathbf{S}' = \mathbf{S}/L$, where L is $\mathbf{S}$-ideal generated by a maximal regular sequence in $\mathfrak{p}$. This means that we may assume that $\dim \mathbf{R} = \dim \mathbf{S}$, and that $\mathfrak{p}$ is a minimal prime of $\mathbf{S}$. In particular, we have an embedding of $\mathbf{S}$-modules

$$0 \to \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{S}/\mathbf{p} \longrightarrow E = \mathbf{S} \longrightarrow C \to 0.$$

At this point we may assume that $\mathbf{R}$ has an infinite residue field. Let $J = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$. We claim that there exists an $\mathbf{S}$-ideal $I = (y_1, \ldots, y_d)$ generated by a system of parameters that lifts J, more precisely with $x_i = y_i + \mathfrak{p}$. To choose y_1, let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ be the minimal primes of $\mathbf{S}$ that do not contain $\mathfrak{p}$. Let z_1 be any lift of x_1 and let $\mathfrak{p} = (a_1, \ldots, a_s)$. For each $\lambda \in R \setminus \mathfrak{m}$, consider the element

$$z_1 + \lambda a_1 + \cdots + \lambda^s a_s.$$

If all of these elements belong in $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_k$, as $\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}$ is infinite, there would be $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{s+1}$ with different images in $\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}$ such that

$$z_1 + \lambda_i a_1 + \cdots + {\lambda_i}^s a_s \in \mathfrak{p}_k, \quad i = 1, \ldots, s+1,$$

contained in a same $\mathfrak{p}_k$. Since the Vandermonde matrix, determined by $\lambda_i, 1 \leq i \leq s+1$, is invertible, all the a_i would be contained in the same prime $\mathfrak{p}_k$, against the assumption.

Now reduce $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{S}$ modulo (y_1). Note that

$$\dim \mathbf{R}/(y_1) = \dim \mathbf{R}/(x_1) = \dim \mathbf{S}/(y_1) = d - 1,$$

but that $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R}/(x_1)$ may not be embedded in $\mathbf{S}' = \mathbf{S}/(y_1)$. To continue the lifting process, let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ now denote the minimal primes of (y_1) which do not contain $\mathfrak{p}$; these primes have codimension 1. We proceed as above to select y_2. In this fashion we arrive at the sequence $y_1, \ldots, y_d$, that clearly forms a system of parameters of $\mathbf{S}$.

If we denote $I = (y_1, \ldots, y_d)\mathbf{S}$, we have $I\mathbf{R} = J$ and

$$\operatorname{gr}_I(\mathbf{R}) = \operatorname{gr}_J(\mathbf{R}).$$

Therefore, for the purpose of determining $\mathrm{e}_1(J)$, we may treat $\mathbf{R}$ as an $\mathbf{S}$-module and use the I-adic filtration. Now proceed as in the proof of Theorem 3.2.4. Let x be a superficial element for the purpose of determining the Hilbert function of $\operatorname{gr}_I(\mathbf{R})$ and which is not contained in any associated prime of C distinct from $\mathfrak{m}$. Reduction modulo (x) gives rise to the exact sequence

$$0 \to T = \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{S}/(x), C) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/(x\mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}/(x) \longrightarrow C/xC \to 0,$$

where T is a module of finite support. Denote by $\mathbf{R}'$ the image of $\mathbf{R}/(x)$ in $\mathbf{S}' = \mathbf{S}/(x)$. $\mathbf{S}'$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension $d-1$.

If $d = 2$, $T \neq 0$, as in the proof of Theorem 3.2.4, we have that

$$\mathrm{e}_1(J) = -\lambda(T),$$

and therefore $\mathrm{e}_1(J)$ is always non-positive. If $d > 2$, setting $J' = J\mathbf{R}'$, we have $\mathrm{e}_1(J') = e_1(J)$. If $\mathbf{R}'$ is not Cohen-Macaulay, by induction $\mathrm{e}_1(J') < 0$. To complete the proof we argue that if $\mathbf{R}'$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then $\mathbf{R}$ is also Cohen-Macaulay. We are going to use the argument of [**104**, Proposition 2.1].

Once $\mathbf{R}$ is embedded in $\mathbf{S}$, we may assume that $\mathbf{S}$ is a complete local ring. Let us consider the exact sequences

$$0 \to \mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{x} \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/(x) \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to T \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/(x) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}' \to 0.$$

Taking local cohomology, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}/(x)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}) \xrightarrow{x} \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}/(x)),$$

where $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}/(x))$ vanishes since $\mathbf{R}'$ is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension $d-1 \geq 2$, which gives $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}) = x\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})$.

LEMMA 3.2.10. *Let $(\mathbf{S}, \mathfrak{n})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay complete local ring of dimension $d \geq 2$, and let M a finitely generated $\mathbf{S}$-module with the condition S_1 of Serre. Then $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{n}}(M)$ is a module of finite length.*

PROOF. If ω is the canonical module of $\mathbf{S}$, $\mathrm{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{S}}(M, \omega)$ vanishes at each localization $\mathbf{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{n}$, since depth $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq 1$. By local duality (see [**15**, Theorem 3.5.8]), $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})$ is a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. □

To complete the proof of Theorem3.2.9, by Nakayama Lemma $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}) = 0$, and T vanishes as well. Thus $\mathbf{R}/(x) = \mathbf{R}'$, and $\mathbf{R}$ will be Cohen-Macaulay. □

To extend the result from integral domains to more general rings, $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{S}/L$, $\dim \mathbf{R} = \dim \mathbf{S}$, where $\mathbf{S}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, we discuss how to embed $\mathbf{R}$ into a Cohen-Macaulay $\mathbf{S}$-module. At a minimum, we need to require that $\mathbf{R}$ be unmixed.

We make an elementary observation of what it takes to embed $\mathbf{R}$ into a free $\mathbf{S}$-module.

PROPOSITION 3.2.11. *Let $\mathbf{S}$ be a Noetherian ring and L an ideal of codimension zero without embedded components. If $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{S}/L$, there is an embedding $\mathbf{R} \to F$ into a free $\mathbf{S}$–module F if and only if $L = 0 : (0 : L)$. In particular, this condition always holds if the total ring of fractions of $\mathbf{S}$ is a Gorenstein ring.*

PROOF. Let $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ be a generating set of $0 : L$, and consider the mapping $\varphi : \mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{S}^n$, $\varphi(1) = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$; its kernel is isomorphic to $0 : (0 : L)$. This shows that the equality $L = 0 : (0 : L)$ is required for the asserted embedding.

Conversely, given an embedding $\varphi : \mathbf{S}/L \to \mathbf{S}^n$, let $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbf{S}^n$ be the imagine of a generator of $\mathbf{S}/L$. The ideal I these entries generate is annihilated by L, $I \subset 0 : L$. Since $0 : I = L$, we have $0 : (0 : L) \subset 0 : I = L$.

If the total ring of fractions of $\mathbf{S}$ is Gorenstein, to prove that $0 : (0 : L) \subset L$, it suffices to localize at the associated primes of L, all of which have codimension zero and with a localization which is Gorenstein. But the double annihilator property is characteristic of such rings. □

We are now in a position to give another proof of [**53**, Theorem 2.1]:

THEOREM 3.2.12. *Let* $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ *be an unmixed Noetherian local ring. Then* $\mathbf{R}$ *is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if for some parameter ideal* Q, $\mathrm{e}_1(Q) = 0$.

PROOF. Pass to the completion of $\mathbf{R}$, which leaves unchanged the assumptions and assertions. We can now write $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{S}/L$, where $\mathbf{S}$ is a Gorenstein local ring of the same dimension as $\mathbf{R}$. By Proposition 2.3.12, $\mathbf{R} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{S}^n$, for some integer n. Now the argument of Theorem 3.2.4, combined with the lifting argument of Theorem 3.2.9, gives the desired assertions. □

REMARK 3.2.13. Mandal and Verma ([**122**]) clarify this further: For all Noetherian local rings, $\mathrm{e}_1(Q)$ is never positive. Similar assertions follows for modules, according to [**124**]. See also Corollary 1.2.14.

Another of the questions raised about e_1 concerned whether the equality $\mathrm{e}_1(J_1) = e_1(J_2)$ always hold for minimal reductions of the same ideal. According to [**53**] this is not always the case. We give now a family of examples based on a method of [**63**].

EXAMPLE 3.2.14. Let $(\mathbf{S}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a regular local ring of dimension four, with an infinite residue field. Let $P_1, \ldots, P_r$ be a family of codimension two Cohen-Macaulay such that $P_i + P_j$ is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary for $i \neq j$. Define $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{S}/\bigcap_i P_i$.

Consider the exact sequence of $\mathbf{S}$-modules

$$0 \to \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_i \mathbf{S}/P_i \longrightarrow L \to 0.$$

Note that L is a module of finite support; it may be identified to $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})$. Let $J = (a, b)$ be an ideal of $\mathbf{R}$ forming a system of parameters, contained in the annihilator of L.[1] We can assume that $a, b \in \mathbf{S}$ form a regular sequence in each $\mathbf{S}/P_i$. We are going to determine $\mathrm{e}_1(J)$. For each integer n, tensoring by $\mathbf{S}/(a, b)^n$ we get the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{S}}(L, \mathbf{S}/(a, b)^n) \to \mathbf{R}/(a, b)^n \to \bigoplus_i \mathbf{S}/(P_i, (a, b)^n) \to L \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{S}/(a, b)^n \to 0.$$

For $n \gg 0$, $(a, b)^n L = 0$, so we have that $L \otimes_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{S}/(a, b)^n = L$ and $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{S}}(L, \mathbf{S}/(a, b)^n) = L^{n+1}$, from the Burch-Hilbert $(n + 1) \times n$ resolution of the ideal $(a, b)^n$. Since the $\mathbf{R}_i = \mathbf{S}/P_i$ are Cohen-Macaulay, we obtain the following Hilbert-Samuel polynomial:

$$\mathrm{e}_0(J)\binom{n+2}{2} - \mathrm{e}_1(J)\binom{n+1}{1} + \mathrm{e}_2(J) = (\sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathrm{e}_0(J\mathbf{R}_i))\binom{n+2}{2} + (n+1)\lambda(L) - \lambda(L).$$

It gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}_0(J\mathbf{R}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathrm{e}_0(J\mathbf{R}_i), \\ \mathrm{e}_1(J\mathbf{R}) &= -\lambda(L), \\ \mathrm{e}_2(J\mathbf{R}) &= -\lambda(L). \end{aligned}$$

[1] We thank Jugal Verma for this observation.

Filtered modules. The same relationship discussed above between the signature $\mathrm{e}_1(J)$ and the Cohen-Macaulayness of $\mathbf{R}$ holds true for modules. More precisely, one can extend the proof of Theorem 3.2.9 in the following manner.

THEOREM 3.2.15 ([**57**, Theorem 5.1]). *Let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d\geq 1$ and let M be a finitely generated module embedded in a MCM module E. If J is an ideal generated by a system of parameters, then M is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathrm{gr}_J(M))\geq 0$.*

Let $\mathbf{R}=k[x_1,\ldots,x_d]$ be a ring of polynomials over the field k, and let M be a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{R}$-module. Suppose $\dim M=d$. For $J=(x_1,\ldots,x_d)$ we can apply Theorem 3.2.15 to M in a manner that uses the Hilbert function information of the natural grading of M.

THEOREM 3.2.16. *Let $\mathbf{R}=k[\mathbf{x}]=k[x_1,\ldots,x_d]$, $d\geq 2$, be a ring of polynomials over the field k, and let M be a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{R}$-module generated in degree 0. If M is torsion free, then M is a free $\mathbf{R}$-module if and only if $\mathrm{e}_1(M)=0$.*

PROOF. Since M is generated in degree 0, $M\simeq \mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M)$. By assumption, M can be embedded in a free $\mathbf{R}$-module E (not necessarily by a homogeneous homomorphism). Now we apply Theorem 3.2.15. □

If M is generated in, say, degree $a>0$, we have the equality,

$$\lambda(M/(\mathbf{x})^{n+1}M)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\lambda(M_{a+k}),$$

so the Hilbert coefficients satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{e}_0(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M)) &= \mathrm{e}_0(M[-a])=\mathrm{e}_0(M),\\ \mathrm{e}_1(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M)) &= \mathrm{e}_1(M[-a])=\mathrm{e}_1(M)-a\mathrm{e}_0(M).\end{aligned}$$

This leads to:

COROLLARY 3.2.17. *Let $\mathbf{R}=k[\mathbf{x}]=k[x_1,\ldots,x_d]$, $d\geq 2$, be a ring of polynomials over the field k, and let M be a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{R}$-module generated in degree $a\geq 0$. If M is torsion free, then $\mathrm{e}_1(M)\leq a\mathrm{e}_0(M)$, with equality if and only if M is a free $\mathbf{R}$-module.*

QUESTION 3.2.18. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a complete Noetherian local ring of dimension $d\geq 1$ and let J be an ideal generated by a system of parameters. If all the associated prime ideals of M have dimension d, does $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathrm{gr}_J(M))\geq 0$ implies that M is Cohen-Macaulay?

Embedding into big balanced Cohen-Macaulay modules. Let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local domain. If $\mathbf{R}$ has a big Cohen-Macaulay module E, any $0\neq e\in E$ allow for an embedding $\mathbf{R}\hookrightarrow E$. In fact, one may assume that E is a balanced big Cohen-Macaulay (bbCM for short) module (see [**15**, Section 8.5] for a discussion). According to the results of Hochster, if $\mathbf{R}$ contains a field, then there is a bbCM $\mathbf{R}$-module E.

To use the argument in [**200**, Theorem 3.2], in the exact sequence

$$0\to\mathbf{R}\longrightarrow E\longrightarrow C\to 0,$$

we should, given any parameter ideal J of $\mathbf{R}$ pick an element superficial for the purpose of building $\mathrm{gr}_J(\mathbf{R})$ (if $\dim \mathbf{R} > 2$) and not contained in any associated prime of C different from $\mathfrak{m}$. This is possible if the cardinality of the residue field is larger than the cardinality of $\mathrm{Ass}(C)$.

THEOREM 3.2.19. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local integral domain that is not Cohen-Macaulay and let E be a balanced big Cohen-Macaulay module. If the residue field of $\mathbf{R}$ has cardinality larger than the cardinality of a generating set for E, then $\mathrm{e}_1(J) < 0$ for any parameter ideal J.*

Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a set of indeterminates of larger cardinality than $\mathrm{Ass}(C)$, and consider $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}]_{\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}]}$. This is a Noetherian ring ([**58**]), and we are going to argue that if E is a bbCM $\mathbf{R}$-module, then $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{X}) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E$ is a bbCM module over $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{X})$. S. Zarzuela has kindly pointed out to us the following result:

THEOREM 3.2.20 ([**210**, Theorem 2.3]). *Let $\mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{B}$ a flat morphism of local rings $(\mathbf{A}, \mathfrak{m}), (\mathbf{B}, \mathfrak{n})$ and M a balanced big Cohen-Macaulay A-module. Then, $M \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{B}$ is a balanced big Cohen-Macaulay $\mathbf{B}$-module if and only if the following two conditions hold:*

(a) $\mathfrak{n}(M \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{B}) \neq M \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{B}$ *and*
(b) *For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{supp}_{\mathbf{B}}(M \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{B})$,* (1) height $(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}\mathbf{B}) = \mathrm{depth}\,(C_{\overline{\mathfrak{q}}})$ *and* (2) height $(\mathfrak{q}) + \dim(\mathbf{B}/\mathfrak{q}) = \dim(\mathbf{B})$.

Here, we denote by $\mathrm{supp}_{\mathbf{A}}(M)$ (small support) the set of prime ideals in A with at least one non-zero Bass number in the $\mathbf{A}$-minimal injective resolution of M, $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathbf{A}$, $C = \mathbf{B}/\mathfrak{p}\mathbf{B}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{q}C$.

Moreover, if $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{supp}_{\mathbf{B}}(M \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{B})$ then $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{supp}_{\mathbf{A}}(M)$, and height $(\mathbf{A}/\mathfrak{p}) + \dim(\mathbf{A}/\mathfrak{p}) = \dim(\mathbf{A})$.

COROLLARY 3.2.21. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a universally catenary integral domain and let E be a bbCM $\mathbf{R}$-module. For any set $\mathbf{X}$ of indeterminates and $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}]_{\mathfrak{m}[\mathbf{X}]}$, $\mathbf{B} \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} E$ is a bbCM $\mathbf{B}$-module.*

THEOREM 3.2.22. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a universally catenary integral domain containing a field. If $\mathbf{R}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay, then $\mathrm{e}_1(J) < 0$ for any parameter ideal J.*

3.2.3. Bounds on $\overline{e}_1(I)$ via the Briançon–Skoda number. This is a slight generalization of [**147**, Theorem 3.1] and [**144**, Theorem 5].

We discuss the role of Briançon–Skoda type theorems (see [**1**], [**120**]) in determining some relationships between the coefficients $\mathrm{e}_0(I)$ and $\overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I)$. We follow the treatment given in [**147**, Theorem 3.1], but formulated for the non Cohen-Macaulay case. We will use a Briançon–Skoda theorem that works in non–regular rings. We are going to provide a short proof along the lines of [**120**] for the special case we need: $\mathfrak{m}$–primary ideals in a local Cohen–Macaulay ring. The general case is treated by Hochster and Huneke in [**87**, 1.5.5 and 4.1.5]. Let k be a perfect field, let $\mathbf{R}$ be a reduced and equidimensional k–algebra essentially of finite type, and assume that $\mathbf{R}$ is affine with $d = \dim \mathbf{R}$ or $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ is local with $d = \dim \mathbf{R} + \mathrm{trdeg}_k R/\mathfrak{m}$. Recall that the *Jacobian ideal* $\mathrm{Jac}_k(\mathbf{R})$ of $\mathbf{R}$ is defined as the d–th Fitting ideal of the module of differentials $\Omega_k(\mathbf{R})$ – it can be computed explicitly from a presentation of the algebra. By varying

Noether normalizations one deduces from [**120**, Theorem 2] that the Jacobian ideal $\mathrm{Jac}_k(\mathbf{R})$ is contained in the conductor $\mathbf{R}\colon \overline{\mathbf{R}}$ of $\mathbf{R}$ (see also [**136**], [**6**, 3.1] and [**86**, 2.1]); here $\overline{\mathbf{R}}$ denotes the integral closure of $\mathbf{R}$ in its total ring of fractions.

THEOREM 3.2.23. *Let k be a perfect field, let $\mathbf{R}$ be a reduced local k–algebra essentially of finite type, and let I be an ideal with a minimal reduction generated by g elements. Denote by $\mathbf{D} = \sum_{n\geq 0} D_n t^n$ the S_2-ification of $\mathbf{R}[It]$. Then for every integer n,*

$$\mathrm{Jac}_k(\mathbf{R})\,\overline{I^{n+g-1}} \subset D_n.$$

PROOF. We may assume that k is infinite. Then, passing to a minimal reduction, we may suppose that I is generated by g generators. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be a finitely generated k–subalgebra of $\mathbf{R}$ so that $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for some $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{S})$, and write $\mathbf{S} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_e] = k[X_1, \ldots, X_e]/\mathfrak{a}$ with $\mathfrak{a} = (h_1, \ldots, h_t)$ an ideal of height c. Notice that $\mathbf{S}$ is reduced and equidimensional. Let $K = (f_1, \ldots, f_g)$ be an $\mathbf{S}$–ideal with $K_{\mathfrak{p}} = I$, and consider the extended Rees ring $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{S}[Kt, t^{-1}]$. Now $\mathbf{B}$ is a reduced and equidimensional affine k–algebra of dimension $e - c + 1$.

Let $\varphi\colon k[X_1, \ldots, X_e, \mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_g, U] \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{B}$ be the k–epimorphism mapping X_i to x_i, $\mathbf{T}_i$ to $f_i t$ and U to t^{-1}. Its kernel has height $c+g$ and contains the ideal $\mathfrak{b}$ generated by $\{h_i, \mathbf{T}_j U - f_j | 1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq g\}$. Consider the Jacobian matrix of these generators,

$$\Theta = \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} \dfrac{\partial h_i}{\partial X_j} & 0 & & \\ \hline & U & & \mathbf{T}_1 \\ * & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & U & \mathbf{T}_g \end{array}\right).$$

Notice that $I_{c+g}(\Theta) \supset I_c(\left(\frac{\partial h_i}{\partial X_j}\right))U^{g-1}(\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_g)$. Applying φ we obtain $\mathrm{Jac}_k(\mathbf{B}) \supset I_{c+g}(\Theta)\mathbf{B} \supset \mathrm{Jac}_k(\mathbf{S})Kt^{-g+2}$. Thus $\mathrm{Jac}_k(\mathbf{S})Kt^{-g+2}$ is contained in the conductor of $\mathbf{B}$. Localizing at $\mathfrak{p}$ we see that $\mathrm{Jac}_k(\mathbf{R})It^{-g+2}$ is in the conductor of the extended Rees ring $\mathbf{R}[It, t^{-1}]$. Hence for every n, $\mathrm{Jac}_k(\mathbf{R})\, I\, \overline{I^{n+g-1}} \subset I^{n+1}$, which yields

$$\mathrm{Jac}_k(\mathbf{R})\,\overline{I^{n+g-1}} \subset I^{n+1}\colon I \subset D_{n+1}\colon I = D_n,$$

as $\mathrm{gr}(\mathbf{D})_+$ has positive grade. □

This result, with an early application of Theorem 2.3.15, gives the following estimation.

COROLLARY 3.2.24. *Let k be a perfect field, let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a reduced local k–algebra essentially of finite type of dimension d and let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. If the Jacobian ideal L of $\mathbf{R}$ is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary, then for any minimal reduction J of I,*

$$\overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I) - \mathrm{e}_1(J) \leq (d + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/L) - 1)\mathrm{e}_0(I).$$

Moreover, if $L \neq \mathbf{R}$ and $\overline{I} \neq \mathfrak{m}$, one replaces -1 by -2.

Lower bounds for $\overline{\mathrm{e}}_1$. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ and let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. If $\mathbf{R}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, the original lower bound for $\mathrm{e}_1(I)$ was provided by Narita ([**134**] and Northcott ([**137**]),

$$\mathrm{e}_1(I) \geq \mathrm{e}_0(I) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I).$$

It has been improved in several ways (see a detailed discussion in [**160**]). For non Cohen-Macaulay rings, estimates for $\mathrm{e}_1(I)$ are in a state of flux.

We are going to experiment with a special class of non Cohen-Macaulay rings and methods in seeking lower bounds for $\overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I)$. We are going to assume that $\mathbf{R}$ is a normal domain and the minimal reduction J of I is generated by a d-sequence. This is the case of normal Buchsbaum rings, examples of which can be constructed by a machinery developed in [**48**] (see [**179**, lookup]).

Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}[Jt]$ and $\mathbf{B} = \overline{\mathbf{R}[Jt]}$. The corresponding Sally module $S = S_{\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}}$ is defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \to B_1 t\mathbf{A} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}_+ \longrightarrow S = \bigoplus_{n\geq 2} B_n/B_1 J^{n-1} \to 0.$$

LEMMA 3.2.25. $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ *be an analytically unramified local ring and let* J *be an ideal generated by a system of parameters* $x_1, \ldots, x_d$. *Then*

$$\mathbf{R}/\overline{J} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathrm{gr}_J(\mathbf{R}) \simeq \mathbf{R}/\overline{J}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_d].$$

PROOF. Let $\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_d] \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}$ be a minimal presentation of $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}[Jt]$. According to [**154**, lookup], the presentation ideal $\mathcal{L}$ has all coefficients in $\overline{J}$, that is $\mathcal{L} \subset \overline{J}\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_d]$. □

PROPOSITION 3.2.26. *Let* $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ *be a normal, analytically unramified local domain and let* J *be an ideal generated by a system of parameters* $= (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ *of linear type. The Sally module* $\mathbf{S}$ *defined above is either* 0 *or a module of dimension* d *and multiplicity*

$$\mathrm{e}_0(S) = \deg(S) = \overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I) - \mathrm{e}_0(I) - \mathrm{e}_1(J) + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/\overline{I}).$$

PROOF. By [**78**, Corollary 4.6], since $\mathbf{R}$ is normal of dimension $d \geq 2$, depth $\mathrm{gr}_J(\mathbf{R}) \geq 2$. Now we make use of [**99**, Lemma 1.1] (see also [**199**, Proposition 3.11]), depth $\mathbf{R}[Jt] \geq 2$ as well. Now, by [**199**, Theorem 3.53], $\mathbf{R}[Jt]$ has the condition S_2 of Serre.

Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to B_1\mathbf{R}[Jt] \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}[Jt] \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/\overline{J} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathrm{gr}_J(\mathbf{R}) \to 0.$$

By the Lemma, the last algebra is a polynomial ring in d variables. Therefore $B_1\mathbf{R}[Jt]$ satisfies the condition S_2 of Serre. Thus in the defining sequence of $\mathbf{S}$, either $\mathbf{S}$ vanishes (and $B_n = B_1 J^n$ for $n \geq 2$) or $\dim S = d$. In the last case, the calculation of the Hilbert function (see [**199**, Remark 2.17]) of $\mathbf{S}$ gives the value for its multiplicity. □

Local rings. We shall now treat bounds for $\overline{\mathrm{e}}_1$ for certain non Cohen-Macaulay local rings. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring which is Cohen-Macaulay on the punctured spectrum. Without further ado we assume that the residue field of $\mathbf{R}$ is infinite.

Suppose $\dim \mathbf{R} = d > 0$. By local duality, the condition is equivalent to $\lambda(\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})) < \infty$ for $0 \leq i < d$. Later we shall assume additional properties for $\mathbf{R}$. Let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal and let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_n, n \geq 0\}$ be a I-good filtration. We may assume that $I = J = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ is a parameter ideal.

We will consider some reductions on $\mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}'$ that preserve the multiplicities and $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A}')$, for $\mathcal{A}' = \{A_n\mathbf{R}', n \geq 0\}$.

(a) If $d \geq 2$ and $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R}/\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})$, that will be the case. In addition $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}) = \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}')$ for $i \geq 1$.

(b) Another property is that if $d \geq 2$ and x_1 is a superficial element for $\mathcal{A}$ (that is $\mathbf{R}$-regular if $d = 2$), then preservation will hold passing to $\mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{R}/(x_1)$. As for the lengths of the local cohomology modules, in case x_1 is $\mathbf{R}$-regular, from

$$0 \to \mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{x} \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_1 \to 0$$

we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}_1) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}_1) \to \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}) \to \cdots,$$

that gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}_1)) &\leq \lambda(\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})) \\ \lambda(\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}_1)) &\leq \lambda(\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})) + \lambda(\mathrm{H}^2_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})) \\ &\vdots \\ \lambda(\mathrm{H}^{d-2}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}_1)) &\leq \lambda(\mathrm{H}^{d-2}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})) + \lambda(\mathrm{H}^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})). \end{aligned}$$

(c) Let us combine the two transformations. Let $T_0 = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})$, set $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R}/T_0$, let $x \in I$ be a superficial element for $\mathcal{A}R'$ and set $\mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{R}'/(x)$. As x is regular on $\mathbf{R}'$, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to T_0/xT_0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/(x) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}'/x\mathbf{R}' \to 0,$$

and the associated exact sequence

$$0 \to T_0/xT_0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}/(x)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}'/x\mathbf{R}') \to 0,$$

since $\mathrm{H}^1(T_0/xT_0) = 0$. Note that this gives

$$\mathbf{R}/(x)/\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}/(x)) \simeq \mathbf{R}'/x\mathbf{R}'/\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}'/x\mathbf{R}').$$

There are two consequences to this calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}/(x))) &\leq \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})) + \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}'/x\mathbf{R}')) \\ &\leq \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})) + \lambda(\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})) \\ \lambda(\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}/(x))) &\leq \lambda(\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})) + \lambda(\mathrm{H}^{i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})), \quad 1 \leq i \leq d-2 \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 3.2.27. *Let* $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ *be a local ring of positive depth* I *and* $\mathcal{A}$ *as above. If* $d\geq 2$*, consider a sequence of* $d-1$ *reductions of the type* $\mathbf{R}\to\mathbf{R}/(x)$*, and denote by* $\mathbf{S}$ *the ring* $\mathbf{R}/(x_1,\ldots,x_{d-1})$*. Then* $\dim S=1$ *and*

$$\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(S))\leq T(\mathbf{R})=\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\binom{d-2}{i-1}\lambda(\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})).$$

Moreover, if $\mathbf{R}$ *is a Buchsbaum ring, equality holds.*

Let us illustrate an elementary but useful kind of reduction. Let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local domain of dimension $d\geq 2$ and let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-ideal. Suppose $\mathbf{R}$ has a finite extension $\mathbf{S}$ with the condition S_2 of Serre,

$$0\to\mathbf{R}\longrightarrow\mathbf{S}\longrightarrow C\to 0.$$

Consider the polynomial ring $\mathbf{R}[x,y]$ and tensor the sequence by $\mathbf{R}'=\mathbf{R}[x,y]_{\mathfrak{m}[x,y]}$. Then there are $a,b\in I$ such that the ideal generated by polynomial $\mathbf{f}=ax+by$ has the following type of primary decomposition:

$$(\mathbf{f})=P\cap Q,$$

where P is a minimal prime of $\mathbf{f}$ and Q is $\mathfrak{m}R'$-primary. In the sequence

$$0\to\mathbf{R}'\longrightarrow S'=\mathbf{R}'\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{S}\longrightarrow C'=\mathbf{R}'\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}C\to 0$$

we can find $a\in I$ which is superficial for C. Pick now $b\in I$ so that (a,b) has codimension 2. Now reduce the second sequence modulo $\mathbf{f}=ax+by$. Noting that $\mathbf{f}$ will be superficial for C', we will have an exact sequence

$$0\to T\longrightarrow\mathbf{R}'/(\mathbf{f})\longrightarrow\mathbf{S}'/\mathbf{f}\mathbf{S}'\longrightarrow C'/\mathbf{f}C'\to 0,$$

in which T has finite length and $\mathbf{S}'/\mathbf{f}\mathbf{S}'$ is an integral domain since a,b is a regular sequence in $\mathbf{S}$. This suffices to establish the assertion.

We are now going to consider generic reductions on $\mathbf{R}$. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a $d\times(d-1)$ matrix $\mathbf{X}=(x_{ij})$ in $d(d-1)$ indeterminates and let $\mathbf{C}$ be the local ring $\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{X}]_{\mathfrak{m}[\mathbf{X}]}$. The filtration $\mathcal{A}\mathbf{C}$ has the same Hilbert polynomial as $\mathcal{A}$. If $I=(x_1,\ldots,x_d)$ we now define the ideal

$$(f_1,\ldots,f_{d-1})=(x_1,\ldots,x_d)\cdot\mathbf{X}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.2.28. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a local integral domain that is Cohen-Macaulay on the punctured spectrum and* I *and* $\mathcal{A}$ *defined as above. Then* $\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{C}/(f_1,\ldots,f_{d-1})$ *is a local ring of dimension one such that* $\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{S}))\leq T(\mathbf{R})$ *and* $\mathbf{S}/\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{S})$ *is an integral domain.*

The next result shows the existence of bounds for $\overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I)$ as in [**147**].

We outline the strategy to find bounds for $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A})$. Suppose $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ is a local domain essentially of finite type over a field and I is an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. We denote by $\mathcal{A}$ an I-good filtration of $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals. We will seek a reduction to a one dimensional ring $\mathbf{R}\to\mathbf{R}'$, where $\mathrm{e}_0(I)=\mathrm{e}_0(I\mathbf{R}')$, $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A})=\mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{R}')$, and in the sequence

$$0\to T=\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}')\longrightarrow\mathbf{R}'\longrightarrow\mathbf{S}\to 0,$$

T is a prime ideal.

Since $\mathbf{S}$ is a one-dimensional integral domain, we have the following result.

PROPOSITION 3.2.29. *In these conditions,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{R}') &= \mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{S}) - \lambda(T) \\ \mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{S}) &\leq \overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I) = \lambda(\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbf{S}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ *is the integral closure of* $\mathbf{S}$.

This shows that to find bounds for $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A})$ one needs to trace back to the original ring $\mathbf{R}$ the properties of T and $\mathbf{S}$. As to T, this is realized if $\mathbf{R}$ is Cohen-Macaulay on the punctured spectrum for the reductions described in Proposition 3.2.27.

THEOREM 3.2.30 (Existence of Bounds). *Let* $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ *be a local integral domain of dimension* d*, essentially of finite type over a perfect field, and let* I *be an* $\mathfrak{m}$*-primary ideal. If* $\mathbf{R}$ *is Cohen-Macaulay on the punctured spectrum and* δ *is a nonzero element of the Jacobian ideal of* $\mathbf{R}$ *then for any* I*-good filtration* $\mathcal{A}$ *as above,*

$$\mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A}) < (d-1)\mathrm{e}_0(I) + \mathrm{e}_0((I,\delta)/(\delta)) - T.$$

PROOF. It is a consequence of the proof of [**147**, Theorem 3.2(a)]. The assertion there is that

$$\overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I) \leq \frac{t}{t+1}\big[(d-1)\mathrm{e}_0(I) + \mathrm{e}_0((I+\delta\mathbf{R})/\delta\mathbf{R})\big],$$

where t is the Cohen-Macaulay type of $\mathbf{R}$. Here we apply it to the reduction in Proposition 3.2.29

$$\overline{\mathrm{e}}_1(I\mathbf{S}) < (d-1)\mathrm{e}_0(I) + \mathrm{e}_0((I+\delta\mathbf{R})/\delta\mathbf{R}),$$

dropping the term involving t, over which we lose control in the reduction process. It should be pointed out that the element δ survives the reduction. □

QUESTION 3.2.31. There are many kinds of I-good filtrations over which it would be of interest to obtain explicit bounds–e.g. for the I-adic filtration itself. Their study may throw light into the invariants of $\mathbf{R}$ that should be looked at in the comparison between $\mathrm{e}_0(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A})$.

Extended degrees and e_1. The derivation of bounds for $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathcal{A})$ above required that $\mathbf{R}$ be a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring. Let us do away with this requirement by working with an extended degree function Deg. We select an extended degree but measure multiplicity by using the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity associated to the ideal I. This seems natural for the setting.

The aim of the game is to get a sense of $\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}'))$, at the final step of the reduction, in terms of invariants of the original ring $\mathbf{R}$. This is carried out as follows: Suppose we start out at the integral domain $\mathbf{R}$ and select $\mathbf{f}$ as above. It is clear that in addition to being superficial of $\mathbf{R}$, it can also be chosen to be generic for Deg. This would imply that

$$\mathrm{Deg}(\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{f})) \leq \mathrm{Deg}(\mathbf{R}).$$

If we write $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{f})$, $H = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}')$ and $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}/H$, then

$$\mathrm{Deg}(\mathbf{S}) = \mathrm{Deg}(\mathbf{R}') - \lambda(H) \leq \mathrm{Deg}(\mathbf{R}).$$

We can repeat this $d-1$ times and at final reduction $\mathbf{S}$ still have that, as in Theorem 1.5.41, that length of the $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{S})$ is bounded by $\mathrm{hdeg}_I(\mathbf{R}) - \mathrm{e}_0(I)$.

If we apply Theorem 1.5.45 to $\mathbf{R}$, passing to $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R}/(x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1})$, we have the estimate for $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}')$ so that the formula (1.2.12) can be used:

THEOREM 3.2.32 (Lower Bound for $\mathrm{e}_1(I)$). *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$. If I is an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal, then*

$$\mathrm{e}_1(I) \geq -\mathrm{hdeg}_I(\mathbf{R}) + \mathrm{e}_0(I).$$

(*Note that* $\mathrm{hdeg}_I(\mathbf{R}) - \mathrm{e}_0(I)$ *is the Cohen-Macaulay defficiency of* $\mathbf{R}$ *relative to the degree function* hdeg_I.)

COROLLARY 3.2.33. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$. For all parameter ideals I with the same integral closure $\overline{I}$*

$$\mathrm{e}_1(I) \geq -\mathrm{hdeg}_I(\mathbf{R}) + \mathrm{e}_0(I).$$

Note that the right-hand side depends only on $\overline{I}$.

3.2.4. Modules with finite local cohomology. The following is a characterization of modules where the values of $\mathrm{e}_1(\cdot)$ are bounded.

THEOREM 3.2.34. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring and M a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. Then M is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay module if and only the Hilbert coefficients $\mathrm{e}_1(J; M)$ are bounded for all parameter ideals J.*

PROOF. We have already remarked that if M is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay module,

$$\mathrm{e}_1(J; M) \geq -\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \binom{d-2}{i-1} \lambda(\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)).$$

Suppose now that $\mathrm{e}_1(J; M)$ is bounded. We may assume $\dim \mathbf{R} = \dim M = d \geq 2$. First we are going to consider the case $d = 2$. We may replace M by $M/\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$, a change which leaves both conditions unaffected. (Regarding the boundedness of $\mathrm{e}_1(J; M)$, we appeal to Proposition 1.2.12.)

Let $h \in J$ be a superficial element. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to M \xrightarrow{h} M \longrightarrow \overline{M} \to 0.$$

Applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\cdot, \mathbf{R})$, we obtain the exact complex

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R}) \xrightarrow{h} \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathbf{R}}(\overline{M}, \mathbf{R}) \to 0$$

since $\mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R}) = 0$.

This gives the embedding

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R}) \otimes \mathbf{R}/(h) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathbf{R}}(\overline{M}, \mathbf{R}).$$

This last module is the dual of $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\overline{M})$, whose length according to (1.2.12) gives the value of $-\mathrm{e}_1(J; M)$. If this value is bounded for all J, $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R})$ cannot be a module of dimension 1 since replacing h by a one of its powers would give a contradiction.

If $d \geq 3$, as $\mathrm{e}_1(J;M) = \mathrm{e}_1(J;\overline{M})$, $\overline{M}$ is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay module. Thus for each $1 \geq i$, the exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(\overline{M},\mathbf{R}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(M,\mathbf{R}) \stackrel{h}{\to} \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(M,\mathbf{R}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}_{\mathbf{R}}(\overline{M},\mathbf{R})$$

has the ends $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(\overline{M},\mathbf{R})$ of finite length. Therefore the modules $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(M,\mathbf{R})$ have dimension at most one. In the case of equality, from the argument above, it would follow that the modules $\mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}_{\mathbf{R}}(\overline{M},\mathbf{R})$ have unbounded length, which is a contradiction. □

3.2.5. Euler characteristics. Let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d > 0$, with infinite residue field. Let $J = (a_1,\ldots,a_d)$ be a parameter ideal. We seek to make comparisons of the Hilbert coefficient $\mathrm{e}_1(J)$ to another invariant of J. In [**200**], [**57**] and [**53**], $\mathrm{e}_1(J)$ is employed to study the Cohen-Macaulayness of $\mathbf{R}$. There is another invariant of J that has this usage, the Euler characteristic $\chi_1(J)$ of Serre. We will argue that $\chi_1(J)$ and $\mathrm{e}_1(J)$ have similar roles as predictors of Cohen-Macaulayness, and thus it is natural to compare one invariant to the other.

We begin our discussion with a challenge. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be the Koszul complex associated to J, and denote by $\lambda(H_i(\mathbb{K})) = h_i(J)$. The first two Euler characteristics of $\mathrm{H}_\bullet((K))$ have important properties according to a result of Serre (see [**166**, Appendice II], [**15**, Theorem 4.6.10]):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}_0(J) = \chi_0(J) &= \sum_{i\geq 0}(-1)^i h_i(J), \\ \chi_1(J) &= \sum_{i\geq 1}(-1)^{i-1} h_i(J). \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient $\chi_1(J) \geq 0$, and vanishes if and only if $\mathbf{R}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. It does have properties similar to those of $\mathrm{e}_1(J)$–more precisely, of its negative–and therefore it is of interest to attempt a comparison between these coefficients. We consider special cases, but still include some large classes of rings and ideals.

Suppose J is generated by a d-sequence. The corresponding approximation complex $\mathcal{M}(J)$ ($\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{T}_d]$)

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_d(\mathbb{K}) \otimes \mathbf{S}[-d] \to \cdots \to \mathrm{H}_1(\mathbb{K}) \otimes \mathbf{S}[-1] \to \mathrm{H}_0(\mathbb{K}) \otimes \mathbf{S} \to \mathrm{gr}_J(\mathbf{R}) \to 0$$

is acyclic, which yields the Hilbert series of J (see [**78**, Theorem 5.6], [**80**, Corollary 12.4]),

$$H_J(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i h_i(J)\mathbf{t}^i}{(1-\mathbf{t})^d}.$$

This gives for $-\mathrm{e}_1(J)$ the value

$$-\mathrm{e}_1(J) = \sum_{i=1}^d (-1)^{i-1} \cdot i \cdot h_i(J).$$

In the special case of $d = 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathrm{e}_1(J) &= h_1(J) - 2\cdot h_2(J) \\ \chi_1(J) &= h_1(J) - h_2(J), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $\chi_1(J) \geq -\mathrm{e}_1(J) \geq 0$. In particular this explains why $\chi_1(J) = 0$ implies that $\mathbf{R}$ is Cohen-Macaulay (at least when $\mathbf{R}$ is unmixed).

QUESTION 3.2.35. For any parameter ideal J of an unmixed local ring, when is

$$\boxed{\chi_1(J) \geq -\mathrm{e}_1(J).}$$

In the case of ideals generated by d-sequences, this asks whether

$$\sum_{i\geq 2}(-1)^i(i-1)h_i(J) \leq 0.$$

One affirmative case follows from a straightforward calculation:

PROPOSITION 3.2.36. *If $\mathbf{R}$ is a Buchsbaum ring the inequality holds.*

PROOF. We make use of basic properties of Buchsbaum rings as developed in [**179**, Chap. 1, Section 1]. Two of these we use repeatedly: (i) If $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is part of a system of parameters, then $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) : x_n = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) : \mathfrak{m}$ (in particular, $\mathbf{x}$ is a d-sequence), and (ii) the Koszul homology modules $\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x}))$ are $\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}$-vector spaces for $i \geq 1$.

We use the following well-known technique to relate the Koszul homology of a partial set of parameters $\mathbf{x}$ to that of the enlarged sequence $\mathbf{x}, y$ obtained by adding one more parameter.

PROPOSITION 3.2.37. *Let $\mathbb{C}$ be a chain complex and let $\mathbb{F} = \{F_1, F_0\}$ be a chain complex of free modules concentrated in degrees 1 and 0. Then for each integer $q \geq 0$ there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_0(\mathrm{H}_q(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_q(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_1(\mathrm{H}_{q-1}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{F}) \to 0.$$

Setting $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{K}(y)$, and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x}, y)$, we have equalities of dimensions of vector spaces

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(\mathbb{K}) &= h_1(\mathbb{K}_0) + \lambda(O :_{\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{x})} y), \\ h_i(\mathbb{K}) &= h_i(\mathbb{K}_0) + h_{i-1}(\mathbb{K}_0), \quad i \geq 2, \\ h_{n+1}(\mathbb{K}) &= h_n(\mathbb{K}_0). \end{aligned}$$

In case $n = d - 1$, for $d = \dim \mathbf{R}$, $J = (\mathbf{x}, y)$, these relations would give

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1(J) &= \lambda(O :_{\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{x})} y), \\ -\mathrm{e}_1(J) &= \lambda(O :_{\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{x})} y) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^i h_i(\mathbb{K}_0). \end{aligned}$$

For $d = 2$, the assertion is clear. For $d > 2$, it is enough to show that $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^i h_i(\mathbb{K}_0) \leq 0$. In this case, write $\mathbf{x}_0 = \{x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}\}$ and apply Proposition 3.2.37 to get (with

some abuse of notation),

$$\chi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h_i(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda(O :_{\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{x}_0)} x_{n-1}),$$

as desired. □

We also observe that Buchsbaum rings are those for which $\mathrm{e}_1(J)$ is constant ([**65**]), which makes one wonder whether $\chi_1(J)$ will be constant as well.

This makes use of the fact that the Koszul homology modules are easy to manipulate. A more careful, but still direct analysis will work for generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring.

REMARK 3.2.38. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ and let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}\}$, which together with x_d give a parameter ideal. By assumption, the homology modules $\mathrm{H}_s(\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x}))$, $s \geq 1$, have finite length. Therefore the two homology modules $\mathrm{H}_j(\mathbb{K}(\mathrm{H}_s(\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x}); x_d)))$, for $j = 0, 1$, have the same length. These facts lead again to the expression of $\chi_1(\mathbf{x}, x_d)$,

$$\chi_1(\mathbf{x}, x_d) = \lambda(O :_{\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{x})} x_d).$$

Observe the following consequence: If $\mathbf{R}$ is unmixed, then $\mathbf{R}$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{x})$ is Cohen-Macaulay .

3.2.6. Chern coefficient and homological torsion. We now this into a uniform bound for the first Hilbert coefficient of a module M relative to an ideal I generated by a system of parameters $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$.

THEOREM 3.2.39. *Let M be a module of dimension $d \geq 2$ and let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}, x_d\}$ be a superficial sequence for M and* hdeg. *Then*

$$-\mathrm{e}_1(I; M) \leq \mathbf{T}(M).$$

PROOF. We first argue a reduction to the case $d = 2$. If $d \geq 3$, we select for x_1 a superficial element for the Hilbert function and hdeg. This follows from Proposition 1.2.12 and Theorem 1.5.34

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}_1(I; M) &= \mathrm{e}_1(I/(x_1); M/x_1M), \\ \mathbf{T}(M) &\geq \mathbf{T}(M/x_1M). \end{aligned}$$

In the exact sequence

$$0 \to H = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M' \to 0$$

consider reduction mod the superficial element $h = x_2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/hM)) &\leq \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M'/hM')) + \lambda(H/hH) \\ &\leq \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M'/hM')) + \lambda(0 :_H h), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda(H/hH) = \lambda(0 :_H h)$ since H is a module of finite length (the equality results from the exact sequence $0 \to 0 :_H h \to H \to H \to h/hH \to 0$ induced by multiplication by h). Because h is superficial, $0 :_M h = 0 :_H h$, which yields the inequality

$$\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/hM)) - \lambda(0 :_M h) \leq \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M'/hM')),$$

in other words, by Proposition 1.2.12

$$-\mathrm{e}_1(I;M) \;\leq\; -\mathrm{e}_1(I;M').$$

The proof of the inequality $-\mathrm{e}_1(I;M') \leq \mathbf{T}(M')$ is as before: Write $h = \mathbf{x}$. The assertion requires that $\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M'/hM')) \leq \mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{S}}(M',\mathbf{S}))$. We have the cohomology exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{S}}(M',\mathbf{S}) \xrightarrow{h} \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{S}}(M',\mathbf{S}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathbf{S}}(M'/hM',\mathbf{S}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathbf{S}}(M',\mathbf{S}) = 0,$$

where

$$\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M'/hM')) = \mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathbf{S}}(M'/hM',\mathbf{S})),$$

and we argue as above. □

3.3. Euler Characteristics

3.3.1. Introduction. Let $Q = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d)$ be a parameter ideal in a given Noetherian local ring A of dimension $d > 0$. We seek to make comparisons of the first Hilbert coefficient $\mathrm{e}_1(Q, A)$ to another invariant of Q. For simplicity, let us denote by $\mathrm{e}_i(Q, A) = \mathrm{e}_i(Q) = \mathrm{e}_i(\mathbf{a})$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$, where $\mathbf{a}$ denotes the sequence $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d\}$. In [**53**], [**55**], [**56**], [**65**], [**66**] and [**200**], $\mathrm{e}_1(Q)$ is employed to study the Cohen-Macaulayness of A. There is another invariant of Q that has this usage, the Euler characteristic $\chi_1(Q)$ of Serre. We will argue that $\chi_1(Q)$ and $\mathrm{e}_1(Q)$ have similar roles as predictors of Cohen-Macaulayness, and thus it is natural to compare one invariant to the other.

3.3.2. Partial Euler characteristics. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}_\bullet(\mathbf{a}; A)$ be the Koszul complex associated to the sequence $\mathbf{a}$ and denote by $\lambda_A(\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbb{K})) = \mathrm{h}_i(Q)$ (or, by $\mathrm{h}_i(\mathbf{a})$). The first two Euler characteristics of $\mathrm{H}_\bullet(\mathbb{K})$ have important properties according to a result of Serre (see [**166**, Appendice II], [**15**, Theorem 4.6.10]):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}_0(Q) = \chi_0(Q) = \chi_0(\mathbf{a}) &= \sum_{i\geq 0}(-1)^i \mathrm{h}_i(Q), \\ \chi_1(Q) = \chi_1(\mathbf{a}) &= \sum_{i\geq 1}(-1)^{i-1} \mathrm{h}_i(Q). \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient $\chi_1(Q) \geq 0$, and vanishes if and only if A is Cohen-Macaulay. It does have properties similar to those of $\mathrm{e}_1(Q)$ – more precisely, of its negative – and therefore it is of interest to attempt a comparison between these coefficients. We consider special cases, but still include some large classes of rings and ideals. Another extension is that of the following notion (see Corollary 3.3.9 for examples).

Definition 3.3.1. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$. A system of elements $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is suitable for defining* partial Euler characteristics *if $\mathrm{H}_j(x_1, \ldots, x_i)$ have finite length for all i and $j \geq 1$. We set*

$$\chi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j\geq 1}^{n}(-1)^{j-1} \mathrm{h}_j(\mathbf{x}).$$

A special type of systems of parameters that play an important role in this paper is that of *d-sequences* and one of its generalizations. They are extensions of regular sequences, the first the notion of *d-sequence* invented by Huneke ([**96**]), and of a *proper sequence* ([**78**]). They play natural roles in the theory of the *approximation complexes* ([**78**]).

We now collect appropriate properties of these sequences. (The notion extends *ipsis literis* to modules.) Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a commutative ring.

DEFINITION 3.3.2. Let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a sequence of elements in $\mathbf{R}$. $\mathbf{x}$ is a d-sequence if

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i) : x_{i+1}x_k = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i) : x_k, \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, n-1,\ k \geq i+1.$$

Basic properties of d-sequences, useful in induction arguments, are ([**96**]):

PROPOSITION 3.3.3. *Suppose that* $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ *is a d-sequence in* $\mathbf{R}$. *Then we have the following.*

(a) *The images of* $x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ *in* $\mathbf{R}/(x_1)$ *form a d-sequence.*
(b) $[(0)\colon x_1] \cap (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (0)$.
(c) *The images of* $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ *in* $\mathbf{R}/[(0)\colon x_1]$ *form a d-sequence.*
(d) $\mathbf{x}$ *is a regular sequence if and only if* $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) : x_n = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$.
(e) *If* $\mathbf{x}$ *form a d-sequence then the sequence* $\mathbf{x}' = \{x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*\}$ *of* $\operatorname{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{R})$ *is a d-sequence.*

PROOF. In (d), the argument is a straightforward calculation. We may assume $n > 1$. If $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) : x_n = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, we claim that $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}) : x_{n-1} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-2})$. From $ax_{n-1} \in (a_1, \dots, x_{n-2})$, we have $x_n x_{n-1} a \in (x_1, \dots, x_{n-2})$ so

$$a \in (x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}) : x_{n-1}x_n = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}) : x_n \subset (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) : x_n = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}).$$

Thus $a = a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1}x_{n-1}$ and from $x_{n-1}a \in (x_1, \dots, x_{n-2})$ we get $a_{n-1}x_{n-1}^2 \in (x_1, \dots, x_{n-2})$ and therefore $a_{n-1}x_{n-1} \in (x_1, \dots, x_{n-2})$, as desired.

(e) is proved in [**97**, Theorem 1.2], converse in [**112**]. □

An interesting consequence is:

COROLLARY 3.3.4. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Noetherian local ring and* M *a finitely generated* $\mathbf{R}$*-module. If* $\mathbf{x}$ *is a system of parameters that is a d-sequence on the module* M *then* $\mathbf{x}$ *is a superficial sequence with respect to* M.

DEFINITION 3.3.5. Let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a sequence of elements in $\mathbf{R}$. $\mathbf{x}$ is a proper sequence if

$$x_{i+1}\mathrm{H}_j(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i) = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,\ j > 0,$$

where $\mathrm{H}_j(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i)$ is the Koszul homology associated to the subsequence $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$.

PROPOSITION 3.3.6. *Suppose that* $\mathbf{R}$ *is a Noetherian ring. Let* $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ *be a sequence in* $\mathbf{R}$.

(a) *If* $\mathbf{x}$ *is a d-sequence, then* $\mathbf{x}$ *is a proper sequence.*
(b) *If* $\mathbf{x}$ *is a proper sequence, then*

$$x_k\mathrm{H}_j(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i) = 0, \quad \textit{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,\ j > 0,\ k > i.$$

(c) *Suppose that* $\mathbf{R}$ *is a local ring of dimension* $n > 0$. *If* $\mathbf{x}$ *is a proper sequence that is also a system of parameters in* $\mathbf{R}$, *then* $\mathrm{H}_j(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i)$ *is a module of finite length for* $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ *and* $j > 0$.

The following provides for a ready source of these sequences.

PROPOSITION 3.3.7. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be Noetherian local and* $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$ *a parameter ideal. If* $(x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1})$ *has grade* $d-1$, *then*

(a) $\mathbf{x}$ *is a proper sequence;*
(b) $\mathbf{x}$ *is a d–sequence if and only if* $(x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}) : x_d^2 = (x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}) : x_d$.

3.3.3. Euler characteristics versus Hilbert coefficients. In this section we derive the explicit values for these partial Euler characteristics in the case of proper sequences. Later in this section we express the Chern numbers of parameter ideals generated by d-sequences in terms of the Euler characteristics.

When we apply Proposition 3.2.37 to a proper sequence $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, we obtain (set $\mathbf{R}_i = \mathbf{R}/(x_1, \ldots, x_i)$):

$$0 \to (0) :_{\mathbf{R}_i} x_{i+1} \to \mathbf{R}_i \overset{x_{i+1}}{\to} \mathbf{R}_i \to \mathbf{R}_{i+1} \to 0,$$

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_1(x_1, \ldots, x_i) \to \mathrm{H}_1(x_1, \ldots, x_{i+1}),$$

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_j(x_1, \ldots, x_i) \to \mathrm{H}_j(x_1, \ldots, x_{i+1}) \to \mathrm{H}_{j-1}(x_1, \ldots, x_i) \to 0, \quad j > 1.$$

PROPOSITION 3.3.8. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Noetherian local ring of dimension* $d > 1$ *and let* $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ *be a set of generators of an ideal of codimension* n. *Suppose that for each subset* $\mathbf{x}' = \{x_1, \ldots, x_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, *the Koszul homology modules* $\mathrm{H}_q(\mathbf{x}')$, $q \geq 1$, *have finite length. Write* $\mathbf{x} = \{\mathbf{x}_0, y\}$ *with* $\mathbf{x}_0$ *the initial subsequence up to* x_{n-1} *and* $y = x_n$. *Then*

$$\chi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda_{\mathbf{R}}((0) :_{\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{x}_0)} y).$$

PROOF. It makes still sense to define

$$\chi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i-1} \mathrm{h}_i(\mathbf{x}),$$

since the Koszul homology modules $\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x}))$, for $i \geq 1$, have finite length.

In Proposition 3.2.37, set $\mathbf{C} = \mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x}_0)$, $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{K}(y)$, and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x}_0, y)$. Since the modules $\mathrm{H}_q(\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x}_0))$ have finite length for $q > 0$, the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_1(\mathbb{K}(\mathrm{H}_q(\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x}_0); x_n))) \to \mathrm{H}_q(\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x}_0)) \to \mathrm{H}_q(\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x}_0)) \to \mathrm{H}_0(\mathbb{K}(\mathrm{H}_q(\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x}_0); x_n))) \to 0$$

implies that the two modules

$$\begin{aligned} A_q &= \mathrm{H}_0(\mathbb{K}(\mathrm{H}_q(\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x}_0); x_n))) \\ B_q &= \mathrm{H}_1(\mathbb{K}(\mathrm{H}_q(\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x}_0); x_n))) \end{aligned}$$

have the same length. This gives rise to the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{h}_1(\mathbb{K}) &= \lambda_{\mathbf{R}}(A_1) + \lambda_{\mathbf{R}}((0) :_{\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{x}_0)} y), \\ \mathrm{h}_i(\mathbb{K}) &= \lambda_{\mathbf{R}}(A_i) + \lambda_{\mathbf{R}}(B_{i-1}), \quad i \geq 2, \\ \mathrm{h}_n(\mathbb{K}) &= \lambda_{\mathbf{R}}(B_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

which leads to the assertion. □

COROLLARY 3.3.9. *Let* $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ *be a set of elements of one of the following kinds:*

(a) $\mathbf{x}$ *is an initial subsequence of a system of parameters forming a proper sequence;*
(b) $\mathbf{x}$ *is an initial subsequence of a system of parameters forming a d–sequence;*
(c) $\mathbf{R}$ *is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay local ring and* $\mathbf{x}$ *is a subsystem of parameters.*

If $\mathbf{x}$ *is any sequence as above and* $\mathbf{x} = \{\mathbf{x}_0, y\}$*, then*

$$\chi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda_{\mathbf{R}}((0) :_{\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{x}_0)} y).$$

Moreover, in case (2)*,* $\chi_1(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ *if and only if* $\mathbf{x}$ *is a regular sequence.*

PROOF. The second assertion follows from Proposition 3.3.3(d). □

THEOREM 3.3.10. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Noetherian local ring of dimension* $d > 1$ *and let* $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d\}$ *be a system of parameters that is a d-sequence in* $\mathbf{R}$*. For* $-1 \leq i \leq d-1$ *set* $\mathbf{x}_i = \{x_1, \ldots, x_{d-i-1}\}$*. Then*

(a) $\chi_1(\mathbf{x}_i) = \lambda_{\mathbf{R}}((0) :_{\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{x}_{i-1})} x_{d-i})$*. In particular* $\chi_1(\mathbf{x}_d) = \lambda_{\mathbf{R}}((0) :_{\mathbf{R}} x_1) = \lambda_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}))$*.*
(b) *For* $i \geq 1$*,* $(-1)^i \mathrm{e}_i(\mathbf{x}) = \chi_1(\mathbf{x}_{i-1}) - \chi_1(\mathbf{x}_i) \geq 0$*.*

We first recall the following property of ideals generated by d-sequences (see [**78**, Theorem 5.6], [**80**, Corollary 12.4]).

THEOREM 3.3.11. *Let* $\mathbf{x}$ *be a system of parameters that is also a d-sequence. The approximation complex* $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x})$ *associated to* $\mathbf{x}$

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_d(\mathbb{K}) \otimes \mathbf{S}[-d] \to \cdots \to \mathrm{H}_1(\mathbb{K}) \otimes \mathbf{S}[-1] \to \mathrm{H}_0(\mathbb{K}) \otimes \mathbf{S} \to G \to 0$$

($\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_d]$*) is acyclic. For* $Q = (\mathbf{x})$ *and* $G = \mathrm{gr}_Q(\mathbf{R})$*, the Hilbert series of* G *is*

$$\mathrm{H}(G, \lambda) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{d} (-1)^i \mathrm{h}_i(Q) \lambda^i}{(1-\lambda)^d} = \frac{\mathrm{h}(\lambda)}{(1-\lambda)^d}.$$

PROOF OF Theorem 3.3.10: We only have to prove (2). For clarity we consider the cases $i = 1$ and $i = 2$. Theorem 3.3.11 gives for $-\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{x})$ the value

$$-\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{x}) = -\mathrm{h}'(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} (-1)^{i-1} \cdot i \cdot \mathrm{h}_i(Q).$$

In the special case of $d = 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathrm{h}_1(x_1, x_2) - 2{\cdot}\mathrm{h}_2(x_1, x_2) \\ &= \chi_1(x_1, x_2) - \chi_1(x_1), \end{aligned}$$

since $x_2 \mathrm{H}_1(x_1) = 0$.

For $d > 2$, we assemble $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{x})$ from the relations in Proposition 3.3.8.

From the Hilbert series, we have $\mathrm{e}_i(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathrm{h}^{(i)}(1)}{i!}$. Set $i = 2$. Expanding $\mathrm{e}_2(\mathbf{x})$ and expressing the $\mathrm{h}_i(\mathbf{x})$ in terms of the $\mathrm{h}_i(\mathbf{x}_0)$, and these in terms of the $\mathrm{h}_i(\mathbf{x}_1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}_2(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathrm{h}_2(\mathbf{x}) - 3\mathrm{h}_3(\mathbf{x}) + 6\mathrm{h}_4(\mathbf{x}) - \cdots \\ &= (\mathrm{h}_1(\mathbf{x}_0) + \mathrm{h}_2(\mathbf{x}_0)) - 3(\mathrm{h}_2(\mathbf{x}_0) + \mathrm{h}_3(\mathbf{x}_0)) + 6(\mathrm{h}_3(\mathbf{x}_0) + \mathrm{h}_4(\mathbf{x}_0)) - \cdots \\ &= \mathrm{h}_1(\mathbf{x}_0) - 2\mathrm{h}_2(\mathbf{x}_0) + 3\mathrm{h}_3(\mathbf{x}_0) + \cdots \\ &= (\mathrm{h}_1(\mathbf{x}_1) + \lambda(0 :_{\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{x}_1)} x_{d-2})) - 2(\mathrm{h}_1(\mathbf{x}_1) + \mathrm{h}_2(\mathbf{x}_1)) + 3(\mathrm{h}_2(\mathbf{x}_1) + \mathrm{h}_3(\mathbf{x}_1)) - \cdots \\ &= \lambda_{\mathbf{R}}(0 :_{\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{x}_1)} x_{d-2}) - \chi_1(\mathbf{x}_1) \\ &= \chi_1(\mathbf{x}_0) - \chi_1(\mathbf{x}_1). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the cases $i > 2$ is similar.

As for the assertion of positivity,

$$(-1)^i \mathrm{e}_i(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda(((x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}) : x_i)/(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})) - \lambda((((x_1, \ldots, x_{i-2}) : x_{i-1})/(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-2})).$$

Now observe that since $\mathbf{x}$ is d-sequence, $(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-2}) : x_{i-1} \subset (x_1, \ldots, x_{i-2}) : x_i$, so there is a natural mapping of

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-2}) : x_{i-1})/(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-2})) \longrightarrow (x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}) : x_i)/(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})$$

whose kernel is zero since $((x_1, \ldots, x_{i-2}) : x_{i-1}) \cap (x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{i-2})$. This gives the formula

$$(-1)^i \mathrm{e}_i(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda(((x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}) : x_i)/((x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}) + ((x_1, \ldots, x_{i-2}) : x_i))).$$

□

COROLLARY 3.3.12. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d > 1$. If $\mathbf{x}$ is a system of parameters that is a d-sequence in $\mathbf{R}$, $\chi_1(Q) = -\mathrm{e}_1(Q)$ if and only if* $\operatorname{depth} \mathbf{R} \geq d - 1$, *where $Q = (\mathbf{x})$.*

Equivalent formulas for $\mathrm{e}_i(Q)$ in terms of local cohomology modules are given in [**66**, Proposition 3.4]:

PROPOSITION 3.3.13. *Let Q be a parameter ideal generated by a d-sequence $(a_1, \ldots, a_d)$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ set $Q_i = (a_1, \ldots, a_i)$. Then*

$$(-1)^i \mathrm{e}_i(Q) = \begin{cases} \lambda_A(A/Q) - \lambda_A\left([Q_{d-1} : a_d]/Q_{d-1}\right) & \text{if} \quad i = 0, \\ \mathrm{h}^0(A/Q_{d-i}) - \mathrm{h}^0(A/Q_{d-i-1}) & \text{if} \quad 1 \leq i \leq d-1, \\ \mathrm{h}^0(A) & \text{if} \quad i = d. \end{cases}$$

QUESTION 3.3.14. *This discussion suggests that just as Buchsbaum rings are those for which every system of parameters is a d-sequence, it would be of interest to have a better understanding of the local rings for which every parameter ideal can be generated by a proper system.*

Theorem 3.3.10 settles the question of the comparison between $\chi_1(Q)$ and $\mathrm{e}_1(Q)$ for parameter ideals generated by d-sequences. In particular it asserts that $\chi_1(Q) \geq -\mathrm{e}_1(Q)$ for those ideals. Let us note an example that the converse does not hold (see also [**56**, 2.4]).

EXAMPLE 3.3.15. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a regular local ring with maximal ideal* $\mathfrak{n}$ *and* $d = \dim \mathbf{R} \geq 3$. *Choose an ideal* I *in* $\mathbf{R}$ *so that* $\dim \mathbf{R}/I = 1$ *and* $\mathbf{R}/I$ *is a Cohen-Macaulay ring but not a discrete valuation ring. We look at the idealization*

$$A = \mathbf{R} \ltimes \mathbf{R}/I$$

of $\mathbf{R}/I$ *over* $\mathbf{R}$. *Then for every parameter ideal* Q *in* A *we have*

$$\chi_1(Q) > 0 = -\mathrm{e}_1(Q),$$

while the parameter ideal $Q = \mathfrak{n}A$ *cannot be generated by a* d*–sequence of length* d, *which shows the converse of Theorem 3.3.10 is not true in general.*

PROOF. Let $\mathfrak{q} = Q\mathbf{R}$ and put $\overline{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R}/I$. Then, thanks to the canonical exact sequence

$$0 \to (0) \times \overline{\mathbf{R}} \to A \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{R} \to 0$$

where $p(r, m) = r$ for each $(r, m) \in A$, we have

$$\lambda_A(A/Q^{n+1}) = \mathrm{e}_0(\mathfrak{q})\binom{n+d}{n} + \lambda_{\mathbf{R}}(\overline{\mathbf{R}}/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}\overline{\mathbf{R}})$$

for all $n \geq 0$, so that

$$(-1)^i \mathrm{e}_i(Q) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{e}_0(\mathfrak{q}) & \text{if} \quad i = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad 1 \leq i \leq d-2, \\ \mathrm{e}_0(\mathfrak{q}\overline{\mathbf{R}}) & \text{if} \quad i = d-1, \\ -\mathrm{e}_1(\mathfrak{q}\overline{\mathbf{R}}) & \text{if} \quad i = d. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\chi_1(Q) = \lambda_A(A/Q) - \mathrm{e}_0(Q) > 0 = -\mathrm{e}_1(Q),$$

since A is not a Cohen-Macaulay ring (notice that $\dim A = d$ and depth $A = 1$). We have $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathfrak{q}\overline{\mathbf{R}}) = 0$ if and only if $[\mathfrak{q} + I]/I$ is a principal ideal in $\mathbf{R}/I$, because $\mathbf{R}/I$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension one. Taking $Q = \mathfrak{n}A$, the maximal ideal $[\mathfrak{n}+I]/I$ in $\mathbf{R}/I$ is not principal, since $\mathbf{R}/I$ is not a discrete valuation ring. Hence the parameter ideal $Q = \mathfrak{n}A$ in A cannot be generated by any d–sequence of length d; otherwise

$$\mathrm{e}_1(\mathfrak{q}\mathbf{R}/I) = (-1)^{d-1}\mathrm{e}_d(Q) = -\mathrm{h}^0(A) = 0$$

by Corollary 3.3.10, since depth $A > 0$. □

A converse. In this segment we will be dealing mostly with local rings A of dimension $d \geq 1$ with depth $A \geq d-1$. For a parameter ideal Q, the key to comparing the values of $\chi_1(Q)$ and $\mathrm{e}_1(Q)$ is the following.

PROPOSITION 3.3.16. *Let A be a local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ and infinite residue field. If Q is a parameter ideal then it has a generating system $\mathbf{x} = \{a_1, \ldots, a_d\}$ such that $\{a_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}\}$ is a superficial sequence of A relative to Q. For $Q_{d-1} = (a_1, \ldots, a_{d-1})$*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1(Q) &= \lambda_A([Q_{d-1} : a_d]/Q_{d-1}) \\ \mathrm{e}_1(Q) &= -\lambda_A(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(A/Q_{d-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\chi_1(Q) = -\mathrm{e}_1(Q)$ if and only if $\mathbf{x}$ is a d-sequence.

PROOF. Since the residue field of A is infinite, we may choose a system $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d$ of parameters in A so that $Q = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d)$ and $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{d-1}$ forms a superficial sequence for A with respect to Q. Then $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{d-1}$ is an A–regular sequence, as depth $A \geq d-1$. Thus $\{a_1, \ldots, a_d\}$ is a proper sequence by Proposition 3.3.7, and therefore by Proposition 3.3.8, $\chi_1(Q) = \lambda_A([Q_{d-1} : a_d]/Q_{d-1})$.

For the expression for $\mathrm{e}_1(Q)$, the assertion is clear if $d = 1$. If $d > 1$ let $\overline{A} = A/(a_1)$ and $\overline{Q} = Q/(a_1)$. Then the system $a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_d$ of parameters for $\overline{A}$ is reducing also for $\overline{A}$. $\mathrm{e}^i_Q(A) = \mathrm{e}^i_{\overline{Q}}(\overline{A})$ for all $0 \leq i \leq d-1$; recall that a_1 is A–regular and superficial for A with respect to Q. It suffices to recall that

$$\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(A/Q_{d-1}) = \bigcup_{s \geq 1} (0 :_{A/Q_{d-1}} {a_d}^s).$$

The last assertion is equivalent to $Q_{d-1} : a_d = \bigcup_{s \geq 1} (Q_{d-1} : {a_d}^s)$, and thus by Proposition 3.3.7 that $\mathbf{x}$ is a d-sequence.

□

We are now in position to give the following converse to Theorem 3.3.10:

THEOREM 3.3.17. *Suppose that $d \geq 2$,* depth $A \geq d-1$, *and the residue class field of $A/\mathfrak{m}$ of A is infinite. Let Q be a parameter ideal in A. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(a) $\chi_1(Q) \geq -\mathrm{e}_1(Q)$.
(b) $\mathrm{e}_2(Q) = 0$.
(c) *There exists a system $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d$ of parameters in A which generates Q and forms a d–sequence in A.*

3.3.4. Bounding Euler characteristics. The relationship between partial Euler characteristics and superficial elements make for a straightforward comparison with extended degree functions.

We will prove that Euler characteristics can be uniformly bounded by homological degrees. The basic tool is the following observation.

PROPOSITION 3.3.18. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Noetherian local ring and* M *a finitely generated* $\mathbf{R}$*-module. If* $n \geq 2$ *and* $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ *is a system of parameters for* M*, set* $\mathbf{x}' = \{x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$*. Then*

$$\chi_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = \chi_1(\mathbf{x}'; M/x_1M) + \chi_0(\mathbf{x}'; 0 :_M x_1).$$

This is a proved assertion in the proof of [**15**, Theorem 4.6.10(a)].

THEOREM 3.3.19. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Noetherian local ring of infinite residue field and* M *a finitely generated* $\mathbf{R}$*-module of positive dimension. For every system of parameters* $\mathbf{x}$ *for* M*,*

$$\chi_1(\mathbf{x}; M) \leq \mathrm{hdeg}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M) - \deg_{(\mathbf{x})}(M).$$

PROOF. We may replace the system of parameters by another generating the same ideal but formed by a superficial sequence $\mathbf{x}$ for $\mathrm{hdeg}_{(\mathbf{x})}$.

If $n = 1$, $\chi_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = \lambda(0 :_M x_1)$ while $\mathrm{hdeg}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M) = \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) + \deg_{(\mathbf{x})}(M)$, and therefore the assertion holds.

If $n \geq 2$, $0 :_M x_1$ has finite length and thus $\mathrm{e}_0(\mathbf{x}'; 0 :_M x_1) = 0$ so that by Proposition 3.3.18,

$$\chi_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = \chi_1(\mathbf{x}'; M/x_1M).$$

We iterate this $n-1$ steps so that we have

$$\chi_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = \chi_1(\mathbf{x}'; M/x_1M).$$

reducing to the dimension 1 case:

$\chi_1(x_n; M/(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})M) \leq \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})M)) \leq \mathrm{hdeg}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M) - \deg_{(\mathbf{x})}(M)$,

the last inequality by Theorem 1.5.45. □

COROLLARY 3.3.20. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Noetherian local ring. For all systems of parameters* $\mathbf{x}$ *with the same integral closure* Q*, the set*

$$\Xi_1(Q) = \{\chi_1(\mathbf{x}) \mid \mathbf{x} \quad \text{parameter ideal with } \overline{(\mathbf{x})} = Q\}$$

is finite.

PROOF. Both $\mathrm{hdeg}_{(\mathbf{x})}(\mathbf{R})$ and $\deg_{(\mathbf{x})}(\mathbf{R})$ depend only on the integral closure of $(\mathbf{x})$. □

In analogy with the properties of Chern coefficients, the modules with bounded Euler characteristics are those which are Cohen-Macaulay on the punctured spectrum. The study of these modules was started in [**32**] and comprehensively developed in [**182**]. Our treatment for the following result of [**32**] works for the special case of equidimensional, catenary rings and was intuited from the analogous result for the function $\mathrm{e}_1(I, M)$.

We first give an analogue of Proposition 1.2.12 for Euler characteristics.

PROPOSITION 3.3.21. *Let* $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ *be a Noetherian local ring of infinite residue field and dimension* $d \geq 2$*. Let* M *be a finitely generated* $\mathbf{R}$*-module of dimension* d *and let* $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$ *be a system of parameters that is filter regular.*

(a) $\chi_1(x_{d-1}, x_d; M/(x_1, \ldots, x_{d-2})M) = \chi_1(\mathbf{x}; M)$.
(b) *Let* $M_0 = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ *and set* $M' = M/M_0$. *Then* $\chi_1(M) \geq \chi_1(M')$.

PROOF. (1) follows by iteration of Proposition 3.3.18.

(2) The Euler characteristics satisfy

$$\chi_0(\mathbf{x}; M_0) - \chi_0(\mathbf{x}; M) + \chi_0(\mathbf{x}; M') \quad = \quad 0,$$

and since $\dim M_0 < d$, $\chi_0(\mathbf{x}; M_0) = 0$.

Consider the tail of the homology exact sequence

$$0 \to L \longrightarrow M_0/(\mathbf{x})M_0 \longrightarrow M/(\mathbf{x})M \longrightarrow M'/(\mathbf{x})M' \to 0.$$

A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\lambda(L) - \chi_1(\mathbf{x}; M') + \chi_1(\mathbf{x}; M) - \chi_1(\mathbf{x}; M_0) = 0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1(\mathbf{x}; M') - \chi_1(\mathbf{x}; M) &= \lambda(L) - \chi_1(\mathbf{x}; M_0) \\ &\leq \lambda(M_0/(\mathbf{x})M_0) - \chi_1(\mathbf{x}; M_0) = \chi_0(\mathbf{x}; M) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

THEOREM 3.3.22. *Let* $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ *be a Noetherian local ring and* M *an unmixed* $\mathbf{R}$*-module. Then* M *is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay module if and only if*

$$\Xi_1(M) = \{\chi_1(\mathbf{x}; M) \mid \mathbf{x} \quad \text{parameter ideal of } M\}$$

is finite.

PROOF. For simplicity of notation we take $M = \mathbf{R}$. (Or replace $\mathbf{R}$ by $\mathbf{R}/\mathrm{ann}(M)$.) Assume that $\Xi_1(\mathbf{R})$ is a finite set. Let $\dim \mathbf{R} = n$. We may assume that $n \geq 2$. Let $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$ be a prime ideal of positive height and let $y \in \mathfrak{p}$ be a parameter, that is y is not contained in any minimal prime of $\mathbf{R}$.

(a) Let r be such that $0 : y^r = 0 : y^{r+1}$, and set $x_1 = y^r$. x_1 is a parameter in $\mathfrak{p}$ and $0 : x_1 = 0 : x_1^2$.
(b) By assumption, there is a bound for $\chi_1(\mathbf{x})$, for any system of parameters $\mathbf{x} = x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$. From Proposition 3.3.18,

$$\chi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \chi_1(\mathbf{x}'; \mathbf{R}/x_1\mathbf{R}) + \chi_0(\mathbf{x}'; 0 :_{\mathbf{R}} x_1),$$

which implies that $\overline{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R}/(x_1)$ has the same property.
(c) Furthermore, if $\dim 0 : x_1 = n - 1$, its multiplicity is bounded for parameters ideals of the form $x_2^m, \ldots, x_n$ and all $m \geq 1$, which is clearly impossible since $0 : x_1$ has dimension $n - 1 \geq 1$. Therefore, $\dim 0 : x_1 \leq n - 2$, which means that

$$\text{height } (0 : (0 : x_1)) \geq 2.$$

(d) To show that $\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ Cohen-Macaulay, consider two cases. If height $\mathfrak{p} = 1$, $(0 : (0 : x_1)) \not\subset \mathfrak{p}$, which implies that x_1 is a regular element of $\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus $\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

If height $\mathfrak{p} > 1$, by induction $\mathbf{R}/(x_1)$ is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring. If $(0 : (0 : x_1)) \not\subset \mathfrak{p}$, as above we would have that x_1 is a regular element of $\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and the proof would be complete.

(e) Assume then $(0 : (0 : x_1)) \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Note that $0 : x_1 \not\subset (x_1)$ (and this property is preserved in $\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$) as $0 : x_1 = 0 : x_1^2$, by the choice of x_1. This means that the ideal $(0 : (0 : x_1))/(x_1) \subset \mathbf{R}/(x_1)$ has positive height and is annihilated by $(0 : x_1)\mathbf{R}/(x_1)$. But this not possible if $\mathbf{R}/(x_1)$ is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring: If $I = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ is an ideal of positive codimension in the generalized Cohen–Macaulay local ring $\mathbf{A}$, there is an embedding

$$\mathbf{A}/0 : I \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^n, \quad 1 \mapsto (a_1, \cdots, a_n),$$

and the associated primes of $\mathbf{A}/0 : I$ are minimal primes of $\mathbf{A}$ or its maximal ideal, which contradicts $(0 : (0 : x_1)) \subset \mathfrak{p}$.

We leave the simpler proof of the converse to the reader. An alternative is to appeal to Theorem 3.3.19, or make use of [**199**, Example 2.80].

□

3.3.5. A tale of four invariants. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian local ring with an infinite residue field and M a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module of dimension $n \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{P}(M)$ be the collection of parameter ideals $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ for M. In [**53**] and [**55**], the authors studied numerical functions

$$\mathbf{f} : \mathcal{P}(M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

on emphasis on the nature of its range

$$\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{f}}(M) = \{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{P}(M)\}.$$

For the two functions $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{x}, M)$ and $\chi_1(\mathbf{x}; M)$, more properly $\mathbf{f}_1(\mathbf{x}) = -\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{x}, M)$, and $\mathbf{f}_2(\mathbf{x}) = \chi_1(\mathbf{x}; M)$, respectively. Identical assertions about $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{f}}(M)$ are expressed in the following table:

$\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{f}}(M) \subseteq [0, \infty)$	M	[**124**]	[**166**, Appendice II]
$0 \in \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{f}}(M)$	M Cohen-Macaulay	[**53, 55**]*	[**166**, Appendice II]
$\lvert\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{f}}(M)\rvert < \infty$	M generalized Cohen-Macaulay	[**53, 55**]*	[**32, 55**]
$\lvert\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{f}}(M)\rvert = 1$	M Buchsbaum	[**65, 55**]*	[**179**]
$\lvert\{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \mid \overline{Q} = \overline{(\mathbf{x})}\}\rvert < \infty$	Q	[**55**]	[**55**]

TABLE 2. Properties of a finitely generated module M carried by the values of either function. An adorned reference [XY]* requires that the module M be unmixed.

A street view of the action of these functions is the following. First, rewrite the system of parameters $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \ldots, x_n$ so that it is a superficial sequence. We may further

require that it be superficial with respect to a finite set of other modules and functions such as $\mathrm{hdeg}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M)$ and $\mathrm{bdeg}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M)$. Now write

$$\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_n\} = \{\mathbf{x}', h, \alpha\} \quad h = x_{n-1}, \alpha = x_n.$$

Then for $M' = M/(\mathbf{x}')M$ the fundamental properties of e_1 and χ_1 (Proposition 1.2.12 and Proposition 3.3.18) lead to the rules of computation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{x}, M) &= \mathrm{e}_1(h, \alpha; M') \\ \chi_1(\mathbf{x}; M) &= \chi_1(h, \alpha; M'). \end{aligned}$$

More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{x}, M) &= -\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M'/hM')) + \lambda(0 :_{M'} h) \\ \chi_1(\mathbf{x}; M) &= \lambda(0 :_{M'/hM'} \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

It is at this point that cohomological degree functions play a role. In the particular case of hdeg, one has

$$\mathrm{hdeg}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M') \leq \mathrm{hdeg}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M).$$

In dimension 2, $\mathrm{hdeg}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M')$ controls the terms in the expressions of $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{x}, M)$ and $\chi_1(\mathbf{x}; M)$, which led in [**55**] to bounds of the form

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \mathrm{hdeg}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M) - \deg_{(\mathbf{x})}(M).$$

There is another explanation for this inequality. For that we recall two properties of the cohomological degree bdeg. If $\dim M \geq 3$,

$$\mathrm{bdeg}(M) \geq \mathrm{bdeg}(M/(\mathbf{x}')M),$$

while for $L = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M')$ and $M_0 = M'/L$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{bdeg}(M') &= \lambda(L) + \mathrm{bdeg}(M_0) \\ &= \lambda(L) + \deg(M_0) + \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M_0/hM_0)). \end{aligned}$$

A quick calculation will give

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{bdeg}(M') &= \deg(M') + \lambda(L) + \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M'/hM')) - \lambda(0 :_{M'} h) \\ &= \deg(M') + \lambda(L) - \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{x}, M'). \end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain for each of the functions $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ above that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) &\leq \mathrm{bdeg}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M) - \deg_{(\mathbf{x})}(M) \\ &\leq \mathrm{hdeg}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M) - \deg_{(\mathbf{x})}(M), \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality from the minimality of bdeg among cohomological degree functions.

THEOREM 3.3.23. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Noetherian local ring and* M *a finitely generated* $\mathbf{R}$*-module. For any system of parameters* $\mathbf{x}$ *of* M*,*

$$\max\{\chi_1(\mathbf{x}, M), -\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{x}, M)\} \leq \mathrm{hdeg}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M) - \deg_{(\mathbf{x})}(M).$$

A major roadblock in the use of the functions $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{x}, M)$, $\chi_1(\mathbf{x}, M)$, $\mathrm{hdeg}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M)$ and $\deg_{(\mathbf{x})}(M)$, if $\dim M \geq 3$, is the step requiring the reprocessing of the given system of parameters into another that is *superficial* with respect to various filtrations. We will treat classes of parameter ideals that require minimal reprocessing. In particular for parameter ideals generated by d-sequences, the calculation of $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{x}, M)$ and $\chi_1(\mathbf{x}, M)$ required no preliminary reprocessing (in the case of $\chi_1(\mathbf{x}, M)$ a broader class of parameter ideals can be similarly treated).

Another issue is the elucidation of the relationships between the functions displayed in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathrm{hdeg}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M) & \\ & \downarrow & \\ & \mathrm{bdeg}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M) & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{x}, M) & \overset{?}{\longleftrightarrow} & \chi_1(\mathbf{x}, M) \end{array}$$

We give a comparison between $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{x}, M)$ and $\chi_1(\mathbf{x}, M)$ in cases of interest.

Quasi–cohomological degree. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension d and let M be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module of dimension d. We are going to define a numerical function on systems of parameters $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$ which are akin to homological degrees.

Definition 3.3.24. A function $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}; M) : \mathcal{P}(M) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is a *quasi-cohomological degree* if the following conditions are met.

(a) Set $\mathbf{x}' = \{x_2, \ldots, x_d\}$. If x_1 is a superficial element and depth $M \geq 1$,

$$\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}; M) = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}'; M/x_1 M).$$

(b) If $M_0 = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ and $M' = M/M_0$, then

$$\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}; M) = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}; M') + \lambda(M_0).$$

We now define a very special function and restrict it to some systems of parameters. For any finitely generated M $\mathbf{R}$–module of dimension d, set

$$\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}; M) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} (-1)^i \mathrm{e}_i(\mathbf{x}; M).$$

Proposition 3.3.25. *Over systems of parameters which are d-sequences, this function satisfies the rules of a quasi-cohomological degree.*

Proof. They are based on three observations about d–sequences.

- $\mathrm{e}_d(\mathbf{x}; M) = (-1)^d \lambda(M_0)$, according to Proposition 3.3.13. In particular $\mathrm{e}_d(\mathbf{x}; M) = 0$ if and only if depth $M \geq 1$.

- To prove (a), first note that according to Proposition 3.3.3, $\mathbf{x}'$ is a d–sequence on M/x_1M while by the previous item $\mathrm{e}_d(\mathbf{x};M) = 0$. Thus to prove the equality $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x};M) = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}';M/x_1M)$ it suffices to show that for all $i \geq 0$,
$$\mathrm{e}_i(\mathbf{x};M) = \mathrm{e}_i(\mathbf{x}';M/x_1M).$$
- This will follow from Proposition 1.2.12 for $i < d$ once we recognize x_1 as a superficial element relative to M (we already have that $\mathrm{e}_d(\mathbf{x};M) = 0$). For that we appeal to a general assertion of [**97**] (see also [**112**]) that if $\mathbf{x}$ is a d-sequence on M then the sequence of 1–forms of $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{R})$ generated by the $\overline{x_i}$ is a d–sequence on the associated graded module $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M)$.

  On the other hand the approximation complex of M relative to $\mathbf{x}$ is exact and of length $< d$, in particular depth $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M) \geq 1$. Thus the 1–form $\overline{x_1}$ is regular on $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M)$, which implies that x_1 is a superficial element on M.

□

COROLLARY 3.3.26. *If* $\mathbf{x}$ *is a* d*–sequence relative to* M*, then* $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x};M) = \lambda(M/(\mathbf{x})M)$*. In particular* $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x};M) \geq \mathrm{e}_0(\mathbf{x};M)$ *with equality if and only if* M *is Cohen–Macaulay.*

PROOF. This follows from the telescoping in the formulas for the coefficients $\mathrm{e}_i(\mathbf{x};M)$ in Theorem 3.3.10. □

COROLLARY 3.3.27. *If* $\mathbf{x}$ *is a* d*–sequence relative to* M*, then the Betti numbers* $\beta_i^{\mathbf{R}}(M)$ *satisfy*
$$\beta_i^{\mathbf{R}}(M) \leq \lambda(M/(\mathbf{x})M) \cdot \beta_i^{\mathbf{R}}(k).$$

PROOF. It follows from the argument of Theorem 1.5.9 where in the induction part we use the properties of $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x};M)$.

□

3.4. Generalized Hilbert Coefficients

3.4.1. Introduction. Let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring, $\mathbf{A}$ a standard graded $\mathbf{R}$-algebra and M a finitely generated graded $\mathbf{A}$-module. The submodule $\mathrm{H} = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ of M is annihilated by a power $\mathfrak{m}^k$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ and therefore is a finitely generated graded module over $\mathbf{A}/(\mathfrak{m}^k\mathbf{A})$, which led Achilles and Manaresi ([**3**]) to consider the Hilbert function $n \mapsto \lambda(\mathrm{H}_n)$. We focus on the corresponding Hilbert series and Hilbert polynomial on the sum transform $n \mapsto \sum_{k\leq n} \lambda(\mathrm{H}_k)$. Its Hilbert polynomial
$$\sum_{i=0}^{r}(-1)^i j_i(M)\binom{n+r-i}{r-i}$$
will be referred to as the j-polynomial of M. Its coefficients $j_i(M)$ are integers but unlike the usual case of an Artinian local ring $\mathbf{R}$ are very hard to get hold of being related to M via a mediating construction. We will only consider structures arising as follows. Let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and let M be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. For an ideal I, the module
$$\mathrm{gr}_I(M) = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} I^nM/I^{n+1}M$$

is a finitely generated module over the associated graded ring $\mathrm{gr}_I(\mathbf{R})$ of I. The module $\mathrm{H} = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_I(M))$ is somewhat removed from M. To emphasize this point it suffices to ask for the dimension of H and its relationship to M and I. One of the main uses of these coefficients is in the general study of the integral closure of ideals and modules (add several refs).

The algebras and modules we study here arise as follows. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d > 0$ and let M be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. Let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ be a partial system of parameters (s.o.p. for brief) for M, that is $\dim M = r + \dim M/(\mathbf{x})M$. The associated graded module of M relative to $\mathbf{x}$ is

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M) = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} (\mathbf{x})^n M/(\mathbf{x})^{n+1} M.$$

It has a natural module structure over $\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_r]$. The module $\mathrm{H} = \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M))$ is annihilated by an $\mathfrak{m}$–primary ideal J and therefore H is a finitely generated graded module over $\mathbf{R}/J[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_r]$ of dimension $s \leq r$.

A general issue is what the values of $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M)$ say about M itself. In [**200**], [**57**], [**53**], the authors studied the values of a special class of these coefficients. For a local Noetherian ring $\mathbf{R}$ and a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$–module M we considered the Hilbert coefficients $\mathrm{e}_i(\mathbf{x}; M)$ associated to filtrations defined by systems of parameters $\mathbf{x}$ for M, more precisely to the Hilbert functions

$$n \mapsto \lambda(M/(\mathbf{x})^{n+1} M).$$

The significant distinction between $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M)$ and $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M))$ is that the latter may not be homogeneous and therefore the vanishing of some of its Hilbert coefficients does not place them entirely in the context of [**200**], [**57**] and [**53**]. Nevertheless we still want to examine $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M)$ in the case of $r = \dim M$ as the means to detect various properties of M (e.g. Cohen-Macaulay, Buchsbaum, finite cohomology etc). Here we seek to extend these probes to cases when $r < \dim M$. We illustrate one of these issues with a series of questions. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian local ring and let $I = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)$, $r \leq d = \dim \mathbf{R}$, be an ideal generated by a partial system of parameters, s.o.p. for short. Let G be the associated graded ring of I, $G = \mathrm{gr}_I(\mathbf{R})$. The module $\mathrm{H} = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(G)$ has dimension $\leq r$. We list some questions similar to those raised in [**200**] for a [full] system of parameters:

(i) What are the possible values of $\dim \mathrm{H}$? Note that $\mathrm{H} = 0$ may happen or $\mathrm{H} \neq 0$ but of dimension zero.

(ii) What is the signature of $j_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{R})$? If $r = \dim \mathrm{H}$, is $j_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{R}) \leq 0$? The answer is affirmative if H is generated in degree 0 because $j_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{R}) = \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{T}, \mathrm{H})$ and these coefficients are always non-positive according to [**122**].

(iii) If $\mathbf{R}$ is unmixed, $r = \dim \mathrm{H}$ and $j_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{R}) = 0$ is $(\mathbf{x})$ a complete intersection? The answer is obviously no. What additional restriction is required?

Let us state one of our motivating questions:

Conjecture 3.4.1. Let M be an $\mathbf{R}$-module satisfying condition (C). Suppose $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ is a partial system of parameters for M. If $\dim \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M)) = r$ and $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = 0$, then $\mathbf{x}$ is a regular sequence on M.

What should (C) be? At a minimum M should be an ummixed module.

There are two versions of the conjecture, the **strong** version as stated and a **weak** one. The latter assumes that $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = 0$ for **all** partial s.o.p.'s of r elements.

In outline our approach describe some of the modules $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{x}; M))$ is the following. For a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module M, we call a partial s.o.p. $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ *amenable* if for $i \geq 1$ the Koszul homology modules $\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x}; M)$ have finite support. First we establish the ubiquity of such systems that are also d-sequences. More precisely, in Proposition 3.4.6 we show that every partial s.o.p. of r elements contains another partial s.o.p. of r elements that is amenable for M and generated by a d-sequence. Once provided with such partial s.o.p. $\mathbf{x}$, $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M))$ occurs (Theorem 3.4.8) as the homology of the complex obtained by applying the section functor $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\cdot)$ to the approximation complex $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}; M)$ ([**78**]),

$$\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M)) = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}; M)).$$

3.4.2. Amenable partial systems of parameters. In this section we introduce a class of partial system of parameters that provides a gateway to the calculation of numerous j-polynomials.

Definition 3.4.2. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian local ring and let M be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. The partial s.o.p. $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ is *amenable* to M if the Koszul homology modules $\mathrm{H}_i = \mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x}; M)$, $i > 0$, have finite support.

A simple example is that of a local ring $\mathbf{R}$ of dimension 2, with x satisfying $0 : x = 0 : x^2$ and x not contained in any associated prime $\mathbf{p}$ of $\mathbf{R}$ of codimension at most one. Similarly if M is Cohen-Macaulay on the punctured support of M, then any partial s.o.p. $\mathbf{x}$ is amenable.

The main source of amenable partial s.o.p.'s is found in a property of d-sequences. Let us recall briefly their definition. We pair it the another notion. They are extensions of regular sequences, the first the notion of *d-sequence* invented by Huneke ([**98**]), and of a *proper sequence* ([**79**]). They play natural roles in the theory of the *approximation complexes* (see [**79**]). We now collect appropriate properties of these sequences. (The notion extends *ipsis literis* to modules.) Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a commutative ring.

Definition 3.4.3. Let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a sequence of elements in $\mathbf{R}$. $\mathbf{x}$ is a *d-sequence* if

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i) : x_{i+1}x_k = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i) : x_k, \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, n-1,\ k \geq i+1.$$

Definition 3.4.4. Let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a sequence of elements in $\mathbf{R}$. $\mathbf{x}$ is a *proper sequence* if

$$x_{i+1}\mathrm{H}_j(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i) = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,\ j > 0,$$

where $\mathrm{H}_j(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i)$ is the Koszul homology associated to the subsequence $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$.

Proposition 3.4.5. *Suppose that* $\mathbf{R}$ *is a Noetherian ring. Let* $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ *be a sequence in* $\mathbf{R}$.

(a) *If* $\mathbf{x}$ *is a d-sequence, then* $\mathbf{x}$ *is a proper sequence.*

(b) *If* $\mathbf{x}$ *is a proper sequence, then*

$$x_k\mathrm{H}_j(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i) = 0, \quad \textit{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,\ j > 0,\ k > i.$$

(c) *Suppose that* $\mathbf{R}$ *is a local ring of dimension* $n > 0$. *If* $\mathbf{x}$ *is a proper sequence that is also a system of parameters in* $\mathbf{R}$, *then* $\mathrm{H}_j(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i)$ *is a module of finite length for* $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ *and* $j > 0$.

We make use of (c) to build amenable partial s.o.p.'s of r elements. We use the proof of the following fact to build a system of parameters that is a d-sequence and whose first r elements belong to I.

Ubiquity of amenable sequences. The following shows the profusion of amenable partial systems of parameters.

PROPOSITION 3.4.6. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Noetherian local ring,* M *a finite* $\mathbf{R}$*-module and* $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ *an ideal. If* height $I \geq n$ *and contains a partial s.o.p. of* M *with* n *elements, then* I *contains another partial s.o.p. of* M *with* n *elements that is a d-sequence on* M.

The assertion is based on [**79**, Proposition 2.7]. That construction applied only to $M = \mathbf{R}$ and had a missing sentence. For completeness we write a full proof.

PROOF. Let us recall the definition of d-sequences first. Let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a sequence of elements of $\mathbf{R}$ and M an $\mathbf{R}$-sequence. $\mathbf{x}$ is a d-sequence relative to M if

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_i)M :_M x_{i+1}x_k = (x_1, \ldots, x_i)M :_M x_k, \text{for } i = 0, \ldots, n-1, \text{ and } k \geq i+1.$$

The construction uses the following notation. Let $\{P_1, \ldots, P_a\}$ be the minimal primes of I. If C is an $\mathbf{R}$-module we denote by $z(C)$ the union of its associated primes of height $\leq n-1$.

- We may assume $n > 0$. Let $y_1 \in I \setminus [z(R) \cup z(M)]$. Pick m large enough so that $0 : My_1^m = 0 :_M y_1^{m+1}$. We refer to this step as *saturation*. Set $x_1 = y_1^m$. If $n = 1$, $\{x_1\}$ will do.
- If $n > 1$, consider the ideal $J_1 = 0 :_{\mathbf{R}} (0 :_M x_1)$. We claim that J_1 is not contained in any of the primes defining $z(\mathbf{R}) \cup z(M)$. For such a prime P we would have: If x_1 is M_P-regular, $0 :_M x_1 = 0$, which is a contradiction; if x_1 is not M_P regular, x_1 would be contained in some associated prime of M_P which would be necessarily contained in P, contradicting the choice of y_1 and therefore of x_1.
- Note that J_1 has height at least n: $0 :_M x_1$ is submodule of M therefore its associated primes (each of which contains x_1), if any, of height $\leq n-1$ would be in $z(M)$, contradicting the choice of x_1. Thus the annihilator of $0 :_M x_1$ has height at least n.
- Now pick

  $$y_2 \in [I \cap J_1] \setminus [z(\mathbf{R}) \cup z(\mathbf{R}/(x_1)) \cup z(M) \cup z(M/x_1M)]$$

  and stabilize it, that is pick m large enough so that $0 :_M y_2^m = 0 :_M y_2^{m+1}$ and $(x_1M) :_M y_2^m = (x_1M) :_M y_2^{m+1}$. Put $x_2 = y_2^m$. $\{x_1, x_2\}$ is a desired sequence.

- Let us consider the case $n = 3$ since it involves one additional construction. Let
$$J_2 = (x_1)M :_{\mathbf{R}} (x_1)M :_M x_2,$$
an ideal of height at n by the argument above. Pick
$$y_3 \in [I \cap J_1 \cap J_2] \setminus [z(\mathbf{R}) \cup z(\mathbf{R}/(x_1)) \cup z(\mathbf{R}/(x_1, x_2)) \cup z(M) \cup z(M/x_1M) \cup z(M/(x_1, x_2)M)]$$
and saturate it as follows: pick large m so that $0 :_M y_3^m = 0 :_M y_3^{m+1}$, $x_1M :_M y_3^m = x_1M :_M y_3^{m+1}$ and $(x_1, x_2)M :_M y_3^m = (x_1, x_2)M :_M y_3^{m+1}$. Set $x_3 = y_3^m$.
- We claim that $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ is a d-sequence. From the construction both $\{x_3\}$ and $\{x_1, x_3\}$ are d-sequences. Therefore the only step to check is the equality
$$x_1M :_M x_2x_3 = x_1M : x_3.$$
Let u be an element in the first module; then $x_3u \in x_1M : x_2$, and since $x_3 \in J_2$, we have $x_3^2u \in x_1M$. The assertion now follows from the saturation step.
- In general, if $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ have been chosen and $n > s$, one picks x_{s+1} in the following manner. Again it is easy to see that
$$J_s = (x_1, \ldots, x_{s-1})M :_{\mathbf{R}} (x_1, \ldots, x_{s-1})M :_M x_s$$
is not contained in any prime properly contained in one of the P_i, and that J_s has height at least n. Now pick y_{s+1} in
$$[I \cap J_1 \cap \cdots \cap J_s] \setminus [z(\mathbf{R}) \cup z(\mathbf{R}/(x_1)) \cdots \cup z(\mathbf{R}/(x_1, \ldots, x_s)) \cup z(M) \cup z(M/x_1M) \cup \cdots \cup z(M/(x_1, \ldots, x_s)M)]$$
and saturate it. Now this means picking m large enough so that for each subsequence $\{x_1, \ldots, x_j\}$, $j \leq s$, $= (x_1, \ldots, x_j)M :_M y_{s+1}^m = (x_1, \ldots, x_j)M :_M y_{s+1}^{m+1}$.
- We claim that $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is a d-sequence relative to M. Note that the elements 'as chosen generate an ideal of height n. Note also that any subsequence $\{x_1, \ldots, x_s, x_n\}$, $s < n-1$, is a d-sequence by induction and the construction. Therefore the only step to check is the equality
$$(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2})M : x_{n-1}x_n = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2})M : x_n.$$
Let u be an element in the first module; then $x_nu \in (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2})M : x_{n-1}$, and since $x_n \in J_{n-1}$, we have $x_n^2u \in (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2})M$. The assertion now follows from the saturation step.

□

If $\mathbf{R}$ is a Noetherian local ring of dimension d, any d-sequence $\mathbf{x}$ minimally generated by n elements satisfies $n \leq d = \dim \mathbf{R}$. An interesting issue is when such sequences with $n < d$ elements can be extended to a longer d-sequence $\mathbf{y} = \{\mathbf{x}, x_{n+1}\}$.

An obstruction is the fact that d-sequences are *proper* sequences and therefore satisfy: If $\{\mathbf{y}\} = \{\mathbf{x}, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_n\}$ is a d-sequence then $(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ for $i > 0$. The construction above has the following implication. Suppose $\mathbf{x}$ is a partial sop that is a

d-sequence. If $\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x})$, for $i > 0$, have finite support then $\mathbf{x}$ can be extended to a sop that is a d-sequence.

Amenable sequences and regular sequences. The next elementary observation shows the strength of this condition to decide which partial sop are already regular sequences.

PROPOSITION 3.4.7. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d and M a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module of projective dimension s. Let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$, $r \leq d - s$, be a partial sop for $\mathbf{R}$ and M. If $\mathbf{x}$ is amenable for M then it is a regular sequence on M.*

PROOF. Let K be the module of syzygies of M,

$$0 \to K \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow M \to 0.$$

Since $\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x}; F) = 0$, $i \geq 1$, we have

$$\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x}; K) = \mathrm{H}_{i+1}(\mathbf{x}; M), \quad i \geq 1.$$

This means that $\mathbf{x}$ is amenable for K, and by induction on the projective dimensions, $\mathbf{x}$ is a regular sequence on K. Since $r < \operatorname{depth} K$, $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(K/(\mathbf{x})K) = 0$. From the the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x}; M) \longrightarrow K/(\mathbf{x})K \longrightarrow F_0/(\mathbf{x})F_0 \longrightarrow M/(\mathbf{x})M \to 0$$

we have that $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x}; M)) = 0$, which by assumption means that $\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = 0$. □

3.4.3. Explicit formulas for j-coefficients. In this section we derive a general formula for the j-multiplicities of a general class of modules. One of our main techniques to determine j-polynomials is the following:

THEOREM 3.4.8. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian local ring and M a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. Let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ be a partial sop that is a d-sequence relative to M, set $\mathrm{H}_i = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x}; M))$ and $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_r]$. There exists a complex of $\mathbf{S}$-modules*

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_r \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S}[-r] \to \cdots \to \mathrm{H}_1 \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S}[-1] \to \mathrm{H}_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S} \to \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M)) \to 0.$$

If $\mathbf{x}$ is amenable for M this complex is exact.

PROOF. We refer to [**79**] for details about the approximation complexes $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}; M)$ used here. The $\mathcal{M}$-complex is an acyclic complex of $\mathbf{S}$-modules (unadorned tensor products are over $\mathbf{R}$)

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_r(\mathbf{x}; M) \otimes \mathbf{S}[-r] \to \cdots \to \mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x}; M) \otimes \mathbf{S}[-1] \to \mathrm{H}_0(\mathbf{x}; M) \otimes \mathbf{S} \to \mathrm{gr}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M) \to 0.$$

Our complex arises from applying $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}$ to $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}; M)$. Now assume that $\mathbf{x}$ is amenable on M, so that $\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x}; M) = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x}; M))$, $i \geq 1$. We note that the image L of $\mathrm{H}_1 \otimes \mathbf{S}[-1]$ in $\mathrm{H}_0(\mathbf{x}; M) \otimes \mathbf{S}$ has support in $\mathfrak{m}$, and therefore $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(L) = 0$. Since all the $\mathrm{H}_i \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S}[-i]$, $i \geq 1$, are supported in $\mathfrak{m}$, we obtain the exact complex $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}; M))$

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_r \otimes \mathbf{S}[-r] \to \cdots \to \mathrm{H}_1 \otimes \mathbf{S}[-1] \to \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{H}_0(\mathbf{x}; M)) \otimes \mathbf{S} \to \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_{(\mathbf{x})}(M)) \to 0,$$

as asserted. □

A first application of this complex is the following criterion:

COROLLARY 3.4.9. $\mathbf{x}$-depth $M = \mathbf{T}$-depth $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M))$.

PROOF. By [**79**, Proposition 3.8], $\mathbf{x}$-depth $M = \mathbf{T}$-depth $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M)$. But this value is also obtainable from the $\mathbf{T}$-depth L, a module that appears in both complexes. □

There are several other observations arising from this complex, beginning with:

COROLLARY 3.4.10. *If* $\mathbf{x}$ *and* M *are as above the following assertions hold:*

(i) *If* $\operatorname{depth} \mathrm{H}_0(\mathbf{x}; M) > 0$ *then* $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M)) = 0$ *and* $\mathbf{x}$ *is a* M*-regular sequence.*

(ii) **[Hilbert series]** *Set* $h_i = \lambda(\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x}; M))$, $i > 0$, *and* $h_0 = \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{H}_0(\mathbf{x}; M))$. *The Hilbert series of* $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M))$ *is*

$$[\![\mathrm{H}]\!] = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{r}(-1)^i h_i \mathbf{t}^i}{(1-\mathbf{t})^{r+1}},$$

and its Hilbert polynomial is

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r}(-1)^i j_i(\mathbf{x}; M)\binom{n+r-i}{r-i} = \sum_{i=0}^{r}(-1)^i h_i \binom{n+r-i}{r}.$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} j_0(\mathbf{x}; M) &= \sum_{i=0}^{r}(-1)^i h_i, \\ j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r}(-1)^i \cdot i \cdot h_i, \\ j_r(\mathbf{x}; M) &= (-1)^r h_r. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) $\mathbf{x}$ *is a regular sequence on* M *if and only if* $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = \cdots = j_r(\mathbf{x}; M) = 0$.

(iv) $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M)) = \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{H}_0(\mathbf{x}; M)) \otimes \mathbf{S}$, *in particular every superficial element of* $\mathbf{x}$ *relative to* M *induces an injective multiplication on* $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M))$.

(v) *If* $\dim H = r$, $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) \leq 0$.

PROOF. (iii): The vanishing of the $j_i(\mathbf{x}; M)$'s, $i \geq 1$, is equivalent to the vanishing of the h_i's for $i \geq 1$.

(iv): Start with the exact sequence

$$0 \to L \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_0(\mathbf{x}; M) \otimes \mathbf{S} \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M) \to 0$$

and take local cohomology. Since L has finite support, $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(L) = 0$, for $i \geq 1$, which gives the isomorphism. The other assertion follows because the action of x^* corresponds to multiplication by a linear form in the $\mathbf{T}$'s with some invertible coefficient.

(v): $H = \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M))$ is a module over $(\mathbf{R}/J)[\mathbf{T}]$ with $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{T}}(H) = H$ (J taken as an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal annihilating the coefficient modules of the complex). If $\dim H = r$, $j_1(M) = \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{T}; H)$ and therefore if H is unmixed by [**53**] its vanishing means that H is Cohen-Macaulay. It will follow that $h_i = 0$, $i > 0$ and thus $\mathbf{x}$ is M-regular. **However we do not know that H is unmixed.** □

Note that if M is unmixed, the depth of H is positive, so $\dim H > 0$ by the Depth Lemma, in particular $H \neq 0$.

Query: How we guarantee that H is unmixed? We need a condition on M. A condition on $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M)$ is that it satisfies S_1 (almost sure) and therefore H, being a submodule, inherits the condition.

EXAMPLE 3.4.11. Let $\mathbf{R}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring, F is a free $\mathbf{R}$-module and M a submodule such that $C = F/M$ has finite length. Let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$, $r < \dim \mathbf{R}$, a partial sop that is an amenable d-sequence for M such that $(\mathbf{x})C = 0$.

The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{x})^{n+1}M & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{x})^{n+1}F & \longrightarrow & C_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{x})^{n}M & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{x})^{n}F & \longrightarrow & C_{n} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

yields the exact sequence

$$0 \to C_{n+1} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{x})^n M/(\mathbf{x})^{n+1}M \longrightarrow (\mathbf{x})^n F/(\mathbf{x})^{n+1}F \longrightarrow C_n \to 0,$$

as the rightmost vertical mapping is trivial. This yields $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M))_n = C_{n+1}$.

Corollary 3.4.10(ii) gives a formula for the j-polynomial in terms of the Koszul homology modules $\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x}; M)$. Let us try to extract it from the exact sequence $0 \to M \to F \to C \to 0$. We have the isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{H}_{i-1}(\mathbf{x}; M) \;=\; \mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x}; C) = \wedge^i \mathbf{R}^r \otimes C, \quad i \geq 2,$$

and hence

$$h_i(\mathbf{x}; M) \;=\; \lambda(C)\binom{r}{i+1}, \quad i \geq 1$$

along with the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x}; C) = C^r \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_0(\mathbf{x}; M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_0(\mathbf{x}; F) \to \mathrm{H}_0(\mathbf{x}; C) = C \to 0.$$

From this we get

$$\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{H}_0(\mathbf{x}; M)) \;=\; C^r.$$

A straightforward computation gives the Hilbert series of $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M))$,

$$[\![\mathrm{H}]\!] = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{r-1}(-1)^i h_i \mathbf{t}^i}{(1-\mathbf{t})^{r+1}} = \lambda(C)\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{r-1}(-1)^i \binom{r}{i+1}\mathbf{t}^i}{(1-\mathbf{t})^{r+1}},$$

$$j_0(\mathbf{x}; M) \;=\; \lambda(C),$$

and therefore $\dim \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M)) = r$, while

$$j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) \;=\; -\lambda(C).$$

Verification: Let $\mathbf{f}(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1}(-1)^i i\binom{r}{i+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{f}(r+1) - \mathbf{f}(r) &= \sum_{i=0}^{r}(-1)^i i\left(\binom{r+1}{i+1} - \binom{r}{i+1}\right)\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{r}(-1)^i i\binom{r}{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1}(-1)^i r\binom{r-1}{i}\\ &= r(1-1)^{r-1} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 3.4.12. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and* M *an* $\mathbf{R}$*-module. Suppose* $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ *is a partial s.o.p. that is an amenable d-sequence relative to* M*. If* $\dim \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M)) = r$ *and* $(\mathbf{x})$*-depth* $M \geq r-1$ *then* $\mathbf{x}$ *is a regular sequence on* M*.*

PROOF. The complex that defines $H = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M))$ is

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x}; M) \otimes \mathbf{S}[-1] \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_0 \otimes \mathbf{S} \longrightarrow H \to 0.$$

- $r = 1$: H is a module of Hilbert polynomial $j_0(\mathbf{x}; M)(n+1)$, so all its components have length $j_0(\mathbf{x}; M)$. Since it is generated by H_0 and $H_n = \mathbf{T}^n H_0$, it follows that multiplication by $\mathbf{T} : H_n \to H_{n+1}$ is surjective and therefore it is injective as well. This shows that H is a Cohen-Macaulay module. From the complex we get by reduction modulo $\mathbf{T}$ that $\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = 0$.
- $r > 1$: Suppose $(\mathbf{x})$-depth $M = r-1$, that is the complex of modules of modules of dimension r reduced modulo a generic linear combination of $r-1$ forms $\mathbf{T}'$ in the $\mathbf{T}_i$, gives a complex

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x}; M) \otimes \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}'_n][-1] \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathrm{H}_0(\mathbf{x}; M)) \otimes \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}'_n] \longrightarrow H/(\mathbf{T}')H \to 0.$$

  This leaves the Hilbert polynomial of $H/(\mathbf{T}')H$ as $j_0(\mathbf{x}; M)(n+1)$. The argument of the case $r = 1$ implies that $H/(\mathbf{T}')H$ is Cohen-Macaulay and thus $\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = 0$, as desired.

□

COROLLARY 3.4.13. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension* $d \geq 3$ *and let* M *be a torsion-free* $\mathbf{R}$*-module of projective dimension two. Suppose* $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ *is a partial s.o.p. that is an amenable d-sequence relative to* M*. The following holds*

(1) *If* $r \leq d-2$, $\mathbf{x}$ *is a regular sequence on* M*.*
(2) *If* $r \geq d-1$, $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) \neq 0$*.*

PROOF. The first assertion follows from Proposition 3.4.7.

In the other case, if $r = d$, $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{x}; M)$ which cannot vanish since M is unmixed but not Cohen-Macaulay. If $r = d-1$ we apply Proposition 3.4.7 to the module K of syzygies to conclude that $\mathbf{x}$ is a regular sequence on K, and therefore $\mathbf{x}$-depth $M \geq d-2$. Recalling that depth $M = d-2$, it follows that $\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x}; M)$ is the only nonvanishing Koszul homology module. Corollary 3.4.12 gives then $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = -\lambda(\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x}; M))$. □

Let us give another application.

COROLLARY 3.4.14. *Let M be a Buchsbaum module and r a positive integer. Suppose that for every partial s.o.p. of $s \leq r$ elements $\mathbf{x}$, $\dim H = s$ and $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = 0$. Then M satisfies the condition S_r of Serre.*

PROOF. We argue by induction on r. We may assume $r > 1$ by Corollary 3.4.12. By induction on the case $r-1$, we have depth $\mathrm{H} \geq r-1$. The second observation then says that depth $\mathrm{H} = r$, that completes the proof. □

Hyperplane sections. Let us apply Corollary 3.4.10(iv) to one instance of hyperplane section. We have the usual setup, the exact sequence

$$0 \to K \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M)[-1] \xrightarrow{x^*} \mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}'}(M') \to 0,$$

where $\mathbf{x}'$ is the image of $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}/(x)$ and $M' = M/xM$. Note that we have $K_n = 0$ for $n \gg 0$.

In the conditions of the Proposition, we have

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M))[-1]_n \xrightarrow{x^*} \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M))_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}'}(M'))_n \to 0,$$

for $n \gg 0$.

THEOREM 3.4.15. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian local ring and M a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. Suppose $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ is a partial s.o.p. that is an amenable d-sequence relative to M and $\mathbf{x}'$ for the image of $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R}/(x_1)$ and $M' = M/x_1M$. If the j-polynomial of M relative to $\mathbf{x}$ has degree $r \geq 2$ then*

$$j_i(\mathbf{x}; M) \quad = \quad j_i(\mathbf{x}'; M'), \quad i \leq r-2.$$

PROOF. The only issue is to prove is that the image x^* of x in $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{R})$ is a superficial element on $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M)$. This is a technical point of the theory of the approximation complexes (see [**78**], [**79**] and its references). Precisely, according to [**78**, Theorem 12.10], the images $x_1^*, \ldots, x_r^*$ of $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{R})$ is a d-sequence relative to $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M)$. We must show that for

$$K = 0 :_{\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M)} x_1^*,$$

$K_n = 0$, $n \gg 0$. Since $\mathbf{x}^*$ is a d-sequence relative to $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M)$, K is also the annihilator of the ideal $(\mathbf{x}^*)$. On the other hand $K_{n+1} = (\mathbf{x}^*)K_n$ for large n, thus proving the assertion. □

Query: We need to understand the case $r = 2$ in order to examine the vanishing of $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M)$. Let us do a brief exploration.

- Let x, y be an amenable d-sequence on M. $M_0 = 0 :_M x = 0 :_M (x, y)$ has finite support and $\mathbf{x} = \{x, y\}$ is an amenable d-sequence on $M' = M/M_0$. The exact sequence

  $$0 \to M_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M' \to 0$$

  gives rise to the exact sequence

  $$0 \to G \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M') \to 0,$$

  where G has finite length. This gives that if the j-polynomial of M has degree 2, then $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = j_1(\mathbf{x}; M')$.

Since depth $M' \geq r-1$, from an argument below [will rearrange later], we have that if $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M')$ vanishes, $\mathbf{x}'$ is a regular sequence on, but not on M itself if $M_0 \neq 0$.

- Note that if $M_0 \neq 0$, $j_2(\mathbf{x}; M) = \lambda(G)$.

Before we explore other consequences we consider special cases.

- **Unmixed modules:** $r = 2$: Here $\mathrm{H}_2(\mathbf{x}; M) = 0$ so the complex is

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x}; M) \otimes \mathbf{S}[-1] \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathrm{H}_0(\mathbf{x}; M)) \otimes \mathbf{S} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M)) = H \to 0.$$

The Hilbert series of H is

$$\frac{h_0 - h_1\mathbf{t}}{(1-\mathbf{t})^3}.$$

We may assume that $H \neq 0$ by part (i) of the corollary.

Suppose that $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = 0$. If $\dim H = 1$, $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M)$ is actually its multiplicity, while $\dim H = 0$ is impossible since by the Depth Lemma it has positive depth. Thus from the complex we have that $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = h_1(\mathbf{x}; M)$. Vanishing means that $\mathbf{x}$ is M-regular.

- $r = 3$: Here $\mathrm{H}_3(\mathbf{x}; M) = 0$ so the complex is

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_2(\mathbf{x}; M) \otimes \mathbf{S}[-2] \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x}; M) \otimes \mathbf{S}[-1] \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathrm{H}_0(\mathbf{x}; M)) \otimes \mathbf{S} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M)) = H \to 0.$$

The Hilbert series of H is

$$\frac{h_0 - h_1\mathbf{t} + h_2\mathbf{t}^2}{(1-\mathbf{t})^4}.$$

We may assume that $\dim H \geq 1$ since depth $H > 0$. As before, we cannot have $\dim H = 2$ and $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = 0$, so $\dim H$ is 1 or 3. In the latter we would be done if we could prove that H is unmixed.

- We have that $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = h_1 - 2h_2$. Let us consider formulas for the $h_i(\mathbf{x})$ in the style of [**61**]. Let $\mathbf{x}' = \{x_1, x_2\}$. Because $\mathbf{x}$ is a proper sequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(\mathbf{x}; M) &= h_1(\mathbf{x}'; M) + \lambda((\mathbf{x}' : x_3)/(\mathbf{x}')) \\ h_2(\mathbf{x}; M) &= h_1(\mathbf{x}'; M) = \lambda((x_1 : x_2)/(x_1)) \\ j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) &= \lambda((\mathbf{x}' : x_3)/(\mathbf{x}')) - \lambda((x_1 : x_2)/(x_1)) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof of (i) is clear.

- The Hilbert series of $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{x}}(M))$ is read off the exact homogeneous complex

$$\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{r}(-1)^i h_i \mathbf{t}^i}{(1-\mathbf{t})^{r+1}},$$

from which we obtain the coefficients of the j polynomial.

- We assume that $L \neq 0$. Since L is a submodule of the Cohen-Macaulay module $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/(\mathbf{x})M) \otimes \mathbf{S}$, L is unmixed and $\dim L = r$. Note that $j_0(\mathbf{x}; M) = h_0 - \deg(L) = h_0 - \mathrm{e}_0(\mathbf{T}; L)$, the equality $\deg(L) = \mathrm{e}_0(\mathbf{T}; L)$ because the complex is homogeneous over the ring $\mathbf{S}$, more precisely, if

$$J = \operatorname{ann}(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/(\mathbf{x})M)) \bigcap_i \operatorname{ann}(\mathrm{H}_i), \quad i > 0,$$

over ring the ring $\mathbf{R}/J[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_r] = \mathbf{R}/J[\mathbf{T}]$.

- Suppose that $j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = 0$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \to L \to \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/(\mathbf{x})M)[\mathbf{T}] \to \mathrm{H} \to 0,$$

we have that $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{T}; L) + j_1(\mathbf{x}; M) = 0$, and thus $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{T}; L) = 0$. L however is generated in degree 1, so the vanishing of $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{T}; L)$ does not yet implies Cohen-Macaulayness. Instead we observe that

$$\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{T}; L) = \deg(L) - \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{T}; L[+1]),$$

whose vanishing–since $\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{T}; L[+1]) \leq 0$, as $L[+1]$ is homogeneous and generated in degree 0–implies that $\deg L = 0$ as L, if nontrivial, has dimension r.

Maybe the equation is

$$\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{T}; L) = -\deg(L) - \mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{T}; L[+1]),$$

which means that

$$\mathrm{e}_0(\mathbf{T}; L[+1]) = -\mathrm{e}_1(\mathbf{T}; L[+1]).$$

□

CHAPTER 4

Multiplicity–Based Complexity of Derived Functors

Introduction

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and let A and B be finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-modules. Motivated by the occurrence of the derived functors of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(A, \cdot)$ and $A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \cdot$ in several constructions based on A we seek to develop gauges for the sizes for these modules. In the case of graded modules, a rich **degree** based theory has been developed centered on the notion of Castelnuovo regularity. It is particularly well-suited to handle complexity properties of tensor products and modules of homomorphisms.

Let us recall two general questions regarding the modules $\mathbf{C} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(A, B)$ and $\mathbf{D} = A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B$.

- Can the [minimal]number of generators $\nu(\mathbf{C})$ be estimated in terms of $\nu(A)$ and $\nu(B)$ and other properties of A and B? If $\mathbf{R} = \mathbb{Z}$, or one of its localizations, the answer requires information derived from the structure theory for those modules. Since this is not available for higher dimensional rings, we will argue that an answer requires knowledge of the cohomology of the modules.
- In contrast the [minimal] number of generators of $\mathbf{D}$ is simply $\nu(\mathbf{D}) = \nu(A) \cdot \nu(B)$. What is hard about $\mathbf{D}$ is to gather information about its torsion, more precisely about its associated primes. For consider its submodule of finite support
$$\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{D}) = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B),$$
and denote its length by $\mathrm{h}^0(\mathbf{D})$. Can one estimate $\mathrm{h}^0(\mathbf{D})$ in terms of A and B?
- Contrasting further, the associated primes of $\mathbf{C}$ are well-understood, in particular
$$\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{C}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(A, \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(B))$$
whose length satisfies
$$\mathrm{h}^0(\mathbf{C}) \leq \nu(A) \cdot \mathrm{h}^0(B).$$
- Muddling the issues is how to account for the interaction between A and B. One attempt, that of replacing A and B by their direct sum $A \oplus B$, is only a temporary fix as it poses the question of what are the "self–interactions" of a module?
- This brings us full circle: which properties of A, B and of their iteraction can we bring to the table?

- We shall refer to these questions as the *HomAB* and *TorsionAB* problems. They make sense even as *pure* questions of Homological Algebra, but we have in view *applied* versions.

Before we discuss specific motivations linking these questions to the other topics of these Notes, we want to highlight the importance of deriving ring-theoretic properties of the ring $\mathbf{C} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(A, A)$. Instances of $\mathbf{C}$ as a non-commutative desinguralization of $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$ are found in the recent literature [lookup] and $\nu(\mathbf{C})$ may play a role as an embedding dimension. In these cases, A is a Cohen–Macaulay module but we still lack estimates. This is obviously a stimulating question.

Partly driven by its use in normalization processes, we seek to develop a *length* based theory ground on the notion of extended multiplicity. Starting with a fixed Deg function–and the choice will be hdeg–we examine various scenarios of the following question: Express in terms of $\mathrm{hdeg}(A)$ and $\mathrm{hdeg}(B)$ quantities such as

- $\nu(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(A, B))$;
- $\nu(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(A, R), R))$;
- $\nu(\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(A, B)),\ i \geq 1$;
- $h_0(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B) = \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B))$.

The sought-after estimations are polynomial functions on $\mathrm{hdeg}(A)$ and $\mathrm{hdeg}(B)$, whose coefficients are given in terms of invariants of $\mathbf{R}$. The first of these questions was treated in [**33**] and [**35**], who refer to it as the HomAB question.

The *HomAB* question asks for uniform estimates for the number of generators of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(A, B)$ in terms of invariants of $\mathbf{R}$, A and B. The extended question asks for the estimates of the number of generators of $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(A, B)$ (or of other functors).

In addition to the appeal of the question in basic homological algebra, such modules of endomorphisms appear frequently in several constructions, particularly in the algorithms that seek the integral closure of algebras (see [**199**, Chapter 6]). The algorithms emloyed tend to use rounds of operations of the form:

- $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)$: ring extension;
- $A \to \tilde{A}$: S_2-ification of A;
- $I : J$.

Another natural application is for primary decomposition of ideals, given the prevalence of computation of ideal quotients.

The setting for these questions are modules over affine integral domains, more particularly torsion free modules. The following elementary observations often permits a change to more amenable rings.

PROPOSITION 4.0.16. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be an affine integral domain over the field* k*, with a Noether normalization* $\mathbf{R}_0 = k[z_1, \ldots, z_d] \subset R$*. Suppose* $\mathbf{S}$ *is a hypersurface ring* $\mathbf{R}_0 \subset \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}_0[t] \subset \mathbf{R}$ *over which* $\mathbf{R}$ *is a rational extension. If* A *and* B *are torsion free* $\mathbf{R}$*-modules, then*

(a) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(A, B) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{S}}(A, B)$*;*
(b) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}_0}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}_0}(A, \mathbf{R}_0), \mathbf{R}_0))$ *is the* S_2*-ification of* A*.*

Clearly the change is not warranted in the presence of certain conditions, such as when A is a vector bundle, since this is not passed to the ring $\mathbf{R}_0$, or $\mathbf{S}$. Note also that in the case $A = B$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(A, A)$ can be identified to the centralizer of the homomorphism induced by multiplication by t in the ring $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}_0}(A, A)$.

K. Dalili ([**33**]) was the first to consider various cases of the HomAB problem and raised a broadly based conjecture (the HomAB Conjecture) about the character of the bounds. The scales he used to measure the invariants were the cohomological degree functions introduced in [**38**]. These are extensions (denoted by Deg) of the ordinary multiplicity function deg but encoding also some of the properties of its cohomology (we will discuss them briefly soon). The bounds treated have the general format

$$\nu(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(A, B)) \leq f(\mathrm{Deg}(A), \mathrm{Deg}(B)), \tag{4.0.16.1}$$

where $f(x, y)$ is a quadratic polynomials whose coefficients depend on various invariants of $\mathbf{R}$. Although it can be presented in the form $f(\mathrm{Deg}(A), \mathrm{Deg}(B)) = c(\mathbf{R})\mathrm{Deg}(A)\mathrm{Deg}(B)$, we will emphasize polynomials in various other invariants of the modules, such as number of generators $\nu(A)$ and the classical multiplicity $\deg(A)$.

Our outlook here has a slightly different perspective. To obviate the non-interchangeable roles A and B play in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(A, B)$, we consider only the case $E = A = B$. On one hand, this choice enables the additional structure of algebra in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)$. Naturally the consideration of $E = A \oplus B$ makes for a rough equivalence. The real distinction from [**33**] comes in the classes of modules chosen for examination. We substitute the modules of low dimension treated in [**33**] by a few classes of modules of arbitrary dimension, but that still affirm the basic conjecture (4.0.16.1).

The setup we employ goes back to [**84**, Theorem 1.3]:

THEOREM 4.0.17. *There exists a natural homomorphism of* $\mathbf{R}$*-algebras*

$$E^* \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \cdot), \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \cdot)) \to 0.$$

The analysis turns on the identification of the module of natural endomorphisms $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \cdot), \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \cdot))$. with another module of endomorphisms. This is usually carried out from the analysis of duality of [**5**]: There is a homomorphism of $\mathbf{R}$-algebras

$$E^* \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_1(D(E), E) \to 0 \tag{4.0.17.1}$$

where $D(E)$ is the Auslander dual of E (see Definition 4.1.3). Considering that the number of generators of E^* can be estimated from certain invariants of E and $\mathbf{R}$ (see Theorem 4.1.6), the question turns on the understanding of $\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_1(D(E), E)$.

In several cases, $\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_1(D(E), E)$ is actually identified to another endomorphism ring $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(C, C)$, with C having much smaller support than E and explicitly related to E.

To track estimates of the number of generators of $\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_1(D(E), E)$ to properties of E, we make use of extended degree multiplicity, particularly those labelled hdeg and bdeg (see Definitions 1.5.13 and 1.5.47).

4.1. The HomAB Problem

4.1.1. Introduction. We are now going to describe our results. They will be framed in the different ways the analysis of $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), E)$ takes place by examining several classes of modules. Since the problem is a local question, we may assume that $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ is a local Noetherian ring of dimension d. We will see that in good many cases this ends up in the consideration of Cohen-Macaulay modules. A successful resolution of this case would have a major impact on all these problems. We are going to list the classes of modules considered and describe the corresponding estimates obtained.

The following outlines the topics discussed.

- *Dalili's results:* We will give sketchy descriptions of these results.
- *Vector bundles*: Those are modules free on certain distinguished open $\mathbf{X}$ sets of Spec$\mathbf{R}$. For instance, if $\mathbf{X}$ is the punctured spectrum of $\mathbf{R}$, $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), E)$ is a module of finite length. When E is a module of finite projective dimension, which is free in codimension $\dim \mathbf{R} - 2$, this is treated in [**33**]. This remains unresolved in general, with several undecided examples.
- *Isolated singularities*: When $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ is a Gorenstein local ring essentially of finite type over a field, whose Jacobian ideal J is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary, nearly all known results that required the modules to have finite projective resolution are extended. For that we introduce a new extended degree function that makes use of Samuel's multiplicities relative to J. Thus Theorem 4.1.13 shows that if E is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module,

$$\nu(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)) \leq \deg(E) \cdot \nu(E) + e(J) \cdot \nu(E)^2.$$

  It is then used to show that for any $\mathbf{R}$-module, free in codimension $\leq \dim \mathbf{R} - 2$, bounds similar to those of [**33**] (that use proj dim $E < \infty$) are proved.
- *Modules of syzygies of near-perfect modules*: If M is a perfect module (or has this property on the punctured spectrum of $\mathbf{R}$) and E is one of its modules of syzygies, we will exhibit a natural identification $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), E) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(M, M)$. The difference of dimensions between E and M leads to several very explicit formulas for $\nu(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E))$, e.g. (Theorem 4.3.11): If M is a perfect module and E is its module of k-syzygies ($k \geq 2$), there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \to E^* \otimes E \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(M, M) \to 0.$$

- *Ideal modules*: An ideal module is a torsion free $\mathbf{R}$-module E such that E^{**} is $\mathbf{R}$-free. This is a property of ideals of grade at least two. The quotient module $C = E^{**}/E$ has the property that $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), E) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(C, C)$. One application is (Corollary 4.1.23): Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring of dimension d and let E be a torsion free ideal module free in codimension $d - 3$. Then

$$\nu(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)) \leq (\operatorname{rank}(E) + \nu(E))(\operatorname{hdeg}(E) - \deg(E)) + \operatorname{rank}(E) \cdot \nu(E).$$

  These bounds are useful in the estimation of the number of generators of ideal quotients $I : J$ in rings of polynomials.

- *Higher cohomology modules:* Find estimates for the values of $\nu(\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(E,F))$, $i \geq 1$. Using an appropriate multiplicity function it is possible to reduce it to the basic HomAB question. What is not entirely clear is whether there are intrinsic approaches.
- *Multiplicity-based complexity of tensor products:* How to measure the torsion in $E \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} F$? A candidate is the function

$$h_0(E \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} F) = \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(E \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} F)),$$

  a number that is very sensitive to numerous details of the definition of E and F. We give a treatment of graded modules over low dimensional rings and vector bundles.

Canonical module. We are going to examine some test problems. Let us consider in detail an important maximal Cohen-Macaulay module associated to a (non-Gorenstein) Cohen-Macaulay ring. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring, with a canonical module ω. Let $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ be a minimal set of generators of ω. Consider the complex

$$0 \to \mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \omega^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow E = \operatorname{coker}(\varphi) \to 0, \quad \varphi(1) = (a_1, \ldots, a_n). \tag{4.1.0.2}$$

PROPOSITION 4.1.1. *E is a Cohen-Macaulay module.*

PROOF. Let $\mathbf{z} = z_1, \ldots, z_d$ be a maximal regular sequence of $\mathbf{R}$. It suffices to note that $\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_1(E, \mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{z})) = 0$ since $\omega/\mathbf{z}\omega$ is the canonical module of $\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{z})$ and therefore it is a faithful module. □

PROBLEM 4.1.2. Find a bound for $\nu(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E,E))$. We are going to give an answer for a class of rings that includes those in the linkage class of complete intersections.

To get an estimation for $\nu(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E,E))$, we begin by applying $\operatorname{Hom}(\cdot, \mathbf{R})$ to the defining exact sequence, and obtain the exact complex

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\omega^{\oplus n}, \mathbf{R}) \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\omega^{\oplus n}, \mathbf{R}) \to 0.$$

More precisely, we get

$$0 \to \mathbf{R}/L \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\omega^{\oplus n}, \mathbf{R}) \to 0,$$

where L is the *trace* ideal of ω.

Now we apply $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \cdot)$ to the sequence defining E and obtain the exact complex

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \omega^{\oplus r}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E,E) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\mathbf{R}) \to 0,$$

since $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \omega^{\oplus r}) = 0$. As a consequence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E,E)) &\leq \nu(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \omega^{\oplus n})) + \nu(\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\mathbf{R})) \\ &= n(n-1)\deg(\mathbf{R}) + 1 + n\nu(\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\omega,\mathbf{R})), \end{aligned}$$

since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\omega)$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module of rank $n-1$.

Finally, we must examine the module $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\omega,\mathbf{R})$. We now make the assumption that $\mathbf{R}$ is generically Gorenstein, for instance, that $\mathbf{R}$ is an integral domain, so that we may identify ω to an ideal of $\mathbf{R}$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \to \omega \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/\omega \to 0$$

we obtain the exact complex

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\omega, \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\omega, \mathbf{R}/\omega) \to 0$$

since $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(\omega, \omega) = 0$, for $i \geq 1$.

Let z be a regular element of ω, and consider the exact sequence induced by multiplication by z,

$$0 \to \omega \longrightarrow \omega \longrightarrow \omega/z\omega \to 0.$$

Applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\cdot, \mathbf{R}/\omega)$, we obtain the exact sequences

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\omega/z\omega, \mathbf{R}/\omega) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\omega, \mathbf{R}/\omega) \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\omega, \mathbf{R}/\omega) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\omega/z\omega, \mathbf{R}/\omega) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\omega, \mathbf{R}/\omega) \to 0$$

Not going anywhere ...

4.1.2. Auslander dual. The primary setting of our calculations is the following construction of Auslander ([**5**]).

Definition 4.1.3. Let E be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module with a projective presentation

$$F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} F_0 \longrightarrow E \to 0.$$

The *Auslander dual* of E is the module $D(E) = \mathrm{coker}\ (\varphi^t)$,

$$0 \to E^* \longrightarrow F_0^* \xrightarrow{\varphi^t} F_1^* \longrightarrow D(E) \to 0. \tag{4.1.3.1}$$

The module $D(E)$ depends on the chosen presentation but it is unique up to projective summands. In particular the values of the functors $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), \cdot)$ and $\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_i(D(E), \cdot)$, for $i \geq 1$, are independent of the presentation. Its use here lies in the following result (see [**5**, Chapter 2]):

Proposition 4.1.4. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring and E a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. There are two exact sequences of functors:*

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), \cdot) \longrightarrow E \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E^*, \cdot) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), \cdot) \to 0 \tag{4.1.4.1}$$

$$0 \to \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_2(D(E), \cdot) \longrightarrow E^* \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \cdot) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_1(D(E), \cdot) \to 0. \tag{4.1.4.2}$$

Corollary 4.1.5. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring and E a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module and denote by $D(E)$ its Auslander dual. Then*

$$\nu(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)) \leq \nu(E^*)\nu(E) + \nu(\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_1(D(E), E)).$$

In one important case, one can zoom in further ([**33**, Theorem 5.3]):

Theorem 4.1.6. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Gorenstein local ring of dimension d and let E be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. Then*

$$\mathrm{bdeg}(E^*) \leq (\deg(E) + d(d-1)/2)\mathrm{hdeg}(E).$$

In particular,

$$\nu(E^*) \leq (\deg(E) + d(d-1)/2)\mathrm{hdeg}(E).$$

As a consequence, the focus is placed on $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), E)$, a module with many interesting properties:

COROLLARY 4.1.7. *The image of $E^* \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)$, so $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), E)$ has a ring structure. Moreover, the module E is projective if and only if $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), E) = 0$. In particular, the support of $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), E)$ determines the free locus of E.*

PROOF. The first assertion is routine, considering the actions of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)$ on E and on E^*. The surjection of the natural mapping $E^* \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)$ gives a representation of the identity of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)$,

$$I = \sum_i f_i \otimes e_i, \quad f_i \in E^*, e_i \in E,$$

that is

$$e = \sum_i f_i(e) e_i, \quad \forall e \in E,$$

one of the standard descriptions of finitely generated projective modules. □

We single out from the examination of (4.1.3.1) the following effective description of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)$.

PROPOSITION 4.1.8. *Let E be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module with a presentation*

$$\mathbf{R}^m \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbf{R}^n \longrightarrow E \to 0.$$

Choose bases $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ and $\{f_1, \ldots, f_m\}$ in $\mathbf{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{R}^m$. Then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)$ is isomorphic to the kernel of the induced mapping

$$\Phi : E \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} (\mathbf{R}^n)^t \xrightarrow{\mathbf{I} \otimes \varphi^t} E \otimes (\mathbf{R}^m)^t.$$

PROOF. We let e_i^* be the corresponding dual basis of $(\mathbf{R}^n)^t$. An element $z = \sum x_i \otimes e_i^*$ lies in the kernel of Φ if $\sum x_i \otimes e_i^* \circ \varphi^t = 0$, a condition that means $[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \cdot \varphi^t = 0$. This will imply that if we let a_i be the image of e_i in E, the assignment $a_i \to x_i$ will define an endomorphism $\alpha : E \to E$.

Conversely, given such α, $\zeta = \sum_i \alpha(a_i) \otimes e_i^* \in \ker(\Phi)$. The mappings are clearly inverses of one another. □

REMARK 4.1.9. If $\mathbf{R}$ is an affine ring and $\mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{R}$ is a polynomial presentation, we may replace $\mathbf{R}$ by $\mathbf{S}$.

4.1.3. Isolated singularities and vector bundles. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring which is essentially of finite type over the field k. Denote by J be Jacobian ideal of $\mathbf{R}$. We will assume that J is an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. For any maximal Cohen-Macaulay (MCM for short) module E, we are going to give a bound for $\nu(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E))$ in terms of the multiplicity of E and the Samuel multiplicity of J.

We are going to gather information on the number of generators of $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), E)$ when E is a MCM module. First, since $\mathbf{R}$ is Gorenstein, $E^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, R)$ is also a MCM module of the same multiplicity. In particular we have

$$\nu(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)) \leq \nu(E) \deg(E) + \nu(\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), E)). \tag{4.1.9.1}$$

Let

$$0 \to L \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow E \to 0$$

be a minimal projective presentation of E. Since all these modules are maximal Cohen-Macaulay and $\mathbf{R}$ is Gorenstein, dualizing we get another exact sequence of MCM modules

$$0 \to E^* \longrightarrow F_0^* \longrightarrow F_1^* \longrightarrow D(E) \to 0.$$

We now quote in full two results [**205**] that we require.

THEOREM 4.1.10 ([**205**, Theorem 5.3]). *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d, essentially of finite type over a field, and let J be its Jacobian ideal. Then $J \cdot \operatorname{Ext}^{d+1}_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \cdot) = 0$ for any finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module M, or equivalently, $J \cdot \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \cdot) = 0$ for any finitely generated maximal Cohen-Macaulay $\mathbf{R}$-module M.*

PROPOSITION 4.1.11 ([**205**, Proposition 1.5]). *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a commutative ring, M an $\mathbf{R}$-module, and $x \in R$. If $x \cdot \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \cdot) = 0$ then $x \cdot \operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{1}(M, \cdot) = 0$.*

Since $D(E)$ is MCM and $\mathbf{R}$ is Gorenstein, it is a $d+1$ syzygy for an appropriate module L, and thus $\operatorname{Ext}^{d+1}_{\mathbf{R}}(L, \cdot) = \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), \cdot)$, a functor that according to Theorem 4.1.10 is annihilated by the Jacobian ideal J of $\mathbf{R}$. On the other hand, appealing to Proposition 4.1.11, $J \cdot \operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{1}(D(E), \cdot) = 0$.

We now integrate these strands. Let $\mathbf{z} = z_1, \ldots, z_r$ be a regular sequence in J. To provide a modicum of generality, if J has dimension 1, we take $r = d - 1$, while if J is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary, we pick $\mathbf{z}$ to be a minimal reduction of J.

Consider the exact sequence induced by multiplication by z_1

$$0 \to E \xrightarrow{z_1} E \longrightarrow E/z_1E \to 0.$$

Since $J \cdot \operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{1}(D(E), \cdot) = 0$, $\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{1}(D(E), E)$ embeds in $\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{1}(D(E), E/z_1E)$. Iterating we will get

$$\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{1}(D(E), E) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{1}(D(E), E/\mathbf{z}E).$$

Now we derive a bound for the number of generators of $\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{1}(D(E), E)$ in terms of the properties of $\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{1}(D(E), E/\mathbf{z}E)$.

Let

$$\cdots \longrightarrow G_2 \longrightarrow G_1 \longrightarrow G_0 \longrightarrow D(E) \to 0$$

be a minimal projective resolution of $D(E)$. To tensor with $E/\mathbf{z}E$, we first do with $\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{z})$, which gives a minimal free resolution of $D(E)/\mathbf{z}D(E)$ over the ring $\overline{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{z})$. We now tensor with $\overline{E} = E/(\mathbf{z})E$ over $\overline{\mathbf{R}}$. Denote by K the kernel of

$$\overline{G_1} \otimes \overline{E} \longrightarrow \overline{G_0} \otimes \overline{E},$$

and by B the corresponding module of boundaries

$$0 \to B \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{1}(D(E), \overline{E}) \to 0.$$

We apply the next lemma to obtain

$$\nu(\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{1}(D(E), E)) \leq \operatorname{Deg}(K) \leq \operatorname{Deg}(\overline{G_1} \otimes \overline{E}),$$

since $\dim \overline{\mathbf{R}} \leq 1$.

LEMMA 4.1.12. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a local Noetherian ring of dimension* 1 *and consider the diagram of finitely generated* $\mathbf{R}$*-modules*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B & \longrightarrow & O, \\ & & \uparrow & & \\ & & C & & \end{array}$$

that is, C *is a subquotient of* A*. Then* $\nu(C) \leq \mathrm{Deg}(A)$*.*

PROOF. Let $D = \varphi^{-1}(C)$. Since in dimension 1 $\mathrm{Deg}(D) \leq \mathrm{Deg}(A)$, and as $\nu(D) \leq \mathrm{Deg}(D)$ always, the assertion follows. □

We note that $G_1 = F_0^*$ and that $\overline{G_1} \otimes \overline{E}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay that is a homomorphic image of $\overline{G_1} \otimes \overline{F_0}$. If $\dim \overline{\mathbf{R}} = 0$, it is an Artin ring of length $e(J)$, the Samuel multiplicity of the ideal J. We have the bound

$$\lambda(\overline{G_1} \otimes \overline{E}) \leq e(J)\nu(E)^2.$$

We can put together these considerations in the following.

THEOREM 4.1.13. *Let* $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ *be a Gorenstein local ring as above, let* E *be a MCM module and let* J *be the Jacobian ideal of* $\mathbf{R}$*.*

(a) *If* J *is* $\mathfrak{m}$*-primary,*

$$\nu(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)) \leq \deg(E) \cdot \nu(E) + e(J) \cdot \nu(E)^2.$$

(b) *If* J *has dimension* 1*, then for any regular sequence* $\mathbf{z} \subset J$ *of length* $\dim \mathbf{R} - 1$*,*

$$\nu(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)) \leq \deg(E)\nu(E) + \deg(\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{z}))\nu(E)^2.$$

EXAMPLE 4.1.14. Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x, y, u, v]/(xy - uv)$. Then for any MCM $\mathbf{R}$-module E of rank r,

$$\nu(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)) \leq 4 \cdot r^2.$$

To prove this directly, let z be an element of $\mathfrak{m}$ such that $\deg(E/zE) = \deg E$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to E \xrightarrow{z} E \longrightarrow E/zE \to 0.$$

Consider the cohomology exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E) \xrightarrow{z} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E/zE) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E) \xrightarrow{z} \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E).$$

Since $\mathfrak{m}$ is the Jacobian ideal, $z \cdot \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E) = 0$, and we have exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)/z\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E/zE, E/zE) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E) \to 0.$$

The mid module is Cohen-Macaulay of multiplicity $2r^2$, while the module on the right is annihilated by $\mathfrak{m}$, and therefore its length is at most $\nu(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E/zE, E/zE)) \leq 2r^2$. Now we apply Proposition 1.5.20(d) to get

$$\mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)/z\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)) \leq 4r^2.$$

EXAMPLE 4.1.15. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a hypersurface singular ring of dimension d. Let

$$0 \to E \longrightarrow F_{d-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \to k \to 0$$

be a minimal free presentation of the residue field k. E is a MCM of known rank and number of generators, since the Tor algebra of k is known (according to Assmus),

$$\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}(k,k) = \wedge k^{d+1}\ [z],$$

where z is a variable of degree 2. In particular, $\nu(E) = \beta_d(k) = 2^d$.

We now determine $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E,E)$ via the sequence

$$E^* \otimes E \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E,E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E) = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\cdot), \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\cdot) \to 0.$$

As $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\cdot) = \mathrm{Ext}^d_{\mathbf{R}}(k,\cdot)$, and it is easy to see that this functor is right exact and $\mathrm{Ext}^d_{\mathbf{R}}(k,\mathbf{R}) = k$, it will follow that $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E) = k$.

Finally, to determine E^*, we consider the complex

$$0 \to E \longrightarrow F_{d-1} \longrightarrow B_{d-2} \to 0,$$

whose cohomology gives

$$0 \to B_{d-2} \longrightarrow F^*_{d-1} \longrightarrow E^* \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(B_{d-2},\mathbf{R}) = \mathrm{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{R}}(k,\mathbf{R}) = 0.$$

Thus $\nu(E^*) \leq \beta_{d-1}(k) \leq 2^d - 1$. It follows that

$$\nu(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E,E)) \leq 2^{d+1}.$$

We will check whether E has no free summand.

We are now going to formulate two results of [**33**] on modules of finite projective dimension. They are [**33**, Theorem 6.5], asserting that the conjectural bound (4.0.16.1) holds for modules which are free on the punctured spectrum of $\mathbf{R}$, and [**33**, Theorem 7.3], where the same bound is established for torsion free modules if $\dim \mathbf{R} = 4$.

PROPOSITION 4.1.16. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring essentially of finite type over the field k and denote by J its Jacobian ideal. If J is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary and i is a fixed positive integer, then for every maximal Cohen-Macaulay module M and every finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module B,*

$$\lambda(\mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathbf{R}}(M,B)) \leq \beta_i(M)\mathrm{Deg}(B).$$

PROOF. We will argue by induction on $\dim B$, the case $\dim B = 0$ being clear. Let $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(B) = B_0 \neq 0$; the exact sequence

$$0 \to B_0 \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow B' \to 0$$

yields $\mathrm{Deg}(B) = \lambda(B_0) + \mathrm{Deg}(B')$. On the other hand, the long exact homology sequence

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathbf{R}}(M,B_0) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathbf{R}}(M,B) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathbf{R}}(M,B')$$

gives

$$\lambda(\mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathbf{R}}(M,B)) \leq \lambda(\mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathbf{R}}(M,B_0)) + \lambda(\mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathbf{R}}(M,B')).$$

It suffices to consider the case of modules of positive depth. Let $z \in J$ be a generic hyperplane section for the module B:

$$0 \to B \xrightarrow{\cdot z} B \longrightarrow \overline{B} \to 0.$$

By Proposition 4.1.11, $z\mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathbf{R}}(M,B) = 0$, and therefore

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathbf{R}}(M,B) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathbf{R}}(M,\overline{B}).$$

Since $\dim \overline{B} = \dim B - 1$ and $\mathrm{Deg}(\overline{B}) \leq \mathrm{Deg}(B)$, the induction is complete. □

We now treat the mentioned results of [**33**], with the assumption of finite projective dimension removed. Let E be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module with a minimal presentation

$$0 \to F_d \to F_{d-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow E \to 0,$$

$d = \dim \mathbf{R}$, where F_i is a free $\mathbf{R}$-module for $i \leq d-1$. F_d is a MCM module of multiplicity controlled by $\mathrm{Deg}(E)$. Applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\cdot, \mathbf{R})$ we get a complex which is broken up into short exact sequences:

$$0 \to Z_0 \longrightarrow F_0^* \longrightarrow B_1 \to 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$0 \to Z_i \longrightarrow F_i^* \longrightarrow B_{i+1} \to 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$0 \to B_d \longrightarrow F_d^* \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{R}}^d(E,\mathbf{R}) \to 0$$

along with the exact sequences, $1 \leq i \leq d-1$,

$$0 \to B_i \longrightarrow Z_i \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{R}}^i(E,\mathbf{R}) \to 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{R}}^1(E,\mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow D(E) \longrightarrow B_2 \to 0.$$

It is the last exact sequence that will become the focus of interest, as we need to estimate $\nu(\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E))$.

Suppose E is free in dimension 2. This implies that the modules $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{R}}^i(E,\mathbf{R})$, $i \geq 1$, have dimension at most 1. Tensoring the last sequence by E, we obtain a complex

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{R}}^1(E,\mathbf{R}),E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(B_2,E) \tag{4.1.16.1}$$

for which we gather information from the homology of the other exact sequences

$$\mathrm{Tor}_2^{\mathbf{R}}(\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{R}}^2(E,\mathbf{R}),E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(B_2,E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(Z_2,E)$$

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1(Z_2,E) = \mathrm{Tor}_2^{\mathbf{R}}(B_3,E)$$

$$\mathrm{Tor}_3^{\mathbf{R}}(\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{R}}^3(E,R),E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_2^{\mathbf{R}}(B_3,E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_2^{\mathbf{R}}(Z_3,E)$$

$$\mathrm{Tor}_2(Z_3,E) = \mathrm{Tor}_3^{\mathbf{R}}(B_4,E)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\mathrm{Tor}_d^{\mathbf{R}}(\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{R}}^d(E,\mathbf{R}),E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_{d-1}^{\mathbf{R}}(B_d,E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_{d-1}^{\mathbf{R}}(F_d^*,E).$$

For each $i \geq 1$, $\mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathbf{R}}(\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{R}}^i(E,\mathbf{R}),E)$ is a subquotient of $\mathbf{R}^{\beta_i(E)} \otimes \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{R}}^i(E,\mathbf{R})$, a module whose Deg value is $\beta_i(E)\mathrm{Deg}(\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{R}}^i(E,\mathbf{R}))$. By Lemma 4.1.12, $\dim \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{R}}^i(E,\mathbf{R}) \leq$

1, and thus every submodule of $\operatorname{Tor}_i^{\mathbf{R}}(\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\mathbf{R}),E)$ is generated by not more than $\beta_i(E)\operatorname{Deg}(\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\mathbf{R}))$ elements.

Finally, note that $\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{d-1}(B_d,E)$ must be handled differently. To estimate a bound for the number of generators for its submodules: to $\beta_d(E)\operatorname{Deg}(\operatorname{Ext}^d_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\mathbf{R}))$ we must add the bound for $\nu(\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{d-1}(F_d^*,E))$, derived in Proposition 4.1.16, since F_d^* is a MCM module.

THEOREM 4.1.17. *Let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring essentially of finite type over the field k and denote by J its Jacobian ideal. If J is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary, then for any $\mathbf{R}$-module that is free in dimension $d-2$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\nu(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E,E)) &\leq \nu(E)(\deg E + d(d-1)/2)\operatorname{hdeg}(E) + \operatorname{hdeg}(E)\sum_{i=1}^{d}\beta_i(E)\\ &+ \beta_{d-1}(k)\beta_d(E)\deg(E)\operatorname{hdeg}_J(E).\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. The first summand corresponds to the number of generators for $E^*\otimes E$, according to Theorem 4.1.6. The second arises from the consideration of short exact sequences of modules of dimension at most 1,

$$A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C,$$

where the bounds a and c for the numbers of generators of A and C, respectively, we get that $a+c$ bounds the number of generators for any submodule of B.

To obtain the last term, we apply Proposition 4.1.16 to the module F_d^*, a Cohen-Macaulay module with $\deg(F_d)\leq \beta_d(E)\deg(\mathbf{R})$. □

REMARK 4.1.18. Now we outline quickly the proof of the conjecture for rings of dimension 4 and torsion free modules. (More generally, for rings of arbitrary dimension and torsion free modules with the condition S_{d-3}.) These modules have a presentation

$$0\to F_3 \longrightarrow F_2 \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow E\to 0,$$

where F_i are free, for $i\leq 2$, and F_3 is a MCM module. The only significant deviation from the proof above, in the exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_1(\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\mathbf{R}),E)\longrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_1(B_2,E)\longrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_1(Z_2,E),$$

we must deal with the fact that $\operatorname{Ext}^{\mathbf{R}}_1(E,\mathbf{R})$ has dimension at most two, the inequality $\operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\mathbf{R}))<\operatorname{hdeg}(E)$, and all the other homology modules having dimension at most 1. This is handled in [**33**] to give $\nu(\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_1(\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\mathbf{R}),E))\leq \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,R))\nu(E)$.

Isolated singularities. We are going to relax the conditions on M: Assume that it has finite projective dimension, has codimension n and is Cohen-Macaulay on the punctured spectrum. Consider its minimal free resolution:

$$\mathbf{K}:\quad 0\to K_m\to\cdots\to K_n\to K_{n-1}\to K_{n-2}\to\cdots\to K_2\to K_1\to K_0\to M\to 0.$$

The conditions on M imply that $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(M,\mathbf{R})=0$ for $i<n$, and $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(M,\mathbf{R})$ has finite support for $i>n$. The latter means that the syzygies of M of order n, or higher, are vector bundles on the punctured spectrum.

Let E be the module of k-cycles of $\mathbf{K}$:

$$0 \to K_m \to \cdots \to K_{k+1} \stackrel{\varphi}{\to} K_k \to E \to 0,$$

$$0 \to E \to K_{k-1} \to \cdots \to K_1 \to K_0 \to M \to 0.$$

If $k \geq n$, E being a vector bundle of finite projective dimension, the module $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)$ was dealt with in [**33**]. For this reason we will focus on the case $k < n$. Denote the dual of $\mathbf{K}$ by $\mathbf{L}$. We will set $\mathbf{L}_i = \mathbf{K}^*_{m-i}$. We have the complexes

$$0 \to K_0^* \to K_1^* \to \cdots \to K_{k-1}^* \to E^* \to 0,$$

$$0 \to E^* \to K_k^* \stackrel{\varphi^t}{\to} K_{k+1}^* \to \cdots \to K_m^* \to 0.$$

The Auslander dual $D(E)$ is expressed in the two exact sequences

$$0 \to E^* \to K_k^* \stackrel{\varphi^t}{\to} K_{k+1}^* \to D(E) \to 0,$$

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}^k_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R}) \to D(E) \to Z_{m-k-2}(\mathbf{L}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{k+2}_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R}) \to 0,$$

which we will make use of to calculate $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), E)$.

Let us examine the different cases. If $k+2 < n$, $\mathrm{Ext}^k_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R}) = \mathrm{Ext}^{k+2}_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R}) = 0$, and from the exact complex

$$0 \to Z_{m-k-2} \to L_{m-k-2} \to \cdots \to L_{m-n} \to B_{m-n} \to 0$$

we get

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), E) = \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(Z_{m-k-2}, E) = \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{n-k}(B, E) = \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_n(B, M),$$

the last isomorphism since E is the module of k-cycles of the resolution of M.

If M is Cohen-Macaulay, $B = \mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R})$, and we would get the formula of Theorem 4.3.11. In the general case, we have a series of short exact sequences derived from the boundaries B_i and cycles Z_i of the complex $\mathbf{L}$: For $i \geq 1$

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R}) \to B \to B_{m-n-1} \to 0,$$

$$0 \to B_i \to Z_i \to \mathrm{Ext}^{n+i}_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R}) \to 0,$$

$$0 \to Z_{i+1} \to L_{i+1} \to B_i \to 0.$$

From these, we would get the exact complexes whose significant parts we highlight:

$$\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_n(\mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R}), M) = \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{n-k}(\mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R}), E) \to \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{n-k}(B, E) \to \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{n-k}(B_{m-n-1}, E),$$

$$\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{n-k}(\mathrm{Ext}^{n+i}_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R}), E) \to \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{n-k}(B_i E) \to \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{n-k}(Z_i, E) \to \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{n-k-1}(\mathrm{Ext}^{n+i}_{\mathbf{R}}(M, R), E),$$

$$\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{n-k}(B_i, E) = \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{n-k-1}(Z_{i+1}, E).$$

All the terms that involve $\mathrm{Ext}^{n+i}_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R})$ have finite length that can be assembled in a way bounded by a quadratic polynomial on $\mathrm{Deg}(E)$.

4.1.4. Ideal modules. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d and let E be a finitely generated torsion free $\mathbf{R}$-module. E is said be an *ideal module* if E^* is a free $\mathbf{R}$-module (see [**173**]). Such modules are basically the first order syzygies of modules of codimension at least 2. This property endows E with a natural embedding into a free $\mathbf{R}$-module

$$0 \to E \longrightarrow (E^*)^* \longrightarrow C \to 0. \tag{4.1.18.1}$$

Another property of these modules that is relevant in the HomAB problem is that the Auslander dual $D(E)$ has projective dimension at most two: Dualizing (4.1.3.1) gives

$$0 \to E^* \longrightarrow F_0^* \xrightarrow{\varphi^t} F_1^* \longrightarrow D(E) \to 0.$$

PROPOSITION 4.1.19. *Let E be an ideal module of rank ℓ as above. There exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \to R^\ell \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(C, C) \to 0.$$

PROOF. Apply $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\cdot, E)$ to the exact sequence (4.1.18.1) (note $(E^*)^* \simeq R^\ell$) to get the exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{R}^\ell, E) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(C, E) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{R}^\ell, E) = 0.$$

Since E is free in codimension 1, the annihilator I of C has codimension at least 2. Let f be a regular element in I; reducing (4.1.18.1) modulo f, we get the exact complex

$$0 \to C \longrightarrow E/fE \longrightarrow R^\ell/fR^\ell \longrightarrow C \to 0,$$

that identifies C to the submodule of E/fE supported in dimension at most $d-2$. If we take this fact into the isomorphism $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(C, E) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(C, E/fE)$, we obtain $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(C, E) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(C, C)$, as desired. □

We can relate $\operatorname{Deg}(C)$ to $\operatorname{Deg}(E)$, at least in the case when $\operatorname{Deg} = \operatorname{hdeg}$. Considering that a bound for $\nu(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(C, C))$ is established in [**33**] for all modules of dimension at most 2, we can apply it to ideal modules that are free in dimension at most 3. The formula obtained is similar to the one we are going to derive now by treating directly the support $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), E)$.

PROPOSITION 4.1.20. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d and let E be a torsion free ideal module that is free in dimension 2. Then*

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), E)) \leq \operatorname{rank}(E) \cdot (\operatorname{hdeg}(E) - \operatorname{deg}(E)).$$

PROOF. We begin by pointing out that the support of $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), E)$ has dimension at most 1 since E is free in codimension $d-2$. By the same token, in the natural embedding

$$0 \to E \longrightarrow E^{**} \longrightarrow C \to 0$$

the module C has dimension at most 1. Since E^{**} is free, one has $\operatorname{hdeg}(C) \leq \operatorname{hdeg}(E) - \operatorname{deg}(E)$. We also have that $\operatorname{Tor}_2^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), C) = \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), E)$. The first of these modules is the homology of the mapping of modules of dimension at most 1,

$$0 \to \operatorname{Tor}_2^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), C) \longrightarrow E^* \otimes C \longrightarrow F_0^* \otimes C,$$

and therefore

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Tor}_2^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),C)) \leq \operatorname{rank}(E)\cdot\operatorname{hdeg}(C) = \operatorname{rank}(E)\cdot(\operatorname{hdeg}(E)-\deg(E)).$$

□

COROLLARY 4.1.21. *Let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d and let E be a torsion free ideal module that is free in dimension 2. Then*

$$\nu(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E,E)) \leq \operatorname{rank}(E)\cdot(\operatorname{hdeg}(E)+\nu(E)-\deg(E)).$$

One should attempt to cut down the free locus to codimension $d-3$. It would be of interest if we could still have $\dim \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E)\leq 1$, for even though C could have dimension 2 its component C_1 of dimension at most 1 would satisfy $\operatorname{hdeg}(C_1)\leq \operatorname{hdeg}(E)-\deg(E)$ (a fact not difficult to verify).

Let us go through the calculations in the case of free locus in codimension $d-3$. Now the module C in

$$0\to E\longrightarrow E^{**}\longrightarrow C\to 0$$

has dimension at most 2. Let z be a generic element for the following purposes: $\operatorname{hdeg}(\mathbf{R})\geq\operatorname{hdeg}(\mathbf{R}/(z))$, $\operatorname{hdeg}(E)\geq\operatorname{hdeg}(E/zE)$, $\dim(C/zC)\leq 1$,

$$\dim \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E)/z\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E)\leq 1,$$

and the kernels ${}_zC$ and L of multiplication by z on C and $D(E)\otimes E$ have finite length. In addition, since we may assume $\dim R\geq 4$ and $D(E)$ has projective dimension at most 2, z can be assumed to be regular on $D(E)$.

Let us see how reduction modulo (z) affects the degrees data. Tensoring

$$0\to E\xrightarrow{\cdot z} E\longrightarrow \overline{E}\to 0$$

by $D(E)$, we have the exact sequence

$$0\to \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E)/z\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E)\longrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),\overline{E})\longrightarrow L\to 0.$$

This shows that $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),\overline{E})$ has dimension at most one, and by Nakayama Lemma

$$\nu(\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E)) \quad\leq\quad \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),\overline{E})). \tag{4.1.21.1}$$

To get hold of the last degree, we tensor the defining sequence of C by $\mathbf{R}/(z)$ to get

$$0\to {}_zC\longrightarrow \overline{E}\longrightarrow \overline{E^{**}}\longrightarrow \overline{C}\to 0.$$

It shows that ${}_zC$ is the submodule of finite support of $\overline{E}$ and therefore the image E' of $\overline{E}$ satisfies $\operatorname{hdeg}(E')\leq\operatorname{hdeg}(\overline{E})\leq\operatorname{hdeg}(E)$, and from the exact sequence

$$0\to E'\longrightarrow \overline{E^{**}}\longrightarrow \overline{C}\to 0$$

we have

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(\overline{C})\leq\operatorname{hdeg}(E')-\deg(E')\leq\operatorname{hdeg}(E)-\deg(E).$$

Now we get hold of $\operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),\overline{E}))$. From the exact sequence

$$0\to {}_zC\longrightarrow \overline{E}\longrightarrow E'\to 0$$

we have the exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),{}_zC)\longrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),\overline{E})\longrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E').$$

It shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E)),\overline{E}) &\leq \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E')) + \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E)),{}_zC)\\
&\leq \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E')) + \operatorname{rank}(F_0)\cdot\lambda({}_zC)\\
&\leq \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E')) + \operatorname{rank}(F_0)\cdot(\operatorname{hdeg}(E)-\deg(E)). \qquad (4.1.21.2)
\end{aligned}$$

The last equation arises because $\operatorname{hdeg}(\overline{E}) = \operatorname{hdeg}({}_zC) + \operatorname{hdeg}(E')$ and therefore

$$\operatorname{hdeg}({}_zC) \leq \operatorname{hdeg}(\overline{E}) - \operatorname{hdeg}(E') \leq \operatorname{hdeg}(E) - \deg(E).$$

We must now deal with $\operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E'))$ in the manner of the earlier case. Starting from

$$0 \to E' \longrightarrow \overline{E^{**}} \longrightarrow \overline{C} \to 0,$$

we have the exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Tor}_2^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),\overline{E^{**}}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_2^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),\overline{C}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E') \longrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),\overline{E^{**}}).$$

Since E^{**} is $\mathbf{R}$-free and z is regular on $D(E)$, the two modules at the ends vanish and we have $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E') = \operatorname{Tor}_2^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),\overline{C})$. As $\overline{C}$ has dimension at most 1 and we have bounds for $\operatorname{hdeg}(\overline{C})$, we make use of the free presentation of $D(E)$ and finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E')) &= \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Tor}_2^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),\overline{C})) \leq \operatorname{rank}(E)\cdot\operatorname{hdeg}(\overline{C})\\
&\leq \operatorname{rank}(E)\cdot(\operatorname{hdeg}(E)-\deg(E)). \qquad (4.1.21.3)
\end{aligned}$$

We collect the calculation into:

PROPOSITION 4.1.22. *Let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring of dimension d and let E be a torsion free ideal module that is free in dimension 3. Then*

$$\nu(\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E)) \leq (\operatorname{rank}(E)+\nu(E))(\operatorname{hdeg}(E)-\deg(E)).$$

PROOF. We have from (4.1.21.1)

$$\nu(\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E)) \leq \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),\overline{E})),$$

while from (4.1.21.2) we have

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),\overline{E})) \leq \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E')) + \operatorname{rank}(F_0)\cdot\operatorname{hdeg}(E).$$

Finally, from (4.1.21.3) we obtain

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),\overline{E})) \leq (\operatorname{rank}(E)+\nu(E))(\operatorname{hdeg}(E)-\deg(E)).$$

□

COROLLARY 4.1.23. *Let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring of dimension d and let E be a torsion free ideal module free in codimension $d-3$. Then*

$$\nu(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E,E)) \leq (\operatorname{rank}(E)+\nu(E))(\operatorname{hdeg}(E)-\deg(E)) + \operatorname{rank}(E)\cdot\nu(E).$$

Primary decomposition. Primary decomposition of ideals in a Noetherian ring $\mathbf{R}$, and several other operations as well, involve the computation of ideal quotients: $I\colon J = \{r \in R \mid rI \subset J\}$. It may be relevant to have an estimation for the number of generators of $I\colon J$ given I and J.

The typical ring for us will be a polynomial ring over a field, but given the well-established technique ([**180**]) to convert local bounds for number of generators into global ones, we focus on Gorenstein local rings and on ideals of codimension at least two.

We may consider $I : J = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(I, J)$ directly or the variant $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)$ for $E = J \oplus (I, J)$. Then, since by assumption codim $J \geq 2$,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E) = (J : J) \oplus J : (I, J) \oplus (I, J) : (I, J) \oplus (I, J) : J) = \mathbf{R}^3 \oplus I : J,$$

since $(I, J) : J = I : J$. Note that E is an ideal module of rank 2.

As a direct consequence of Corollary 4.1.23, we obtain:

THEOREM 4.1.24. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring of dimension d and let J be an ideal of codimension at least $d - 2$. Then for any ideal I,*

$$\nu(I : J) \leq (2+\nu(J)+\nu(I, J))(\mathrm{hdeg}(J)+\mathrm{hdeg}(I, J)-2\deg(\mathbf{R}))+2\cdot(\nu(J)+\nu(I, J))-3.$$

REMARK 4.1.25. We have to calculate $\mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{R}/I, \mathbf{R}))$ for $i = d - 2, d - 1, d$. If codim $J = d - 2$, $\mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Ext}^{d-2}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{R}/J, \mathbf{R})) = \deg(\mathbf{R}/J)$, and $\mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Ext}^d_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{R}/J, \mathbf{R})) = \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}/J))$.

Let us consider some cases in detail. If J is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{R}/I, \mathbf{R}/J) = I : J/J$ is a module whose length is at most $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/J)$, and thus $\nu(I : J) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J) + \nu(J)$. Meanwhile, given that $\mathrm{hdeg}(J) = \deg(\mathbf{R}) + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J)$, the bound from the formula is

$$\nu(I : J) \leq (2 + \nu(J) + \nu(I, J))(2 + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J) + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/(I, J)) - 7,$$

which is poorer.

The advantage comes in case $\dim \mathbf{R}/I = 1$, or 2. Let us assume that I and J are Cohen-Macaulay ideals of dimension 2. To make the computation of hdeg simpler, if we take the ideal module: $E = J \oplus I$.

THEOREM 4.1.26. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring of dimension d and let J and I be Cohen-Macaulay ideals of codimension $d - 2$. Then*

$$\nu(I : J) + \nu(J : I) \leq (2 + \nu(J) + \nu(I))(\deg(\mathbf{R}/J) + \deg(\mathbf{R}/I)) + 2 \cdot (\nu(J) + \nu(I)) - 2.$$

Vector bundles. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and set $\mathbf{X} = \mathrm{Spec}\mathbf{R}$. A case of HomAB question is that of a module E that is locally free on a subset $\mathbf{Y} \subset \mathbf{X}$. When $\mathbf{Y}$ is the punctured spectrum $\mathrm{Spec}\mathbf{R} \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ of $\mathbf{R}$, it was treated in [**33**], and a solution given for all modules of finite projective dimension that are locally free in codimension $\leq \dim \mathbf{R} - 2$. To remove the finite projective dimension requirement requires a good understanding of the MCM $\mathbf{R}$-modules.

Here we will consider this issue but also the case of the complement of the hyperplane $\mathbf{Y} = \mathrm{Spec}\mathbf{R} \setminus V(z)$, where $\mathbf{R}/(z)$ is a regular local ring.

The emphasis on Auslander duals puts a great burden on the algebra

$$\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\cdot),\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\cdot)),$$

to determine effective bounds for its number of generators. The cases considered in the previous sections all had a great control over the support of $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E)$. It might be worthwhile to consider the following general question.

CONJECTURE 4.1.27. Let E be a torsion free module. If $\dim \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E) \leq 1$,

$$\nu(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E,E)) \leq \operatorname{rank}(E)\cdot(\operatorname{hdeg}E + \nu(E) - \deg E).$$

If $\dim \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E) \leq 0$, E is a vector bundle on the punctured spectrum of $\mathbf{R}$. In [**33**], this is dealt even more generally if E is free in codimension $d-2$, provided proj dim $E < \infty$, while in Theorem 4.1.17, the case of isolated singularity is dealt with.

4.1.5. Higher cohomology modules. Given a Gorenstein local ring $\mathbf{R}$, and two finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-modules A and B, we look at the problem of bounding the number of generators of the modules $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(A,B)$, for $i>0$. The approach we use is straightforward: Consider a free presentation,

$$0 \to L \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow A \to 0,$$

pass to L the given hdeg information on A, and use décalage to compare $\nu(\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(A,B))$ to $\nu(\operatorname{Ext}^{i-1}_{\mathbf{R}}(L,B))$. This is allowed since by the cohomology exact sequences, we have the short exact sequences

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(A,B) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(F,B) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(L,B) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(A,B) \to 0,$$

and

$$\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(A,B) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}^{i-1}_{\mathbf{R}}(L,B), \quad i>1.$$

We relate the degrees of A to those of L. We shall assume that the rank of F is $\nu(A)$, $\dim A = \dim \mathbf{R} = d$, so that if $L \neq 0$, $\dim L = d$. This gives

$$\deg(L) = \deg(F) - \deg(A).$$

We have the two expressions for $\operatorname{hdeg}(A)$ and $\operatorname{hdeg}(L)$ (1.5.13):

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{hdeg}(A) &= \deg(A) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \binom{d-1}{i-1} \cdot \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(A,R)),\\
\operatorname{hdeg}(L) &= \deg(L) + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{i-1} \cdot \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(L,\mathbf{R})),
\end{aligned}$$

since depth $L>0$. If we set $a_i = \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(A,\mathbf{R}))$, these formulas can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{hdeg}(A) &= \deg(A) + a_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{d} \binom{d-1}{i-1} \cdot a_i,\\
\operatorname{hdeg}(L) &= \deg(L) + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{i-1} \cdot a_{i+1} = \deg(L) + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{i}{d-i}\binom{d-1}{i} \cdot a_{i+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\frac{1}{d-1}\sum_{i=2}^{d}\binom{d-1}{i-1}\cdot a_i \leq \mathrm{hdeg}(L)-\deg(L) \leq (d-1)\cdot\sum_{i=2}^{d}\binom{d-1}{i-1}\cdot a_i.$$

We now collect these estimations:

PROPOSITION 4.1.28. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$*,* A *and* L *be as above. Then*

$$\deg(L) \leq \nu(A)\deg(\mathbf{R})-\deg(A),$$

and if $c = \mathrm{hdeg}(A)-\deg(A)-\mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Ext}^1(A,\mathbf{R}))$

$$\frac{1}{d-1}c \leq \mathrm{hdeg}(L)-\deg(L) \leq (d-1)c.$$

COROLLARY 4.1.29. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Gorenstein local ring. If there are polynomials* $\mathbf{f}(x,y)$ *such that for any two finitely generated* $\mathbf{R}$*-modules* A, B*,* $\nu(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(A,B)) \leq \mathbf{f}(\mathrm{hdeg}(A),\mathrm{hdeg}(B))$*, there are also polynomials* $\mathbf{f}_i(x,y)$*,* $i \geq 1$*, of the same degree such that for* $i \geq 1$*,*

$$\nu(\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(A,B)) \leq \mathbf{f}_i(\mathrm{hdeg}(A),\mathrm{hdeg}(B)).$$

Biduals. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian local ring with a canonical module $\omega_{\mathbf{R}}$. For a torsion free $\mathbf{R}$-module by its *bidual* we shall mean the module

$$E^{**} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\omega_{\mathbf{R}}),\omega_{\mathbf{R}}).$$

There is a great deal of flexibility in the construction of this module. For instance, suppose $A \hookrightarrow R$ is a Noether normalization, that is, $\mathbf{R}$ is finite over the regular local subring A. Then $E^{**} \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathrm{Hom}_A(E,A),A)$. This means that it is natural to assume that E is a module of finite projective dimension over the base ring.

The construction of E^{**} is of utmost importance in view of its use in the processes of S_2-ification would be estimations for $\nu(E^{**})$ dependent upon data on E. One of the main results of [**33**] is the assertion that if $\mathbf{R}$ is a Gorenstein local ring of dimension d,

$$\mathrm{bdeg}(E^*) \leq (\deg(E)+d(d-1)/2)\mathrm{hdeg}(E).$$

Regrettably, it cannot be iterated to pass hdeg information to E^*. Nevertheless some cases are easy to handle.

Let E be a module of projective dimension 1, or more generally of depth $d-1$, that is suppose

$$0 \to F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow E \to 0,$$

is exact and F_0, F_1 are MCM modules. Dualizing, we get exact sequences

$$0 \to E^* \longrightarrow F_0^* \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 0,$$

and

$$0 \to K \longrightarrow F_1^* \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\mathbf{R}) \to 0.$$

The second sequence allow us to obtain a bound for $\mathrm{hdeg}(K)$ from $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\mathbf{R})$, which taken into the other sequence leads to a bound for $\mathrm{hdeg}(E^*)$. Now we apply Theorem 4.1.6, to bound $\mathrm{bdeg}(E^{**})$.

Another case is of torsion free modules E of finite projective dimension over local ring $\mathbf{R}$ of dimension 3. Consider an embedding

$$0 \to F \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow C \to 0,$$

where F is a free module of rank $\operatorname{rank}(E)$. The module C has dimension 2 and has projective dimension 1 at every localization of codimension one. According to Theorem 1.2.19, $\deg(C) = \deg(\mathbf{R}/\operatorname{Fitt}(C))$. In this case the divisorial class of $\operatorname{Fitt}(C)$ is a principal ideal (f).

By taking an embedding $F \hookrightarrow E$ that gives a minimum to $\deg(C)$, one may view this number as an invariant of E.

Dualizing we get the exact sequences

$$0 \to E^* \longrightarrow F^* \longrightarrow K \to 0,$$

and

$$0 \to K \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(C, \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \mathbf{R}) \to 0.$$

In the second, $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \mathbf{R})$ has dimension at most one since $\dim R = 3$ and E is free in codimension one; thus $\deg(K) = \deg(D)$. In turn, $D = \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(C, \mathbf{R})$ is a Cohen-Macaulay of dimension two, as one easily shows that

$$D = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}/(f)}(C, \mathbf{R}/(f)).$$

In particular, $\deg(D) = \deg(C)$.

Since $\operatorname{Ext}^2_{\mathbf{R}}(K, \mathbf{R}) = \operatorname{Ext}^3_{\mathbf{R}}(D, \mathbf{R})$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^3_{\mathbf{R}}(K, \mathbf{R}) = 0$, we can bound $\operatorname{hdeg}(K)$ in terms of $\deg(C)$ and $\operatorname{hdeg}(E)$. This allows bounding $\operatorname{hdeg}(E^*)$ in terms of $\deg(C)$ and $\operatorname{hdeg}(E)$ as well, and therefore will be in position to apply Theorem 4.1.6.

4.2. Length Complexity of Tensor Products

4.2.1. Introduction. An analogue of the HomAB question for tensor products is the following. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring, and let A and B be finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-modules.

Question 4.2.1. Can either $\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B))$ or $\nu(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B))$ be estimated in terms of multiplicity invariants of A and B? For instance, we look for the existence of polynomials $\mathbf{f}(x, y)$ with rational coefficients such that

$$\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B)) \leq \mathbf{f}(\operatorname{Deg}(A), \operatorname{Deg}(B)).$$

More generally, we look for bounds for

$$\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_i(A, B))), i \geq 1.$$

We label this question the *TorAB* Problem. We shall consider special cases of these questions but in low dimensions. Let us begin with the following observation. We denote $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(E) = E_0$, and $\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B))$ by $h_0(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B)$.

Proposition 4.2.2. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and A, B finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-modules. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B)) &\leq \nu(A_0)\nu(B) + 2\nu(A)\nu(B_0) + \nu(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(A/A_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B/B_0) \\ h_0(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B) &\leq h_0(A)\nu(B) + h_0(B)\nu(A) + h_0(A/A_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B/B_0). \end{aligned}$$

4.2.1.1. *Dimension* 1. Suppose $\mathbf{R}$ is a local domain of dimension 1. We start our discussion with the case of two ideals, $I, J \subset R$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & IJ & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & I \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} J & \longrightarrow & IJ & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where F is a free presentation of $I \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} J$, and therefore it has rank $\nu(I)\nu(J)$. L is a torsion free module with $\operatorname{rank}(L) = \operatorname{rank}(F) - 1$, and therefore it can be generated by $\deg(L) = (\operatorname{rank}(F) - 1)\deg(\mathbf{R})$ elements.

PROPOSITION 4.2.3. *If $\mathbf{R}$ is a local domain of dimension one, essentially of finite type over a field and I, J are $\mathbf{R}$-ideals, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(I \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} J)) &\leq (\nu(I)\nu(J) - 1)\deg(\mathbf{R}). \\ h_0(I \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} J) &\leq (\nu(I)\nu(J) - 1)\deg(\mathbf{R})\lambda(\mathbf{R}/(I, J, K)), \end{aligned}$$

where K is the Jacobian ideal of $\mathbf{R}$.

PROOF. The second formula arises because L maps onto T, the torsion submodule of $I \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} J$, which is also annihilated by I, J and K. The last assertion is a consequence of the fact that $T = \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(I, \mathbf{R}/J)$, and by Theorem 4.1.10 and Proposition 4.1.11, K will annihilate it. □

A small enhancement occurs since one can replace I and J by isomorphic ideals. In other words, the last factor, $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/(I, J, K))$, can be replaced by $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/(\tau(I), \tau(J), K))$, where $\tau(I)$ and $\tau(J)$ are their *trace* ideals ($\tau(I) = \text{image } I \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(I, \mathbf{R}) \to \mathbf{R}$).

The version for modules is similar:

PROPOSITION 4.2.4. *If $\mathbf{R}$ is a local domain of dimension one, essentially of finite type over a field and let A, B be finitely generated torsion free $\mathbf{R}$-modules. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B)) &\leq (\nu(A)\nu(B) - \operatorname{rank}(A)\operatorname{rank}(B))\deg(\mathbf{R}). \\ h_0(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B) &\leq (\nu(A)\nu(B) - \operatorname{rank}(A)\operatorname{rank}(B))\deg(\mathbf{R})\lambda(\mathbf{R}/K), \end{aligned}$$

where K is the Jacobian ideal of $\mathbf{R}$.

To extend this estimation of $h_0(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B)$ to finitely generated torsion free $\mathbf{R}$-modules that takes into account annihilators we must equip the modules–as is the case of ideals– with a privileged embedding into free modules.

LEMMA 4.2.5. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian integral domain of dimension 1, with finite integral closure. Let A be a torsion free $\mathbf{R}$-module of rank r with the embedding $A \to F = \mathbf{R}^r$. Let I be the ideal* image $(\wedge^r A \to \wedge F = \mathbf{R})$. *Then I annihilates F/A.*

4.2.1.2. *Vector bundles.* Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a regular local ring of dimension $d \geq 2$. For a vector bundle A (that is, a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module that is free on the punctured spectrum), we consider estimates of the form

$$h_0(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B) \leq c(\mathbf{R}) \cdot \operatorname{hdeg}(A) \cdot \operatorname{hdeg}(B), \tag{4.2.5.1}$$

where $c(\mathbf{R})$ is a constant depending on $\mathbf{R}$ and B is a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module.

From the general observations above, we may assume that depth A and depth B are positive.

We make some reductions beginning with the following. Since A is torsion free, consider the natural exact sequence

$$0 \to A \longrightarrow A^{**} \longrightarrow C \to 0.$$

Note that C is a module of finite support, and that A^{**} is a vector bundle. Furthermore, since A^{**} has depth at least 2, a direct calculation yields

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(A) = \operatorname{hdeg}(A^{**}) + \operatorname{hdeg}(C).$$

Tensoring the exact sequence by B, gives the exact complex

$$\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(C, B) \longrightarrow A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B \longrightarrow A^{**} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B,$$

from which we obtain

$$h_0(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B) \leq h_0(A^{**} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B) + \lambda(\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(C, B)).$$

As C is a module of length $\operatorname{hdeg}(C)$,

$$\lambda(\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(C, B)) \leq \beta_1(k) \cdot \operatorname{hdeg}(C) \cdot \nu(B).$$

We recall that $\nu(B) \leq \operatorname{hdeg}(B)$.

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring of dimension $d > 0$ and let A be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module that is free on the punctured spectrum, and has finite projective dimension. We seek to estimate $h_0(A \otimes B)$ for various $\mathbf{R}$-modules B.

Let B be an $\mathbf{R}$-module with depth $B > 0$ with the minimal free resolution

$$0 \to F_{d-1} \longrightarrow F_{d-2} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow B \to 0,$$

Tensoring by A gives a complex

$$0 \to F_{d-1} \otimes A \longrightarrow F_{d-2} \otimes A \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \otimes A \longrightarrow F_0 \otimes A \longrightarrow B \otimes A \to 0,$$

whose homology $H_i = \operatorname{Tor}_i(B, A)$, $d > i > 0$, has finite support. Denoting by B_i and Z_i its modules of boundaries and cycles, we have several exact sequences

$$0 \to B_0 \longrightarrow F_0 \otimes A \longrightarrow B \otimes A \to 0,$$
$$0 \to B_i \longrightarrow Z_i \longrightarrow H_i \to 0,$$
$$0 \to Z_i \longrightarrow F_i \otimes A \longrightarrow B_{i-1} \to 0.$$

Taking local cohomology, we obtain the following acyclic complexes of modules of finite length

$$\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(F_0 \otimes A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(B \otimes A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(B_0),$$

$$\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(F_i \otimes A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(B_{i-1}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(Z_i), \quad i \geq 1.$$

Now we collect the inequalities of length, starting with

$$h_0(B \otimes A) \leq \beta_0(B) h_0(A) + h_1(B_0)$$

and

$$h_i(B_{i-1}) \leq \beta_i(B) h_i(A) + h_{i+1}(Z_i) \leq \beta_i(B) h_i(A) + h_{i+1}(B_i),$$

where we replace the rank of the modules F_i by $\beta_i(B)$.

THEOREM 4.2.6. *Let B be a module of projective dimension $< d$ and let A be a module that is free on the punctured spectrum. Then*

$$h_0(A \otimes B) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \beta_i(B) h_i(A).$$

Let us rewrite this inequality in case $\mathbf{R}$ is a regular local ring. Since by local duality $h_i(A) = \lambda(\mathrm{Ext}^{d-i}_{\mathbf{R}}(A, \mathbf{R}))$ and $\beta_i(B) \leq \beta_i(k)\mathrm{hdeg}(B)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_0(A \otimes B) &\leq \mathrm{hdeg}(B) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{d}{i} \lambda(\mathrm{Ext}^{d-i}_{\mathbf{R}}(A, \mathbf{R})) \\ &\leq d \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(B) \sum_{i=1}^{d} \binom{d-1}{i-1} \lambda(\mathrm{Ext}^{d-i}_{\mathbf{R}}(A, \mathbf{R})) \\ &\leq d \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(A) \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(B). \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 4.2.7. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a regular local ring of dimension d. If A is a f.g. module free on the punctured spectrum, then for any f.g. $\mathbf{R}$-module B*

$$h_0(A \otimes B) \leq d \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(A) \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(B).$$

PROOF. It suffices to add to $\mathrm{hdeg}(B)$ the correction $h_0(B)$ as given in Proposition 4.2.2. □

4.2.1.3. *Dimensions* 2 *and* 3. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a regular local ring of dimension 2 (or a polynomial ring $k[x, y]$ over the field k). For two $\mathbf{R}$-modules A and B we are going to study

$$h_0(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B) = \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B))$$

through a series of reductions on A, B and $\mathbf{R}$. Eventually the problem will settle on the consideration of a special class of one-dimensional rings.

We already familiar with the stripping away from A and B of their submodules of finite support, so we may assume that these modules have depth ≥ 1. Let A be a module of dimension 2, and denote by A_0 the torsion submodule of A. Consider the natural exact sequence

$$0 \to A_0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A' \to 0,$$

with A' torsion free. If $A_0 \neq 0$, it is a Cohen-Macaulay module of dimension 1. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(A', \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(A, \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(A_{\mathbf{R}}) \to 0,$$

that yields

$$\begin{aligned} \deg A &= \deg A' \\ \mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(A, \mathbf{R})) &= \mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(A', \mathbf{R})) + \deg A_0, \end{aligned}$$

in particular

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{hdeg}(A) &= \deg A_0 + \operatorname{hdeg}(A'). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, $\operatorname{hdeg}(A')$ and $\operatorname{hdeg}(A_0)$ are bounded in terms of $\operatorname{hdeg}(A)$. Now we tensor the sequence by B to get the complex

$$\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(A', B) \longrightarrow A_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B \longrightarrow A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B \longrightarrow A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B \to 0.$$

If we denote by L the image of $A_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B$ in $A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B$, since $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(A', B)$ is a module of finite length, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_0(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B) &\leq h_0(A' \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B) + h_0(L), \\ h_0(L) &\leq h_0(A_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B). \end{aligned}$$

If we apply a similar reduction to B and combine, we get

$$h_0(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B) \leq h_0(A' \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B') + h_0(A_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B') + h_0(A' \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B_0) + h_0(A_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B_0).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \deg A &= \deg A' \\ \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(A, \mathbf{R})) &= \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(A', \mathbf{R})) + \deg A_0, \end{aligned}$$

in particular

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{hdeg}(A) &= \deg A_0 + \operatorname{hdeg}(A'). \end{aligned}$$

A term like $h_0(A' \otimes B_0)$ is easy to estimate. Let

$$0 \to F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow A' \to 0$$

be a minimal resolution of A'. Tensoring with B_0, we get the exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(A', B_0) \longrightarrow F_1 \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B_0 \longrightarrow F_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B_0 \longrightarrow A' \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B_0 \to 0.$$

Since $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(A', B_0)$ has finite support and $F_1 \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B_0$ has positive depth, $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(A', B_0) = 0$. To compute $h_0(A' \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B_0)$, consider the a minimal resolution of B_0,

$$0 \to G \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow B_0 \to 0,$$

and the exact sequence

$$0 \to A' \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} G \longrightarrow A' \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} G \longrightarrow A' \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B_0 \to 0.$$

From the cohomology exact sequence, we have the surjection

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(A' \otimes G, R) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^2_{\mathbf{R}}(A' \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B_0),$$

and therefore, since $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(A, \mathbf{R})$ is a module of finite support,

$$h_0(A' \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B_0) \leq \nu(G)\lambda(\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(A', \mathbf{R})).$$

This shows that

$$h_0(A' \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B_0) \leq \nu(G) \cdot (\operatorname{hdeg}(A') - \deg A') < \operatorname{hdeg}(A') \cdot \operatorname{hdeg}(B_0).$$

The reductions thus far lead us to assume that A and B are $\mathbf{R}$-modules of positive depth and dimension 1. Let

$$0 \to F \xrightarrow{\varphi} F \longrightarrow A \to 0$$

be a minimal free resolution of A. By Theorem 1.2.19,

$$\deg A = \deg(\mathbf{R}/\det(\varphi)).$$

Since $\det(\varphi)$ annihilates A, we could view $A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} B$ as a module of over $\mathbf{R}/(\det(\varphi \circ \psi))$ where ψ is the corresponding matrix in the presentation of B.

To avoid dealing with two matrices, replacing A by $A \oplus B$, we may consider $h_0(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} A)$, but still denote by φ the presentation matrix (instead of $\varphi \oplus \psi$), and set $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}/(\det(\varphi))$; note that $\deg \mathbf{S} = \deg A$.

Example 4.2.8. We consider a cautionary family of examples to show that other numerical readings must be incorporated into the estimates for $h_0(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} A)$.

Let A be a module generated by two elements, with a free resolution

$$0 \to F \xrightarrow{\varphi} F \longrightarrow A \to 0.$$

Suppose k is a field of characteristic $\neq 2$. To calculate $h_0(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} A)$, we make use of the decomposition

$$A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} A = S_2(A) \oplus \wedge^2 A.$$

Given a matrix representation,

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

one has

$$\wedge^2 A \simeq R/I_1(\varphi) = R/(a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{22}).$$

The symmetric square of A, $S_2(A)$, has a resolution

$$0 \to R \xrightarrow{\phi} F \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} F \xrightarrow{\psi} S_2(F),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(u \otimes v) &= u \cdot \varphi(v) + v \cdot \varphi(u) \\ \phi(u \wedge v) &= \varphi'(u) \otimes v - \varphi'(v) \otimes u \end{aligned}$$

where φ' is the matrix obtained from φ by dividing out its entries by their gcd a, $\varphi = a \cdot \varphi'$.

A straightforward calculation will give

$$\operatorname{Ext}^2_{\mathbf{R}}(S_2(A), \mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{R}/I_1(\varphi').$$

This shows that

$$h_0(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} A) = h_0(\mathbf{R}/I_1(\varphi)) + h_0(\mathbf{R}/I_1(\varphi')) = h_0(a\mathbf{R}/aI_1(\varphi')) + h_0(\mathbf{R}/I_1(\varphi')) = 2 \cdot \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I_1(\varphi')).$$

Thus the matrix

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} x & y^n \\ 0 & x \end{bmatrix},$$

will define a module A, with $\deg(A) = 2$, but $h_0(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} A) = 2n$. This means that we must take into account the degrees of the entries of φ itself.

For the final reduction, write $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{x})$, where $\mathbf{x}$ has the primary decomposition $\mathbf{x} = x_1 \cdots x_n$. Setting $z_i = \mathbf{x}/x_i$, consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{x}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/(z_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{R}/(z_n) \longrightarrow C \to 0,$$

induced by the mapping $1 \mapsto (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$. C is a module of finite length, and making use of duality and the inequality (1.2.5.1),

$$\lambda(C) \leq \frac{1}{2}((\deg(\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{x}))^2 - n).$$

Tensoring this sequence by A, gives

$$\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(A, C) \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n \longrightarrow A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} C \to 0,$$

and since depth $A > 0$, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to A \longrightarrow A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n \longrightarrow A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} C \to 0,$$

where $A_i = A/x_i A$ and $\lambda(A \otimes C) \leq \nu(A)\lambda(C)$. These relations give that

$$\begin{aligned} \deg A &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \deg A_i \\ \lambda(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} C) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_0(A_i). \end{aligned}$$

These inequalities show that we are still tracking the $\operatorname{hdeg}(A_i)$ is terms of $\deg A$.

Tensoring the last exact sequence by A, we obtain the exact complex

$$\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(A, A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} C) \longrightarrow A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} A \longrightarrow A_1 \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} A_n,$$

from which we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_0(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} A) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_0(A_i \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} A_i) + \lambda(\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(A, A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} C)) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_0(A_i \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} A_i) + \beta_1(A) \cdot \nu(A) \cdot \lambda(C). \end{aligned}$$

Let us sum up these reductions as follows:

PROPOSITION 4.2.9. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a two-dimensional regular local ring and let A be a Cohen-Macaulay $\mathbf{R}$-module of dimension one. Then*

$$h_0(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} A) \leq 3 \cdot \operatorname{hdeg}(A)^4,$$

provided

$$h_0(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} A) \leq 2 \cdot \operatorname{hdeg}(A)^4$$

if $\operatorname{ann} A$ *is a primary ideal.*

PROOF. Note that $\beta_1(A) \leq \beta_1(k) \cdot \operatorname{hdeg}(A)$, $\nu(A) \leq \operatorname{hdeg}(A)$, and $\lambda(C) < \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{hdeg}(A)^2$. □

Dimension 3. Theorem 4.2.7 can be used to deal with torsionfree modules of dimension three.

THEOREM 4.2.10. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a regular local ring of dimension* 3*, and let* A *and* B *be torsionfree* $\mathbf{R}$*–modules. Then*

$$h_0(A \otimes B) \quad < \quad 4 \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(A) \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(B). \tag{4.2.10.1}$$

PROOF. Consider the natural exact sequence

$$0 \to A \longrightarrow A^{**} \longrightarrow C \to 0.$$

A straightforward calculation will show that

$$\mathrm{hdeg}(A) \quad = \quad \mathrm{hdeg}(A^{**}) + \mathrm{hdeg}(C). \tag{4.2.10.2}$$

Note that A^{**} is a vector bundle of projective dimension at most 1 by the Auslander-Buchsbaum equality [**15**, th]), and C is a module of dimension at most 1. Tensoring by the torsionfree $\mathbf{R}$-module B, we have the exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(A^{**}, B) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1(C, B) \longrightarrow A \otimes B \longrightarrow A^{**} \otimes B \longrightarrow C \otimes B \to 0,$$

where $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(A^{**}, B) = 0$, since proj dim $A^{**} \leq 1$ and B is torsionfree.

From the exact sequence, we have

$$h_0(A \otimes B) \quad \leq \quad h_0(A^{**} \otimes B) + h_0(\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(C, B)). \tag{4.2.10.3}$$

Because A^{**} is a vector bundle, by Theorem 4.2.7,

$$h_0(A^{**} \otimes B) \quad \leq \quad 3 \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(A^{**}) \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(B). \tag{4.2.10.4}$$

For the module $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(C, B)$, from a minimal free presentation of B,

$$0 \to L \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow B \to 0,$$

we have an embedding $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(C, B) \to C \otimes L$, and therefore

$$h_0(\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(C, B)) \quad \leq \quad h_0(C \otimes L) \leq 3 \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(L) \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(C), \tag{4.2.10.5}$$

because L is a vector bundle. In turn

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(L) &= \beta_1(B) - \deg(B) \leq \beta_1(\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}) \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(B) - \deg(B) \\ &= 3 \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(B) - \deg(B) \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 1.5.9, and since $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(L, R) = \mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathbf{R}}(B, R)$,

$$\mathrm{hdeg}(L) = \deg(L) + \mathrm{hdeg}(\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(L, R)) \quad < \quad 4 \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(B).$$

Finally we collect (4.2.10.4) and (4.2.10.5) into (4.2.10.3), along with (4.2.10.2),

$$\begin{aligned} h_0(A \otimes B) &< 3 \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(A^{**}) \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(B) + 4 \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(B) \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(C) \\ &< 4 \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(A) \cdot \mathrm{hdeg}(B), \end{aligned}$$

as asserted. □

Graded modules. To argue for the validity of the conjecture, we give a rough (high degree) estimate for the case of graded modules over $\mathbf{R} = k[x, y]$. We may assume that A is not a cyclic module. Furthermore, we shall assume that A is equi-generated.

We can apply to the exact sequence

$$0 \to A_0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A' \to 0$$

Proposition 1.4.7, to obtain bounds for $\operatorname{reg}(A_0)$ is terms of $\operatorname{reg}(A)$ and therefore bound $\operatorname{reg}(A_0)$ in terms of $\operatorname{hdeg}(A) + \alpha(A)$, according to Theorem 1.5.11.

Let us apply it to the graded $k[x, y]$-module A of depth > 0. In the exact sequence

$$0 \to A_0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A' \to 0$$

we already remarked that $\operatorname{hdeg}(A) = \operatorname{hdeg}(A') + \deg A_0$. It is also the case that if A is generated by elements of degree $\leq \alpha(A)$, then by the proposition above and Theorem 1.5.11, $\operatorname{reg}(A_0) < \operatorname{hdeg}(A) + \alpha(A)$. Actually, since A_0 is Cohen-Macaulay, a direct calculation will show that $\operatorname{reg}(A_0) \leq \operatorname{reg}(A)$.

We may assume that A is a one-dimensional graded $\mathbf{R}$-module with a minimal resolution

$$0 \to F \xrightarrow{\varphi} F \longrightarrow A \to 0.$$

A presentation of $A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} A$ is given by

$$F \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} F \oplus F \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} F \xrightarrow{\psi} F \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} F,$$

where $\psi = \varphi \otimes I - I \otimes \varphi$. The kernel of ψ contains the image of

$$\phi : F \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} F \longrightarrow F \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} F \oplus F \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} F, \quad \phi = I \otimes \varphi \oplus \varphi \otimes I.$$

Since $\mathbf{R} = k[x, y]$, $L = \ker(\psi)$ is a free $\mathbf{R}$-module of rank r^2, $r = \operatorname{rank}(F)$. Because ϕ is injective, its image L_0 is a free $\mathbf{R}$-submodule of $\mathbf{F} = F \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} F \oplus F \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} F$ of the same rank as L, $L_0 \subset L$, $\phi' : F \otimes F \to \mathbf{F}$. It follows that the degrees of the entries of ϕ' cannot be higher than those of ϕ.

To estimate $h_0(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} A)$, note that $\operatorname{Ext}^2_{\mathbf{R}}(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} A, \mathbf{R})$ is the cokernel of map of ϕ. This is a module generated by r^2 elements, annihilated by the maximal minors of ϕ. We already have that $\mathbf{f} = \det(\varphi)$ annihilates A. Now we look for an element $\mathbf{h}$ in the ideal of maximal minors of ϕ' so that $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h})$ has finite colength, and as a consequence we would have

$$h_0(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} A) \leq r^2 \cdot \lambda(\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h})) = r^2 \cdot \deg(\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{f})) \deg(\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{h})).$$

The entries of φ have degree $\leq \deg(A) - 1$, so the minors $\mathbf{h}$ of ϕ have degree

$$\deg \mathbf{h} \leq r^2 \cdot (\deg(A) - 1).$$

PROPOSITION 4.2.11. *If A is a graded $\mathbf{R}$-module of dimension 1, then*

$$h_0(A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} A) \leq r^4 \cdot \deg(A)(\deg(A) - 1) < \deg(A)^6.$$

4.2.2. Graded modules. Kia Dalili has made use of the work of Chardin, Ha and Hoa ([**23**]) to give an affirmative answer to the HomAB question and the related question on tensor products.

THEOREM 4.2.12 (Dalili). *Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a standard graded algebra over the field k. There exist polynomials $\mathbf{f}_i$ and $\mathbf{g}_i$ such that for any two finitely generated graded modules A and B*

(a) $\operatorname{bdeg}(\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(A,B)) \leq \mathbf{f}_i(\operatorname{bdeg}(A), \operatorname{bdeg}(B), \alpha(A), \alpha(B))$
(b) $\operatorname{bdeg}(\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_i(A,B)) \leq \mathbf{g}_i(\operatorname{bdeg}(A), \operatorname{bdeg}(B), \alpha(A), \alpha(B))$.

PROOF. We will just sketch his argument for $\operatorname{bdeg}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(A,B))$.

- According to Theorem 1.4.13, there is a polynomial $\mathbf{f}_0$ so that

  $$\operatorname{reg}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(A,B)) \leq \mathbf{f}_0(\operatorname{reg}(A), \operatorname{reg}(B), \text{Betti numbers of } A \text{ and } B).$$

  On the other hand, by Theorem 1.5.11,

  $$\operatorname{reg}(P) \leq \operatorname{Deg}(P) + \alpha(P).$$

  for any extended degree Deg. Since each Betti number of a graded module P satisfies

  $$\beta_i(P) \leq \operatorname{Deg}(P)\binom{n}{i}$$

  the inequality leads to another polynomial inequality

  $$\operatorname{reg}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(A,B) \leq \mathbf{f}(\operatorname{Deg}(A), \operatorname{Deg}(B), \alpha(A), \alpha(B)).$$

- We now apply to properties of bdeg. If $F_0 \to A$ is a minimal free presentation of A, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(A,B) \subset \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(F_0,B)$, by Proposition 1.5.50,

  $$\operatorname{bdeg}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(A,B)) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\operatorname{reg}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(A,B))} H_{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(F_0,B)}(j).$$

□

4.2.3. Open questions. We will now mention some questions and open problems. We have already mentioned unsolved problems in context. The questions here represent boundary cases.

PROBLEM 4.2.13. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with a canonical module ω, and let E be the maximal Cohen-Macaulay defined in (4.1.0.2). Find a bound for $\nu(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E,E))$. The problem shows the difficulty of dealing with non-Gorenstein rings.

PROBLEM 4.2.14. A different challenge arises if E is a self-dual module, that is if $E \simeq E^*$. (Examples are rank two reflexive modules over factorial domains.) For these modules $\operatorname{hdeg}(D(E))$ is completely determined by $\operatorname{hdeg}(E)$.

PROBLEM 4.2.15. Let E be a Cohen-Macaulay module of dimension 3. It would be helpful to understand this corner case.

PROBLEM 4.2.16. If $\mathbf{R}$ is a regular local of dimension 2(!), what is the relationship between bdeg and hdeg?

PROBLEM 4.2.17. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian local domain and A a finitely generated torsionfree $\mathbf{R}$-module. Is there an integer $e = e(\mathbf{R})$ guaranteeing that if M is not $\mathbf{R}$-free, then the tensor power $M^{\otimes e}$ has nontrivial torsion? The motivation is a result of Auslander ([**4**], see also [**115**]) that asserts that $e = \dim R$ works for all regular local rings. For instance, if $\mathbf{R}$ is a one-dimensional domain, will $e = 2$ work? A more realistic question is, if $\mathbf{R}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay local domain of dimension d and multiplicity μ, will

$$e = d + \mu - 1$$

suffice? Note that if we make no attempt to determine uniform bounds for e, if $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbf{R}$, then for a module M of rank r and minimal number of generators n, then the embedding

$$0 \neq \wedge^n M \hookrightarrow M^{\otimes n}$$

shows the existence of a test power.

4.3. Local Modules

4.3.1. Introduction. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and E a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. The ring $\mathbf{C} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)$ expresses many properties of E some of which are well hidden. Let us list some of these properties:

- The HomAB question: Besides the discussion in Section 4.1, there are some noteworthy endomorphism rings are beginning to appear in the literature. One class of the most intriguing are those of finite global dimension; see [**36**], [**189**].
- Degree representation of a module question: For a module E, its degree representation is the smallest integer r–when it exists–such that there is an embedding of $\mathbf{R}$-algebras $\varphi : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E) \longrightarrow M_r(\mathbf{R})$. Which modules have such representation?
- Cancellation questions: Suppose $\mathbf{R}$ is a normal local domain. Given reflexive $\mathbf{R}$–modules E_1 and E_2 with $\mathbf{R}$-isomorphic endomorphism rings $\mathrm{End}(E_1) \simeq \mathrm{End}(E_2)$, what is the relationship between them?
- Construction of local modules: We will focus on an extreme case. The terminology *Local Module* stands for a finitely generated module E over a local Noetherian ring $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ such that the endomorphism ring $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{R}}(E) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)$ has a unique two-sided maximal ideal. These modules are useful in building other modules whose modules of endomorphisms allow for the determination of their Jacobson radicals. We want to focus on some of these properties related to its Jacobson radical.

EXAMPLE 4.3.1. Let us indicate some elementary examples.

(a) If E is a free $\mathbf{R}$-module, E is local.
(b) If $\mathbf{R} = k[x], x^2 = 0$, k a field (that is, the ring of dual numbers), then any f.g. module has the form $E = \mathbf{R}^m \oplus k^n$; it follows that E is local.

(c) If $(\mathbf{R}, (x))$ is a discrete valuation ring and $E = F \oplus T$, F free and T torsion,

$$\mathrm{Hom}(E,E) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{Hom}(F,F) & \mathrm{Hom}(F,T) \\ \mathrm{Hom}(T,F) = 0 & \mathrm{Hom}(T,T) \end{bmatrix}$$

Given that $T = \bigoplus C_i$, C_i cyclic, and follows easily that

$$\mathrm{Hom}(E,E)/\mathrm{radical} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are matrix rings over the residue field of $\mathbf{R}$. Thus E is local if it is a free or a torsion module.

QUESTION 4.3.2. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local Noetherian ring of residue field k.

(a) How to tell local modules? If E is a module of finite length, then the length of $\mathbf{C}$ can be bound in two ways:

$$\lambda(\mathbf{C}) \leq \max\{\nu(E) \cdot \lambda(E),\ t(E) \cdot \lambda(E)\},$$

where $t(E)$ is the Cohen-Macaulay type of E. Which invariants of E refine this estimate?

(b) How to build local modules? We will consider a contruction using Auslander duality.

(c) What are the Artinian local modules like?

4.3.2. Jacobson radical. We now treat conditions for the algebra $\mathbf{C} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E,E)$ to have a unique two-sided maximal ideal. Observe that by Nakayama Lemma, $\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{C}$ is contained in the Jacobson radical $\mathbf{J}$. We now identify other subideals of $\mathbf{J}$.

PROPOSITION 4.3.3. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and let E be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module.*

(a) *If E has no free summand, then the image of $E^* \otimes E$ in $\mathbf{C}$ is a two-sided ideal contained in the Jacobson radical.*

(b) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \mathfrak{m}E)$ *is a two-sided ideal contained in* $\mathbf{J}$.

PROOF. The actions of $\mathbf{C}$ on $E^* \otimes E$ are as follows. For $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbf{C}$, $(f \otimes e)\mathbf{h} = f \circ \mathbf{h} \otimes e$ and $\mathbf{h}(f \otimes e) = f \otimes \mathbf{h}(e)$. In other words, E is a left $\mathbf{C}$-module and E^* is a right $\mathbf{C}$-module.

Let $\mathbf{I}$ be the identity of $\mathbf{C}$. To prove that

$$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{I} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i \otimes e_i$$

is invertible, note that for each $e \in E$,

$$\mathbf{h}(e) = e + \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(e) e_i \in e + \mathfrak{m}E,$$

since $f_i(e) \in \mathfrak{m}$ as E has no free summand. From the Nakayama Lemma, it follows that $\mathbf{h}$ is a surjective endomorphism, and therefore must be invertible.

The proof of (ii) is similar. □

The ideal $E^*(E) = \tau(E) = (f(e), f \in E^*, e \in E)$ is the *trace* of E. It is of interest, according to the next observation, to describe classes of modules of syzygies with $\tau(E) \subset \mathfrak{m}$.

REMARK 4.3.4. From the sequence

$$0 \to \mathfrak{m}E \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E/\mathfrak{m}E = \overline{E} = k^n \to 0,$$

we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \mathfrak{m}E) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow M_n(k) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \mathfrak{m}E).$$

This shows that $\dim \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{J} \leq n^2$, since $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \mathfrak{m}E) \subset \mathbf{J}$, giving an explicit control over the number of maximal two-sided ideals of $\mathbf{C}$.

4.3.2.1. *Decomposition.* Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring and E a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. Suppose $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$ is a nontrivial decomposition. We seek to express the Jacobson of $\mathbf{C} = \mathrm{Hom}(E, E)$ in terms of those of the subrings $\mathbf{C}_i = \mathrm{Hom}(E_i, E_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ and the relationships between E_1 and E_2. A special case is that where $E_1 = F = \mathbf{R}^n$ and $E_2 = M$ is a module without free summands.

PROPOSITION 4.3.5. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a local ring and E a module with a decomposition $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$. Set $\mathbf{C}_i = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E_i, E_i)$ and $\mathbf{J}'_i = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E_i, \mathfrak{m}E_i)$. Assume the transition conditions*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E_j, E_i) \cdot \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E_i, E_j) \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E_j, \mathfrak{m}E_j), \quad i \neq j.$$

Then the Jacobson radical of $\mathbf{C} = \mathrm{End}(E)$ is

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}_1 & \mathrm{Hom}(E_2, E_1) \\ \mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_2) & \mathbf{J}_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{J}_i$ is the Jacobson radical of $\mathrm{End}(E_i)$.

PROOF. Note that $\mathbf{J}'_i$ is a subideal of $\mathbf{J}_i$, and for $A = \mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$ and $B = \mathrm{Hom}(E_2, E_1)$ it holds that $A \cdot B \subset \mathbf{J}'_2$ and $B \cdot A \subset \mathbf{J}'_1$. From these it follows that

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}_1 & B \\ A & \mathbf{J}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a two-sided ideal of $\mathbf{C}$.

To show $\mathbf{L}$ is the radical it is enough to show that for $\Phi \in \mathbf{L}$, $\mathbf{I} + \Phi$ is invertible, or equivalently it is surjective endomorphism of E. In other words, for $a \in \mathbf{J}_1$, $b \in A$, $c \in B$ and $d \in \mathbf{J}_2$ the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} x + ax + by &= u \\ cx + y + dy &= v \end{aligned}$$

for $u \in E_1$, $v \in E_2$ has always a solution $x \in E_1$ and $y \in E_2$. It is enough to observe that in the formal solution

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (\mathbf{I}_1 + a)^{-1}(u - by) \\ y &= (\mathbf{I}_2 + d - c(\mathbf{I}_1 + a)^{-1}b)^{-1}(v - c(\mathbf{I}_1 + a)^{-1}u), \end{aligned}$$

$c(\mathbf{I}_1 + a)^{-1}b \in \mathbf{J}_2$.

□

COROLLARY 4.3.6. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a local ring and* M *a module without free summands. If* F *is a free* $\mathbf{R}$*-module of rank* n *then the Jacobson radical of* $\mathbf{C} = \operatorname{End}(F \oplus M)$ *is*

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{m} \cdot \operatorname{End}(F) & \operatorname{Hom}(M, F) \\ \operatorname{Hom}(F, M) & \mathbf{J}_0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{J}_0$ *is the Jacobson radical of* $\operatorname{End}(M)$.

This shows that a non-free module with a free summand is never local. It permits, to understand the Jacobson radical of $\operatorname{End}(E)$, to peel away from E a free summand of maximal rank.

Modules without free summands. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring of dimension d and E a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$–module.

EXAMPLE 4.3.7. We will now describe two classes of modules of syzygies that do not have free summands.

(a) [**75**, Lemma 1.4] Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring and E a module of syzygies

$$0 \to E \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow M \to 0,$$

$E \subset \mathfrak{m}F$ and M a maximal Cohen–Macaulay module. Then E has no free summand.

PROOF. Suppose $E = \mathbf{R}\epsilon \oplus E'$, $0 \neq \epsilon \in \mathfrak{m}F$. Setting $M' = F/\mathbf{R}\epsilon$ we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to E' \longrightarrow M' \longrightarrow M \to 0$$

showing that M' is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay module. But M' is a module with a free resolution, so must be free by Auslander-Buchsbaum equality. This means that $\mathbf{R}\epsilon$ is a summand of F, which is impossible.

□

(b) Suppose $\mathbf{R}$ is a Gorenstein local ring and E is a module of syzygies

$$0 \to E \xrightarrow{\varphi} F_s \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \to 0,$$

$E \subset \mathfrak{m}F_s$. If $1 \leq s \leq \text{height } (\operatorname{ann}(M)) - 2$ then E has no free summand.

PROOF. E is a reflexive being a second syzygy module over the Gorenstein ring $\mathbf{R}$. It will be enough to show the dual module of $\mathbf{R}\epsilon$ splits off F_s^*.

By assumption $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R}) = 0$ for $i \leq s + 1$. Applying $\operatorname{Hom}(\cdot, \mathbf{R})$ to the complex we obtain an exact complex

$$0 \to F_0^* \longrightarrow F_1^* \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_s^* \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} E^* \to 0,$$

to prove the assertion since $\varphi = \varphi^{**}$. □

The assumption that $\mathbf{R}$ is Gorenstein was used to guarantee that second syzygies modules are reflexive. This could be achieved in many other ways. For example, with $\mathbf{R}$ Cohen–Macaulay and height $\operatorname{ann}(M) \geq 2$.

(c) Taken together these two observations give an overall picture of the syzygies of M which are without free summands.

(d) A special case is that of the syzygies in the Koszul complex of a regular sequence.

4.3.3. Construction of local modules. The setup we employ is derived from the analysis of duality of [**5**]: There is an exact complex of $\mathbf{R}$-algebras

$$E^* \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E,E) = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbf{R}}(E) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E) \to 0 \tag{4.3.7.1}$$

where $D(E)$ is the Auslander dual of E. We note that $E^* \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E$ maps onto the endomorphisms of E that factor through projective modules.

We now turn to the understanding of $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E)$ as a more amenable ring of endomorphisms. According to [**84**, Theorem 1.3] there is a natural surjective (anti-) homomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E,E) \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\cdot),\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\cdot)).$$

Its kernel consists of the maps $f : E \to E$ that factor through projective modules, a fact that can be seen with a brief calculation by considering a presentation $0 \to M \to P \to E \to 0$, P projective, and assuming for $f : E \to E$ that $f^* : \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,M) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,M)$ vanishes. The useful point is that the endomorphism ring $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\cdot),\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\cdot))$ may have a much smaller support than E itself. Furthermore if E has no free summands, $E^* \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E$ maps into the Jacobson radical of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E,E)$ and therefore E is a local module if $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\cdot),\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\cdot))$ has a unique maximal two-sided ideal.

Let us cast these observations in a format convenient for reference:

THEOREM 4.3.8. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a commutative Noetherian ring and E a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. There is an exact complex of $\mathbf{R}$-algebras*

$$E^* \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E,E) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\cdot),\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\cdot)) \to 0,$$

where $E^* \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E$ maps onto the endomorphisms of E that factor through projective modules.

In each application of this setup we look for which of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\cdot),\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\cdot))$ or $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E),E)$ is more amenable. Let us consider two examples.

EXAMPLE 4.3.9. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring of dimension $d \geq 3$, and let E be a module defined by one relation

$$0 \to \mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbf{R}^n \longrightarrow E \to 0. \tag{4.3.9.1}$$

We assume that the entries of φ define an ideal I of height ≥ 3, minimally generated by n elements. This makes E a reflexive module without free summands. Let us determine the number of generators of $\mathbf{C} = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E,E)$, and some of its other properties.

The functor $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,X) = \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\mathbf{R}) \otimes X = \mathbf{R}/I \otimes X$, so that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\cdot),\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E,\cdot)) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{R}/I,\mathbf{R}/I) = \mathbf{R}/I.$$

Tensoring (4.3.9.1) by E^*, we get the complex

$$0 \to E^* \longrightarrow E^* \otimes \mathbf{R}^n \longrightarrow E^* \otimes E \to 0,$$

which is exact by acyclicity lemma. Since E^* is reflexive and $\mathbf{R}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, E^* has the condition S_2 of Serre and therefore $E^* \otimes E$ has the condition S_1 of Serre, in particular it is torsionfree. Since E^* has no free summand, by Proposition 4.3.3 it follows that E is a local module. As for the number of generators of $\mathbf{C}$,

$$\beta_0(I)\beta_1(I) - \beta_0(I) + 1 \leq \nu(\mathbf{C}) \leq \nu(E)\nu(E^*) + 1 = \beta_0(I)\beta_1(I) + 1,$$

where $\beta_i(\cdot)$ denotes Betti numbers.

Syzygies of perfect modules. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Gorenstein local ring of dimension d. Let us consider some modules with a very rich structure–the modules of syzygies of Cohen-Macaulay modules, or of mild generalizations thereof.

Let us begin this discussion with an example, the modules of cycles of a Koszul complex $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x})$ associated to a regular sequence $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, $n \geq 5$:

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}): \quad 0 \to K_n \to K_{n-1} \to K_{n-2} \to \cdots \to K_2 \to K_1 \to K_0 \to 0.$$

For simplicity we take for module E the 1-cycles Z_1 of $\mathbf{K}$. There is a pairing in the subalgebra $\mathbf{Z}$ of cycles leading to

$$Z_1 \times Z_{n-2} \to Z_{n-1} = \mathbf{R},$$

that identifies Z_{n-2} with the dual E^* of E.

We are now ready to put this data into the framework of the Auslander dual. Dualizing the projective presentation of E,

$$0 \to K_n \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow K_3 \longrightarrow K_2 \longrightarrow E = Z_1 \to 0,$$

gives us the exact complex

$$0 \to E^* \longrightarrow K_2^* \longrightarrow K_3^* \longrightarrow D(E) \to 0.$$

In other words, to the identification $D(E) = Z_{n-4}$:

$$0 \to Z_{n-2} \longrightarrow K_{n-2} \longrightarrow K_{n-3} \longrightarrow Z_{n-4} \to 0.$$

Now for the computation of $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), E)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D(E), E) &= \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(Z_{n-4}, E) = \operatorname{Tor}_2^{\mathbf{R}}(Z_{n-5}, E) = \cdots \\ &= \operatorname{Tor}_{n-3}^{\mathbf{R}}(Z_0, E) = \operatorname{Tor}_{n-2}^{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{R}/I, E) = K_n \otimes \mathbf{R}/I = \mathbf{R}/I. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.3.10. The number of generators of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)$ is bounded by

$$\nu(E)\nu(E^*) + 1.$$

For the purpose of a comparison, let us evaluate $\operatorname{hdeg}(E)$. The multiplicity of E is $(n-1)\deg(\mathbf{R})$. Applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\cdot, R)$ to the projective resolution of E, we get $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbf{R}}^{n-2}(E, \mathbf{R}) = \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbf{R}}^{n}(\mathbf{R}/I, \mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{R}/I$ is Cohen-Macaulay, and its contribution in the formula for $\operatorname{hdeg}(E)$ becomes

$$\operatorname{hdeg}(E) = \deg(E) + \binom{d-1}{n-3} \operatorname{hdeg}(\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbf{R}}^{n-2}(E, \mathbf{R})) = (n-1) + \binom{d-1}{n-3} \deg(\mathbf{R}/I).$$

It is clear that in appealing to [**33**, Theorem 5.2], to get information about $\nu(E^*)$, a similar calculation can be carried out for any module of cycles of a projective resolution of broad classes of Cohen-Macaulay modules.

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring of dimension d. Let M be a perfect $\mathbf{R}$-module with a minimal free resolution

$$\mathbf{F}: \quad 0 \to F_n \to F_{n-1} \to F_{n-2} \to \cdots \to F_2 \to F_1 \to F_0 \to M \to 0.$$

We observe that dualizing $\mathbf{F}$ gives a minimal projective resolution $\mathbf{L}$ of $\operatorname{Ext}^n_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R})$. Let E be the module $Z_{k-1} = Z_{k-1}(\mathbf{F})$ of $k-1$-cycles of $\mathbf{F}$,

$$F_{k+1} \longrightarrow F_k \longrightarrow E \to 0.$$

Dualizing, to define the Auslander dual $D(E)$, gives the complex

$$0 \to E^* \longrightarrow L_{n-k} \longrightarrow L_{n-k-1} \longrightarrow D(E) \to 0.$$

It identifies E^* with the $(n-k)$–cycles of $\mathbf{L}$, and $D(E)$ with its $(n-k-2)$–cycles. In particular this gives $\nu(E) = \beta_k(M)$ and $\nu(E^*) = \beta_{k-1}(M)$.

Let us now determine $\operatorname{hdeg}(E)$. From the complex

$$0 \to E \longrightarrow F_{k-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \to 0,$$

we obtain that proj. dim. $E = n - k$ and that the Cohen-Macaulay property of M gives that $\operatorname{Ext}^n_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R}) = \operatorname{Ext}^{n-k}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \mathbf{R})$ is also Cohen-Macaulay. This is all that is required:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{hdeg}(E) &= \deg(E) + \binom{d-1}{n-k-1} \deg(\operatorname{Ext}^n_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R})) \\ &= \operatorname{rank}(E) \deg(\mathbf{R}) + \binom{d-1}{n-k-1} \deg(M). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\operatorname{rank}(E) = \beta_0(M) - \beta_1(M) + \cdots (-1)^{k-1} \beta_{k-1}(M)$.

Now to make use of the Auslander dual setup, we seek some control over $\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_1(D(E), E)$. We make use first of the complex

$$0 \to D(E) \longrightarrow L_{n-k-2} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow L_0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^n_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R}) \to 0,$$

to get

$$\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_1(D(E), E) \simeq \operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_{n-k}(\operatorname{Ext}^n(M, \mathbf{R}), E),$$

and then of the minimal resolution of E to obtain

$$\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_1(D(E), E) \simeq \operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_n(\operatorname{Ext}^n(M, R), M).$$

In particular, $\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_1(D(E), E)$ is independent of which module of syzygies was taken. Furthermore, the calculation shows that $\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_2(D(E), E) = 0$.

Placing these elements together, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to E^* \otimes E \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_n(\operatorname{Ext}^n_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R}), M) \to 0.$$

Note that $E^* \otimes E$ is a torsion free $\mathbf{R}$-module.

Finally, it follows from Proposition 4.1.8 that $\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{R}}_n(\operatorname{Ext}^n_{\mathbf{R}}(M, \mathbf{R}), M)$ can be identified to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(M, M)$.

Let us sum up these observations in the following:

THEOREM 4.3.11. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Gorenstein local ring and let* M *be a perfect module with a minimal resolution* $\mathbf{K}$*. For the module* E *of* k*-syzygies of* M*,* $1 < k < n$*, there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \to E^* \otimes E \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(M, M) \to 0.$$

This gives the bound

$$\nu(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)) \leq \beta_k(M)\beta_{k-1}(M) + \nu(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(M, M)).$$

If M is cyclic, or $\dim M = 2$, the estimation is easy. The formula also shows up the case of MCM modules to be a corner case for the general HomAB problem.

Syzygies of cyclic modules. A more general class of local modules arises as follows. We recall Theorem 4.3.11:

THEOREM 4.3.12. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Gorenstein local ring and let* M *be a perfect module with a minimal resolution. For the module* E *of* k*-syzygies of* M*,* $k <$ proj dim M*, there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \to E^* \otimes E \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(M, M) \to 0.$$

In particular, if $M = \mathbf{R}/I$*,* E *is local if it has no free summand.*

Generic modules. Let φ be a generic $n \times m$ matrix, $m > n$ and consider the module E

$$0 \to \mathbf{R}^n \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbf{R}^m \longrightarrow E \to 0.$$

We study the ring $\mathbf{C} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)$. Let $I = I_n(\varphi)$ be the ideal of maximal minors of φ. $\mathbf{R}/I$ is a normal Cohen–Macaulay domain and many of its properties are deduced from the Eagon–Northcott complexes ([**40**]). We are going to use them to obtain corresponding properties of E:

- If $n < m \geq 3$ (which we assume throughout), E is a reflexive module.
- By dualizing we obtain the Auslander dual $D(E)$ of E:

$$0 \to E^* \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^m \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} \mathbf{R}^n \longrightarrow D(E) = \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \mathbf{R}) \to 0.$$

- $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \mathbf{R})$ is isomorphic to an ideal of $\mathbf{R}/I$: $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \mathbf{R})$ is a perfect $\mathbf{R}$–module, annihilated I. Thse assertions follow directly from the perfection of the complexes. Finally, localizing at I the complex is quasi-isomorphic to Koszul complex of a regular sequence which yield

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \mathbf{R})_I \simeq (\mathbf{R}/I)_I.$$

THEOREM 4.3.13. $\mathbf{C} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, E)$ *is a local ring generated by* $\nu(E^*)\nu(E) + 1 = n \times \binom{m}{n+1} + 1$ *elements.*

PROOF. As in the discussion of example above, The functor $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E, X) = \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \mathbf{R}) \otimes X = \mathbf{R}/I \otimes X$, so that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \cdot), \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \cdot)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}/I}(\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \mathbf{R}), \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \mathbf{R})) = \mathbf{R}/I,$$

as $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \mathbf{R})$ is isomorphic to an ideal of the normal domain $\mathbf{R}/I$.

Furthermore, since E is reflexive and E^* is a module of syzygies in the Eagon–Northcott complex and clearly has no free summand, E has the same property. The final assertion comes from the complex again. □

4.3.4. Homological properties of local modules. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and E a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. Set $\mathbf{C} = \mathrm{End}(E)$ and consider the natural action of $\mathbf{C}$ making E a $\mathbf{C}$–module. In this section $\mathrm{mod}(\mathbf{C})$ denotes the category of finitely generated left $\mathbf{C}$-modules.

Projective generation. Let us begin with an observation.

PROPOSITION 4.3.14. *Let E be a local module and $\mathbf{C}$ its ring of endomorphisms. If M is a nonzero projective $\mathbf{C}$-module, then M is a generator of* $\mathrm{mod}(\mathbf{C})$.

PROOF. Let $\mathbf{J}$ be the Jacobson radical of $\mathbf{C}$. Up to isomorphism there is only one indecomposable $\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{J}$-module, which we denote by L.

Let F be a finitely generated left $\mathbf{C}$–module. Then $M/\mathbf{J}M$ and $F/\mathbf{J}F$ are isomorphic to direct sums L^n and L^m of L. It follows that for some integer $r > 0$ there is a surjection

$$\varphi : M^r \to F/\mathbf{J}F.$$

Since M is projective, φ can lifted to a mapping $\Phi : M^r \to F$ such that $F = \Phi(M^r) + \mathbf{J}F$. By Nakayama Lemma Φ is surjective, as desired. □

Let us make a related observation. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and $\mathbf{C}$ be a finite $\mathbf{R}$-algebra that has a unique 2-sided maximal ideal $\mathbf{J}$.

PROPOSITION 4.3.15. *Suppose $\mathfrak{m}$–depth of $\mathbf{C}$ is zero. If F is a finitely generated left $\mathbf{C}$-module of finite projective dimension, then F is $\mathbf{C}$-projective.*

PROOF. Let us argue by contradiction. We may assume that F has projective dimension 1. In the proof of Proposition 4.3.14 applied to $\mathbf{C}$ itself, from $\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{J} = L^n$ and $F/\mathbf{J}F = L^m$, we have an isomorphism $(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{J})^m \simeq F^n/\mathbf{J}F^n$ which can be lifted into a surjection

$$\mathbf{C}^m \to F^n \to 0.$$

The kernel, by assumption, is a projective $\mathbf{C}$-module P that is contained in $\mathbf{J}\mathbf{C}^m$. We note that since P is a generator its left annihilator is trivial.

Let p the least integer such that $\mathbf{J}^p$ has a left annihilator. We claim that such integer exists. Since $\mathbf{C}/\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{C}$ is Artinian, there exists r with $\mathbf{J}^r \subset \mathfrak{m}\mathbf{C}$. But $\mathbf{C}$ has depth zero by hypothesis so there is a nonzero $w \in \mathbf{C}$ with $\mathfrak{m}w = 0$. This element will annihilate $\mathbf{J}^r$ and thus the integer p exists. From $\mathbf{J}^{p-1}P \subset \mathbf{J}^p\mathbf{C}^m$ it will follow that $\mathbf{J}^{p-1}P$ has a nonzero left annihilator. Since P is a generator, there is a surjection $P^s \to \mathbf{C} \to 0$ and thus another surjection $\mathbf{J}^{p-1}P^s \to \mathbf{J}^{p-1}\mathbf{C} \to 0$, which will contradict the choice of p. □

This opens the way of the extension of the Auslander-Buchsbaum equality ([**15**, Theorem 1.3.3]) to $\mathbf{C}$-modules, that is if A is a finitely generated left $\mathbf{C}$-module of finite projective dimension then

$$\mathrm{proj\ dim}_{\mathbf{C}} A + \mathrm{depth}\ A = \mathrm{depth}\ \mathbf{C}.$$

The proof follows exactly the same steps of the commutative case, with Proposition 4.3.15 providing the base case in the induction process:

- We may assume depth $\mathbf{C} > 0$. Let A be a finitely generated $\mathbf{C}$-module of projective dimension r, $1 \leq r < \infty$. Consider an exact sequence $0 \to P \to F \to A \to 0$, with F a projective $\mathbf{C}$-module.
- P has projective dimension $r-1$. Let us argue that depth $P =$ depth $A + 1$. If depth $A = 0$, let $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ be a regular element on $\mathbf{C}$, and therefore a regular element on P as well. Reduction mod x gives rise the an exact sequence
$$0 \to 0 :_A x \to P/xP \to \mathbf{C}/x\mathbf{C} \to A/xA \to 0.$$
Since depth $A = 0$, $0 :_A x$ contains elements annihilated by $\mathfrak{m}$. This shows that depth $P/xP = 0$, and therefore depth $P = 1$. Similarly, in general we have depth $P =$ depth $A + 1$.
- By induction the Auslander-Buchsbaum equality holds for the $\mathbf{C}/x\mathbf{C}$-module P/xP. It remains to show that proj $\dim_{\mathbf{C}/x\mathbf{C}} P/xP =$ proj $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} P$. To deny equality it suffices to work with the penultimate module of M of syzygies of P, a module of projective dimension 1 and assume that M/xM is $\mathbf{C}/x\mathbf{C}$-projective. But then prom $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} M/xM \leq 1$ which is readily contradicted by the exactness of
$$\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{C}}(M, X) \xrightarrow{\cdot x} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbf{C}}(M, X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^2_{\mathbf{C}}(M/xM, X) \to 0,$$
the non-vanishing of $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{C}}(M, X)$ and Nakayama Lemma.

THEOREM 4.3.16. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a normal Noetherian local ring and E a local module. If E is $\mathbf{C}$–projective and it is $\mathbf{R}$-torsionfree whose rank is invertible in $\mathbf{R}$ then E is $\mathbf{R}$-free.*

PROOF. According to Corollary 4.3.6, E has no free summand. By Proposition 4.3.14, E is a projective generator and in particular we have an isomorphism of left $\mathbf{C}$-modules

$$E^s \simeq \mathbf{C} \oplus H. \tag{4.3.16.1}$$

To prove the assertion, we recall how the *trace* of the elements of $\mathbf{C}$ may be defined. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be the field of fractions of $\mathbf{R}$. Consider that canonical ring homomorphism

$$\varphi : \operatorname{End}_{\mathbf{R}}(E) \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{S} \otimes E).$$

For $\mathbf{f} \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbf{R}}(E)$, define

$$\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{f}) = \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbf{S}}(\varphi(\mathbf{f})),$$

where $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbf{S}}$ is the usual trace of a matrix representation. It is independent of the chosen $\mathbf{S}$-basis of $\mathbf{S} \otimes E$.

To show that $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{f}) \in \mathbf{R}$ uses a standard argument. For each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathbf{R}$ of height 1, $E_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a free $\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module of rank $r =$ rank (E), and picking one of its basis gives also a $\mathbf{S}$-basis for $\mathbf{S} \otimes E$ while showing that $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{f}) \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since $\mathbf{R}$ is normal, $\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbf{R}$, and thus $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{f}) \in \mathbf{R}$.

Note that this defines an element of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{R})$. Now looking at the isomorphism (4.3.16.1), yields that the trace of $\mathbf{C}$ as an $\mathbf{R}$-module is contained in the trace ideal of

E, which is a proper ideal of $\mathbf{R}$ since E has no $\mathbf{R}$-free summand. But this is impossible since $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{I}) = r$, which is a unit of $\mathbf{R}$ by assumption.

□

COROLLARY 4.3.17. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian local ring and E a local $\mathbf{R}$-module such that $\mathbf{C}$ has finite global dimension.*

(a) ([**13**, Theorem 2.5], [**190**, Theorem 3.1]) *$\mathbf{C}$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay $\mathbf{R}$-module.*
(b) *If E is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay $\mathbf{R}$–module then it is a projective $\mathbf{C}$–module.*
(c) *Moreover, if $\mathbf{R}$ is integrally closed and the rank* r *of E is invertible in $\mathbf{R}$ then $\mathbf{R}$ is a regular local ring.*

PROOF. (a) Since $\mathbf{C}$ is a local ring, that is has a unique maximal two-sided ideal, by [**13**, Theorem 2.5] or [**190**, Theorem 3.1], $\mathbf{C}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay $\mathbf{R}$-module. Let us argue this point. Let gl dim $\mathbf{C} = n$. Then by the Auslander-Buchsbaum inequality, depth $\mathbf{C} = n$. Let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ be a regular sequence on $\mathbf{C}$ and set $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C}/(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{C}$. For any $\mathbf{C}$-module, $\mathrm{Ext}^{n+1}_{\mathbf{C}}(M, \mathbf{C}) = 0$ since proj $\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(M) \leq n$. For any $\mathbf{B}$-module M, by Rees's theorem ([**151**]),

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbf{B}}(M, \mathbf{B}) = \mathrm{Ext}^{n+1}_{\mathbf{C}}(M, \mathbf{C}) = 0,$$

which implies that $\mathbf{B}$ is a left injective $\mathbf{B}$-module.

We claim that $\mathbf{B}$ is an Artinian module. Let $\mathbf{B}_0 = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{B})$ and set $\mathbf{B}' = \mathbf{B}/\mathbf{B}_0$. If $\mathbf{B}' \neq 0$ there is an exact sequence of $\mathbf{B}$-modules

$$0 \to \mathbf{B}' \xrightarrow{\cdot x} \mathbf{B}' \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}'/x\mathbf{B}' \to 0.$$

Applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}}(\cdot, \mathbf{B})$ we have a surjection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{B}', \mathbf{B}) \xrightarrow{\cdot x} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{B}', \mathbf{B}) \to 0,$$

and thus $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{B}', \mathbf{B}) = 0$ by Nakayama lemma. But this module cannot vanish since $\mathbf{B}$ contains a simple submodule L and there are surjections $\mathbf{B}' \to \mathbf{B}'/\mathbf{J}\mathbf{B}' \to L$, and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{B}', L) \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{B}', \mathbf{B})$.

(b) Let $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \ldots, x_n$ be a maximal $\mathbf{R}$-sequence for E and $\mathbf{C}$, $n =$ gl dim $\mathbf{C}$, $E/(\mathbf{x})E$ is a $\mathbf{C}$-module with nonzero socle. It follows from Auslander-Buchsbaum formula that

$$\text{proj dim}_{\mathbf{C}} E/(\mathbf{x})E = \text{proj dim}_{\mathbf{C}} E + n = \text{gl dim } \mathbf{C} = n.$$

(c) By Theorem 4.3.16 E is a free $\mathbf{R}$-module. This means that $\mathbf{C}$ is a matrix ring over $\mathbf{R}$ of finite global dimension and therefore $\mathbf{R}$ is a regular local ring. □

CONJECTURE 4.3.18. *Let E be a local $\mathbf{R}$–module. If E is $\mathbf{C}$–cyclic of finite projective dimension over $\mathbf{C}$, then E is $\mathbf{C}$–projective.*

QUESTION 4.3.19. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be an affine algebra over a field K of characteristic zero and E be either (i) the module of K-differentials $\Omega_K(\mathbf{A})$, or (ii) the module of K-derivations $\mathrm{Der}_K(\mathbf{A})$. If $E_{\mathbf{p}}$ is a local $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{p}}$ module for each prime $\mathbf{p}$, is E a projective $\mathbf{A}$-module?

CHAPTER 5

The Equations of Ideals

5.1. Introduction

Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring and let I be an ideal. By the *equations* of I is meant a free presentation of the Rees algebra $\mathbf{R}[It]$ of I,

$$0 \to \mathbf{L} \longrightarrow \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_m] \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbf{R}[It] \to 0, \quad \mathbf{T}_i \mapsto f_i t.$$

More precisely, we refer to the ideal $\mathbf{L}$ as the *ideal of equations* of I. The ideal $\mathbf{L}$ depends on the chosen set of generators of I, but all of its significant cohomological properties, such as the integers that bound the degrees of minimal generating sets of L, are independent of the presentation ψ. The examination of $\mathbf{L}$ is one pathway to the unveiling of the properties of $\mathbf{R}[It]$. It codes the syzygies of all powers of I, and therefore is a carrier of not just algebraic properties of I, but of analytic ones as well. This is a notion that can be extended to Rees algebras $\mathcal{R} = \sum_{n\geq 0} I_n t^n$ of more general filtrations. Among these we will be interested in the integral closure of $\mathbf{R}[It]$. Our approach to $\mathbf{L}$ involves the examination of some its graded components, particularly L_1 and L_2, and some corresponding quotients, such as $T = \mathbf{L}/(L_1)$, the so-called *module of nonlinear equations* of I.

We are going to make a hit list of properties that would be desirable to have about $\mathbf{L}$, and discuss its significance. Foremost among them is to estimate the degrees of the generators of L, the so-called *relation type* of the ideal I: reltype(I). If $\mathbf{L}$ is generated by forms of degree 1, I is said to be of linear type (this is independent of the set of generators). The Rees algebra $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is then the symmetric algebra $\mathcal{S} = \mathrm{Sym}(I)$ of I. Such is the case when the f_i form a regular sequence, $\mathbf{L}$ is then generated by the Koszul forms $f_i\mathbf{T}_j - f_j\mathbf{T}_i$, $i < j$. There are other kinds of kinds of sequences with this property (see [**80**] for a discussion).

The general theme we are going to pursue is the following: How to bound the relation type of an ideal in terms of its numerical invariants known *a priori*? Given the prevalence of various multiplicities among these invariants most of our questions depend on them.

(a) Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension d and let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. Is there a low polynomial $f(e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_d)$ on the Hilbert coefficients of I such that

$$\mathrm{reltype}(I) \leq f(e_0, \mathrm{e}_1, \ldots, e_d)?$$

(b) Among the equations in L, an important segment arises from the *reductions* of I. That is, subideals $J \subset I$ with the property that $I^{r+1} = JI^r$, for some

r, the so-called *reduction number* of I relative to J; in notation, $\mathrm{r}_J(I)$. Minimal reductions are important choices here and there are various bounds for the corresponding values of $\mathrm{r}_J(I)$ ($\mathrm{r}(I)$ will be the minimum value of $\mathrm{r}_J(I)$). Among these formulas, for Cohen-Macaulay local rings $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ of dimension d, and $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I, one has

$$\mathrm{r}(I) \leq \frac{d}{o(I)} e_0(I) - 2d + 1,$$

where $o(I)$ is the largest integer s such that $I \subset \mathfrak{m}^s$. These formulas are treated in [**199**, Section 2.2]. There are also versions of these formulas for arbitrary Noetherian local rings ([**55**]).

(c) If the ideal I is not $\mathfrak{m}$-primary, which *multiplicities* play the roles of the Hilbert coefficients? We plan to carry this out using *extended multiplicities*. It will require a broadening of our understanding of related issues: Sally modules of reductions.

(d) A related question, originally raised by C. Huneke, attracted considerable attention: whether for ideals I generated by systems of parameters, there is a uniform bound for reltype(I). Although the answer was ultimately negative, significant classes of rings do have this property. Wang obtained several beautiful bounds for rings of low dimensions ([**206**],[**207**]), while Linh and Trung ([**118**]) derived uniform bounds for all generalized Cohen-Macaulay rings.

(e) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the special fiber of $\mathcal{R}$, that is $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}$. Since

$$\mathrm{reltype}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mathrm{reltype}(\mathcal{R}),$$

it may be useful to constrain reltype$(\mathcal{F})$ in terms of the Hilbert coefficients of the affine algebra $\mathcal{F}$. A rich vein to examine is that of ideals which are near complete intersections, more precisely in case of ideals of deviation at most two. Among other questions, one should examine the problem of *variation* of the Hilbert polynomials of ideals $I \subset I' \subset \overline{I}$, where the latter is the integral closure of I. For ideals of finite co-length, one of the questions (given the equality $\mathrm{e}_0(I) = e_0(I')$), is to study the change $\mathrm{e}_1(I') - e_1(I)$ in terms of the degrees of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$.

(f) Almost all the previous questions can be asked for Rees algebras of modules or even of filtrations whose components are modules. The requisite multiplicities have already been built.

(g) We will treat mainly ideals of small deviations–particularly ideals of codimension r generated by $r+1$ or $r+2$ elements–because of their ubiquity in the construction of birational maps. Often, but not exclusively, I will be an ideal of finite co-length in a local ring, or in a ring of polynomials over a field. Our focus on $\mathcal{R}$ is shaped by the following fact. The class of ideals I to be considered will have the property that both its symmetric algebra $\mathrm{Sym}(I)$ and the normalization $\mathcal{R}'$ of $\mathcal{R}$ have amenable properties, for example, one of them (when not both) is Cohen-Macaulay. In such case, the diagram

$$\mathcal{S} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{R}'$$

gives a convenient platform from which to examine $\mathcal{R}$.

5.1.1. Syzygies and Hilbert functions. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring and let I be an ideal. By the *equations* of I it is meant a free presentation of the Rees algebra $\mathbf{R}[It]$ of I,

$$0 \to \mathbf{L} \longrightarrow \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_m] \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbf{R}[It] \to 0, \quad \mathbf{T}_i \mapsto f_i t. \tag{5.1.0.1}$$

More precisely, $\mathbf{L}$ is the defining ideal of the Rees algebra of I but we refer to it simply as the *ideal of equations* of I. The ideal L depends on the chosen set of generators of I, but all of its significant cohomological properties, such as the integers that bound the degrees of minimal generating sets of L, are independent of the presentation ψ. The examination of $\mathbf{L}$ is one pathway to the unveiling of the properties of $\mathbf{R}[It]$. It codes the syzygies of all powers of I, and therefore is a carrier of not just algebraic properties of I, but of analytic ones as well. It is also a vehicle to understanding geometric properties of several constructions built out of I, particularly of rational maps.

The search for these equations and their use has attracted considerable interest by a diverse group of researchers. We just mention some that have directly influenced this work. One main source lies in the work of L. Busé, M. Chardin, D. Cox, J. Hong, J. P. Jouanolou and A. Simis who have charted, by themselves or with co-workers, numerous roles of resultants and other elimination techniques to obtain these equations ([**18**], [**19**], [**20**], [**21**], and references therein.) Another important development was given by A. Kustin, C. Polini and B. Ulrich, who provided a comprehensive analysis of the equations of ideals (in the binary case), not just necessarily of almost complete intersections, but still of ideals whose syzygies are almost all linear ([**113**]). Last, has been the important work of D. Cox not only for its theoretical value to the understanding of these equations, but for the role it has played in bridging the fields of commutative algebra and of geometric modelling ([**31**], [**30**]).

The material here is based on [**88**] and [**89**]. It deals with questions in higher dimensions that were triggered in [**88**], but is mainly concerned with the more general issues of the structure of the Rees algebras of almost complete intersections. Our underlying metaphor here is to focus on distinguished sets of equations by examining 4 Hilbert functions associated to the ideal I and to the coefficients of its syzygies. It brings considerable effectivity to the methods by developing explicit formulas for some of the equations.

There are natural and technical reasons to focus on almost intersections. A good deal of elimination theory is intertwined with birationality questions. Now, a regular sequence of forms of fixed degree ≥ 2 never defines a birational map. Thus, the first relevant case is the next one, namely, that of an almost complete intersection. Say, $I \subset R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ is minimally generated by forms $a_1, \ldots, a_d, a_{d+1}$ of fixed degree, where $a_1, \ldots, a_d$ form a regular sequence. If these generators define a birational map of $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ onto its image in $\mathbb{P}^d$ then any set of forms of this degree containing $a_1, \ldots, a_d, a_{d+1}$ still defines a birational map onto its image. Thus, almost complete intersections give us in some sense the hard case.

Note that these almost complete intersections have maximal codimension, i.e., the ideal I as above is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary, where $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$. The corresponding rational map with such base ideal is a regular map with image a hypersurface of $\mathbb{P}^d$. However, one can stretch the theory to one more case, namely, that of an almost complete intersection of

$I = (a_1, \ldots, a_{d-1}, a_d)$ of submaximal height $d-1$. Here the corresponding rational map $\Psi_I : \mathbb{P}^{d-1} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ is only defined off the support $V(I)$ (whose geometric dimension is 0) and one can ask when this map is birational—thus corresponding to the notion of a Cremona transformation of $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$.

NOTATION 5.1.1. To describe the problems treated and the solutions given, we give a modicum of notation and terminology. In order to avoid some degeneracies in the theory, we will assume throughout that k has characteristic zero.

- $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]_{\mathfrak{m}}$ with $d \geq 2$ and $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$.
- $I = (a_1, \ldots, a_d, a_{d+1})$ with $\deg(a_i) = n$ for all i, height $(I) = d$ and I minimally generated by these forms.
- Assume that $J = (a_1, \ldots, a_d)$ is a minimal reduction of $I = (J, a)$, that is $I^{r+1} = JI^r$ for some natural number r.
- $\bigoplus_j \mathbf{R}(-n_j) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbf{R}^{d+1}(-n) \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow 0$ is a free minimal presentation of I.
- $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_{d+1}]$ and $L = \ker(\mathbf{S} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{R}[It])$ via $T_j \mapsto a_j t$, where $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is the Rees algebra of I; note that L is a homogeneous ideal in the standard grading of $\mathbf{S}$ with $\mathbf{S}_0 = \mathbf{R}$.
- L_i : $\mathbf{R}$–module generated by forms of L of degree i in $\mathbf{T}_j$'s. For example, the degree 1 component of L is the ideal of entries of the matrix product

$$L_1 = I_1([\mathbf{T}_1 \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{T}_{d+1}] \cdot \varphi).$$

- $\nu(L_i)$ denotes the minimal number of *fresh* generators of L_i. Thus $\nu(L_2)$ is the minimal number of generators of the $\mathbf{R}$-module L_2/S_1L_1.
- The *elimination degree* of I is

$$\operatorname{edeg}(I) \quad = \quad \inf\{i \mid L_i \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}\mathbf{S}\}. \tag{5.1.1.1}$$

  One knows quite generally that $L = (L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^\infty$. A *secondary elimination degree* is an integer r such that $L = (L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^r$, i.e., an integer at least as large as the stabilizing exponent of the saturation.
- The *special fiber* of I is the ring $\mathcal{F}(I) = \mathbf{R}[It] \otimes \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}$. This is a hypersurface ring

$$\mathcal{F}(I) = k[T_1, \ldots, T_{d+1}]/(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{T})), \tag{5.1.1.2}$$

  where $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{T})$ is an irreducible polynomial of degree $\operatorname{edeg}(I)$. $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{T})$ is called the *elimination equation* of I, and may be taken as an element of L.

Besides the syzygies of I, $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{T})$ may be considered the most significant of the *equations* of I. Determining it, or at least its degree, is one of the main goals of the subject. The enablers, in our treatment, are four Hilbert functions associated to I, those of $\mathbf{R}/I$, $\mathbf{R}/J : a$, $\mathbf{R}/I_1(\varphi)$ and the Hilbert-Samuel function defined by I. Each encodes singly or in conjunction different aspects of L.

In order to outline the other relationships between the invariants of the ideal I and its equations, we make use of the following diagram:



At the outset and throughout there is the role played by the Hilbert function of $J : a$, which besides that of directing all the syzygies of I, is the encoding of an integer r such that $L = (L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^r$. According to Theorem 5.1.7, r can be taken as $r = \epsilon + 1$, where ϵ is the *socle degree* of $\mathbf{R}/J : a$. Since L is expressed as the quotient of two Cohen-Macaulay ideals, it has shown in practice to be an effective tool for saturation.

A persistent question is that of how to obtain higher degree generators from the syzygies of I. We will provide an iterative approach to generate the successive components of L:

$$L_1 \rightsquigarrow L_2 \rightsquigarrow L_3 \rightsquigarrow \cdots .$$

This is not an effective process, except for the step $L_1 \rightsquigarrow L_2$. The more interesting development seems to be the use of the syzygetic lemmas to obtain $\delta(I) = L_2/\mathbf{S}_1 L_1$ in the case of almost complete intersections. This is a formulation of the *method of moving quadrics* of several authors. The novelty here is the use of the Hilbert function of the ideal $I_1(\varphi)$ to understand and conveniently express $\delta(I)$. Such level of detail was not present even in earlier treatments of $\delta(I)$. The *syzygetic lemmas* are observations based on the Hilbert functions of I and of $I_1(\varphi)$ to allow a description of L_2. It converts the expression (5.1.13.1)

$$\delta(I) = \mathrm{Hom}_{R/I}(\mathbf{R}/I_1(\varphi), H_1(I)), \tag{5.1.1.3}$$

where $H_1(I)$ is the canonical module of $\mathbf{R}/I$ (given by the syzygies of I), into a set of generators of $L_2/\mathbf{S}_1 L_1$. It is fairly effective in the case of binary, ternary and some quaternary forms, as we shall see. In these cases, out of L_1 and L_2 we will be able to write the elimination equation in the form of a resultant

$$\text{Res } (L_1, L_2), \tag{5.1.1.4}$$

or as one of its factors. We prove the non-vanishing of this determinant under three different situations. In the case of binary ideals, whose syzygies are of arbitrary degrees, we give a far-reaching generalization of [**88**]. For an ideal I of $k[x, y]$, generated by 3 forms of degree n, we build out of L_1 and L_2, in a straightforward manner, a nonzero polynomial of $k[\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3]$ in L, of degree n (Theorem 5.1.25).

The other results, in higher dimension, require that the content of syzygies ideal $I_1(\varphi)$ be a power of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Thus, in the case of ternary forms of degree n whose content ideal $I_1(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}^{n-1}$, our main result (Theorem 5.1.26) proves the

non-vanishing of Res (L_1, L_2) without appealing to conditions of genericity (but with degree constraints). A final result is very special to quaternary forms (Theorem 5.1.35).

5.1.1.1. *Preliminaries.* Before engaging in the above questions proper, we will outline the basic homological and arithmetical data involved in these ideals.

The resolution. The general format of the resolution of I goes as follows. First, note that $J : a$ is a Gorenstein ideal, and that $I = J : (J : a)$. Since Gorenstein ideals, at least in low dimensions, have an amenable structure, it may be desirable to look at $J : a$ as a building block to I and its equations. In this arrangement the syzygies of I will be organized in terms of those of J and of $J : a$.

Let us recall how the resolution of I arises as a mapping cone of the Koszul complex $\mathbb{K}(J)$ and a minimal resolution of $J : a$ (this was first given in [**142**]; see also [**197**, Theorem A.139]).

THEOREM 5.1.2. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Gorenstein local ring, let* $\mathfrak{a}$ *be a perfect ideal of height* g *and let* $\mathbb{F}$ *be a minimal free resolution of* $\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{a}$. *Let* $\mathbf{z} = z_1, \dots, z_g \subset \mathfrak{a}$ *be a regular sequence, let* $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}(\mathbf{z}; R)$ *be the corresponding Koszul complex, and let* $u\colon \mathbb{K} \to \mathbb{F}$ *be the comparison mapping induced by the inclusion* $(\mathbf{z}) \subset L$. *Then the dual* $\mathbb{C}(u^*)[-g]$ *of the mapping cone of* u, *modulo the subcomplex* $u_0\colon R \to R$, *is a free resolution of length* g *of* $\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{z})\colon \mathfrak{a}$. *Moreover, the canonical module of* $\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{z})\colon \mathfrak{a}$ *(modulo shift in the graded case) is* $\mathfrak{a}/(\mathbf{z})$.

REMARK 5.1.3. For later reference, we point out three observations when the ideal is the above almost complete intersection I.

- If $J : a = (b_1, \dots, b_d)$, writing
$$[a_1, \dots, a_d] = [b_1, \dots, b_d] \cdot \phi,$$
gives
$$I = (J, \det(\phi)),$$
so that I is a Northcott ideal.
- If I is a generated by forms of degree n, a choice for J is simply a set of forms $a_1, \dots, a_d$ of degree n generating a regular sequence. Many of the features of I–such as the ideal $I_1(\varphi)$–can be read off $J : a$. Namely, $I_1(\varphi)$ is the sum of J and the coefficients arising in the expressions of $a(J : a) \subset J$.
- Since $J : a$ is a Gorenstein ideal, its rich structure in dimension ≤ 3 is fundamental to the study in these cases.

Hilbert functions. There are four Hilbert functions related to the ideal I that are relevant here, the first three of the Artinian modules $\mathbf{R}/I$, $\mathbf{R}/I_1(\varphi)$ and $\mathbf{R}/J : a$, and are therefore of easy manipulation. Their interactions will be a mainstay of the paper.

The first elementary observation, whose proof is well-known as to be omitted, will be useful when we need the Hilbert function of the canonical module of $\mathbf{R}/I$.

PROPOSITION 5.1.4. *If* I *is generated by forms of degree* n *of* $k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, *the Hilbert function of* $\mathbf{R}/I$ *satisfies*
$$\begin{aligned} H_{R/I}(t) &= H_{R/J}(t) - H_{I/J}(t) \\ &= H_{R/J}(t) - H_{R/J:a}(t-n). \end{aligned}$$

The fourth Hilbert function is that of the associated graded ring

$$\mathrm{gr}_I(\mathbf{R}) = \bigoplus_{m\geq 0} I^m/I^{m+1}.$$

It affords the Hilbert–Samuel polynomial ($m \gg 0$)

$$\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I^{m+1}) = e_0(I)\binom{d+m}{d} - \mathrm{e}_1(I)\binom{d+m-1}{d-1} + \text{lower degree terms of } m,$$

where $\mathrm{e}_0(I)$ is the multiplicity of the ideal I. A related Hilbert polynomial is that associated to the integral closure filtration $\{\overline{I^m}\}$:

$$\lambda(\mathbf{R}/\overline{I^{m+1}}) = \overline{e}_0(I)\binom{d+m}{d} - \overline{e}_1(I)\binom{d+m-1}{d-1} + \text{lower degree terms of } m.$$

For an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I generated by forms of degree n, $\overline{I^m} = \mathfrak{m}^{nm}$, so the latter coefficients are really invariants of the ideal $\mathfrak{m}^n$ and one has

$$\begin{aligned} e_0(I) &= \overline{e}_0(I) = n^d \\ e_1(I) &\leq \overline{e}_1(I) = \frac{d-1}{2}(n^d - n^{d-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The case of equality $\mathrm{e}_1(I) = \overline{e}_1(I)$ has a straightforward (and general) interpretation in terms of the corresponding Rees algebras.

PROPOSITION 5.1.5. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be an analytically unramified normal local domain of dimension d, and let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. Then $\mathrm{e}_1(I) = \overline{e}_1(I)$ if and only if $\mathcal{R}(I)$ satisfies the condition (R_1) of Serre.*

PROOF. Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathcal{R}(I)$, set $\mathbf{B}$ for its integral closure. $\mathbf{B}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbf{A}$-module. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{A} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B} \longrightarrow C = \mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A} \to 0.$$

Since $\mathrm{e}_0(I) = \overline{e}_0(I)$, C is a graded $\mathbf{A}$-module of dimension $\leq d$. If $\dim C = d$, its multiplicity $\mathrm{e}_0(C)$ is derived from the Hilbert polynomials above as $\mathrm{e}_0(C) = \overline{e}_1(I) - e_1(I)$. This sets up the assertion since $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are equal in codimension one if and only if $\dim C < d$. □

This permits stating [**88**, Proposition 3.3] as follows (see also [**47**] for degrees formulas).

PROPOSITION 5.1.6. *Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ and let $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_{d+1})$ be an ideal of finite colength, generated by forms of degree n. Denote by $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ the special fibers of $\mathcal{R}(I)$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{m}^n)$, respectively. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) $[\mathcal{F}' : \mathcal{F}] = 1$, *that is, the rational mapping*

$$\Psi_I : [f_1 : f_2 : \cdots : f_{d+1}] : \mathbb{P}^{d-1} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$$

is birational onto its image;

(b) $\deg \mathcal{F} = n^{d-1}$;

(c) $\mathrm{e}_1(I) = \frac{d-1}{2}(n^d - n^{d-1})$;
(d) $\mathcal{R}(I)$ *is non-singular in codimension one.*

A great deal of this investigation is to determine $\deg \mathcal{F}$, which as we referred to earlier is the *elimination degree* of I (in notation, $\mathrm{edeg}(I)$). Very often this turns into explicit formulas for the elimination equation.

5.1.2. Relation type. We assume that I is homogeneous, so that the ideal LL is bi-graded,

$$\mathbf{L}: \quad \mathbf{f} = \sum_{\alpha} c_\alpha \mathbf{T}^\alpha,$$

and we seek bounds on $\deg(c_\alpha)$ and $\deg(\mathbf{T}^\alpha)$. We develop general bounds for each of the two bi-degrees:

$$\mathrm{reltype}(I) \leq (r_{\mathbf{x}}, r_{\mathbf{T}}).$$

$r_{\mathbf{T}}$ is still too large, being not specific. We will discuss separately the two bounds $r_{\mathbf{x}}$ and $r_{\mathbf{T}}$.

Secondary elimination degrees. A solution to some of questions raised above resides in the understanding of the primary decomposition of (L_1), the defining ideal of the symmetric algebra $\mathrm{Sym}(I)$ of I over $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}]$. As pointed out earlier, we know that $\mathbf{L} = (L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^\infty$ as quite generally a power of I kills $\mathbf{L}/(L_1)$. The $\mathbf{L}$-primary component is therefore $\mathbf{L}$ itself and (L_1) has only two primary components, the other being $\mathfrak{m}S$-primary. On the other hand, according to [**88**, Proposition 2.2], (L_1) is Cohen-Macaulay so its primary components are of the same dimension. Write

$$(L_1) = \mathbf{L} \cap Q,$$

where Q is $\mathfrak{m}S$-primary. It allows for the expression of L as a saturation of (L_1) in many ways. For example, for nonzero $\alpha \in I$ or $\alpha \in I_1(\varphi)$, we have $\mathbf{L} = (L_1) : \alpha^\infty$.

We give now an explicit saturation by exhibiting integers r such that $\mathbf{L} = (L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^r$, which as we referred to earlier are *secondary elimination degrees*. Finding its least value is one of our goals in individual cases. In the actual practice we have found the computation effective, perhaps because $\mathbf{L}$ is given as the quotient of two Cohen-Macaulay ideals, the second generated by monomials.

THEOREM 5.1.7. *Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ and let $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_{d+1})$ be an ideal of finite colength, generated by forms of degree n. Suppose $J = (f_1, \ldots, f_d)$ is a minimal reduction, and set $a = f_{d+1}$. Let ϵ be the socle degree of $\mathbf{R}/J : a$, that is the largest integer m such that $(\mathbf{R}/J : a)_m \neq 0$. If $r = \epsilon + 1$, then*

$$\mathbf{L} = (L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^r.$$

Moreover, if some form $\mathbf{f}$ of L_i has coefficients in $\mathfrak{m}^r$, then $\mathbf{f} \in (L_1)$. In particular, the torsion elements of $\mathrm{Sym}(I)$ have $\mathbf{R}$-degree $< r$.

PROOF. The assumption on r means that $(J : a)_m = (\mathfrak{m}^m)_m$ for $m \geq r$, that is if $J : a$ has initial degree d' then

$$\mathfrak{m}^r = \sum_{i \geq d'} (J : a)_i \mathfrak{m}^{r-i}.$$

Now any element $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{L}$ can be written as

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{h}_p \mathbf{T}_{d+1}^p + \mathbf{h}_{p-1} \mathbf{T}_{d+1}^{p-1} + \cdots + \mathbf{h}_0,$$

where the $\mathbf{h}_i$ are polynomials in $\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_d$. If $\mathbf{h}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{h}_p$ all happen to vanish, then $\mathbf{h}_0 \in (L_1)$ since $f_1, \ldots, f_d$ is a regular sequence. The proof will consist in reducing to this situation.

To wit, let u be a form of degree r in $\mathfrak{m}^r$. To prove that $u\mathbf{p} \in (L_1)$, we may assume that $u = v\alpha$, with $v \in \mathfrak{m}^{r-i}$ and α a minimal generator in $(J : a)_i$.

We are going to replace $v\alpha\mathbf{p}$ by an equivalent element of $\mathbf{L}$, but of lower degree in $\mathbf{T}_{d+1}$. Since $\alpha \in (J : a)$, there is an induced form $\mathbf{g} \in L_1$

$$\mathbf{g} = \alpha \mathbf{T}_{d+1} + \mathbf{h}, \quad \mathbf{h} \text{ linear form in } \mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_d.$$

Upon substituting $\alpha \mathbf{T}_{d+1}$ by $\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{h}$, we get an equivalent form

$$\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{g}_{p-1} \mathbf{T}_{d+1}^{p-1} + \mathbf{g}_{p-2} \mathbf{T}_{d+1}^{p-2} + \cdots + \mathbf{g}_0,$$

where the coefficients of the $\mathbf{g}_i$ all lie in $\mathfrak{m}^r$. Further reduction of the individual terms of $\mathbf{q}$ will eventually lead to a form only in the $\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_d$.

The last assertion just reflects the nature of the proof. □

Remark 5.1.8. An a priori bound for the smallest secondary elimination degree arises as follows. Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$, and $I = (J, a)$ as above. Since J is generated by d forms $f_1, \ldots, f_d$ of degree n, the socle of $\mathbf{R}/J$ is determined by the Jacobian of the f_i which has degree $d(n-1)$. Now from the exact sequence

$$0 \to (J : a)/J \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/J \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/J : a \to 0,$$

the socle degree of $\mathbf{R}/J : a$ is smaller than $d(n-1)$, and therefore this value gives the bound. In fact, all the examples we examined had $\epsilon + 1 = \min\{\ i \mid \mathbf{L} = (L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^i\}$, where ϵ is the socle degree of $\mathbf{R}/(J : a)$.

Example 5.1.9. Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ and $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$. Let

- $I = (x_1^3,\ x_2^3,\ x_3^3,\ x_4^3,\ x_1^2x_2 + x_3^2x_4)$ with $a = x_1^2x_2 + x_3^2x_4$.
- $J = (x_1^3,\ x_2^3,\ x_3^3,\ x_4^3)$.
- $J : a = (J,\ x_1x_3,\ x_1x_4^2,\ x_2^2x_3,\ x_1^2x_2 - x_3^2x_4,\ x_2^2x_4^2)$.
- The presentation of I is of the form $\mathbf{R}(-5) \oplus R^{13}(-6) \oplus R(-7) \xrightarrow{\varphi} R^5(-3) \xrightarrow{\tau} I \longrightarrow 0$ and $I_1(\varphi) = (x_1x_3,\ x_1x_4,\ x_1^3,\ x_1x_2^2,\ x_1^2x_2,\ x_2x_3,\ x_2x_4^2,\ x_2^2x_4,\ x_2^3,\ x_3x_4^2,\ x_3^2x_4,\ x_3^3,\ x_4^3)$.
- Hilbert series:
  - ◇ Hilbert series of I : $1 + 4t + 10t^2 + 15t^3 + 15t^4 + 7t^5 + t^6$.
  - ◇ Hilbert series of $(J : a)$: $1 + 4t + 9t^2 + 9t^3 + 4t^4 + t^5$.
  - ◇ Hilbert series of $I_1(\varphi)$: $1 + 4t + 7t^2$.

A run with *Macaulay2* showed:

(a) $L = (L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^6$, exactly as predicted from the Hilbert function of $J : a$;
(b) The calculation yielded $\operatorname{edeg}(I) = 9$; in particular, Ψ_I is not birational.

5.1.3. The syzygetic lemmas. The following material complements and refines some known facts (see [**80**], [**175**], [**192**, Chapter 2]). Its main purpose is an application to almost complete intersections. Since its contents deal with arbitrary ideals, we will momentarily change notation. Let $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ be an ideal generated by n elements $a_1, \ldots, a_n$. Consider a free presentation of I

$$\mathbf{R}^m \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbf{R}^n \longrightarrow I \to 0 \tag{5.1.9.1}$$

and let $(L_1) \subset \mathbf{L} = \bigoplus_{d\geq 0} L_d \subset \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}] = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_n]$ denote as before the presentation ideals of the symmetric algebra and of the Rees algebra of I, respectively, corresponding to the chosen presentation.

A starting point is the following observation. Suppose $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_n) \in L_d$; write it as

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_n) = \mathbf{f}_1(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{T}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{f}_n(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{T}_n,$$

where $\mathbf{f}_i(\mathbf{T})$ is a form of degree $d-1$.

Evaluating $\mathbf{T}$ at the vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ gives a syzygy of $\mathbf{a}$

$$z = (\mathbf{f}_1(\mathbf{a}), \ldots, \mathbf{f}_n(\mathbf{a})) \in Z_1,$$

the module of syzygies of I,

$$z \in Z_1 \cap I^{d-1}R^n,$$

that is, z is a syzygy with coefficients in I^{d-1}. Note that

$$\widehat{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{T}) = a_1\mathbf{f}_1(\mathbf{T}) + \cdots + a_n\mathbf{f}_n(\mathbf{T}) \in L_{d-1} \cap I \cdot \mathbf{B}_{d-1}.$$

Conversely, any form $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{T})$ in $L_{d-1} \cap I \cdot \mathbf{B}_{d-1}$ can be lifted to a form $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{T})$ in L_d with $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{T})$.

Such maps are referred to as *downgrading* and *upgrading*, although they are not always well-defined. However, in some case it opens the opportunity to calculate some of the higher L_d.

Here is an useful observation.

LEMMA 5.1.10. *Let* $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{T}) \in L_d$ *and write*

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{f}_1(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{T}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{f}_n(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{T}_n.$$

If

$$\mathbf{f}_1(\mathbf{T})a_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{f}_n(\mathbf{T})a_n = 0,$$

then $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{T}) \in \mathbf{B}_{d-1}L_1$.

PROOF. The assumption is that $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{f}_1(\mathbf{T}), \ldots, \mathbf{f}_n(\mathbf{T}))$ is a syzygy of $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ over the ring $\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}]$. By flatness,

$$\mathbf{v} = \sum_j \mathbf{h}_j(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{z}_j,$$

where $\mathbf{h}_j(\mathbf{T})$ are forms of degree $d-1$ and $\mathbf{z}_j \in Z_1$. Setting $\mathbf{z}_j = (z_{ij}, \ldots, z_{nj})$,

$$\mathbf{f}_i(\mathbf{T}) = \sum_j \mathbf{h}_j(\mathbf{T})z_{ij},$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{T}) &= \mathbf{f}_1(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{T}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{f}_n(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{T}_n \\ &= \sum_i (\sum\nolimits_j \mathbf{h}_j(\mathbf{T}) z_{ij})\mathbf{T}_i \\ &= \sum_j \mathbf{h}_j(\mathbf{T})(\sum_i z_{ij}\mathbf{T}_i) \in \mathbf{B}_{d-1}L_1.\end{aligned}$$

□

COROLLARY 5.1.11. *Let $\mathbf{h}_j(\mathbf{T})$, $1 \le j \le m$, be a set of generators of $L_{d-1} \cap I\mathbf{B}_{d-1}$. For each j, choose a form $\mathbf{F}_j(\mathbf{T}) \in L_d$ such that under one of the operations above $\widehat{\mathbf{F}}(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{h}_j(\mathbf{T})$. Then*

$$L_d = (\mathbf{F}_1(\mathbf{T}), \ldots, \mathbf{F}_m(\mathbf{T}), L_1\mathbf{B}_{d-1}).$$

PROOF. For $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{T}) \in L_d$, $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{T}) = \sum_i T_i \mathbf{f}_i(\mathbf{T})$, write

$$\sum_i a_i \mathbf{f}_i(\mathbf{T}) = \sum_j c_j \mathbf{h}_j(\mathbf{T}).$$

Applying Lemma 5.1.10 to the polynomial

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{T}) - \sum_j c_j \mathbf{F}_j(\mathbf{T})$$

will give the desired assertion. □

This leads to the iterative procedure to find the equations $\mathbf{L} = (L_1, L_2, \ldots)$ of the ideal I.

Let $Z_1 = \ker(\varphi) \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, where φ is as in (5.1.9.1) and let B_1 denote the submodule of Z_1 whose elements come from the Koszul relations of the given set of generators of I. The $\mathbf{R}$-module

$$\delta(I) = Z_1 \cap I\mathbf{R}^n / B_1$$

has been introduced in [**169**] in order to understand the Koszul homology with coefficients in I. It is independent of the free presentation of I and as such it has been dubbed the *syzygetic module* of I. The following basic result has been proved in [**175**].

LEMMA 5.1.12 (The main syzygetic lemma). *Let I be an ideal with presentation as above. Then*

$$\delta(I) \overset{\phi}{\simeq} L_2/L_1\mathbf{B}_1. \tag{5.1.12.1}$$

The mapping ϕ is given as follows: For $z = \sum \alpha_i \mathbf{T}_i$, $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in Z_1 \cap IR^n$, $\alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} a_j$,

$$\phi([z]) = \sum_{ij} c_{ij} \mathbf{T}_i \mathbf{T}_j \in L_2/\mathbf{B}_1 L_1.$$

In particular, $L_2/\mathbf{B}_1 L_1$ is also independent of the free presentation of I and

$$\nu(L_2/\mathbf{B}_1 L_1) = \nu(\delta(I)).$$

We refer to the process of writing the α_i as linear combination of the a_j as the *extraction* of I. The knowledge of the degrees of the c_{ij} is controlled by the degrees of $\delta(I)$. Note that, quite generally, the kernel of the natural surjection $\mathcal{S}(I) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(I)$ in degree d is $L_d/L_1\mathbf{B}_{d-1}$. However, a more iterative form of bookkeeping of $\mathbf{L}$ is through the modules $L_d/\mathbf{B}_1L_{d-1}$ that represent the *fresh* generators in degree d. Unfortunately, except for the case $d = 2$, one knows no explicit expressions for these modules, hence the urge for different methods to approach the problem.

Almost complete intersections. We now go back to the particular setup of almost complete intersections. As before, $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ denotes the standard graded polynomial ring $k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ and its irrelevant ideal and $I = (a_1, \ldots, a_d, a_{d+1})$ is an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal minimally generated by $d+1$ forms of the same degree. We assume that $J = (a_1, \ldots, a_d)$ is a minimal reduction of I; set $a = a_{d+1}$.

Considerable numerical information about L_2 is readily available in this setup.

PROPOSITION 5.1.13. *Let I be as above and let φ be a minimal presentation map as in* (5.1.9.1). *Then*

$$\nu(L_2/\mathbf{B}_1L_1) = \nu(I_1(\varphi) : \mathfrak{m})/I_1(\varphi)).$$

In particular, if $I_1(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}^s$, $s \geq 1$, then

$$\nu(L_2/\mathbf{B}_1L_1) = \binom{d+s-2}{d-1}.$$

Moreover, $\delta(I)$ is generated by the last s graded components of the first Koszul homology module $H_1(I)$.

PROOF. Consider the so-called syzygetic sequence of I

$$0 \to \delta(I) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_1(I) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}/I)^{d+1} \longrightarrow I/I^2 \to 0.$$

Note that $\mathrm{H}_1(I)$ is isomorphic to the canonical module of $\mathbf{R}/I$. Dualizing with respect to $\mathrm{H}_1(I)$ gives the exact complex

$$\mathrm{H}_1(I)^{d+1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}/I}(\mathrm{H}_1(I), \mathrm{H}_1(I)) \simeq \mathbf{R}/I \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}/I}(\delta(I), \mathrm{H}_1(I) \to 0.$$

The image in $\mathbf{R}/I$ is the ideal generated by $I_1(\varphi)$, and since $I \subset I_1(\varphi)$, one has

$$\delta(I) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}/I}(\mathbf{R}/I_1(\varphi), \mathrm{H}_1(I)). \tag{5.1.13.1}$$

It follows that $\delta(I)$ is isomorphic to the canonical module of $\mathbf{R}/I_1(\varphi)$, and therefore $\nu(\delta(I))$ is the Cohen-Macaulay type of $\mathbf{R}/I_1(\varphi)$.

In case $I_1(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}^s$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{R/I}(\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}^s, \mathrm{H}_1(I))$ cannot have a nonzero element u in higher degree as otherwise $\mathfrak{m}^{s-1}u$ would lie in the socle of $\mathrm{H}_1(I)$, a contradiction. It follows that $\delta(I)$ is generated by $\binom{d+s-2}{d-1}$ elements. □

To help identify the generators of $\delta(I)$ requires information about the Hilbert function of $\mathrm{H}_1(I)$. For reference we use the socle degree of $\mathbf{R}/I_1(\varphi)$, which we denote by p. We recall that if $(1, d, a_2, \ldots, a_r)$ is the Hilbert function of $\mathbf{R}/I$, that of $\mathrm{H}_1(I)$ is

$(a_r, \dots, d, 1)$, together with an appropriate shift. Since $\delta(I)$ is a graded submodule of $\mathrm{H}_1(I)$, it is convenient to organize a table as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}_1(I) &: (a_r, \dots, a_s, \dots, a_2, d, 1) \\ \delta(I) &: (b_p, \dots, b_1, 1), \end{aligned}$$

where $b_i \leq a_i$. Note that the degrees are increasing. For example, the degree of the rth component of $\mathrm{H}_1(I)$ is the initial degree t of the ideal $J : a$, while the degree of the pth component of $\delta(I)$ is $t + r - p$.

We can also formulate the previous result in the following form.

PROPOSITION 5.1.14. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Gorenstein local ring and I an almost complete intersection of dimension 0. Then $\delta(I) \neq 0$, that is I is not syzygetic.*

PROOF. This comes from the formula $\delta(I) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}/I)}(\mathbf{R}/I_1(\varphi), \mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{R}/I))$. □

Balanced ideals. Let us introduce the following concept for ease of reference:

DEFINITION 5.1.15. An $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ minimally generated by $d+1$ elements of the same degree is *s-balanced* if $I_1(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}^s$, where φ is the matrix of syzygies of I.

Note that, due to the Koszul relations, s is at most the common degrees of the generators of I The basic result about these ideals goes as follows.

THEOREM 5.1.16. *Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ and I an almost complete intersection of finite colength generated by forms of degree n. If I is s–balanced, then:*

(a) *The socle degree of* $\mathrm{H}_1(I)$ *is* $d(n-1)$*;*
(b) $\mathfrak{m}^{d(n-1)-s+1} = I\mathfrak{m}^{(d-1)(n-1)-s}$*;*
(c) *The coefficients of* L_2 *have degree* $r(I) = (d-1)(n-1) - s$*;*
(d) $\mathbf{R}_{n+r(I)} = I_{n+r(I)}$.

PROOF. (i) Let J be the minimal reduction of I defined earlier. The socle degree of $H_1(I)$ is determined from the natural embedding

$$\mathrm{H}_1(I) \simeq J : a/J \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}/J,$$

where the socle of $\mathbf{R}/J$, which is also the socle of any of its nonzero submodules, is defined by the Jacobian determinant of d forms of degree n.

(ii) We write $H_1(I)$ and $\delta(I)$ as graded modules (set $\epsilon = d(n-1)$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}_1(I) &= h_s \oplus h_{s+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus h_\epsilon \\ \delta(I) &= f_{\epsilon-s+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus f_\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

dictated by the fact that the two modules share the same socle, $h_\epsilon = f_\epsilon$. One has $\mathfrak{m}^{\epsilon-s+1}\mathrm{H}_1(I) = 0$, hence $\mathfrak{m}^{\epsilon-s+1}\mathbf{R}/I = 0$, or equivalently,

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\epsilon-s+1} = I\mathfrak{m}^{\epsilon-n-s+1}.$$

(iii) The degree $r(I)$ of the coefficients of L_2 is obtained from the elements of $f_{\epsilon-s+1}$, and writing them as syzygies with coefficients in I, that is

$$r(I) = \epsilon - s + 1 - n = (d-1)(n-1) - s.$$

(iv) The last assertion follows from (ii) and (iii). □

Let us give some consequences of this analysis which will be used later.

COROLLARY 5.1.17. *Let* $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ *be a ring of polynomials, and* I *an almost complete intersection of finite colength generated in degree* n*. Suppose that* I *is* s*–balanced.*

(a) *Let* $d = 3$*. If* $s = n - 1$*,* L_2 *is generated by* $\binom{s+1}{2}$ *forms with coefficients of degree* s *and there are precisely* n *minimal syzygies of degree* $n-1$*, and* $n \leq 7$*;*
(b) *If* $d = 4$ *and* $s = n = 2$*, then there are precisely* 15 *minimal syzygies of degree* 2*.*

PROOF. We begin by observing the values of $r(I)$. In case (a), $r(I) = (3-1)(n-1) - s = (3-2)(n-1) = s$, while in (b) $r(I) = (4-1)(2-1) - 2 = 1$.

The first assertion of (a) comes from Proposition 5.1.13 and the value $r(I) = s$. As for the number of syzygies, according to Theorem 5.1.16(d), $\mathbf{R}_{n+s} = I_{n+s}$ in case (a), and $\mathbf{R}_{n+1} = I_{n+1}$ in case (b), which will permit the determination of the dimension of the syzygies of degree s, or higher in case (a), and for all degrees in case (b).

Let us focus on the case $r(I) = s$. Consider the exact sequence corresponding to the generators of I,

$$\mathbf{R}^{d+1} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/I \to 0,$$

and write ψ_s for the vector space map induced by π on the homogeneous component of degree s of $\mathbf{R}^{d+1}$. We have an exact sequence of k-vector spaces and k-linear maps

$$\mathbf{R}_s^{d+1} \xrightarrow{\psi_s} \mathbf{R}_{n+s} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{n+s}/I_{n+s} \to 0$$

and $\ker(\psi_s)$ is the k-span of the syzygies of I of $\mathbf{R}$-degree s.

One easily has

$$\dim_k(\ker(\psi_s)) = (d+1)\binom{s+d-1}{d-1} - \dim_k(I_{n+s}). \tag{5.1.17.1}$$

In this case, one gets

$$\dim_k(\ker(\psi_s)) = (d+1)\binom{s+d-1}{d-1} - \binom{s+n+d-1}{d-1}. \tag{5.1.17.2}$$

If I is moreover s-balanced for some $s \geq 1$ then it must be the case that

$$(d+1)\dim_k(\ker(\psi_s)) \geq \nu(\mathfrak{m}^s) = \dim_k(\mathbf{R}_s) = \binom{s+d-1}{d-1}. \tag{5.1.17.3}$$

(1) For $d = 3$ and I s-balanced with $s = n - 1$ the equality (5.1.17.2) gives $\dim_k(\ker(\psi_{n-1})) = n$ while the inequality (5.1.17.3) easily yields $n \leq 7$.

(2) This follows immediately from (5.1.17.2). □

The numerical data alone give a bird eye view of the generators of the graded pieces L_1 and L_2 of the ideal of equations of L. This corollary is suitable in other cases, even when $I_1(\varphi)$ is a less well packaged ideal.

5.1.4. The number of equations. Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ be a ring of polynomials, and I be a homogeneous almost complete intersection $I = (a_1, \dots, a_d, a_{d+1})$ of finite colength. We shall now calculate the role of the secondary elimination degree of the ideal I in estimations of the number of generators of the ideal L.

We start our discussion by first giving an application of the $\mathcal{Z}$–complex to the symmetric algebra $\mathrm{Sym}(I)$.

PROPOSITION 5.1.18. $\mathrm{Sym}(I)$ *is a Cohen-Macaulay ring of type at most* $\dim \mathbf{R} - 1$.

PROOF. The first assertion is a direct consequence of [**80**, Theorem 10.1]:

COROLLARY 5.1.19. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Cohen-Macaulay local and let* I *be an almost complete intersection. If* $\operatorname{depth} \mathbf{R}/I \geq \dim \mathbf{R}/I - 1$*, then the complex* $\mathcal{Z}$ *is acyclic and* $\mathrm{Sym}(I)$ *is a Cohen-Macaulay algebra.*

The determination of the Cohen-Macaulay type comes about as follows. Set $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \dots, \mathbf{T}_{d+1}]$, and let $\mathbf{K}$ be the Koszul complex of $\mathbf{a} = \{a_1, \dots, a_{d+1}\}$. Denoting by Z_i the i–cycles of $\mathbf{K}$, the $\mathcal{Z}$–complex is

$$0 \to Z_d \otimes \mathbf{B}[-d] \to \cdots \to Z_1 \otimes \mathbf{B}[-1] \to (L_1) \to 0,$$

$$0 \to (L_1) \to \mathbf{B} \to \mathrm{Sym}(I) \to 0.$$

The canonical module of $\mathrm{Sym}(I)$ is (up to a shift) the module

$$\mathrm{Ext}^d_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathrm{Sym}(I), \mathbf{B}) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{B}}((L_1), \mathbf{B}).$$

After observing that $Z_d = \mathbf{R}$, we break the long exact sequence into the short exact sequences

$$0 \to \mathbf{S} \to Z_{d-1} \otimes \mathbf{B}[-(d-1)] \to \mathrm{H}_{d-2} \to 0,$$

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_{d-2} \to Z_{d-2} \otimes \mathbf{B}[-(d-2)] \to \mathrm{H}_{d-3} \to 0,$$

$$\vdots$$

$$0 \to H_2 \to Z_2 \otimes \mathbf{B}[-2] \to H_1 \to 0,$$

$$0 \to H_1 \to Z_1 \otimes \mathbf{B}[-1] \to (L_1) \to 0.$$

Noting that for each $i \geq 2$, the strands of the Koszul complex

$$0 \to K_{d+1} \to K_d \to \cdots \to K_{i+1} \to Z_i \to 0$$

are acyclic, while

$$0 \to K_{d+1} \to K_d \to \cdots \to K_2 \to B_1 \to 0$$

is a resolution of the module of 1-boundaries, and

$$0 \to B_1 \to Z_1 \to \mathrm{H}_1(I) \to 0,$$

where $H_1(I)$ is the canonical module of $\mathbf{R}/I$, we are now in position to examine $\mathrm{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{B}}((L_1), \mathbf{B})$.

LEMMA 5.1.20. $\operatorname{depth} \mathrm{H}_i \geq i + 1$ *for* $1 \leq d - 2$.

PROOF. The assertion is true for $i = d-2$ since $Z_d \simeq \mathbf{R}$ and depth $Z_i = i$. By induction and the depth lemma applied the sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_{i+1} \to Z_{i+1} \otimes \mathbf{B}[-(i+1)] \to \mathrm{H}_i \to 0,$$

we prove the assertion. □

This gives rise to the following exact sequences:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{d-2}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathrm{H}_1, \mathbf{B}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{B}}((L_1), \mathbf{B}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{B}}(Z_1 \otimes \mathbf{B}[-1], \mathbf{B}) = 0,$$

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{d-3}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathrm{H}_2, \mathbf{B}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{d-2}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathrm{H}_1, \mathbf{S}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{d-2}_{\mathbf{B}}(Z_2 \otimes \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{R}/I \otimes \mathbf{B}[+2] \to \mathrm{Ext}^{d-2}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathrm{H}_2, \mathbf{B}) = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{1}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathrm{H}_{d-2}, \mathbf{B}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{1}_{\mathbf{B}}(Z_{d-1} \otimes \mathbf{B}[-(d-1)], \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{R}/I \otimes \mathbf{B}[d-1] \to 0.$$

Stitching together the maps

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{1}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathrm{H}_{d-2}, \mathbf{B}) \to \cdots \to \mathrm{Ext}^{d-3}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathrm{H}_2, \mathbf{B}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{d-2}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathrm{H}_1, \mathbf{B}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{B}}((L_1), \mathbf{B}),$$

it gives that $\mathrm{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{B}}((L_1), \mathbf{B})$ is generated by $d-1$ elements. □

Binary ideals. Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x, y]$ (instead of the general notation $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, x_2]$). Let $I \subset \mathbf{R} = k[x, y]$ be an (x, y)-primary ideal generated by three forms of degree n. I has a minimal free resolution

$$0 \to \mathbf{R}^2 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbf{R}^3 \longrightarrow I \to 0.$$

We will assume throughout the section that the first column of φ has degree r, the other degree $s \geq r$. We note $n = r + s$.

Here we give a general format of the elimination equation of I up to a power, thus answering several questions raised in [**88**].

Elimination equation and degree. Set $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3]$ as before. Notice that $\mathbf{B}$ is standard bigraded over k. We denote by f and g the defining forms of the symmetric algebra of I, i.e., the generators of the ideal $(L_1) \subset \mathbf{B}$ in the earlier notation. We write this in the form

$$[f, g] = [\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3] \cdot \varphi.$$

In the standard bigrading, by assumption, f has bidegree $(\mathbf{R}, 1)$, g bidegree $(s, 1)$. According to Lemma 5.1.12, the component L_2 could be determined from $(L_1) : I_1(\varphi)$. In dimension two it is more convenient to get hold of a smaller quotient, $N = (L_1) : (x, y)^r$. We apply basic linkage theory to develop some properties of this ideal.

- N, being a direct link of the Cohen-Macaulay ideal $(x, y)^r$, is a perfect Cohen-Macaulay ideal of codimension two. The canonical module of $\mathbf{B}/N$ is generated by $(x, y)^r\mathbf{B}/(f, g)$, so that its Cohen-Macaulay type is $r + 1$, according to Theorem 5.1.2.
- Therefore, by the Hilbert-Burch theorem, N is the ideal of maximal minors of an $(r + 2) \times (r + 1)$ matrix ζ of homogeneous forms.

- Thus, $N = (f, g) : (x, y)^r$ has a presentation $0 \to \mathbf{B}^{r+1} \xrightarrow{\zeta} \mathbf{B}^{r+2} \longrightarrow N \to 0$, where ζ can be written in the form

$$\zeta = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma \\ - - - - - - - \\ \tau \end{bmatrix},$$

  with σ is a $2\times(r+1)$ submatrix with rows whose entries are biforms of bidegree $(0, 1)$ and $(s-r, 1)$; and τ is an $r\times(r+1)$ submatrix whose entries are biforms of bidegree $(1, 0)$.
- Since $N \subset L_2$, this shows that in L_2 there are r forms $\mathbf{h}_i$ of degree 2 in the $\mathbf{T}_i$ whose $\mathbf{R}$-coefficients are forms in $(x, y)^{s-1}$.
- If $s = r$, write

$$[\mathbf{h}] = [\mathbf{h}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{h}_r] = [x^{r-1} \ x^{r-2}y \ \cdots \ xy^{r-2} \ y^{r-1}] \cdot \mathbf{C}, \tag{5.1.20.1}$$

  where $\mathbf{C}$ is an $r \times r$ matrix whose entries belong to $k[\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3]$.
- If $s > r$, collecting the $s - r$ forms of the product $f(x, y)^{s-r-1}$, write

$$[\mathbf{f}; \mathbf{h}] = [f(x, y)^{s-r-1}; \ \mathbf{h}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{h}_r] = [x^{s-1} \ x^{s-2}y \ \cdots \ xy^{s-2} \ y^{s-1}] \cdot \mathbf{C}, \tag{5.1.20.2}$$

  where $\mathbf{C}$ is an $s \times s$ matrix whose entries belong to $k[\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3]$.

A first consequence of this analysis is one of our main results:

THEOREM 5.1.21. *In both cases,* $\det \mathbf{C}$ *is a polynomial of degree* n.

PROOF. Case $s = r$: Suppose that $\det \mathbf{C} = 0$. Then there exists a nonzero vector $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_r \end{bmatrix}$ whose entries are in $k[\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3]$ such that $\mathbf{C} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_r \end{bmatrix} = 0$. Hence $[\mathbf{h}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{h}_r] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_r \end{bmatrix} = 0$. Since the relations of the $0, 0, \mathbf{h}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{h}_r$ are $\mathbf{B}$-linear combinations of the columns of ζ, we get a contradiction.

The assertion on the degree follows since the degree of $\det \mathbf{C}$ is $2r = r + s = n$.

Case $s > r$: Suppose that $\det \mathbf{C} = 0$. Then there exists a nonzero vector $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_s \end{bmatrix}$ whose entries are in $k[\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3]$ such that $\mathbf{C} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_s \end{bmatrix} = 0$. Hence $[\mathbf{f}; \ \mathbf{h}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{h}_r] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_s \end{bmatrix} = 0$. We write this relation as follows

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s-r} \mathbf{a_i} f x^{s-r-i} y^{i-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mathbf{a}_{s-r+j} \mathbf{h}_j = \mathbf{a} f + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mathbf{a}_{s-r+j} \mathbf{h}_j = 0,$$

where

$$\mathbf{a} = \sum_{i=1}^{s-r} \mathbf{a_i} x^{s-r-i} y^{i-1}.$$

Since the relations of the $f, 0, \mathbf{h}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{h}_r$ are $\mathbf{B}$-linear combinations of the columns of ζ,

- $\mathbf{a}_{s-r+j} \in (x,y)\mathbf{B}$, for $1 \leq j \leq r$; and
- $\mathbf{a} \in (x,y)^{s-r}\mathbf{B}$,

and therefore $\mathbf{a}_i \in (x,y)\mathbf{B}$, for all i. This gives a contradiction.

The assertion on the degree follows since the degree of $\det \mathbf{C}$ is $(s-r)+2r = r+s = n$. □

EXAMPLE 5.1.22. Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x,y]$ and I the ideal defined by $\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} x^2 & y^4 \\ xy & x^3y + x^4 \\ y^2 & xy^3 \end{bmatrix}$.

- $N = (f,g) : \mathfrak{m}^2 = (f, g, h_1, h_2)$, where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f & = & x^2\mathbf{T}_1 + xy\mathbf{T}_2 + y^2\mathbf{T}_3 \\ g & = & y^4\mathbf{T}_1 + (x^3y + x^4)\mathbf{T}_2 + xy^3\mathbf{T}_3 \\ \mathbf{h}_1 & = & y^3\mathbf{T}_1^2 - x^3\mathbf{T}_2^2 - x^2y\mathbf{T}_2^2 + xy^2\mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{T}_3 - x^2y\mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{T}_3 - xy^2\mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{T}_3 \\ \mathbf{h}_2 & = & xy^2\mathbf{T}_1^2 + y^3\mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{T}_2 - x^3\mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{T}_3 - x^2y\mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{T}_3 - y^3\mathbf{T}_3^2 \end{array}$$

- $[xf \;\; yf \;\; h_1 \;\; h_2] = \mathfrak{m}^3\mathbf{C}$, where

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_1 & 0 & -\mathbf{T}_2^2 & -\mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{T}_3 \\ \mathbf{T}_2 & \mathbf{T}_1 & -\mathbf{T}_2^2 - \mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{T}_3 & -\mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{T}_3 \\ \mathbf{T}_3 & \mathbf{T}_2 & \mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{T}_3 - \mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{T}_3 & \mathbf{T}_1^2 \\ 0 & \mathbf{T}_3 & \mathbf{T}_1^2 & \mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{T}_2 - \mathbf{T}_3^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- $\det \mathbf{C}$ is the elimination equation.

REMARK 5.1.23. The polynomials $\mathbf{h}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{h}_r$ were also obtained in [**88**] by a direct process involving Sylvester elimination, in the cases $s = r$ or $s = r+1$. In [**88**] though they did not arrive with the elements of structure–that is with their relations–provided in the Hilbert-Burch matrix. It is this fact that opens the way in the binary case to a greater generality to the ideals treated and a more detailed understanding of the ideal $\mathbf{L}$.

The next result provides a secondary elimination degree for these ideals.

COROLLARY 5.1.24. $\mathbf{L} = (L_1) : (x,y)^{n-1}$.

PROOF. With the previous notation, let $N = \mathbf{L} \cap Q$ be the primary decomposition of N, where Q is $(x,y)\mathbf{B}$-primary. Writing $\beta = \det \mathbf{C}$, we then have $N : \beta = Q$. On the other hand, $(L_1, \mathbf{h}) \subset N$ by construction and $(x,y)^{s-1}S \subset (L_1, \mathbf{h}) : \beta$ since by (5.1.20.1 and 5.1.20.2) the biforms $\mathbf{h}$, or $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h}$, must effectively involve all monomials of degree $s-1$ in x, y. It follows that $(x,y)^{s-1}\mathbf{B} \subset Q$, hence

$$\mathbf{L}(x,y)^{s-1} \subset \mathbf{L}Q \subset \mathbf{L} \cap Q = N = (L_1) : (x,y)^r,$$

thus implying that $\mathbf{L}(x,y)^{s+r-1} \subset (L_1)$. This shows the assertion. □

THEOREM 5.1.25. *Let I be as above and $\beta = \det \mathbf{C}$. Then β is a power of the elimination equation of I.*

PROOF. Let $\mathbf{p}$ denote the elimination equation of I. Since $\mathbf{p}$ is irreducible it suffices to show that β divides a power of $\mathbf{p}$.

The associated primes of $N = (L_1) : (x, y)^r$ are the defining ideal L of the Rees algebra and $\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{B} = (x, y)\mathbf{B}$. We have a primary decomposition

$$N = \mathbf{L} \cap Q,$$

where Q is $\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{B}$-primary. From the proof of Theorem 5.1.21, localizing at $\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{B}$ gives $(x, y)^{s-1}\mathbf{B} \subset Q$. (Equality will hold when $r = s$.)

The equality $N = \mathbf{L} \cap Q = (L_1, \mathbf{h})$ implies that $(x, y)^{s-1}\mathbf{p} \subset (L_1, \mathbf{h})$. Since f, g are of bidegrees $(r, 1)$ and $(s, 1)$, it must be the case that each polynomial $x^i y^{s-1-i}\mathbf{p}$ lies in the span of the $(f(x, y)^{s-r-1}, \mathbf{h})$ alone. This gives a representation

$$\mathbf{p}[(x, y)^{s-1}] = [\mathbf{f}; \mathbf{h}] \cdot \mathbf{A},$$

(or simply $\mathbf{p}[(x, y)^{r-1}] = [\mathbf{h}] \cdot \mathbf{A}$, if $s = r$) where $\mathbf{A}$ is an $s \times s$ matrix with entries in $k[\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3]$. Replacing $[\mathbf{f}; \mathbf{h}]$ by $[(x, y)^{s-1}] \cdot \mathbf{C}$, gives the matrix equation

$$[(x, y)^{s-1}]\big(\mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{p}\mathbf{I}\big) = 0,$$

where $\mathbf{I}$ is the $s \times s$ identity matrix.

Since the minimal syzygies of $(x, y)^{s-1}$ have coefficients in (x, y), we must have

$$\mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{p}\mathbf{I},$$

so that $\det \mathbf{C} \cdot \det \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{p}^r$, as desired. □

Ternary ideals. We outline a conjectural scenario that we expect many such ideals to conform to. Suppose I is an ideal of $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ generated by forms a_1, a_2, a_3, a of degree $n \geq 2$, with $J = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ being a minimal reduction. This approach is required because the linkage theory method lacks the predictability of the binary ideal case.

Balanced ternary ideals. Suppose that I is $(n-1)$–balanced, where n is the degree of the generators of I.

By Corollary 5.1.17(a) there are n linear forms $\mathbf{f}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\mathbf{f}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{4} c_{ij}\mathbf{T}_j \in L_1,$$

arising from the syzygies of I of degree $n - 1$. These syzygies, according to Theorem 5.1.2, come from the syzygies of $J : a$, which by the structure theorem of codimension three Gorenstein ideals, is given by the Pfaffians of a skew-symmetric matrix Φ, of size at most $2n - 1$.

According to Proposition 5.1.13, there are $\binom{n}{2}$ quadratic forms $\mathbf{h}_k$ $(1 \leq k \leq \binom{n}{2})$:

$$\mathbf{h}_k = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 4} c_{ijk}\mathbf{T}_i\mathbf{T}_j \in L_2,$$

with $\mathbf{R}$-coefficients of degree $n - 1$.

Picking a basis for $\mathfrak{m}^{n-1}$ (simply denoted by $\mathfrak{m}^{n-1}$), and writing the $\mathbf{f}_i$ and $\mathbf{h}_k$ in matrix format, we

$$[\mathbf{f}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_n, \mathbf{h}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{h}_{\binom{n}{2}}] \quad = \quad \mathfrak{m}^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{B}, \tag{5.1.25.1}$$

where $\mathbf{B}$ is the corresponding content matrix (see [**171**, ???]). Observe that $\det \mathbf{B}$ is either zero, or a polynomial of degree

$$n + 2\binom{n}{2} = n^2.$$

It is therefore a likely candidate for the *elimination equation*. Verification consists in checking that $\det \mathbf{B}$ is irreducible for an ideal in any given *generic* class. We will make this more precise on a quick analysis of the lower degree cases.

THEOREM 5.1.26. *If $I \subset R = k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ is a $(n-1)$-balanced almost complete intersection ideal generated by forms of degree n ($n \leq 7$), then*

$$\det \mathbf{B} \neq 0.$$

PROOF. Write each of the quadrics $\mathbf{h}_j$ above in the form

$$\mathbf{h}_j = c_j \mathbf{T}_4^2 + \mathbf{T}_4 \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3) + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3),$$

where c_j is a form of $\mathbf{R}$ of degree $n-1$, and similarly,

$$\mathbf{f}_i = c_{i4}\mathbf{T}_4 + \sum_{k=1}^{3} c_{ik}\mathbf{T}_k \in (L_1).$$

Write $\mathfrak{c} = (c_j, c_{i4})$ for the ideal of $\mathbf{R}$ generated by the leading coefficients of $\mathbf{T}_4$ in the $\mathbf{f}_i$'s and of $\mathbf{T}_4^2$ in the $\mathbf{h}_j$'s. It is apparent that if $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{m}^{n-1}$, there will be a non-cancelling term $\mathbf{T}_4^{n^2}$ in the expansion of $\det \mathbf{B}$.

To argue that indeed $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{m}^{n-1}$ is the case, assume otherwise. Since the $\mathbf{f}_i$ are minimal generators that contribute to $(J : a)$, we may assume that the c_{i4} are linearly independent. This implies that we may replace one of the $\mathbf{h}_j$ by a form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h} &= \mathbf{T}_4 \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3) + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3) \\ &= \mathbf{T}_4(\mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{T}_1 + r_2\mathbf{T}_2 + r_3\mathbf{T}_3) + \mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{g}_1 + \mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{g}_2 + \mathbf{T}_3\mathbf{g}_3, \end{aligned}$$

where the r_i are $(n-1)$-forms in $\mathbf{R}$ and the $\mathbf{g}$'s are $\mathbf{T}$-linear involving only $\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3$.

Evaluate now $\mathbf{T}_i$ at the corresponding generator of I to get

$$(ar_1 + \mathbf{g}_1(a_1, a_2, a_3))\, a_1 + (ar_2 + \mathbf{g}_2(a_1, a_2, a_3))\, a_3 + (ar_3 + \mathbf{g}_3(a_1, a_2, a_3))\, a_3 = 0,$$

a syzygy of the ideal $J = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$. Since J is a complete intersection, $ar_i + \mathbf{g}_i(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \mathbf{u}_i(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in J$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, with $\mathbf{u}_i$ a linear form in $\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3$ with coefficients in $\mathbf{R}$. These are syzygies of the generators of I, so lifting back to 1-forms in $\mathbf{T}$ and substituting yields $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}' + \mathbf{k}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}' &= (\mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{T}_4 + \mathbf{g}_1(\mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3) - \mathbf{u}_1(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3))\, \mathbf{T}_1 \\ &+ (\mathbf{R}_2\mathbf{T}_4 + \mathbf{g}_2(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3) - \mathbf{u}_2(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3))\, \mathbf{T}_2 \\ &+ (\mathbf{R}_3\mathbf{T}_4 + \mathbf{g}_3(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3) - \mathbf{u}_3(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3))\, \mathbf{T}_3 \end{aligned}$$

is an element of (L_1), and because $\mathbf{h}$ is a relation then so is the term $\mathbf{k}$. But the latter only involves $\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3$, hence it belongs to the defining ideal of the symmetric algebra of the complete intersection J. But the latter is certainly contained in (L_1). Summing up we have found that $\mathbf{h} \in (L_1)$, which is a contradiction since $\mathbf{h}$ is a minimal generator of $L_2/\mathbf{S}_1 L_1$. □

EXAMPLE 5.1.27. Let $I = (J, a)$, where $J = (x_1^3, x_2^3, x_3^3)$ and $a = x_1x_2x_3$.

- $\nu(J : a) = 3$.
- The Hilbert series of $\mathbf{R}/(J : a)$ is $1 + 3t + 3t^2 + t^3$.
- $(L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^4 = (L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^5$ while $(L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^3 \neq (L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^4$
- Equations of I:
$$\begin{cases} L = (L_1, L_2, L_3) \\ \nu(L_1) = 6; \nu(L_2) = 3; L_3 = kF, \end{cases}$$
where $F = -\mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{T}_3 + \mathbf{T}_4^3$ is the elimination equation.
- The corresponding map Ψ_I is not birational onto its image: the elimination equation has degree 3, as one easily computes by hand: $F = -\mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{T}_3 + \mathbf{T}_4^3$.
- $I_1(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}^2$, i.e., I is 2–balanced.
This shows that there are $(n-1)$–balanced ideals $I \subset k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ generated in degree n such that the corresponding map Ψ_I is not birational onto its image.
- Let $\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{f}_3$ be a part of generators of L_1 with coefficients in $\mathfrak{m}^2$ and $L_2 = (h_1, h_2, h_3)$. Then we write $[\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{f}_3, \mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \mathbf{h}_3] = \mathfrak{m}^2\mathbf{B}$ (Equation (5.1.25.1), where
$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{T}_4 & \mathbf{T}_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{T}_4 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{T}_2 & 0 \\ -\mathbf{T}_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{T}_1 \\ \mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{T}_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{T}_4^2 \\ 0 & \mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{T}_3 & 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{T}_4^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{T}_2 & -\mathbf{T}_4^2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
- $\det \mathbf{B} = F^3$.

REMARK 5.1.28. To strengthen Theorem 5.1.26 to the assertion that $\det \mathbf{B}$ is a power of the elimination equation, one needs more understanding of the ideal $(L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^{n-1}$. Here is one such instance.

PROPOSITION 5.1.29. *Let $I \subset R = k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ be an $(n-1)$-balanced almost complete intersection ideal generated by forms of degree n. Keeping the notation introduced at the beginning of this section, we obtain the following :*

(a) *$2n - 2$ is a secondary elimination degree of I.*
(b) *If $(L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^{n-1} = (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h}) = (\mathbf{f}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_n, \mathbf{h}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{h}_{\binom{n}{2}})$, then $\det \mathbf{B}$ is a power of the elimination equation.*

PROOF. Let $(L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^{n-1} = L \cap Q$, Q an $\mathfrak{m}S$-primary ideal. As in (5.1.25.1) one has $[\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h}] = \mathfrak{m}^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{B}$. Notice that $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h}) \subset ((L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^{n-1})$. Write $\beta = \det \mathbf{B}$. Since $\det \mathbf{B} \neq 0$, it follows that
$$\mathfrak{m}^{n-1}S \subset (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h}) : \beta \subset ((L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^{n-1}) : \beta = Q.$$

This implies that

$$L \cdot \mathfrak{m}^{n-1} \subset LQ \subset (L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^{n-1}.$$

Hence $L = (L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^{2n-2}$, which proves (i).

Now suppose that $(L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^{n-1} = (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h})$. By (i), we have

$$\mathbf{p} \in (L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^{2n-2} = ((L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^{n-1}) : \mathfrak{m}^{n-1}.$$

Therefore $\mathbf{p}\mathfrak{m}^{n-1} \subset (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h})$, which gives a representation

$$\mathbf{p}[\mathfrak{m}^{n-1}] = [\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h}] \cdot \mathbf{A},$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is a square matrix with entries in $\mathbf{S}$. Replacing $[\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h}]$ by $[\mathfrak{m}^{n-1}] \cdot \mathbf{B}$, gives the matrix equation

$$[\mathfrak{m}^{n-1}]\big(\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{p}\mathbf{I}\big) = 0,$$

where $\mathbf{I}$ is the identity matrix. Since the minimal syzygies of $\mathfrak{m}^{r-1}$ have coefficients in $\mathfrak{m}$, we must have

$$\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{p}\mathbf{I},$$

so that $\det \mathbf{B} \cdot \det \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{p}^m$, for certain m; this proves (ii). □

Ternary quadrics. We apply the preceding discussion to the situation where the ideal I is generated by 4 quadrics of the polynomial ring $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$. The socle of $\mathbf{R}/J$ is generated by the Jacobian determinant of a_1, a_2, a_3, which implies that $\lambda(I/J) \geq 2$. Together we obtain that $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I) = 6$. The Hilbert function of $\mathbf{R}/I$ is $(1, 3, 2)$. Since we cannot have $u\mathfrak{m} \subset I$ for some 1-form u, the type of I is 2 and its socle is generated in degree three.

The canonical module of $\mathbf{R}/I$ satisfies $\lambda((J : a)/J) = 6$, hence $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}/(J : a)) = 1$, that is to say $J : a = (v_1, v_2, v_3^2)$, where the v_i are linearly independent 1-forms. $\mathbf{R}/I$ has a free presentation

$$0 \to R^2 \longrightarrow R^5 \xrightarrow{\varphi} R^4 \longrightarrow R/I \to 0.$$

The ideal $I_1(\varphi)$ is either $\mathfrak{m}$ or (v_1, v_2, v_3^2). In the first case, $\delta(I)$ is the socle of $H_1(I)$, an element of degree 3, therefore its image in L_2 is a 2-form h_1 with linear coefficients. In particular reduction number cannot be two. Putting it together with the two linear syzygies of I, we

$$[\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{h}_1] = [x_1, x_2, x_3] \cdot \mathbf{B},$$

where $\mathbf{B}$ is a 3×3 matrix with entries in $k[\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3, \mathbf{T}_4]$, of column degrees $(1, 1, 2)$. The quartic $\det \mathbf{B}$, is the elimination equation of I.

In the other case, $\delta(I)$ has degree two, so its image in L_2 is a form with coefficients in the field. The corresponding mapping Ψ_I is not birational.

We sum up the findings in this case:

THEOREM 5.1.30. *Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ and I a $\mathfrak{m}$-primary almost complete intersection generated by quadrics. Then*

(a) *If $I_1(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}$ the corresponding mapping Ψ_I is birational onto its image.*
(b) *If $I_1(\varphi) \neq \mathfrak{m}$ then $I_1(\varphi) = (v_1, v_2, v_3^2)$, where v_1, v_2, v_3 are linearly independent 1-forms, and the mapping Ψ_I is not birational onto its image.*

Here is a sufficiently general example fitting the first case in the above theorem (same behavior as 4 random quadrics):

$$J = (x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2), \quad a = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3. \tag{5.1.30.1}$$

A calculation shows that $\det \mathbf{B}$ is irreducible.

An example which is degenerate (non-birational) as in the second case above is

$$J = (x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2), \quad a = x_1x_2. \tag{5.1.30.2}$$

In this case $\det \mathbf{B}$ is a square, so we still recover the elimination equation from $\mathbf{B}$.

Ternary cubics and quartics. Let I be an ideal generated by 4 cubics and suppose that I is 2-balanced (i.e., $I_1(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}^2$). Using this (see the beginning of Section 5.1.4) and the fact that $J : a$ is a codimension 3 Gorenstein ideal, it follows that $J : a$ is minimally generated by the Pfaffians of a skew-symmetric matrix of sizes 3 or 5.

In the first case, $J : a$ is generated by 3 quadrics and I is a Northcott ideal. In the second case, $J : a$ cannot be generated by 5 quadrics, as its Hilbert function would be $(1, 3, 1)$ and therefore the Hilbert function of $\mathbf{R}/I$ would have to be

$$(1, 3, 6, 7, 6, 3, 1) - (0, 0, 0, 1, 3, 1) = (1, 3, 6, 6, 3, 2, 1),$$

giving that the canonical module of $\mathbf{R}/I$ had a generator in degree 0. Thus $J : a$ must be generated by 3 quadrics and 2 cubics.

In both cases the ideal I is well balanced.

THEOREM 5.1.31. *Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ and I a generic well balanced $\mathfrak{m}$-primary almost complete intersection. Then*

(a) *If I generated by cubics and $I_1(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}^2$, the polynomial $\det \mathbf{B}$, defined by the equation (5.1.25.1), is the elimination equation of I.*
(b) *If I generated by quartics and $I_1(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}^3$, the polynomial $\det \mathbf{B}$, defined by the equation (5.1.25.1), is the elimination equation of I.*

PROOF. In the following table we give typical cubics. In the third example, $\det \mathbf{B}$ is divisible by $(\mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{T}_3 - \mathbf{T}_4^3)$.

I	$\nu(J : a)$	edeg(I)	L
$(x_1^3 + x_2^2x_3,\ x_2^3 + x_1x_3^2,\ x_3^3 + x_1^2x_2,\ a = x_1x_2x_3)$	3	9	$(L_1, L_2, L_5, L_9 = \det \mathbf{B})$
$(x_1^3,\ x_2^3,\ x_3^3, a = x_1^2x_2 + x_2^2x_3 + x_1x_3^2)$	5	9	$(L_1, L_2, L_4, L_9 = \det \mathbf{B})$
$(x_1^3,\ x_2^3,\ x_3^3, a = x_1x_2x_3)$	3	3	(L_1, L_2, L_3)

□

EXAMPLE 5.1.32. Let $I = (J, a)$, where $J = (x_1^3 + x_2^2x_3,\ x_2^3 + x_1x_3^2,\ x_3^3 + x_1^2x_2)$ and $a = x_1x_2x_3$.

- $\nu(J : a) = 3$ (all generators are quadrics)
- $I_1(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}^2$
- The Hilbert series of $\mathbf{R}/(J : a)$ is $1 + 3t + 3t^2 + t^3$
- $(L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^4 = (L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^5$ while $(L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^3 \neq (L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^4$

- Equations of I:

$$\begin{cases} L = (L_1, L_2, L_5, L_9) \\ \nu(L_1) = 6; \nu(L_2) = 3; \nu(L_5) = 15; L_9 = k \det \mathbf{B}, \end{cases}$$

  where $\det \mathbf{B}$ is the elimination equation.
- $\operatorname{edeg}(I) = 9 = 3^2$ (the mapping Ψ_I is birational onto its image)

EXAMPLE 5.1.33. Let $I = (J, a)$, where $J = (x_1^3, x_2^3, x_3^3)$ and $a = x_1^2x_2 + x_2^2x_3 + x_1x_3^2$.

- $\nu(J : a) = 5$ (3 quadratic and 2 cubic Pfaffians))
- $I_1(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}^2$
- Hilbert series of $\mathbf{R}/(J : a)$ is $1 + 3t + 3t^2 + t^3$.
- $(L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^4 = (L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^5$ while $(L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^3 \neq (L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^4$.
- Equations of I:

$$\begin{cases} L = (L_1, L_2, L_4, L_9) \\ \nu(L_1) = 7; \nu(L_2) = 3; \nu(L_4) = 6; L_9 = k \det \mathbf{B}, \end{cases}$$

  where $\det \mathbf{B}$ is the elimination equation.
- $\operatorname{edeg}(I) = 9 = 3^2$ (the mapping Ψ_I is birational onto its image)

EXAMPLE 5.1.34. In the case of 3-balanced quartics, $\det \mathbf{B}$ is a polynomial of degree 16,

$$[\mathbf{f}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_4, \mathbf{h}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{h}_6] \quad = \quad \mathfrak{m}^3 \cdot \mathbf{B}. \tag{5.1.34.1}$$

A typical example is $I = (x^4, y^4, z^4, x^3y + y^3z + z^3x)$, $I_1(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}^3$ and $\det \mathbf{B}$ is irreducible.

Quaternary quadrics. Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ with $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$. Let I be generated by 5 quadrics $a = a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5$ of $\mathbf{R}$, $J = (a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)$. The analysis of this case is less extensive than the case of ternary ideals. Let us assume that $I_1(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}^2$ – that is, the balancedness exponent equals the degree of the generators, a case that occurs generically in this degree.

We claim that the Hilbert function of $\mathbf{R}/I$ is $(1, 4, 5)$. Since we cannot have $u\mathfrak{m} \subset I$ for some 1-form u, its socle is generated in degree two or higher. First, we argue that $J : a \neq \mathfrak{m}^2$; in fact, otherwise $\mathbf{R}/I$ would be of length 11 and type 6 as $\mathfrak{m}^2/J$ is its canonical module. But then the Hilbert function of $\mathbf{R}/I$ would be $(1, 4, 5, 1)$, and the last two graded components would be in the socle, which is impossible.

Thus it must be the case that $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I) = 10$ and the Hilbert function of $H_1(I)$ is $(5, 4, 1)$. Note that $\nu(\delta(I)) = 4$.

The last two graded components are of degrees 3 and 4. In degree 3 it leads to 4 forms $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{q}_4$ in L_2, with linear coefficients:

$$[\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{q}_4] = [x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4] \cdot \mathbf{B}.$$

THEOREM 5.1.35. $\det \mathbf{B} \neq 0$.

PROOF. We follow the pattern of the proof of Theorem 5.1.26. Write each of the quadrics $\mathbf{q}_i$ as

$$\mathbf{q}_i = c_i\mathbf{T}_5^2 + \mathbf{T}_5\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{T}_1 \ldots, \mathbf{T}_4) + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_4),$$

where c_i is a linear form of $\mathbf{R}$. If $(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) = \mathfrak{m}$ we can take the c_i for indeterminates in order to obtain the corresponding $\det \mathbf{B}$. In this case the occurrence of a non-cancelling term $\mathbf{T}_5^8$ in $\det \mathbf{B}$ would be clear.

By contradiction, assume that the forms c_i are not linearly independent. In this case, we could replace one of the $\mathbf{q}_i$ by a form

$$\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{T}_5 \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_4) + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_4).$$

Keeping in mind that $\mathbf{q}$ is a minimal generator we are going to argue that $\mathbf{q} \in (L_1)$. For that end, write the form $\mathbf{q}$ as

$$\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{T}_5(r_1\mathbf{T}_1 + \cdots + r_4\mathbf{T}_4) + \mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{g}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{T}_4\mathbf{g}_4,$$

where the r_i are 1-forms in $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{g}_i$'s are $\mathbf{T}$–linear involving only $\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_4$.

Evaluate now the leading $\mathbf{T}_i$ at the ideal to get the syzygy

$$\mathbf{h} = a(r_1\mathbf{T}_1 + \cdots + r_4\mathbf{T}_4) + a_1\mathbf{g}_1 + \cdots + a_4\mathbf{g}_4,$$

of I, but actually of the ideal J. Since J is a complete intersection, all the coefficients of $\mathbf{h}$ lie in J. This implies that $r_i a \in J$ for all r_i. But this is impossible since $J : a \in I_1(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}^2$, unless all $r_i = 0$. This would imply that $\mathbf{q} \in (L_1)$, as asserted. □

THEOREM 5.1.36. *Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ and I a generic $\mathfrak{m}$-primary almost complete intersection. If I is generated by quadrics and $I_1(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}^2$, the polynomial $\det \mathbf{B}$, defined by the equation* (5.1.25.1) *is divisible by the elimination equation of I.*

Primary decomposition. We now derive a value for the secondary elimination degree via a primary decomposition.

PROPOSITION 5.1.37. *Let $I = (a, b, c, d, e)$ be an ideal of $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ generated by quadrics such that $I_1(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}^2$. Let L be the defining ideal of the Rees algebra of I. Then*

$$(L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^2 = L \cap \mathfrak{m}S.$$

In particular,

$$L = (L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^3.$$

By Theorem 5.1.7, it will suffice to show:

LEMMA 5.1.38. $\mathfrak{m}^3 = (J : a)\mathfrak{m}$.

PROOF. We make use of the diagram



Let $J = (b, c, d, e)$ be a minimal reduction of I. Since $J : a$ is a Gorenstein ideal, it cannot be $\mathfrak{m}^2$. On the other hand, $\lambda(J : a/J) = \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I) \geq 10$. Thus $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}^2/(J : a)) = 1$ and $(J : a) : \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}^2$ defines the socle of $\mathbf{R}/(J : a)$. The Hilbert function of $\mathbf{R}/J : a$ is then $(1, 4, 1)$ which implies that $\mathfrak{m}^3 = (J : a)\mathfrak{m}$. □

Examples of quaternary quadrics. We give a glimpse of the various cases.

EXAMPLE 5.1.39. Let $I = (J, a)$, and $J = (x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, x_4^2)$ and $a = x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_3x_4$

- $\nu(J : a) = 9$ (all generators are quadrics)
- $I_1(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}^2$ (i.e., 2-balanced)
- $\operatorname{edeg}(I) = 8 = 2^3$ (i.e., birational)
- As explained above, there are four Rees equations q_j of bidegree $(1, 2)$ coming from the syzygetic principle. One has:

$$\begin{cases} L = (L_1, L_2, L_9), \\ \nu(L_1) = 15; \nu(L_2) = 4; L_9 = k\mathbf{p}, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{p} = \det \mathbf{B} \end{cases}$$

The following example is similar to the above example, including the syzygetic principle, except that F is now the square root of $\det \mathbf{B}$.

EXAMPLE 5.1.40. Let $I = (J, a)$, where $J = (x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, x_4^2)$ and $a = x_1x_2 + x_3x_4$.

- $\nu(J : a) = 9$ (all generators are quadrics)
- $I_1(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}^2$
- The Hilbert series of $\mathbf{R}/(J : a)$ is $1 + 4t + t^2$
- $(L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^3 = (L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^4$ while $(L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^2 \neq (L_1) : \mathfrak{m}^3$
- Equations of I:

$$\begin{cases} L = (L_1, L_2, L_4) \\ \nu(L_1) = 15; \nu(L_2) = 4; L_4 = k\mathbf{p}. \end{cases}$$

- $\operatorname{edeg}(I) = 4$ (the mapping Ψ_I is non-birational onto its image)
- Let $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{q}_4$ be 4 generating forms in L_2, with linear coefficients. Write

$$[\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{q}_4] = [x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4] \cdot \mathbf{B},$$

Then $\det \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{p}^2$.

EXAMPLE 5.1.41. Let $I = (J, a)$, where $J = (x_1^2,\ x_2^2,\ x_3^2,\ x_4^2)$ and $a = x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_3x_4 + x_1x_4$.

- $\nu(J : a) = 4$ (complete intersection of 2 linear equations and 2 quadrics)
- $I_1(\varphi) = \mathfrak{m}$ (i.e., 1-balanced, not 2-balanced)
- $\nu(Z_1) = 9$ (2 linear syzygies and 7 quadratic ones)
- Hilbert series of $\mathbf{R}/(J : a)$: $1 + 2t + t^2$.
- $L_1 : \mathfrak{m}^3 = L_1 : \mathfrak{m}^4$ but $L_1 : \mathfrak{m}^2 \neq L_1 : \mathfrak{m}^3$
- $\operatorname{edeg}(I) = 8 = 2^3$ (i.e., birational)
- The syzygetic principle does not work to get the elimination equation: One has:
$$\begin{cases} L = (L_1, L_2, L_3, L_8), \\ \nu(L_1) = 9; \nu(L_2) = 1; \nu(L_3) = 2, L_8 = k\mathbf{p}, \end{cases}$$
Nevertheless there are relationships among these numbers that are understood from the syzygetic discussion. Thus Theorem 5.1.16(c) implies that $L_2/\mathbf{S}_1L_1$ is generated by one form with linear coefficients.
- Let f_1 and f_2 be in L_1 with linear coefficients, i.e.,
  - ♢ $f_1 = (x_1 + x_3)(\mathbf{T}_2 - \mathbf{T}_4) + (x_2 - x_4)(-\mathbf{T}_5)$
  - ♢ $f_2 = (x_1 - x_3)(-\mathbf{T}_5) + (x_2 + x_4)(\mathbf{T}_1 - \mathbf{T}_3)$
- Let $h + L_1\mathbf{S}_1$ be a generator of $L_2/L_1\mathbf{S}_1$. We observed that, as a coset, h can be written as $h = h_1 + \mathbf{S}_1L_1$ and $h = h_2 + \mathbf{S}_1L_1$, with h_1 and h_2 forms of bidegree $(2, 2)$, with $\mathbf{R}$-content contained in the contents of f_1 and f_2, respectfully.
- Let $h_1 = (-2x_1\mathbf{T}_4\mathbf{T}_5 - 2x_3\mathbf{T}_4\mathbf{T}_5 + x_4\mathbf{T}_5^2)(x_1 + x_3) + (x_3\mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{T}_2 - x_3\mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{T}_3 - x_3\mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{T}_4 + x_3\mathbf{T}_3\mathbf{T}_4 - x_4\mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{T}_5 + 2x_2\mathbf{T}_3\mathbf{T}_5 - x_4\mathbf{T}_3\mathbf{T}_5 - x_3\mathbf{T}_5^2)(x_2 - x_4)$. Then $h_1 \in L_2$ and $2h + h_1 \in L_1\mathbf{S}_1$. Hence we may choose $h_1 + L_1\mathbf{S}_1$ to be a generator of $L_2/L_1\mathbf{S}_1$.
- Let $h_2 = (x_4\mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{T}_2 - x_4\mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{T}_3 - x_4\mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{T}_4 + x_4\mathbf{T}_3\mathbf{T}_4 - x_3\mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{T}_5 + 2x_1\mathbf{T}_4\mathbf{T}_5 - x_3\mathbf{T}_4\mathbf{T}_5 - x_4\mathbf{T}_5^2)(x_1 - x_3) + (-2x_2\mathbf{T}_3\mathbf{T}_5 - 2x_4\mathbf{T}_3\mathbf{T}_5 + x_3\mathbf{T}_5^2)(x_2 + x_4)$. Then $h_2 \in L_2$ and $2h + h_2 \in L_1\mathbf{S}_1$. Hence we may choose $h_2 + L_1\mathbf{S}_1$ to be a generator of $L_2/L_1\mathbf{S}_1$.
- Write
$$[f_1\ \ h_1] = [x_1 + x_3\ \ x_2 - x_4]\mathbf{B}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad [f_2\ \ h_2] = [x_1 - x_3\ \ x_2 + x_4]\mathbf{B}_2$$
Then $\det \mathbf{B}_1$ and $\det \mathbf{B}_2$ form a minimal generating set of $L_3/\mathbf{S}_1L_2$.
- Write $[f_1\ \ f_2\ \ \det \mathbf{B}_1\ \ \det \mathbf{B}_2] = [x_1\ \ x_2\ \ x_3\ \ x_4]\mathbf{B}$. Then $\det \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{p}$.

5.1.5. Almost complete intersections of dimension 1. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$, and let I be a Cohen-Macaulay almost complete intersection of dimension 1. Consider the syzygetic sequence of one of these ideals,

$$0 \to \delta(I) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_1(I) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}/I)^d \longrightarrow I/I^2 \to 0.$$

$\mathrm{H}_1(I)$ is the canonical module of $\mathbf{R}/I$. We can express $\delta(I)$ in the same manner that was done in the case of almost complete intersections of finite colength.

PROPOSITION 5.1.42. *Let I be a Cohen-Macaulay ideal of dimension one, generated by d elements. Then*

$$\delta(I) = \operatorname{Hom}_{R/I}(\mathbf{R}/I_1(\varphi), \mathrm{H}_1(I)),$$

where $I_1(\varphi)$ is the ideal generated by the entries of the presentation matrix of I. Moreover, if $I_1(\varphi)$ is mixed, one can replace it in the formula for $\delta(I)$ by its component I_1 of dimension one. In particular $\delta(I)$ is generated by r elements, where r is the Cohen-Macaulay type of I_1.

PROOF. Since $\dim \mathbf{R}/I = 1$ and I is Cohen-Macaulay, the image L of $\mathrm{H}_1(I) \to (\mathbf{R}/I)^d$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module. Dualizing with $\mathrm{H}_1(I)$, gives the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}/I}(L, \mathrm{H}_1(I)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}/I}(\mathrm{H}_1(I), \mathrm{H}_1(I)) = \mathbf{R}/I \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}/I}(\delta(I), \mathrm{H}_1(I)) \to 0,$$

so $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}/I}(\delta(I), \mathrm{H}_1(I)) = \mathbf{R}/J$. Because this module is Cohen-Macaulay and of dimension 1, to determine J we can localize at the minimal prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ of I. Therefore, we have reduced the question to the case of ideals of finite colength and obtain that $J_{\mathfrak{p}} = I_1(\varphi)_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By duality,

$$\delta(I) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}/I}(\mathbf{R}/J, \mathrm{H}_1(I)),$$

the canonical module of $\mathbf{R}/J$. The last assertion follows from the representation of $\delta(I)$ as $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}/I}(\mathbf{R}/I_1(\varphi), \mathrm{H}_1(I))$. □

5.2. Almost Cohen–Macaulay Algebras

5.2.1. Introduction. Our goal in this section is to treat the following class of rings:

DEFINITION 5.2.1. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a local Noetherian ring (or a graded algebra over a local ring). If $\mathfrak{m}$ is its maximal ideal, we say that $\mathbf{R}$ is an *almost* Cohen–Macaulay algebra if $\operatorname{depth} \mathbf{R} \geq \dim \mathbf{R} - 1$.

For simplicity we refer to them as aCM algebras. There is no apparent for a separate designation for this subclass of Noetherian rings. We will argue that in the context of certain Rees algebras this property appears with frequency. Furthermore, the methods one uses in studying them and the numerical invariants they carry are very similar to Cohen–Macaulay algebras themselves. The properties we will focus on will be the calculation of multiplicities and the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity. The results in this section can be found in [**90**].

5.2.2. The canonical presentation. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring of dimension d, or a polynomial ring $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ for k a field. By an *almost complete intersection* we mean an ideal $I = (a_1, \ldots, a_g, a_{g+1})$ of codimension g where the subideal $J = (a_1, \ldots, a_g)$ is a complete intersection and $a_{g+1} \notin J$. By the *equations* of I it is meant a free presentation of the Rees algebra $\mathbf{R}[It]$ of I,

$$0 \to \mathbf{L} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_{g+1}] \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbf{R}[It] \to 0, \quad \mathbf{T}_i \mapsto f_i t. \tag{5.2.1.1}$$

More precisely, $\mathbf{L}$ is the defining ideal of the Rees algebra of I but we refer to it simply as the *ideal of equations* of I. We are particularly interested in establishing the properties of $\mathbf{L}$ when $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is Cohen–Macaulay or has almost maximal depth. This broader view requires a change of focus from $\mathbf{L}$ to one of its quotients. We are going to study some classes of ideals whose Rees algebras have these properties. They tend to occur in classes where the reduction number $\mathrm{r}_J(I)$ attains an extremal value.

We first set up the framework to deal with properties of $\mathbf{L}$ by a standard decomposition. We keep the notation of above, $I = (J, a)$. The presentation ideal $\mathbf{L}$ of $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is a graded ideal $\mathbf{L} = L_1 + L_2 + \cdots$, where L_1 are linear forms in the $\mathbf{T}_i$ defined by a matrix ϕ of the syzygies of I, $L_1 = [\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_{g+1}] \cdot \phi$. Our basic prism is given by the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{L}/(L_1) \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}/(L_1) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}[It] \to 0.$$

Here $\mathbf{B}/(L_1)$ is a presentation of the symmetric algebra of I and $\mathbf{S} = \mathcal{S}(I)$ is a Cohen–Macaulay ring under very broad conditions, including when I is an ideal of finite colength. The emphasis here will be entirely on $T = \mathbf{L}/(L_1)$, which we call the *module of nonlinear* relations of I. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Cohen–Macaulay local domain of dimension $d \geq 1$ and let I be an almost complete intersection as in Corollary 6.1.10. The ideal of equations $\mathbf{L}$ can be studied in two stages: (L_1) and $\mathbf{L}/(L_1) = T$:

$$0 \to T \longrightarrow \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{B}/(L_1) = \operatorname{Sym}(I) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}[It] \to 0. \tag{5.2.1.2}$$

We will argue that this exact sequence is very useful. Note that $\operatorname{Sym}(I)$ and $\mathbf{R}[It]$ have dimension $d + 1$, and that T is the $\mathbf{R}$–torsion submodule of $\mathbf{S}$. Let us give some of its properties.

PROPOSITION 5.2.2. *Let I be an ideal as above.*

(a) *(L_1) is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal of $\mathbf{B}$.*
(b) *T is a Cohen–Macaulay $\mathbf{S}$–module if and only if* $\operatorname{depth} \mathbf{R}[It] \geq d$.
(c) *If I is $\mathfrak{m}$–primary then $\mathcal{N} = T \cap \mathfrak{m}\mathbf{S}$ is the nil radical of $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathcal{N}^s = 0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{m}^s T = 0$. This is equivalent to saying that* $\operatorname{sdeg}(I)$ *is the index of nilpotency of $\mathcal{S}(I)$.*
(d) *$T = \mathcal{N} + \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is a lift in $\mathbf{S}$ of the relations in $\mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{S}$ of the special fiber ring $\mathcal{F}(I) = \mathbf{R}[It] \otimes \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}$. In particular if $\mathcal{F}(I)$ is a hyersurface ring, $T = (f, \mathcal{N})$.*

PROOF. (i) comes from Corollary 6.1.10.

(ii) In the defining sequence of T,

$$0 \to (L_1) \longrightarrow \mathbf{L} \longrightarrow T \to 0,$$

since (L_1) is a Cohen–Macaulay ideal of codimension g, as an $\mathbf{B}$–module, we have $\operatorname{depth}(L_1) = d + 2$, while $\operatorname{depth} \mathbf{L} = 1 + \operatorname{depth} \mathbf{R}[It]$. It follows that $\operatorname{depth} T = \min\{d + 1, 1 + \operatorname{depth} \mathbf{R}[It]\}$. Since T is a module of Krull dimension $d + 1$ it is a Cohen–Macaulay module if and only if $\operatorname{depth} \mathbf{R}[It] \geq d$.

(iii) $\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{S}$ and T are both minimal primes and for large n, $\mathfrak{m}^n T = 0$. Thus T and $\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{S}$ are the only minimal primes of $\mathbf{S}$, $\mathcal{N} = \mathfrak{m}\mathbf{S} \cap T$. To argue the equality of the two indices of nilpotency, let n be such that $\mathfrak{m}^n T = 0$. The ideal $\mathfrak{m}^n \mathbf{S} + T$ has positive codimension, so contains regular elements since $\mathbf{S}$ is Cohen–Macaulay. Therefore to show

$$\mathfrak{m}^s T = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{N}^s = 0$$

it is enough to multiply both expressions by $\mathfrak{m}^n \mathbf{S} + T$. The verification is immediate.

(iv) Tensoring the sequence (5.2.1.2) by $\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}$ gives the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathfrak{m}\mathbf{S} \cap T/\mathfrak{m}T = \mathcal{N}/\mathfrak{m}T \longrightarrow T/\mathfrak{m}T \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(I) \to 0.$$

By Nakayama Lemma we may ignore $\mathfrak{m}T$ and recover T as asserted. □

The main intuition derived from these basic observations is (ii): Whenever methods are developed to study the equations of $\mathbf{R}[It]$ when this algebra is Cohen–Macaulay, should apply [hopefully] in case they are almost Cohen–Macaulay.

REMARK 5.2.3. If I is not $\mathfrak{m}$–primary but still satisfies one of the other conditions of Corollary 6.1.10, the nilradical $\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathbf{S}$ is given by $T \cap N_0\mathbf{S}$, where N_0 is the intersection of the minimal primes $\mathfrak{p}$ for which $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is not of linear type.

For almost complete intersections I of finite colength there is another symmetric algebra that is an ancestor to $\mathbf{R}[It]$. Let L_1^* be the submodule of L_1 defined by the Koszul relations,

$$0 \to T^* = \mathbf{L}/(L_1^*) \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}^* = \mathbf{B}/(L_1^*) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}[It] \to 0.$$

This definition gives rise to the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_1(I) \otimes \mathbf{B} \longrightarrow T^* \longrightarrow T \to 0,$$

where $\mathrm{H}_1(I)$ is the one-dimensional Koszul homology of I.

PROPOSITION 5.2.4. *T is a Cohen–Macaulay ideal of $\mathbf{S}$ if and only if T^* is a Cohen–Macaulay ideal of $\mathbf{S}^*$.*

PROOF. This follows from Corollary 6.1.10 but applied instead to the $\mathcal{B}$ complex instead. Both conditions are equivalent to $\mathbf{R}[It]$ being almost Cohen–Macaulay. □

Reduced symmetric algebras.

PROPOSITION 5.2.5. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Gorenstein local domain of dimension d and let J be a parameter ideal. If J contains two minimal generators in $\mathfrak{m}^2$, then the Rees algebra of $I = J\colon \mathfrak{m}$ is Cohen–Macaulay and $\mathbf{L} = (L_1, \mathbf{f})$ for some quadratic form $\mathbf{f}$.*

PROOF. The equality $I^2 = JI$ comes from [**26**]. The Cohen–Macaulayess of $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is a general argument (in [**26**] and probably elsewhere). Let $\mathbf{f}$ denote the quadratic form

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{T}_{d+1}^2 + \text{lower terms}.$$

Let us show that $\mathfrak{m}T = 0$. Reduction modulo $\mathbf{f}$ can be used to present any element in $\mathbf{L}$ as

$$F = \mathbf{T}_{d+1} \cdot A + B \in \mathbf{L},$$

where A and B are forms in $\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_d$. Since $I = J : \mathfrak{m}$, any element in $\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{T}_{d+1}$ is equivalent, modulo L_1, to a linear form in the other variables. Consequently

$$\mathfrak{m}F \subset (L_1, \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_d]) \cap \mathbf{L} \subset (L_1),$$

as desired.

By Proposition 5.2.2, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to T \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(I) \to 0.$$

But T is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay $\mathbf{S}$–module, and so it is also a maximal Cohen–Macaulay $\mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{S}$–module as well. It follows that T is generated by a monic polynomial that divides the image of $\mathbf{f}$ in $\mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{S}$. It is now clear that $T = (\mathbf{f})\mathbf{S}$. □

COROLLARY 5.2.6. *For the ideals above* $\operatorname{Sym}(I)$ *is reduced.*

We now discuss a generalization, but since we are still developing the examples, we are somewhat informal.

COROLLARY 5.2.7. *Suppose the syzygies of* I *are contained in* $\mathfrak{m}^s\mathbf{B}$ *and that* $\mathfrak{m}^s\mathbf{L} \subset (L_1)$. *We have the exact sequence*

$$0 \to T \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{m}^s\mathbf{S} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}[It] \otimes \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}^s = \mathcal{F}_s(I) \to 0. \tag{5.2.7.1}$$

If $\mathbf{R}[It]$ *is almost Cohen–Macaulay,* T *is a Cohen–Macaulay module that is an ideal of the polynomial ring* $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{R}/(\mathfrak{m}^s)[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_{d+1}]$, *a ring of multiplicity* $\binom{s+d-1}{d}$. *Therefore we have that* $\nu(T) \leq \binom{s+d-1}{d}$.

Note that also here $\mathcal{F}_s(I)$ is Cohen–Macaulay. We wonder whether $\mathcal{F}(I)$ is Cohen–Macaulay.

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring and I an almost complete intersection as in Corollary 6.1.10. We examine the following surprising fact.

THEOREM 5.2.8. *Suppose that* $\mathbf{R}$ *is a Cohen–Macaulay local ring and* I *is an* $\mathfrak{m}$*–primary almost complete intersection such that* $\mathbf{S} = \operatorname{Sym}(I)$ *is reduced. Then* $\mathbf{R}[It]$ *is an almost Cohen-Macaulay algebra.*

PROOF. Since $0 = \mathcal{N} = T \cap \mathfrak{m}\mathbf{S}$, on one hand from (5.2.1.2) we have that T satisfies the condition S_2 of Serre, that is

$$\operatorname{depth} T_P \geq \inf\{2, \dim T_P\}$$

for every prime ideal P of $\mathbf{S}$. On the other hand, from (5.2.7.1) T is an ideal of the polynomial ring $\mathbf{S}/\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{S}$. It follows that $T = (\mathbf{f})\mathbf{S}$, and consequently depth $\mathbf{R}[It] \geq d$. □

EXAMPLE 5.2.9. If $\mathbf{R} = \mathbb{Q}[x, y]/(y^4 - x^3)$, $J = (x)$ and $I = J : (x, y) = (x, y^3)$, depth $\mathbf{R}[It] = 1$.

There are a number of immediate observations.

COROLLARY 5.2.10. *If* I *is an ideal as in* Theorem 5.2.8, *then the special fiber ring* $\mathcal{F}(I)$ *is Cohen-Macaulay.*

REMARK 5.2.11. If I is an almost complete intersection as in (6.1.10) and its radical is a regular prime ideal P, that is $\mathbf{R}/P$ is regular local ring, the same assertions will apply if $\mathcal{S}(I)$ is reduced.

5.2.2.1. *Direct links of Gorenstein ideals.* We briefly outline a broad class of extremal Rees algebras. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$. A natural source of almost complete intersections in $\mathbf{R}$ direct links of Gorenstein ideals. That is, let K be a Gorenstein ideal of $\mathbf{R}$ of codimension s, that is $\mathbf{R}/K$ is a Gorenstein ring of dimension $d - s$. If $J = (a_1, \ldots, a_s) \subset K$ is a complete intersection of codimension s, $J \neq K$, $I = J : K$ is an almost complete intersection, $I = (J, a)$. Depending on K, sometimes these ideals come endowed with very good properties. Let us recall one of them.

PROPOSITION 5.2.12. *Let* $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ *be a Noetherian local ring of dimension* d.

(a) ([**26**, Theorem 2.1]) *Suppose* $\mathbf{R}$ *is a Cohen–Macaulay local ring and let* $\mathfrak{p}$ *be a prime ideal of codimension* s *such that* $\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ *is a Gorenstein ring and let* J *be a complete intersection of codimension* s *contained in* $\mathfrak{p}$. *Then for* $I = J : \mathfrak{p}$ *we have* $I^2 = JI$ *in the following two cases:* (a) $\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ *is not a regular local ring;* (b) *if* $\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ *is a regular local ring two of the elements* a_i *belong to* $\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}$.

(b) ([**25**, Theorem 3.7]) *Suppose* J *is an irreducible* $\mathfrak{m}$*–primary ideal. Then* (a) *either there exists a minimal set of generators* $\{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$ *of* $\mathfrak{m}$ *such that* $J = (x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}, {x_d}^r)$, *or* (b) $I^2 = JI$ *for* $I = J : \mathfrak{m}$.

The following criterion is a global version of Corollary 5.2.24

PROPOSITION 5.2.13. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Gorenstein local ring and* $I = (J, a)$ *an almost complete intersection* [*when we write* $I = (J, a)$ *we always mean that* J *is a reduction*]. *If* I *is an unmixed ideal* [*height unmixed*] *then* $\mathrm{r}_J(I) \leq 1$ *if and only if* $J : a = I_1(\phi)$.

PROOF. Since the ideal JI is also unmixed, to check the equality $J : a = I_1(\phi)$ we only need to check at the minimal primes of I (or, of J, as they are the same). Now Corollary 5.2.24 applies. □

If in Proposition 5.2.12 $\mathbf{R}$ is a Gorenstein local ring and I is a Cohen–Macaulay ideal, their associated graded rings are Cohen–Macaulay, while the Rees algebras are also Cohen–Macaulay if $\dim \mathbf{R} \geq 2$.

THEOREM 5.2.14. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Gorenstein local ring and* I *a Cohen–Macaulay ideal that is an almost complete intersection. If* $\mathrm{r}_J(I) \leq 1$ *then in the canonical representation*

$$0 \to T \longrightarrow \mathbf{S} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}[It] \to 0,$$

(a) *If* $\dim \mathbf{R} \geq 2$ $\mathbf{R}[It]$ *is Cohen–Macaulay.*

(b) T *is a Cohen–Macaulay module over* $\mathbf{S}/(I_1(\phi))\mathbf{S}$, *in particular*

$$\nu(T) \leq \deg \mathbf{R}/I_1(\phi).$$

EXAMPLE 5.2.15. Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$, k an algebraically closed field, and let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a homogeneous prime ideal of codimension $d-1$. Suppose $J = (a_1, \ldots, a_{d-1})$ is a complete intersection of codimension $d-1$ with at least two generators in $\mathfrak{p}^2$. Since $\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p}$ is regular, $I = J : \mathfrak{p}$ is an almost complete intersection and $I^2 = JI$. Since $\mathfrak{p}$ is a complete intersection, say $\mathfrak{p} = (x_1 - c_1 x_d, x_2 - c_2 x_d, \ldots, x_{d-1} - c_{d-1} x_d)$, $c_i \in k$, we write the matrix equation $J = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathfrak{p}$, where $\mathbf{A}$ is a square matrix of size $d-1$. This is the setting where the Northcott ideals occur, and therefore $I = (J, \det \mathbf{A})$.

By Theorem 5.2.14(b), $\nu(T) \leq \deg(\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p}) = 1$. Thus $\mathbf{L}$ is generated by the syzygies of I (which are well-understood) plus a quadratic equation.

5.2.3. Metrics of aCM algebras. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring of dimension d and let I be an almost complete intersection of finite colength. We assume that $I = (J, a)$, where J is a minimal reduction of I. These assumptions will hold for the remainder of the section. We emphasize that they apply to the case when $\mathbf{R}$ is a polynomial ring over a field and I is a homogeneous ideal.

In the next statement we highlight the information about the equations of I that is a direct consequences of the aCM hypothesis. In the next segments we begin to obtain the required data in an explicit form. As for notation, $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_{d+1}]$ and for a graded $\mathbf{B}$-module A, $\deg(A)$ denotes the multiplicity relative to the maximal homogeneous ideal $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathbf{B}$, $\deg(A) = \deg(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{M}}(A))$. In actual computations $\mathcal{M}$ can be replaced by a reduction. For instance, if E is a graded $\mathbf{R}$–module and $A = E \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{B}$, picking a reduction J for $\mathfrak{m}$ gives the reduction $\mathcal{N} = (J, \mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_{d+1})$ of $\mathcal{M}$. It will follow that $\deg(A) = \deg(E)$.

THEOREM 5.2.16. *If the algebra $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is almost Cohen–Macaulay, in the canonical sequence*

$$0 \to T \longrightarrow \mathbf{S} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}[It] \to 0$$

(a) $\mathrm{reg}(\mathbf{R}[It]) = \mathrm{r}_J(I) + 1$.
(b) $\nu(T) \leq \deg(\mathbf{S}) - \deg(\mathbf{R}[It])$.

PROOF. (a) follows from Corollary 5.2.20. As for (b), since T is a Cohen–Macaulay module, $\nu(T) \leq \deg(T)$. □

The goal is to find $\deg(T)$, $\deg(\mathbf{R}[It])$ and $\deg(\mathbf{S})$ in terms of more direct metrics of I. This will be answered in Theorem 5.2.28.

Cohen–Macaulayness of the Sally module. Fortunately there is a simple criterion to test whether $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is an aCM algebra: It is so if and only if it satisfies the Huckaba Test:

$$\mathrm{e}_1(I) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \mathrm{length}(I^j / JI^{j-1}).$$

Needless to say, this is exceedingly effective if you already know $e_1(I)$, in particular there is no need to determine the equations of $\mathbf{R}[It]$ for the purpose.

Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring, I an ideal and J a reduction of I. The Sally module of I relative to J, $S_J(I)$, is defined by the exact sequence of $\mathbf{R}[Jt]$–modules

$$0 \to I\mathbf{R}[Jt] \longrightarrow I\mathbf{R}[It] \longrightarrow S_J(I) = \bigoplus_{j \geq 2} I^j / IJ^{j-1} \to 0.$$

The definition applies more broadly to other filtrations. We refer the reader to [**199**, p. 101] for a discussion. Of course this module depends on the chosen reduction J, but its Hilbert function and its depth are independent of J. There are extensions of this construction to more general reductions–and we employ one below.

If $\mathbf{R}$ is a Cohen–Macaulay local ring and I is $\mathfrak{m}$–primary with a minimal reduction, $S_J(I)$ plays a role in mediating among properties of $\mathbf{R}[It]$.

PROPOSITION 5.2.17. *Suppose $\mathbf{R}$ is a Cohen–Macaulay local ring of dimension d. Then*

(a) *If $S_J(I) = 0$ then $\mathrm{gr}_I(\mathbf{R})$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*
(b) *If $S_J(I) \neq 0$ then $\dim S_J(I) = d$.*

Some of the key properties of the Sally module are in display in the next result ([**94**, Theorem 3.1]). It converts the property of $\mathbf{R}[It]$ being almost Cohen–Macaulay into the property of $S_J(I)$ being Cohen–Macaulay.

THEOREM 5.2.18 (Huckaba Theorem). *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ and J a parameter ideal. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{I_n, n \geq 0\}$ be an filtration of $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals such that $J \subset I_1$ and $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{R}[I_n t^n, n \geq 0]$ is $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}[Jt]$-finite. Define the Sally module $S_{\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}}$ of $\mathbf{B}$ relative to $\mathbf{A}$ by the exact sequence*

$$0 \to I_1\mathbf{A} \longrightarrow I_1\mathbf{B} \longrightarrow S_{\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}} \to 0.$$

Suppose $S_{\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}} \neq 0$. Then

(a) $e_0(S_{\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}}) = e_1(\mathbf{B}) - \lambda(I_1/J) \leq \sum_{j\geq 2} \lambda(I_j/JI_{j-1})$.
(b) *The following conditions are equivalent:*
  (i) $S_{\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}}$ *is Cohen-Macaulay;*
  (ii) depth $\operatorname{gr}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{R}) \geq d-1$;
  (iii) $e_1(\mathbf{B}) = \sum_{j\geq 1} \lambda(I_j/JI_{j-1})$;
  (iv) *If $I_j = (I_1)^j$, $\mathbf{B}$ is almost Cohen–Macaulay.*

PROOF. If $J = (\mathbf{x}) = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$, $S_{\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}}$ is a finite module over the ring $\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_d]$, $\mathbf{T}_i \to x_i t$. Note that

$$\lambda(S_{\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}}/\mathbf{x}S_{\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}}) = \sum_{j\geq 2} \lambda(I_j/JI_{j-1}),$$

which shows the first assertion.

For the equivalencies, first note that equality means that the first Euler characteristic $\chi_1(\mathbf{x}; S_{\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}})$ vanishes, which by Serre's theorem ([**15**, Theorem 4.7.10]) says that $S_{\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}}$ is Cohen–Macaulay. The final assertion comes from the formula for the multiplicity of $S_{\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}}$ in terms of $e_1(\mathbf{B})$ ([**199**, Theorem 2.5]). □

Castelnuovo regularity. The Sally module encodes also information about the Castelnuovo regularity $\operatorname{reg}(\mathbf{R}[It])$ of the Rees algebra. The following Proposition and its Corollary are extracted from the literature ([**93**], [**183**]), or proved directly by adding the exact sequence that defines $S_J(I)$ (note that $I\mathbf{R}[Jt]$ is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay module) to the canonical sequences relating $\mathbf{R}[It]$) to $\operatorname{gr}_I(\mathbf{R})$ and $\mathbf{R}$ via $I\mathbf{R}[It]$ (see [**183**, Section 3]).

PROPOSITION 5.2.19. *Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring, I an $\mathfrak{m}$–primary ideal and J a minimal reduction. Then*

$$\operatorname{reg}(\mathbf{R}[It]) = \operatorname{reg}(S_J(I)).$$

In particular

$$\operatorname{reltype}(I) \leq \operatorname{reg}(S_J(I)).$$

COROLLARY 5.2.20. *If I is an almost complete intersection and $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is almost Cohen–Macaulay, then*

$$\operatorname{reltype}(I) = \operatorname{r}_J(I) + 1.$$

The Sally fiber of an ideal. To help analyze the problem, we single out an extra structure. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring of dimension $d > 0$, I an $\mathfrak{m}$–primary ideal and J one of its minimal reductions.

DEFINITION 5.2.21 (Sally fiber). *The Sally fiber of I is the graded module*

$$F(I) = \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} I^j / JI^{j-1}.$$

$F(I)$ is an Artinian $\mathbf{R}[Jt]$–module whose last non-vanishing component is I^r/JI^r, $r = \mathrm{r}_J(I)$. The equality $e_1(I) = \text{length}(F(I))$ is the condition for the almost Cohen–Macaulayness of $\mathbf{R}[It]$. We note that $F(I)$ is the fiber of $S_J(I)$ extended by the term I/J. To obtain additional control over $F(I)$ we are going to endow it with additional structures in cases of interest.

Suppose $\mathbf{R}$ is a Gorenstein local ring, $I = (J, a)$. The modules $F_j = I^j/JI^{j-1}$ are cyclic modules over the Artinian Gorenstein ring $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}/J : a$. We turn $F(I)$ into a graded module over the polynomial ring $\mathbf{A}[s]$ by defining

$$a^j \in F_j \mapsto s \cdot a^j = a^{j+1} \in F_{j+1}.$$

This is clearly well-defined and has $s^r \cdot F(I) = 0$. Several of the properties of the F_n's arise from this representation, for instance the length of F_j are non-increasing. Thus $F(I)$ is a graded module over the Artinian Gorenstein ring $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}[s, s^r = 0]$.

REMARK 5.2.22. The variation of the values of the F_j is connected to the degrees of the generators of $\mathbf{L}$. For convenience we set $I = (J, a)$ and $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{R}[u, \mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_d]$, with u corresponding to a. For example:

(a) Suppose that for some s, $\mathbf{f}_s = \lambda(F_s) = 1$. This means that we have d equations of the form

$$\mathbf{h}_i = x_i u^s + \mathbf{g}_i \in \mathbf{L}_s$$

where $\mathbf{g}_i \in (\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_d)\mathbf{B}_{s-1}$. Eliminating the x_i, we derive a nonvanishing monic equation in $\mathbf{L}$ of degree $d \cdot s$. Thus $\mathrm{r}_J(I) \leq ds - 1$.

(b) A more delicate observation, is that whenever $\mathbf{f}_s > \mathbf{f}_{s+1}$ then there are **fresh** equations in $\mathbf{L}_{s+1}$. Let us explain why this happens: $\mathbf{f}_s = \lambda(JI^{s-1} : I^s)$, that is the ideal L_s contains elements of the form

$$c \cdot u^s + \mathbf{g}, \quad c \in JI^{s-1} : I^s, \quad \mathbf{g} \in (\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_d)\mathbf{B}_{s-1}.$$

Since $\mathbf{f}_{s+1} < \mathbf{f}_s$, $JI^s : I^{s+1}$ contains properly $JI^{s-1} : I^s$, which means that we must have elements in L_{s+1}

$$d \cdot u^{s+1} + \mathbf{g},$$

with $d \notin JI^{s-1} : I^s$ and $\mathbf{g} \in (\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_d)\mathbf{B}_s$. Such elements cannot belong to $L_s \cdot \mathbf{B}_1$, so they are fresh generators.

The converse also holds.

A toolbox. We first give a simplified version of [**26**, Proposition 2.2]. Suppose $\mathbf{R}$ is a Gorenstein local ring of dimension d. Consider the two exact sequences.

$$0 \to J/JI = (\mathbf{R}/I)^d \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/JI \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/J \to 0$$

and the [old] syzygetic sequence

$$0 \to \delta(I) \longrightarrow H_1(I) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}/I)^{d+1} \longrightarrow I/I^2 \to 0.$$

The first gives

$$\text{length}(\mathbf{R}/JI) = d \cdot \text{length}(\mathbf{R}/I) + \text{length}(\mathbf{R}/J),$$

the other

$$\text{length}(\mathbf{R}/I^2) = (d+2)\text{length}(\mathbf{R}/I) - \text{length}(\text{H}_1(I)) + \text{length}(\delta(I)).$$

Thus

$$\text{length}(I^2/JI) = \text{length}(I/J) - \text{length}(\delta(I))$$

since $\text{H}_1(I)$ is the canonical module of $\mathbf{R}/I$. Taking into account the syzygetic formula in [**89**] we finally have:

PROPOSITION 5.2.23. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring of dimension $d > 0$, $J = (a_1, \ldots, a_d)$ a parameter ideal and $I = (J, a)$ and $a \in \mathfrak{m}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{length}(I^2/JI) &= \text{length}(I/J) - \text{length}(\mathbf{R}/I_1(\phi)) \\
&= \text{length}(\mathbf{R}/J : a) - \text{length}(\mathbf{R}/I_1(\phi)) \\
&= \text{length}(\mathbf{R}/J : a) - \text{length}(\text{Hom}(\mathbf{R}/I_1(\phi), \mathbf{R}/J : a) \\
&= \text{length}(\mathbf{R}/J : a) - \text{length}((J : a) : I_1(\phi))/J : a) \\
&= \text{length}(\mathbf{R}/(J : a) : I_1(\phi)).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that in dualizing $\mathbf{R}/I_1(\phi)$ we made use of the fact that $\mathbf{R}/J : a$ is a Gorenstein ring.

COROLLARY 5.2.24. *$I^2 = JI$ if and only if $J : a = I_1(\phi)$. In this case, if $d > 1$ the algebra $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is Cohen–Macaulay.*

COROLLARY 5.2.25. *If $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is an aCM algebra and $\text{r}_J(I) = 2$, then $e_1(I) = 2 \cdot \lambda(I/J) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I_1(\phi))$.*

REMARK 5.2.26. We could enhance these observations considerably if formulas for $\lambda(JI^2 : I^3)$ were to be developed. More precisely, how do the syzygies of I affect $JI^2 : I^3$?

5.2.4. Multiplicities and number of relations. To benefit from Theorem 5.2.16, we need to have effective formulas for $\deg(\mathbf{S})$ and $\deg(\mathbf{R}[It])$. We are going to develop them now.

PROPOSITION 5.2.27. *Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ and I an almost complete intersection as above, $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_d, f_{d+1}) = (J, f_{d+1})$ generated by forms of degree n. Then $\deg(\mathbf{R}[It]) = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} n^j$.*

PROOF. After an elementary observation, we make use of one of the beautiful multiplicity formulas of [**82**]. Set $A = \mathbf{R}[It]$, $A_0 = \mathbf{R}[Jt]$, $\mathcal{M} = (\mathfrak{m}, It)A$ and $\mathcal{M}_0 = (\mathfrak{m}, Jt)A_0$. Then

$$\deg(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{M}_0}(A_0)) = \deg(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{M}_0}(A)) = \deg(\mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{M}}(A)),$$

the first equality because $A_0 \to A$ is a finite rational extension, the second is because $(\mathfrak{m}, Jt)A$ is a reduction of $(\mathfrak{m}, It)A$. Now we use [**82**, Corollary 1.5] that gives $\deg(A_0)$. □

The multiplicity of the symmetric algebra. We shall now prove one of our main results, a formula for $\deg S(I)$ for ideals generated by forms of the same degree. Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$, $I = (\mathbf{f}) = (f_1, \ldots, f_d, f_{d+1})$ an almost complete intersection generated by forms of degree n. At some point we assume, harmlessdly, that $J = (f_1, \ldots, f_d)$ is a complete intersection. There will be a slight change of notation in the rest of this section. We set $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_{d+1}]$ and $\mathbf{S} = \mathcal{S}(I)$.

THEOREM 5.2.28 (**Degree Formula**). $\deg \mathbf{S} = \sum_{j=0}^{d} n^j - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I)$.

PROOF. Let $\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{f}) = \bigwedge^{d+1} \mathbf{R}^{d+1}(-n)$ be the Koszul complex associated to $\mathbf{f}$,

$$0 \to K_{d+1} \to K_d \to \cdots \to K_2 \to K_1 \to K_0 \to 0,$$

and consider the associated $\mathcal{Z}$–complex

$$0 \to Z_d \otimes \mathbf{B}(-d) \to Z_{d-1} \otimes \mathbf{B}(-d+1) \to \cdots \to Z_2 \otimes \mathbf{B}(-2) \to Z_1 \otimes \mathbf{B}(-1) \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbf{B} \to 0.$$

This complex is acyclic with $\mathrm{H}_0(\mathcal{Z}) = \mathbf{S} = \mathcal{S}(I)$. Now we introduce another complex obtained by replacing $Z_1 \otimes \mathbf{B}(-1)$ by $B_1 \otimes \mathbf{B}(-1)$, where B_1 is the module of 1–boundaries of $\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{f})$, followed by the restriction of ψ to $B_1 \otimes \mathbf{B}(-1)$.

This defines another acyclic complex, $\mathcal{Z}^*$, actually the $\mathcal{B}$–complex of $\mathbf{f}$, and we set $\mathrm{H}_0(\mathcal{Z}^*) = \mathbf{S}^*$. The relationship between $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{S}^*$ is given in the following observation: □

LEMMA 5.2.29. $\deg \mathbf{S}^* = \deg \mathbf{S} + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I)$.

PROOF. Consider the natural mapping between $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{Z}^*$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & Z_d \otimes \mathbf{B}(-d) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & Z_2 \otimes \mathbf{B}(-2) & \longrightarrow & B_1 \otimes \mathbf{B}(-1) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{B} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{S}^* & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_d} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_2} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & Z_d \otimes \mathbf{B}(-d) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & Z_2 \otimes \mathbf{B}(-2) & \longrightarrow & Z_1 \otimes \mathbf{B}(-1) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{B} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{S} & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

The maps $\phi_2, \ldots, \phi_2$ are isomorphisms while the other maps are defined above. They induce the short exact sequence of modules of dimension $d+1$,

$$0 \to (Z_1/B_1) \otimes \mathbf{B}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}^* \longrightarrow \mathbf{S} \to 0.$$

Note that $Z_1/B_1 = \mathrm{H}_1(\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{f}))$ is the canonical module of $\mathbf{R}/I$, and therefore has the same length as $\mathbf{R}/I$. Finally, by the additivity formula for the multiplicities ([**42**, Lemma 13.2]),

$$\deg \mathbf{S}^* = \deg \mathbf{S} + \lambda(Z_1/B_1),$$

as desired. □

We are now give our main calculation of multiplicities.

LEMMA 5.2.30. $\deg \mathbf{S}^* = \sum_{j=0}^{d} n^j$.

PROOF. We note that the $\mathcal{Z}^*$–complex is homogeneous for the total degree [as required for the computation of multiplicities] provided the Z_i's and B_1 have the same degree. We can conveniently write B_i for Z_i, $i \geq 2$. This is clearly the case since they are generated in degree n. This is not the case for Z_1. However when $\mathbf{f}$ is a regular sequence, all the Z_i are equigenerated, an observation we shall make use of below.

Since the modules of $\mathcal{Z}^*$ are homogeneous we have that the Hilbert series of $\mathbf{S}^*$ is given as

$$H_{\mathbf{S}^*}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{d}(-1)^i h_{B_i}(\mathbf{t})\mathbf{t}^i}{(1-\mathbf{t})^{2d+1}} = \frac{h(\mathbf{t})}{(1-\mathbf{t})^{2d+1}},$$

where $h_{B_i}(\mathbf{t})$ are the h–polynomials of the B_i. More precisely, each of the terms of $\mathcal{Z}^*$ is a $\mathbf{B}$–module of the form $A \otimes \mathbf{B}(-r)$ where A is generated in a same degree. Such modules are isomorphic to their associated graded modules.

The multiplicity of $\mathbf{S}^*$ is given by the standard formula

$$\deg \mathbf{S}^* = (-1)^d \frac{h^{(d)}(1)}{d!}.$$

We now indicate how the $h_{B_i}(\mathbf{t})$ are assembled. Let us illustrate the case when $d = 4$ and $i = 1$. B_1 has a free resolution of the strand of the Koszul complex

$$0 \to \mathbf{R}(-3n) \to \mathbf{R}^5(-2n) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{10}(-n) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{10} \longrightarrow B_1 \to 0,$$

so that

$$h_{B_1}(\mathbf{t}) = 10 - 10\mathbf{t}^n + 5\mathbf{t}^{2n} - \mathbf{t}^{3n},$$

and similarly for all B_i.

We are now ready to make our key observation. Consider a complete intersection P generated by $d+1$ forms of degree n in a polynomial ring of dimension $d+1$ and set $\mathbf{S}^{**} = \mathcal{S}(P)$. The corresponding approximation complex now has $B_1 = Z_1$. The approach above would for the new Z_i give the same h–polynomials of the B_i in the case of an almost complete intersection (but in dimension d). This means that the Hilbert series of $\mathbf{S}^{**}$ is given by

$$H_{\mathbf{S}^{**}}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{h(\mathbf{t})}{(1-\mathbf{t})^{2d+2}}.$$

It follows that $\deg \mathbf{S}^*$ can be computed as the degree of the symmetric algebra generated by a regular sequence of $d+1$ forms of degree n, a result that is given in [**82**]. Thus,

$$\deg \mathbf{S}^* = \deg \mathbf{S}^{**} = \sum_{j=0}^{d} n^j,$$

and the calculation of $\deg \mathbf{S}$ is complete. □

We will now write Theorem 5.2.28 in a more convenient formulation for applications.

THEOREM 5.2.31. *Let* $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ *and* $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_d, f_{d+1})$ *is an ideal of forms of degree* n. *If* $J = (f_1, \ldots, f_d)$ *is a complete intersection, then*

$$\deg \mathbf{S} = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} n^j + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J : I).$$

PROOF. The degree formula gives

$$\begin{aligned} \deg \mathbf{S} &= \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} n^j + [n^d - \lambda(R/I)] = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} n^j + [\lambda(\mathbf{R}/J) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I)] \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} n^j + \lambda(I/J) = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} n^j + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J : I). \end{aligned}$$

□

COROLLARY 5.2.32. *Let* $I = (J, a)$ *be an ideal of finite colength as above. Then the module of linear relations satisfies* $\deg T = \text{length}(I/J)$. *In particular if* $\mathbf{R}[It]$ *is almost Cohen–Macaulay,* T *can be generated by* $\lambda(I/J)$ *elements.*

PROOF. From the sequence of modules of the same dimension

$$0 \to T \longrightarrow \mathbf{S} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}[It] \to 0$$

we have

$$\deg T = \deg \mathbf{S} - \deg \mathbf{R}[It] = \lambda(I/J).$$

□

The last assertion of this Corollary can also be obtained from [**130**, Theorem 4.1].

The Cohen–Macaulay type of the module of nonlinear relations. We recall the terminology of Cohen–Macaulay type of a module. Set $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_{d+1}]$. If E is a finitely generated $\mathbf{B}$–module of codimension r, we say that $\text{Ext}^r_{\mathbf{B}}(E, \mathbf{B})$ is its canonical module. It is the first non vanishing $\text{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{B}}(E, \mathbf{B})$ module denoted by ω_E. The minimal number of the generators of ω_E is called the *Cohen–Macaulay type* of E and is denoted by $\text{type}(E)$. When E is graded and Cohen–Macaulay, it gives the last Betti number of a projective resolution of E. It can be expressed in different ways, for example for the module of linear relations $\omega_T = \text{Ext}^d_{\mathbf{B}}(T, \mathbf{B}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{S}}(T, \omega_{\mathbf{S}})$.

PROPOSITION 5.2.33. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Gorenstein local ring of dimension* $d \geq 2$ *and* $I = (J, a)$ *an ideal of finite colength as above. If* $\mathbf{R}[It]$ *is an aCM algebra and* $\omega_{R[It]}$ *is Cohen–Macaulay, then the type of the module* T *of nonlinear relations satisfies*

$$\text{type}(T) \leq \text{type } (S_J(I)) + d - 1,$$

where $S_J(I)$ *is the Sally module.*

PROOF. We set $\mathcal{R} = \mathbf{R}[It]$ and $\mathcal{R}_0 = \mathbf{R}[Jt]$. First apply $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}}(\cdot, \mathbf{B})$ to the basic presentation

$$0 \to T \longrightarrow \mathbf{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R} \to 0,$$

to obtain the cohomology sequence

$$0 \to \omega_{\mathcal{R}} \longrightarrow \omega_{\mathbf{S}} \longrightarrow \omega_T \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{d+1}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{B}) \to 0. \tag{5.2.33.1}$$

Now apply the same functor to the exact sequence of $\mathbf{B}$–modules

$$0 \to I \cdot \mathcal{R}[-1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \to 0$$

to obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to \omega_{\mathcal{R}} \xrightarrow{\theta} \omega_{I\mathcal{R}_{[-1]}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{d+1}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{d+1}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{B}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{d+1}_{\mathbf{B}}(I\mathcal{R}[-1], \mathbf{B}) \to 0.$$

Since $\omega_{\mathcal{R}}$ is Cohen–Macaulay and $\dim \mathbf{R} \geq 2$, the cokernel of θ is either $\mathbf{R}$ or an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal that satisfies the condition S_2 of Serre. The only choice is $\operatorname{coker}(\theta) = \mathbf{R}$. Therefore

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{d+1}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{B}) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}^{d+1}_{\mathbf{B}}(I\mathcal{R}[-1], \mathbf{B}).$$

Now we approach the module $\operatorname{Ext}^{d+1}_{\mathbf{B}}(I\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{B})$ from a different direction. We note that $\mathcal{R}$—but not $\mathbf{S}$ and T—is also a finitely generated $\mathbf{B}_0 = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_d]$–module as it is annihilated by a monic polynomial $\mathbf{f}$ in $\mathbf{T}_{d+1}$ with coefficients in $\mathbf{B}_0$. By Rees Theorem we have that for all i, $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{B}) = \operatorname{Ext}^{i-1}_{\mathbf{B}/(\mathbf{f})}(\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{B}/(\mathbf{f}))$, and a similar observation applies to $I \cdot \mathcal{R}$.

Next consider the finite, flat morphism $\mathbf{B}_0 \to \mathbf{B}/(\mathbf{f})$. For any $\mathbf{B}/(\mathbf{f})$–module E with a projective resolution $\mathbb{P}$, we have that $\mathbb{P}$ is a projective $\mathbf{B}_0$–resolution of E. This means that the isomorphism of complexes

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}_0}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbf{B}_0) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}/(\mathbf{f})}(\mathbb{P}, \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}_0}(\mathbf{B}/(\mathbf{f}), \mathbf{B}_0)) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}/(\mathbf{f})}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbf{B}/(\mathbf{f}))$$

gives isomorphisms for all i

$$\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{B}_0}(E, \mathbf{B}_0) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{B}/(\mathbf{f})}(E, \mathbf{B}/(\mathbf{f})).$$

Thus

$$\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{B}) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}^{i-1}_{\mathbf{B}_0}(\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{B}_0).$$

In particular, $\omega_{\mathcal{R}} = \operatorname{Ext}^{d-1}_{\mathbf{B}_0}(\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{B}_0)$.

Finally apply $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}_0}(\cdot, \mathbf{B}_0)$ to the exact sequence of $\mathbf{B}_0$–modules and examine its cohomology sequence.

$$0 \to I \cdot \mathcal{R}_0 \longrightarrow I \cdot \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow S_J(I) \to 0$$

is then

$$0 \to \omega_{I\mathcal{R}} \longrightarrow \omega_{I\mathcal{R}_0} \longrightarrow \omega_{S_J(I)} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^d_{\mathbf{B}_0}(\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{B}_0) = \operatorname{Ext}^{d+1}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{B}) \to 0.$$

Taking this into (5.2.33.1) and the that $\operatorname{type}(\mathbf{S}) = d - 1$ gives the desired estimate. □

REMARK 5.2.34. A class of ideals with $\omega_{\mathcal{R}}$ Cohen–Macaulay is discussed in Corollary 5.2.36(b).

5.2.5. Equi-homogeneous acis. We shall now treat an important class of extremal Rees algebras. Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ and let $I = (a_1, \ldots, a_d, a_{d+1})$ be an ideal of finite colength, that is, $\mathfrak{m}$–primary. We further assume that the first d generators form a regular sequence and $a_{d+1} \notin (a_1, \ldots, a_d)$. If $\deg a_i = n$, the integral closure of $J = (a_1, \ldots, a_d)$ is the ideal $\mathfrak{m}^n$, in particular J is a minimal reduction of I. The integer $\operatorname{edeg}(I) = \mathrm{r}_J(I) + 1$ is called the *elimination degree* of I. The study of the equations of I, that is, of $\mathbf{R}[It]$, depends on a comparison between the metrics of $\mathbf{R}[It]$ to those of $\mathbf{R}[\mathfrak{m}^n t]$, which are well known.

PROPOSITION 5.2.35. ([**89**]) *The following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) Φ *is a birational mapping, that is the natural embedding* $\mathcal{F}(I) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{m}^n)$ *is an isomorphism of quotient fields;*
(b) $\mathrm{r}_J(I) = n^{d-1} - 1$*;*
(c) $e_1(I) = \frac{d-1}{2}(n^d - n^{d-1})$*;*
(d) $\mathbf{R}[It]$ *satisfies the condition* R_1 *of Serre.*

For lack of a standardized terminology, we say that these ideals are *birational*.

COROLLARY 5.2.36. *For an ideal* I *as above, the following hold:*

(a) *The algebra* $\mathbf{R}[It]$ *is not Cohen–Macaulay except when* $I = (x_1, x_2)^2$*.*
(b) *The canonical module of* $\mathbf{R}[It]$ *is Cohen–Macaulay.*

PROOF. (i) follows from the condition of Goto–Shimoda ([**67**]) that the reduction number of a Cohen–Macaulay Rees algebra $\mathbf{R}[It]$ must satisfy $\mathrm{r}_J(I) \leq \dim \mathbf{R} - 1$, which in the case $n^{d-1} - 1 \leq d - 1$ is only met if $d = n = 2$

(ii) The embedding $\mathbf{R}[It] \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}[\mathfrak{m}^n t]$ being an isomorphism in codimension one, their canonical modules are isomorphic. The canonical module of a Veronese subring such as $\mathbf{R}[\mathfrak{m}^n t]$ is well-known (see [**83**, p. 187], [**81**]; see also [**16**, Proposition 2.2]). □

Binary ideals. These are the ideals of $\mathbf{R} = k[x, y]$ generated by 3 forms of degree n. Many of their Rees algebras are almost Cohen–Macaulay. We will showcase the technology of the Sally module in treating a much studied class of ideals. First we discuss a simple case (see also [**88**])).

PROPOSITION 5.2.37. *Let* ϕ *be a* 3×2 *matrix of quadratic forms in* $\mathbf{R}$ *and* I *the ideal given by its* 2×2 *minors. Then* $\mathbf{R}[It]$ *is almost Cohen–Macaulay.*

PROOF. These ideals have reduction number 1 or 3. In the first case all of its Sally modules vanish and $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is Cohen–Macaulay.

In the other case I is a birational ideal and $e_1(I) = \binom{4}{2} = 6$. A simple calculation shows that $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I) = 12$, so that $\lambda(I/J) = 16 - 12 = 4$. To apply Theorem 5.2.18, we need to verify the equation

$$f_1 + f_2 + f_3 = 6. \tag{5.2.37.1}$$

We already have $f_1 = 4$. To calculate f_2 we need to take $\lambda(R/I_1(\phi))$ in Corollary 5.2.23. $I_1(\phi)$ is an ideal generated by 2 generators or $I_1(\phi) = (x, y)^2$. But in the first case the Sylvester resultant of the linear equations of $\mathbf{R}[It]$ would be a quadratic polynomial, that is I would have reduction number 1, which would contradict the assumption. Thus

by Corollary 5.2.23, $f_2 = 4 - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I_1(\phi)) = 1$. Since $f_2 \geq f_3 > 0$ we have $f_3 = 1$ and the equation (5.2.37.1) is satisfied. □

We have examined higher degrees examples of birational ideals of this type which are/are not almost Cohen–Macaulay. Quite a lot is known about the following ideals. $\mathbf{R} = k[x, y]$ and I is a codimension 2 ideal given by that 2×2 minors of a 3×2 matrix with homogeneous entries of degrees 1 and $n - 1$.

THEOREM 5.2.38. *If $I_1(\phi) = (x, y)$ then:*

(a) $\deg \mathcal{F}(I) = n$, *that is I is birational.*

(b) $\mathbf{R}[It]$ *is almost Cohen–Macaulay.*

(c) *The equations of* $\mathbf{L}$ *are given by a straightforward algorithm.*

PROOF. The proof of (i) is in [**31**], and in other sources ([**28**], [**113**]; see also [**39**, Theorem 2.2] for a broader statement in any characteristic and [**170**, Theorem 4.1] in characteristic zero), and of (ii) in [**113**, Theorem 4.4], while (iii) was conjecturally given in [**88**, Conjecture 4.8] and proved in [**31**]. We give a combinatorial proof of (ii) below (Proposition 5.2.40). □

We note that $\deg(\mathbf{S}) = 2n$, since $\mathbf{S}$ is a complete intersection defined by two forms of [total] degrees 2 and n, while $\mathbf{R}[Jt]$ is defined by one equation of degree $n+1$. Thus $\nu(T) \leq 2n - (n+1) = n - 1$, which is the number of generators given in the algorithm.

We point out a property of the module T. We recall that an $\mathbf{A}$–module is an *Ulrich* module if it is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay module with $\deg M = \nu(M)$ ([**76**]).

COROLLARY 5.2.39. *T is an Ulrich $\mathbf{S}$–module.*

Considerable numerical information in the Theorem 5.2.38 will follow from:

PROPOSITION 5.2.40. *If* $\deg \alpha = 1$ *and* $\deg \beta = n - 1$, *then* $\operatorname{length}(F_j) = n - j$. *In particular,* $\mathbf{R}[It]$ *is almost Cohen–Macaulay.*

PROOF. Note that the ideal is birational, $F_{n-1} \neq 0$. On the other hand, $\mathbf{L}$ contains fresh generators in all degrees $j \leq n$. This means that for $f_j = \lambda(F_j)$,

$$f_j > f_{j+1} > 0, \quad j < n.$$

Since $f_1 = n - 1$, the decreasing sequence of integers

$$n - 1 = f_1 > f_2 > \cdots > f_{n-2} > f_{n-1} > 0$$

implies that $f_j = n - j$. Finally, applying Theorem 5.2.18 we have that $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is an aCM algebra since $\sum_j f_j = e_1(I) = \binom{n}{2}$. □

Quadrics. Here we explore sporadic classes of aCM algebras defined by quadrics in $k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$.

First we use Proposition 5.2.23 to look at other cases of quadrics. For $d = 3$, $n = 2$, $\operatorname{edeg}(I) = 2$ or 4. In the first case $J : a = I_1(\phi)$. In addition $J : a \neq \mathfrak{m}$ since the socle degree of $\mathbf{R}/J$ is 3. Then $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is Cohen–Macaulay. If $\operatorname{edeg}(I) = 4$ we must have [and conversely!] $\operatorname{length}(\mathbf{R}/J : a) = 2$ and $I_1(\phi) = \mathfrak{m}$. Then $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is almost Cohen–Macaulay.

Next we treat almost complete intersections of finite colength generated by quadrics of $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$. Sometimes we denote the variables by $x, y, \ldots$, or use these symbols to denote [independent] linear forms. For notation we use $J = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$, and $I = (J, a)$.

Our goal is to address the following:

QUESTION 5.2.41. Let I be an almost complete intersection generated by 5 quadrics of x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4. If I is a birational ideal, in which cases is $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is an almost Cohen–Macaulay algebra? In this case, what are the generators of the its module of nonlinear relations?

In order to make use of Theorem 5.2.18, our main tools are Corollary 5.2.23 and [**89**, Theorem 2.2]. They make extensive use of the syzygies of I. The question forks into three cases, but our analysis is complete in only one of them.

The Hilbert functions of quaternary quadrics. We make a quick classification of the Hilbert functions of the ideals $I = (J, a)$. Since $I/J \simeq \mathbf{R}/J : a$ and J is a complete intersection, the problem is equivalent to determine the Hilbert functions of $\mathbf{R}/J : a$, with $J : a$ a Gorenstein ideal. The Hilbert function $H(\mathbf{R}/I)$ of $\mathbf{R}/I$ is $H(\mathbf{R}/J) - H(\mathbf{R}/J : a)$. We will need the Hilbert function of the corresponding canonical module in order to make use of [**89**, Proposition 3.7] giving information about $L_2/\mathbf{B}_1 L_1$.

We shall refers to the sequence $(f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots,)$, $f_i = \lambda(I^i/JI^{i-1})$, as the **f**–sequence of (I, J). We recall that these sequences are monotonic and that if I is birational, $\sum_{i \geq 1} f_i = e_1(I) = 12$.

PROPOSITION 5.2.42. *Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ and $I = (J, a)$ an almost complete intersection generated by 5 quadrics, where J is a complete intersection,. Then $L = \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J : a) \leq 6$ and the possible Hilbert functions of $\mathbf{R}/J : a)$ are:*

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
L = 6 & : & (1,4,1), \quad (1,2,2,1)^*, \quad (1,1,1,1,1,1)^* \\
L = 5 & : & (1,3,1), \quad (1,1,1,1,1)^* \\
L = 4 & : & (1,2,1), \quad (1,1,1,1)^* \\
L = 3 & : & (1,1,1)^* \\
L = 2 & : & (1,1)^{**} \\
L = 1 & : & (1)^{**}
\end{array}$$

If I is a birational ideal, the corresponding Hilbert function is one of the unmarked sequences above.

PROOF. Since $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}^2/I) \geq 5$ and $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}^2/J) = 11$, $L = \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J : a) \leq 6$. Because the Hilbert function of $R/(J : a)$ is symmetric and $L \leq 6$, the list includes all the viable Hilbert functions.

Let us first rule out those marked with a*, while those marked with a** cannot be birational. In each of these $J : a$ contains at least 2 linearly independent linear forms, which we denote by x, y, so that $J : a/(x, y)$ is a Gorenstein ideal of the regular ring $\mathbf{R}/(x, y)$. It follow that $(J : a)/(x, y)$ is a complete intersection. In the case of $(1, 2, 2, 1)$, $J : a = (x, y, \alpha, \beta)$, where α is a form of degree 2 and β a form of degree 3, since $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/J : a) = 6$. Since $J \subset J : a$, all the generators of J must be contained in (x, y, α), which is impossible by Krull theorem. Those strings with at least 3 1's are also excluded since $J : a$ would have the form (x, y, z, w^s), $s \geq 3$, and the argument above applies. The case $(1, 1)$, $J : a = (x, y, z, w^2)$. This means that $I_1(\phi) = J : a$, or $J : a = \mathfrak{m}$. In the first case, by Corollary 5.2.24, $I^2 = JI$. In the second case, $I_1(\phi) = \mathfrak{m}$. This will imply that $\lambda(I^2/JI) = 2 - 1 = 1$, and therefore I will not be birational (need the summation to add to 12). □

Hilbert function $(1, 4, 1)$. If $R/J : a$ has Hilbert function $(1, 4, 1)$, $J : a \subset \mathfrak{m}^2$ but we cannot have equality since $\mathfrak{m}^2$ is not a Gorenstein ideal. We also have $I_1(\phi) \subset \mathfrak{m}^2$. If they are not equal, $I_1(\phi) = J : a$, which by Corollary 5.2.24 would mean that $\mathrm{r}_J(I) = 1$.

THEOREM 5.2.43. *Suppose I that is birational and $I_1(\phi) \subset \mathfrak{m}^2$. Then $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is almost Cohen–Macaulay.*

PROOF. The assumption $I_1(\phi) \subset \mathfrak{m}^2$ means that the Hilbert function of $J : a$ is $(1, 4, 1)$ and vice-versa. Note also that by assumption $\text{length}(I^7/JI^6) \neq 0$. Since $\lambda(I/J) = \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J : a) = 6$, it suffices to show that $\lambda(I^2/JI) = 1$. From $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}^2/I) = 5$, the module $\mathfrak{m}^2/I$ is of length 5 minimally generated by 5 elements. Therefore $\mathfrak{m}^3 \subset I$, actually $\mathfrak{m}^3 = \mathfrak{m}I$.

There is an isomorphism $\mathbf{h} : \mathbf{R}/J : a \simeq I/J$, $r \mapsto ra$. It moves the socle of $\mathbf{R}/J : a$ into the socle of I/J. If $a \notin J : a$, then $\mathfrak{m}^2 = (J : a, a)$ and a gives the socle of $\mathbf{R}/J : a$, thus it is mapped by $\mathbf{h}$ into the socle of I/J, that is $\mathfrak{m} \cdot a^2 \in J$. Thus, $\mathfrak{m} \cdot a^2 \in \mathfrak{m}^3 J \subset JI$. On the other hand, if $a \in J : a$, then, since $a^2 \in J$, we have $a^2 \in \mathfrak{m}^2 J$ and $\mathfrak{m} \cdot a^2 \in \mathfrak{m}^3 J \subset JI$.

An example is $J = (x^2, y^2, z^2, w^2)$, $a = xy + xz + xw + yz$. □

Hilbert function $(1, 3, 1)$. Our discussion about this case is very spare.

- For these Hilbert functions, $J : a = (x, P)$, where P is a Gorenstein ideal in a regular local ring of dimension 3–and therefore is given by the Pfaffians of a skew-symmetric matrix, necessarily 5×5. Since $J \subset J : a$, L must contain forms of degree 2. In addition, P is given by 5 2–forms (and $(x, \mathfrak{m}^2)/(x, P)$ is the socle of $\mathbf{R}/J : a$).
- If I is birational, $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is almost Cohen–Macaulay if and only if $\lambda(I^2/JI) = 2$ and $\lambda(I^3/JI^2) = 1$. The first equality, by Proposition 5.2.23, requires

$\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I_1(\phi)) = 3$ which gives that $I_1(\phi)$ contains the socle of $J : a$ and another independent linear form. In all it means that $I_1(\phi) = (x, y, (z, w)^2)$. On the other hand $\lambda(I^2/JI) = 2$ means that $JI : I^2 = (x, y, z, w^2)$ (after more label changes).

- An example is $J = (x^2, y^2, z^2, w^2)$ with $a = xy + yz + zw + wx + yw$. The ideal $I = (J, a)$ is birational.

Hilbert function $(1, 2, 1)$. We do not have the full analysis of this case either.

- An example is $J = (x^2, y^2, z^2, w^2)$ and $a = xy + yz + xw + zw$. The ideal $I = (J, a)$ is birational. The expected $\mathbf{f}$–sequence of such ideals is $(4, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$.
- If I is birational then $I_1(\phi) = \mathfrak{m}$. We know that $I_1(\phi) \neq J : a$, so $I_1(\phi) \supset \mathfrak{m}^2$, that is $I_1(\phi) = (x, y, \mathfrak{m}^2)$, $(x, y, z, \mathfrak{m}^2)$, or $\mathfrak{m}$. Let us exclude the first two cases.

  $(x, y, \mathfrak{m}^2)$: This leads to two equations

$$\begin{aligned} xa &= xb + yc \\ ya &= xd + ye, \end{aligned}$$

  with $b, c, d, e \in J$. But this gives the equation $(a - b)(a - e) - dc = 0$, and $\mathrm{r}_J(I) \leq 1$.

  $(x, y, z, \mathfrak{m}^2)$: Then the Hilbert function of $\mathbf{R}/I_1(\phi)$ is $(1, 1)$. According to [**89**, Proposition 3.7], L_2 has a form of bidegree $(1, 2)$, with coefficients in $I_1(\phi)$, that is, in (x, y, z). This gives 3 forms with coefficients in this ideal, two in degree 1, so by elimination we get a monic equation of degree 4.

We summarize the main points of these observations into a normal form assertion.

PROPOSITION 5.2.44. *Let I be a birational ideal and the Hilbert function of $\mathbf{R}/J : a$ is $(1, 2, 1)$. Then up to a change of variables to $\{x, y, z, w\}$, I is a Northcott ideal, that is there is a 4×4 matrix $\mathbf{A}$,*

$$\mathbf{A} = \left[\frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mathbf{C}}\right].$$

where $\mathbf{B}$ is a 2×4 matrix whose entries are linear forms and $\mathbf{C}$ is a matrix with scalar entries and $\mathbf{V} = [x, y, \alpha, \beta]$, where α, β are quadratic forms in z, w such that

$$I = (\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{A}, \det \mathbf{A}).$$

PROOF. There are two independent linear forms in $J : a$ which we denote by x, y. We observe that $(J : a)/(x, y)$ is a Gorenstein ideal in a polynomial ring of dimension two, so it is a complete intersection: $J : a = (x, y, \alpha, \beta)$, with α and β forms of degree 2 (as $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/J : a) = 4$), from which we remove the terms in x, y, that is we may assume $\alpha, \beta \in (z, w)^2$.

Since $J \subset J : a$, we have a matrix $\mathbf{A}$,

$$J = [x, y, \alpha, \beta] \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{A}.$$

By duality $I = J : (J : a)$, which by Northcott theorem ([**137**]) gives

$$I = (J, \det \mathbf{A}).$$

Note that a gets, possibly, replaced by $\det \mathbf{A}$. The statement about the degrees of the entries of $\mathbf{A}$ is clear. □

EXAMPLE 5.2.45. Let

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} x+y & z+w & x-w & z \\ z & y+w & x-z & y \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v} = \left[x, y, z^2+zw+w^2, z^2-w^2\right].$$

This ideal is birational but $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is not aCM. This is unfortunate but opens the question of when such ideals are birational. The $\mathbf{f}$–sequence here is $(4,3,3,1,1,1,1)$.

The degrees of $\mathbf{L}$. We examine how the Hilbert function of $\mathbf{R}/J : a$ organizes the generators of $\mathbf{L}$. We denote the presentations variables by $u, \mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3, \mathbf{T}_4$, with u corresponding to a.

- $(1,4,1)$: We know (Theorem 5.2.43) that $JI : I^2 = \mathfrak{m}$. This means that we have forms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_1 &= xu^2 + \cdots \\ \mathbf{h}_2 &= yu^2 + \cdots \\ \mathbf{h}_3 &= zu^2 + \cdots \\ \mathbf{h}_4 &= wu^2 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

  with the $(\cdots)$ in $(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3, \mathbf{T}_4)\mathbf{B}_1$. The corresponding resultant, of degree 8, is nonzero.
- $(1,2,1)$: There are two forms of degree 1 in $\mathbf{L}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_1 &= xu + \cdots \\ \mathbf{f}_2 &= yu + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

  The forms in $L_2/\mathbf{B}_1 L_1$ have coefficients in $\mathfrak{m}^2$. This will follow from $I_1(\phi) = \mathfrak{m}$. We need a way to generate two forms of degree 3. Since we expect $JI : I^2 = \mathfrak{m}$, this would mean the presence of two forms in L_3,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_1^* &= zu^3 + \cdots \\ \mathbf{h}_2^* &= wu^3 + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

  which together with $\mathbf{f}_1$ and $\mathbf{f}_2$ would give the nonzero degree 8 resultant.
- $(1,3,1)$: There is a form $\mathbf{f}_1 = xu + \cdots \in L_1$ and two forms in L_2

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_1 &= yu^2 + \cdots \\ \mathbf{h}_2 &= zu^2 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

  predicted by [**89**, Proposition 3.7] if $I_1(\phi) = (x, y, z, w^2)$. (There are indications that this is always the case.) We need a cubic equation $\mathbf{h}_3^* = wu^3 + \cdots$ to launch the nonzero resultant of degree 8.

For all quaternary quadrics with $\mathbf{R}[It]$ almost Cohen–Macaulay, Corollary 5.2.32 says that $\nu(T) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J : a)$. Let us compare to the actual number of generators in the examples discussed above:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nu(T) & & \lambda(I/J) \\ 5 & (1,4,1) & 6 \\ 4 & (1,3,1) & 5 \\ 4 & (1,2,1) & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

We note that in the last case, T is an Ulrich module.

5.2.6. Monomial ideals. Monomial ideals of finite colength which are almost complete intersections have a very simple description. We examine a narrow class of them. Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x,y,z]$ be a polynomial ring over and infinite field and let J and I be $\mathbf{R}$–ideals such that

$$J = (x^a,\ y^b,\ z^c) \subset (J,\ x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma) = I.$$

This is the general form of almost complete intersections of $\mathbf{R}$ generated by monomials. Perhaps the most interesting cases are those where $\dfrac{\alpha}{a} + \dfrac{\beta}{b} + \dfrac{\gamma}{c} < 1$. This inequality ensures that J is not a reduction of I. Let

$$Q = (x^a - z^c,\ y^b - z^c,\ x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma)$$

and suppose that $a > 3\alpha,\ b > 3\beta,\ c > 3\gamma$. Note that $I = (Q,\ z^c)$. Then Q is a minimal reduction of I and the reduction number $\operatorname{red}_Q(I) \leq 2$. We label these ideals $I(a,b,c,\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$.

We will examine in detail the case $a = b = c = n \geq 3$ and $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 1$. We want to argue that $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is almost Cohen–Macaulay. To benefit from the monomial generators in using *Macaulay2* we set $I = (xyz, x^n, y^n, z^n)$. Setting $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{R}[u, \mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3]$, we claim that

$$\mathbf{L} = (z^{n-1}u - xy\mathbf{T}_3, y^{n-1}u - xz\mathbf{T}_2, x^{n-1}u - yz\mathbf{T}_1, z^n\mathbf{T}_2 - y^n\mathbf{T}_3, z^n\mathbf{T}_1 - x^n\mathbf{T}_3, y^n\mathbf{T}_1 - x^n\mathbf{T}_2,$$
$$y^{n-2}z^{n-2}u^2 - x^2\mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{T}_3, x^{n-2}z^{n-2}u^2 - y^2\mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{T}_3, x^{n-2}y^{n-2}u^2 - z^2\mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{T}_2, x^{n-3}y^{n-3}z^{n-3}u^3 - \mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{T}_3).$$

We also want to show that these ideals define an almost Cohen–Macaulay Rees algebra.

There is a natural specialization argument. Let X, Y and Z be new indeterminates and let $\mathbf{B}_0 = \mathbf{B}[X,Y,Z]$. In this ring define the ideal $\mathbf{L}_0$ obtained by replacing in the list above of generators of $\mathbf{L}$, x^{n-3} by X and accordingly x^{n-2} by xX, and so on; carry out similar actions on the other variables:

$$\mathbf{L}_0 = (z^2Zu - xy\mathbf{T}_3, y^2Yu - xz\mathbf{T}_2, x^2Xu - yz\mathbf{T}_1, z^3Z\mathbf{T}_2 - y^3Y\mathbf{T}_3, z^3Z\mathbf{T}_1 - x^3X\mathbf{T}_3, y^3Y\mathbf{T}_1 - x^3X\mathbf{T}_2,$$
$$yzYZu^2 - x^2\mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{T}_3, xzXZu^2 - y^2\mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{T}_3, xyXYu^2 - z^2\mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{T}_2, XYZu^3 - \mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{T}_3).$$

Invoking *Macaulay2* gives a (non-minimal) projective resolution

$$0 \to \mathbf{B}_0^4 \xrightarrow{\phi_4} \mathbf{B}_0^{17} \xrightarrow{\phi_3} \mathbf{B}_0^{22} \xrightarrow{\phi_2} \mathbf{B}_0^{10} \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \mathbf{B}_0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}_0/\mathbf{L}_0 \to 0.$$

We claim that the specialization $X \to x^{n-3}$, $Y \to y^{n-3}$, $Z \to z^{n-3}$ gives a projective resolution of $\mathbf{L}$.

- Call $\mathbf{L}'$ the result of the specialization in $\mathbf{B}$. We argue that $\mathbf{L}' = \mathbf{L}$.
- Inspection of the Fitting ideal F of ϕ_4 shows that it contains $(x^3, y^3, z^3, u^3, \mathbf{T}_1\mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{T}_3)$. From standard theory, the radicals of the Fitting ideals of ϕ_2 and ϕ_2 contain $\mathbf{L}_0$, and therefore the radicals of the Fitting ideals of these mappings after specialization will contain the ideal (L_1) of $\mathbf{B}$, as $L_1 \subset \mathbf{L}'$.
- Because (L_1) has codimension 3, by the acyclicity theorem ([**15**, 1.4.13]) the complex gives a projective resolution of $\mathbf{L}'$. Furthermore, as proj. dim $\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{L}' \leq 4$, $\mathbf{L}'$ has no associated primes of codimension ≥ 5. Meanwhile the Fitting ideal of ϕ_4 having codimension ≥ 5, forbids the existence of associated primes of codimension 4. Thus $\mathbf{L}'$ is unmixed.
- Finally, in $(L_1) \subset \mathbf{L}'$, as $\mathbf{L}'$ is unmixed its associated primes are minimal primes of (L_1), but by Proposition 5.2.2(c), there are just two such, $\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{L}$. Since $\mathbf{L}' \not\subset \mathfrak{m}\mathbf{B}$, $\mathbf{L}$ is its unique associated prime. Localizing at $\mathbf{L}$ gives the equality of $\mathbf{L}'$ and $\mathbf{L}$ since $\mathbf{L}$ is a primary component of (L_1).

Let us sum up this discussion:

PROPOSITION 5.2.46. *The Rees algebra of $I(n, n, n, 1, 1, 1)$, $n \geq 3$, is almost Cohen–Macaulay.*

COROLLARY 5.2.47. $e_1(I(n, n, n, 1, 1, 1)) = 3(n + 1)$.

PROOF. Follows easily since $e_0(I) = 3n^2$, the colengths of the monomial ideals I and $I_1(\phi)$ directly calculated and $\mathrm{r}_J(I) = 2$ so that

$$e_1(I) = \lambda(I/J) + \lambda(I^2/JI) = \lambda(I/J) + [\lambda(I/J) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I_1(\phi))] = (3n - 1) + 4.$$

□

REMARK 5.2.48. We have also experimented with other cases beyond those with xyz and in higher dimension as well.

(a) In $\dim \mathbf{R} = 4$, the ideal $I = I(n, n, n, n, 1, 1, 1, 1) = (x_1^n, x_2^n, x_3^n, x_4^n, x_1x_2x_3x_4)$, $n \geq 4$, has a Rees algebra $\mathbf{R}[It]$ which is almost Cohen–Macaulay.

(b) The argument used was a copy of the previous case, but we needed to make an adjustment in the last step to estimate the codimension of the last Fitting ideal F of the corresponding mapping ϕ_5. This is a large matrix, so it would not be possible to find the codimension of F by looking at all its maximal minors. Instead, one argues as follows. Because I is $\mathfrak{m}$–primary, $\mathfrak{m} \subset \sqrt{F}$, so we can drop the entries in ϕ_5 in $\mathfrak{m}$ Inspection will give $u^{16} \in F$, so dropping all u's gives an additional minors in $\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_4$, for height $(F) \geq 6$. This suffices to show that $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}'$.

CONJECTURE 5.2.49. Let I be a monomial ideal of $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. If I is an almost complete intersection of finite colength its Rees algebra $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is almost Cohen-Macaulay.

QUESTION 5.2.50. Our discussion of Rees algebras of almost complete intersections show the important role that Gorenstein ideals play in their analyses. Can these roles be reversed, that is, what are the properties of (bi-) rational morphisms defined by Gorenstein ideals? For example, let $\mathbf{R} = k[x, y, z]$ and I be the ideal of codimension three generated by 5 quadrics; what are the properties of $\mathbf{R}[It]$?

5.3. Special Fiber of Filtrations

5.3.1. Introduction. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring and let M be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module and let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_n, n \geq 0\}$ be a decreasing filtration of ideals of $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathcal{M} = \{M_n, n \geq 0\}$ a decreasing filtration of submodules of M such that $A_m\, M_n \subset M_{m+n}$. Denote by

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{R}) = \bigoplus_n A_n/A_{n+1}, \quad \mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{M}}(M) = \bigoplus_n M_n/M_{n+1}$$

the corresponding associated graded modules.

DEFINITION 5.3.1. *If $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ is a local ring, the* special fiber *of M is the graded module*

$$\mathcal{F}(M) \;=\; \mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{M}}(M) \otimes \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}$$

over the graded ring $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{R})$.

The main class of filtrations we will deal with will be I-good filtrations associated to an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I of a local ring $\mathbf{R}$: $A_{n+1} = IA_n$ and $M_{n+1} = IM_n$ for $n \gg 0$.

One of our motivations is the following. Let $\mathbf{f} = \{f_1, \ldots, f_m\} \subset \mathbf{R}$ be a set of elements of the local ring $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$. There are several algebras defined by $\mathbf{f}$, noteworthy the Rees algebra $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}[It]$, $I = (\mathbf{f})$, and its special fiber $\mathcal{F}(I) = \mathbf{R}[It] \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} (\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m})$. Let $\mathbf{B} = \overline{\mathbf{A}}$. If I is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary, the associated graded rings of $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ have the same multiplicity $\mathrm{e}_0(I)$. However the multiplicities of their special fibers–denoted by $f_0(I)$ and $\overline{f}_0(I)$–will often differ. Another change may occur in the values of the Hilbert coefficients $\mathrm{e}_1(I)$ and $\overline{e}_1(I)$.

5.3.2. The reduction number formula. One can use the philosophy of Deg to obtain generalizations of the bounds for reduction numbers of ideals in Cohen-Macaulay local rings to arbitrary Noetherian local rings.

In order to make use of Theorem 5.3.15, we need information about the reduction number of L in terms related to multiplicity. Let us recall [**199**, Theorem 2.45]:

THEOREM 5.3.2. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d and infinite residue field. For an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I,*

$$\mathrm{red}(I) \leq \frac{d \cdot \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J)}{o(I)} - 2d + 1,$$

where J is a minimal reduction of I and $o(I)$ is the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic order of I.

To establish such a result for arbitrary Noetherian rings ([**54**]), we proceed differently. The version of the following lemma for Cohen-Macaulay rings can be found in [**164**, Chapter 3, Theorem 1.1].

LEMMA 5.3.3. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension one.*

(a) *For any ideal I and for any parameter x, $\nu(I) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/(x))$. More generally, for any submodule U of a module E generated by n elements, $\nu(U) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/(x)) \cdot n$.*

(b) *If $\mathbf{R}$ is Cohen–Macaulay and x belongs to $\mathfrak{m}^s$, then $\nu(U) \leq \dfrac{\lambda(\mathbf{R}/(x))}{s} \cdot n$.*

PROOF. (a) **Case 1:** Let I be an $\mathbf{R}$–ideal and x a parameter of $\mathbf{R}$. Set $L = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R})$ and consider the two exact sequences

$$0 \to L \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}' \to 0, \qquad 0 \to L_0 = I \cap L \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow I' \to 0.$$

Note that $\mathbf{R}'$ has positive depth. Tensoring by $\mathbf{R}/(x)$, we get the exact sequences

$$0 \to L/xL \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/x\mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}'/x\mathbf{R}' \to 0, \qquad 0 \to L_0/xL_0 \longrightarrow I/xI \longrightarrow I'/xI' \to 0.$$

The second of the preceding sequences gives

$$\nu(I) = \lambda(I/\mathfrak{m}I) \leq \lambda(I/xI) = \lambda(L_0/xL_0) + \lambda(I'/xI').$$

Note that $\lambda(I'/xI') = \lambda(\mathbf{R}'/x\mathbf{R}')$. Combining these inequalities we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu(I) &\leq \lambda(L_0/xL_0) + \lambda(I'/xI') \\
&= \lambda(0 :_{L_0} x) + \lambda(I'/xI') \\
&\leq \lambda(0 :_{L} x) + \lambda(I'/xI') \\
&= \lambda(L/xL) + \lambda(I'/xI') \\
&= \lambda(\mathbf{R}/x\mathbf{R}) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}'/x\mathbf{R}') + \lambda(I'/xI') \\
&= \lambda(\mathbf{R}/x\mathbf{R}).
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2 : Let U be a submodule of a free $\mathbf{R}$–module $F = \mathbf{R}e \oplus \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$. We use induction on n to show that $\nu(U) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/x\mathbf{R}) \cdot n$. If $n = 1$, it is done by Case I. Suppose that $n \geq 2$. Consider the following short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}e \longrightarrow F = \mathbf{R}e \oplus \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \longrightarrow 0,$$

which is split. Let $U_0 = U \cap \mathbf{R}e \subset \mathbf{R}e$ and $U' = \pi(U) \subset \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$. By induction hypothesis, we get

$$\nu(U) \leq \nu(U_0) + \nu(U') \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/x\mathbf{R}) + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/x\mathbf{R}) \cdot (n-1) = \lambda(\mathbf{R}/x\mathbf{R}) \cdot n.$$

Case 3 : Let U be a submodule of E with $\nu(E) = n$. Then there is a natural surjection :

$$\pi : \mathbf{R}^n \twoheadrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is a submodule of $\mathbf{R}^n$ so that $\nu(\pi^{-1}(U)) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/x\mathbf{R}) \cdot n$ by Case II. Therefore we obtain

$$\nu(U) \leq \nu(\pi^{-1}(U)) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/x\mathbf{R}) \cdot n.$$

(b) Assume that $\mathbf{R}$ is Cohen–Macaulay and let $y\mathbf{R}$ be a minimal reduction of $\mathfrak{m}$. For sufficiently large t, we have

$$t\lambda(\mathbf{R}/x\mathbf{R}) = \lambda(\mathbf{R}/x^t\mathbf{R}) \geq \lambda(\mathfrak{m}^{st}) = e_0(\mathfrak{m})st - e_1(\mathfrak{m}) = \lambda(\mathbf{R}/y\mathbf{R})st - -e_1(\mathfrak{m}).$$

Comparing the leading coefficients of polynomials in t, we get

$$\lambda(\mathbf{R}/x\mathbf{R}) \geq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/y\mathbf{R})s.$$

Applying part(a), we obtain

$$\nu(U) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/y\mathbf{R}) \cdot n \leq \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{R}/x\mathbf{R})}{s} \cdot n.$$

□

THEOREM 5.3.4. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension d and infinite residue field. For an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I there is a minimal reduction J of I,*

$$\mathrm{red}_J(I) \leq d \cdot \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J) - 2d + 1.$$

PROOF. Let $J = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ be a minimal reduction of I and let $J_0 = (x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1})$. Then

$$\nu(I^n) \leq \nu(J_0^n) + \nu(I^n/J_0^n).$$

We need to estimate $\nu(I^n/J_0^n)$, where I^n/J_0^n is an ideal of the 1-dimensional local ring $\mathbf{R}/J_0^n$.

Let $M_i = \dfrac{(I^n + J_0^{n-i+1}) \cap J_0^{n-i}}{J_0^{n-i+1}}$ and $N_i = \dfrac{I^n + J_0^{n-i+1}}{J_0^{n-i+1}}$. Then we obtain the following series of exact sequences :

$$0 \longrightarrow M_i \longrightarrow N_i \longrightarrow N_{i+1} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Note that for each i, M_i is a submodule of J_0^{n-i}/J_0^{n-i+1} as an $\mathbf{R}/J_0$–module. Hence by Lemma 5.3.3, for each $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$,

$$\nu(M_i) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J)\nu(J_0^{n-i}/J_0^{n-i+1}) = \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J)\binom{d+n-2-i}{d-2},$$

Since $N_n = \dfrac{I^n + J_0}{J_0}$ is a submodule of $\mathbf{R}/J_0$, by Lemma 5.3.3, we get

$$\nu(N_n) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu(I^n) &\leq \nu(J_0^n) + \nu(I^n/J_0^n) \\
&\leq \nu(J_0^n) + \nu(M_1) + \nu(M_2) + \cdots + \nu(M_{n-1}) + \nu(N_n) \\
&\leq \binom{d+n-2}{d-2} + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J)\sum_{i=1}^{n}\binom{d+n-2-i}{d-2} \\
&= \binom{d+n-2}{d-2} + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J)\binom{d+n-2}{d-1.}
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that if

$$\nu(I^n) < \binom{n+d}{d},$$

then the minimal reduction number $r = r(I)$ of I satisfies $r \leq n-1$ ([**41**], [**199**, Theorem 2.36]). At this point we may need to replace the reduction J by another one. Hence by solving the inequality

$$\binom{d+n-2}{d-2} + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J)\binom{d+n-2}{d-1} < \binom{n+d}{d},$$

we obtain the desired relation. □

In the formula of Theorem 5.3.4,

$$e_0(I) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J) \leq \operatorname{hdeg}_I(\mathbf{R}),$$

where $\operatorname{hdeg}_I(\mathbf{R})$ is the extended multiplicity of $\mathbf{R}$ in the theory of cohomological multiplicities (see [**199**]). Let us derive an inequality for $\operatorname{red}(I)$ that shows its dependence on $a = e_0(I)$ and $b = I(\mathbf{R}) = \operatorname{hdeg}_I(\mathbf{R}) - e_0(I)$, the *Cohen-Macaulay defficency* of $\mathbf{R}$ relative to this multiplicity.

The argument is similar to the one above but uses the following majorization for $d \geq 2$ ([**157**, Theorem 2.1]):

$$\lambda(I^n/I^{n+1}) \leq a\binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + b\binom{n+d-2}{d-2}.$$

As above, it suffices to solve for n in

$$\nu(I^n) \leq \lambda(I^n/I^{n+1}) \leq a\binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + b\binom{n+d-2}{d-2} \leq \binom{n+d}{d}.$$

In other words, any integer larger than the larger root of the polynomial

$$n^2 - (da - 2d + 1)n - d(d-1)(a+b-1)$$

will do. For instance, if b is $\neq 0$ and $a+b \geq d+1$, then $n = d(a+b-2)$ will work. Note that this is slightly better than $d(a+b)-2d+1$, the value predicted in Theorem 5.3.4.

COROLLARY 5.3.5. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d > 0$ and assume $J = (a_1, \ldots, a_d)$ is a minimal reduction of $\mathfrak{m}$. Let $\mathfrak{m} = (J, a_{d+1}, \ldots, a_g)$ be a minimal set of generators of $\mathfrak{m}$. Then*

$$e_1(\mathfrak{m}) \leq e_1(\mathfrak{m}) - e_1(J) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J : \mathfrak{m}) \left[\binom{g + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J)d - 3d + 1}{g-d} - 1\right] f_0(J).$$

5.3.3. Special fibers and birationality. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be local ring and $I \subset L$ be ideals with L integral over I. This implies, of course, that $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{R}[Lt]$ is rational over $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}[It]$. What should it mean to say that special fiber $\mathcal{F}(L)$ is birational over $\mathcal{F}(I)$? Perhaps simply that the cokernel of $\mathcal{F}(I) \to \mathcal{F}(L)$ has dimension $< \dim \mathcal{F}(I)$.

One can develop a brief theory here, some of which can be extended to non-primary ideals. We are going to carry out a comparison between $\deg \mathcal{F}(I)$ and $\deg \mathcal{F}(L)$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{R} = \mathbf{R}[It] \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}' = \mathbf{R}[Lt] \to D \to 0.$$

Reducing mod $\mathfrak{m}$ we have the exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D,k) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} = \overline{\mathcal{R}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}' = \overline{\mathcal{R}'} \longrightarrow \overline{D} \to 0.$$

We know that $\dim D = \dim \overline{D}$, and it is easy to see [just look at the associated primes of D] that $\dim \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D,k) \leq \dim D$.

If $\dim D < d$ [a condition that is equivalent to $\mathrm{e}_1(I) = e_1(L)$], then $\deg \mathcal{F} = \deg \mathcal{F}'$.

Let us assume $\dim D = d$. In this case, $\deg D = \mathrm{e}_1(L) - e_1(I)$. From the homology sequence above, we have

$$\deg \mathcal{F} + \deg \overline{D} \quad \leq \quad \deg \mathcal{F}' + \deg \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D,k). \tag{5.3.5.1}$$

Let us estimate $\deg \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D,k)$. Let

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_2 \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} = F_0 \longrightarrow k \to 0$$

be a minimal resolution of k. Tensoring with D we have the complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow D \otimes F_2 \longrightarrow D \otimes F_1 \longrightarrow D = D \otimes F_0 \longrightarrow \overline{D} \to 0.$$

In the subcomplex

$$D \otimes F_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi} D \otimes F_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathfrak{m}D \to 0$$

$\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D,k) = Z/L$, where $Z = \ker \psi$ and $L = \text{image } \varphi$, and therefore $\deg \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D,k) \leq \deg Z$ (note that $\dim Z \leq \dim D$). On the other hand, from the exact sequence

$$0 \to Z \longrightarrow D \otimes F_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}D \to 0,$$

we have

$$\deg Z \quad = \quad \deg D \otimes F_1 - \deg \mathfrak{m}D. \tag{5.3.5.2}$$

We can now assemble these calculations:

THEOREM 5.3.6. *Let $I \subset L$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals with the same integral closure. Then*

$$\deg \mathcal{F} - \deg \mathcal{F}' \leq (\beta_1(k) - 1)(\mathrm{e}_1(L) - \mathrm{e}_1(I)).$$

PROOF. From (5.3.5.1) and (5.3.5.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\deg \mathcal{F} - \deg \mathcal{F}' &\leq \deg \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(D,k) - \deg \overline{D} \\
&\leq \deg Z - \deg \overline{D} \\
&= \deg D \otimes F_1 - \deg \mathfrak{m}D - \deg \overline{D} \\
&= \deg D \otimes F_1 - \deg D = (\operatorname{rank}(F_1) - 1) \deg D \\
&= (\beta_1(k) - 1)(e_1(L) - \mathrm{e}_1(I)).
\end{aligned}$$

□

REMARK 5.3.7. For future examination, we make the following observations.

(a) We are aware that if $\mathcal{F}$ is a domain, $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}'$, so the formula above would add nothing to it. We need an example with $\deg \mathcal{F} > \deg \mathcal{F}'$.

(b) It clear that the same formulas hold for general integral filtrations [subfiltrations of the integral closure filtrations, not just I-adic filtrations]. In this respect, a straightforward fact is that if $\mathbf{A}$ is such an algebra and $\mathbf{B}$ is its S_2-ification, then

$$\deg \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}) = \deg \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{B}).$$

(c) Looking over the proof above, it is clear that several pieces of homology were disregarded. Maybe this weakened the final inequality but we could not see how. This may warrant another visit to the proof. [The original hand-written has some unclear comments.]

(d) If this type of comparison really works, it might be worthwhile to compare them with the estimates for $\deg \mathcal{F}$ to be found in [**199**, Chapter 2].

5.3.4. Variation of Hilbert coefficients. We examine a list of questions about the changes that $\mathrm{e}_0(I)$ and $\mathrm{e}_1(I)$ undergo when we enlarge I. A must case is:

$$\mathrm{e}_0(I), \mathrm{e}_1(I) \longrightarrow e_0(L), \mathrm{e}_1(L), \quad L = (I, x).$$

Clearly the optimal baseline is that of an ideal I generated by a system of parameters, but we will consider very general cases. As will be seen, some relationships involve the Hilbert coefficients $f_0(I)$ and $f_1(I)$ of the special fiber. We will follow te discussion of [**54**].

In our calculations we make repeated used of the following elementary observation.

LEMMA 5.3.8. *If $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ is a Noetherian local ring and M is a module of finite length, then*

$$\lambda(M \otimes N) \leq \lambda(M) \cdot \nu(N).$$

PROOF. Induct on $\lambda(M) = n$. If $n = 1$, then $M \simeq \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}$ so that the assertion is clear. Suppose that $n \geq 2$ and let

$$M = M_0 \supsetneq M_1 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq M_{n-1} \supsetneq M_n = 0$$

be the composition series of M. By tensoring $0 \to M_{n-1} \to M \to M/M_{n-1} \to 0$ with N, we get the right exact sequence

$$M_{n-1} \otimes N \to M \otimes N \to M/M_{n-1} \otimes N \to 0.$$

Since $\lambda(M_{n-1}) = 1$ and $\lambda(M/M_{n-1}) = n - 1$, by induction hypothesis we have

$$\lambda(M_{n-1} \otimes N) \leq \nu(N), \text{ and } \lambda(M/M_{n-1} \otimes N) \leq (n-1)\nu(N).$$

Therefore,

$$\lambda(M \otimes N) \leq \lambda(M_{n-1} \otimes N) + \lambda(M/M_{n-1} \otimes N) \leq (1 + n - 1)\nu(N) = \lambda(M)\nu(N).$$

□

THEOREM 5.3.9 (Variation of the multiplicity). *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension d and infinite residue field, and let $I \subset L = (I, h)$ be $\mathfrak{m}$–primary ideals. Then*

$$e_0(I) - e_0(L) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I : L) \cdot f_0(I).$$

PROOF. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the following filtration :

$$\begin{aligned} I^n = M_0 \subset M_1 = (M_0,\ I^{n-1}h) \subset \cdots &\subset M_{r-1} = (M_{r-2},\ I^{n-r+1}h^{r-1}) \\ &\subset M_r = (M_{r-1},\ I^{n-r}h^r) \\ &\subset \cdots \subset M_n = (M_{n-1}, h^n) = L^n. \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I^n) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/L^n) &= \lambda((I,h)^n/I^n) = \lambda((I^n, hI^{n-1}, \ldots, h^{n-1}I, h^n)/I^n) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{n} \lambda(M_r/M_{r-1}). \end{aligned}$$

For each r, M_r/M_{r-1} is generated by $h^r I^{n-r} + M^{r-1}$.] Consider the natcityural surjection

$$\zeta : \mathbf{R}/(I:h) \otimes I^{n-r} \twoheadrightarrow M_r/M_{r-1} =< h^r I^{n-r} + M^{r-1} >,$$

where $\zeta(\overline{r} \otimes x) = rh^r x + M^{r-1}$. Using Lemma 5.3.8, we have

$$\lambda(M_r/M_{r-1}) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/(I:h) \otimes I^{n-r}) = \lambda(\mathbf{R}/(I:h))\nu(I^{n-r}).$$

It follows that

$$\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I^n) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/(I,h)^n) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I:h) \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \nu(I^r).$$

The iterated Hilbert function $\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \nu(I^r)$ is of polynomial type of degree d with leading (binomial) coefficient $f_0(I)$. Also , for $n \gg 0$, $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I)^n - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/(I,h)^n)$ is the difference of two polynomials of degree d and leading (binomial) coefficients $\mathrm{e}_0(I)$ and $\mathrm{e}_0(L)$. Hence

$$e_0(I) - e_0(L) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I:L) \cdot f_0(I).$$

□

THEOREM 5.3.10 (Variation of the Chern number). *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and let $I \subset L = (I,h)$ be $\mathfrak{m}$–primary ideals. If h is integral over I, then*

$$\mathrm{e}_1(L) - \mathrm{e}_1(I) \leq \mathrm{red}_I(L) \cdot \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I:L) \cdot f_0(I).$$

PROOF. Let s be the reduction number of L with respect to I. Then

$$h^{s+1} \in I(I,h)^s.$$

Then we obtain the following filtration :

$$\begin{aligned} I^n = M_0 \subset M_1 = (M_0,\ I^{n-1}h) \subset \cdots &\subset M_r = (M_{r-1},\ I^{n-r}h^r) \\ &\subset \cdots \subset M_s = (M_{s-1},\ I^{n-s}h^s) = L^n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I^n) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/(I,h)^n) = \lambda(L^n/I^n) = \sum_{r=1}^{s} \lambda(M_r/M_{r-1}) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I:h) \sum_{r=1}^{s} \nu(I^{n-r}).$$

Now for $n \gg 0$, $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I^n) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/(I,h)^n)$ is the difference of two polynomials of degree d and with same leading (binomial) coefficients $\mathrm{e}_0(I)$ and $\mathrm{e}_0(L)$, therefore it is at most a polynomial of degree $d-1$ and leading coefficient $\mathrm{e}_1(L) - e_1(I)$. On the other hand, for $n \gg 0$, we have

$$\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I : h) \sum_{r=1}^{s} \nu(I^{n-r}) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I : h) \cdot s \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (-1)^i f_i(I) \binom{n+d-i-1}{d-i-1}$$

This proves that

$$\mathrm{e}_1(L) - e_1(I) \leq \mathrm{red}_I(L) \cdot \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I : L) \cdot f_0(I).$$

□

COROLLARY 5.3.11. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring. Let $I \subset L = (I,h)$ be $\mathfrak{m}$–primary ideals such that I is a minimal reduction of L. Then*

$$\mathrm{e}_1(L) \leq \mathrm{red}_I(L) \cdot \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I : L).$$

EXAMPLE 5.3.12. ([**199**, Example 7.36]) Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x,y,z]_{(x,y,z)}$ and let I and L be $\mathbf{R}$–ideals such that

$$I = (x^a,\ y^b,\ z^c) \subset (I,\ x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma) = L,$$

where $\dfrac{\alpha}{a} + \dfrac{\beta}{b} + \dfrac{\gamma}{c} < 1$. This inequality condition ensures that $h = x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma \notin \overline{I}$. Then we have

$$e_0(I) - e_0(L) = abc - (ab\gamma + bc\alpha + ac\beta) = abc\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{a} - \frac{\beta}{b} - \frac{\gamma}{c}\right).$$

Since $(I : L) = (I : x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma) = (x^{a-\alpha},\ y^{b-\beta},\ z^{c-\gamma})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(\mathbf{R}/(I:L)) f_0(I) &= (a-\alpha)(b-\beta)(c-\gamma) \\
&= abc - bc\alpha - ac\beta - ab\gamma + a\beta\gamma + b\alpha\gamma + c\alpha\beta - \alpha\beta\gamma \\
&= e_0(I) - e_0(L) + \alpha\beta\gamma\left(\frac{a}{\alpha} + \frac{b}{\beta} + \frac{c}{\gamma} - 1\right) \\
&> e_0(I) - e_0(L)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $J = (x^a - z^c,\ y^b - z^c,\ x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma)$ and suppose that $a > 3\alpha$, $b > 3\beta$, $c > 3\gamma$. Note that $L = (J,\ z^c)$. Then J is a minimal reduction of L and the reduction number $\mathrm{red}_J(L) \leq 2$. We can estimate $\mathrm{e}_1(L)$:

$$e_1(L) = e_1(L) - e_1(J) \leq 2\lambda(\mathbf{R}/J : L).$$

We resume the discussion of variation of Hilbert coefficients.

PROPOSITION 5.3.13. *Let $L = (I,h)$ and suppose h is integral over I. Then*

$$f_0(L) \leq (1 + \mathrm{red}_I(L) \cdot \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I : h)) f_0(I).$$

PROOF. Let s be the reduction number of L with respect to I. By tensoring the following exact sequence with $\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}$

$$0 \longrightarrow I^n \longrightarrow L^n \longrightarrow L^n/I^n \longrightarrow 0,$$

we obtain

$$I^n/\mathfrak{m}I^n \longrightarrow L^n/\mathfrak{m}L^n \longrightarrow (L^n/I^n) \otimes \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m} \to 0.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(L^n/\mathfrak{m}L^n) - \lambda(I^n/\mathfrak{m}I^n) &\leq \lambda((L^n/I^n) \otimes \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}) \\ &\leq \lambda(L^n/I^n) = \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I^n) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/L^n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the inequalities of the leading coefficients (in degree $\dim(\mathbf{R}) - 1$)

$$f_0(L) - f_0(I) \leq \mathrm{e}_1(L) - \mathrm{e}_1(I).$$

Using Theorem 5.3.10, we obtain

$$f_0(L) - f_0(I) \leq \mathrm{e}_1(L) - e_1(I) \leq s \cdot \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I : h) \cdot f_0(I),$$

which completes the proof. □

REMARK 5.3.14. Note that the formulas for the variations of $\mathrm{e}_1(I)$ and $f_0(I)$ require that the new ideal has the same integral closure as I.

Write $L = (I, H)$, where $\nu(H) = \nu(L/I)$, and note that $I : L = I : H$. Write the difference of Hilbert functions using the obvious filtration

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I^n) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/L^n) &= \lambda((I,H)^n/I^n) = \lambda((I^n, HI^{n-1}, \ldots, H^{n-1}I, H^n)/I^n) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{n} \lambda(M_r/M_{r-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $M_r = (I^n, HI^{n-1}, \ldots, H^{r-1}I^{n-r+1}, H^rI^{n-r})$. Note that M_r/M_{r-1} is generated by the images of H^rI^{n-r}. More precisely, if $L = (h_1, \ldots, h_s, I)$, then M_r/M_{r-1} is generated by batches of elements, difficult to control (not entirely the case for the estimation of e_1 when L is integral over I). This filtration has been used by several authors when I is a system of parameters. Our formulation wraps it differently to accommodate our data.

THEOREM 5.3.15. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension d, let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal and let $L = (h_1, \ldots, h_m, I)$ be integral over I of reduction number s. Then*

$$\mathrm{e}_1(L) - e_1(I) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I : L) \cdot \left[\binom{m+s}{s} - 1 \right] \cdot f_0(I).$$

PROOF. We have already given parts of the proof. The remaining part is to estimate the growth of the length of $M_r/M_{r-1} = (h_1, \ldots, h_m)^r I^{n-r} + M_{r-1}/M_{r-1}$. We note that this module is annihilated by $I : L$ and is generated by the 'monomials' in the h_i of degree r, with coefficients in I^{n-r}. There is a natural surjection

$$\Phi : \mathbf{R}/(I : L) \otimes \mathbf{R}^{b_r} \otimes I^{n-r} \longrightarrow M_r/M_{r-1},$$

where $\Phi(\overline{r} \otimes e_i \otimes x) = r\alpha_i x + M_{r-1}$ and $b_r = \binom{m+r-1}{r}$. Therefore for $n >> 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I^n) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/L^n) &= \sum_{r=1}^{s} \lambda(M_r/M_{r-1}) \\ &\leq \sum_{r=1}^{s} \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I : L)\nu(I^n)\binom{m+r-1}{r} \\ &= \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I : L)\nu(I^n)\left(\binom{m+s}{s} - 1\right),\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

COROLLARY 5.3.16. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d > 0$. Let $L = (I, h)$ be an $\mathbf{R}$-ideal.*

(a) *If h is integral over I and $\mathrm{r}_I(L) = s$, then*

$$\mathrm{e}_1(L) - e_1(I) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I : h) \cdot s \cdot f_0(I).$$

(b) *Furthermore, if $\mathbf{R}$ is a Gorenstein ring and I is a parameter ideal, then*

$$\mathrm{e}_1(L) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I : h) \cdot s = [e_0(L) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/L)] \cdot f_0(L).$$

PROOF. (b) We have only to observe that

$$\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I : h) = \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I) - \lambda(I : h/I) = e_0(I) - \lambda(I : h/I) = e_0(L) - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/L),$$

since h is integral over I and $I : h/I$ is the canonical module of $\mathbf{R}/L$. □

The values of e_1 are also related to the multiplicity of certain Sally modules even in the non Cohen–Macaulay case, according to [**24**]. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ with infinite residue field. Let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$–primary ideal and J a minimal reduction of I. Suppose that $\dim(S_J(I)) = d$ and that $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}) \subset I$, then the multiplicity s_0 of the Sally module $S_I(I)$ is

$$s_0(J, I) = \mathrm{e}_1(I) - e_0(I) - e_1(J) + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I).$$

COROLLARY 5.3.17. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ with infinite residue field. Let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$–primary ideal and J a minimal reduction of I. Suppose that $\dim(S_J(I)) = d$ and that $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathbf{R}) \subset I$, then the multiplicity s_0 of the Sally module $S_I(I)$ satisfies*

$$s_0(J, I) \leq -e_0(I) + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I) + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J : I)\left[\binom{\nu(I) - d + r}{r} - 1\right],$$

where r is the reduction number.

EXAMPLE 5.3.18. Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x, y]_{\mathfrak{m}}$, where $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y)$, and let $L = (a_1, \ldots, a_n, a_{n+1})$ be an $\mathfrak{m}$–primary R–ideal with a resolution:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^n \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0,$$

where every entry of φ is linear and $n \geq 2$. Note that $L = \mathfrak{m}^n = \overline{L}$. We may assume that $J = (a_1, a_2)$ is a minimal reduction of L. Let $I = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$. In particular, $L = \overline{L} = \overline{J} \subset \overline{I} \subset \overline{L} = L$ so that L is integral over I. Then since each a_i is a n–form, the presentation for I is of the form:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbf{R}^n \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\psi = [\psi_1 \ \ \psi_2 \ \ \cdots \ \ \psi_{n-1}]$ with the first column ψ_1 consisting of 2-forms and all the other columns $\psi_2, \ldots, \psi_{n-1}$ consisting of linear forms. Using $e_1(L) = e_1(\mathfrak{m}^n) = \dfrac{1}{2}n(n-1)$, and

$$e_1(L) - e_1(I) \leq \operatorname{red}_I(L)\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I : a_{n+1})f_0(I),$$

we obtain

$$\mathrm{e}_1(I) \geq \frac{1}{2}n(n-1) - \operatorname{red}_I(L)\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I : a_{n+1})f_0(I)$$

One situation that may be amenable to further analysis is when $L = I : \mathfrak{m}$, or more generally $L = I : m^s$ for some values of s. We refer to L as a *socle extension* of I.

EXAMPLE 5.3.19. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d. Suppose $\mathbf{R}$ is not a regular local ring. Let $I = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ be a parameter ideal and $L = I : \mathfrak{m} = (I, h_1, \ldots, h_m)$. According to [**26**] (see also [**199**, Theorem 1.106]), $L^2 = IL$. In particular $\mathrm{e}_0(I) = e_0(L)$. Using Theorem 5.3.15, we estimate $\mathrm{e}_1(L)$:

$$e_1(L) = \mathrm{e}_1(L) - e_1(I) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I : L) \cdot \left[\binom{m+1}{1} - 1\right] \cdot f_0(I) = m.$$

As for $f_0(L)$, we have $f_0(L) \leq 1 + m$.

These elementary inequalities should be accompanied by an analysis of the possible equality. Let us argue that in the Cohen-Macaulay case this is very rare.

5.3.5. Special fiber and multiplicity. We seek relationships between the Hilbert coefficients of $\operatorname{gr}(\mathbf{B})$ of their special fibers. We first recall the formula of C. Lech ([**114**]) that gives rise to *a priori* bounds for $e(I)$.

THEOREM 5.3.20. *If $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ is a Noetherian local ring of dimension d and I is an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal, then*

$$e_0(I) \leq d! \cdot \deg(\mathbf{R}) \cdot \lambda(\mathbf{R}/\overline{I}) \tag{5.3.20.1}$$

where $\overline{I}$ is the integral closure of I.

PROPOSITION 5.3.21. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a normal local domain and let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. Suppose that $\mathbf{C} = \overline{\mathbf{R}[It]}$ is finite over $\mathbf{R}[It]$. We denote by $f_0(I)$ the multiplicity of the special fiber of I, and set $\overline{f}_0(I) = \deg(\mathbf{C}/\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{C})$. One has*

$$e_0(I) \leq \min\{f_0(I) \cdot \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I), \overline{f}_0(I) \cdot \lambda(\mathbf{R}/\overline{I})\}.$$

PROOF. We first observe that $C_{n+1} = IC_n = \overline{I}C_n$, for $n \gg 0$. In particular, in that range, $C_{n+1} \subset \mathfrak{m}C_n$. Consider now the corresponding exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathfrak{m}C_n/C_{n+1} \longrightarrow C_n/C_{n+1} \longrightarrow C_n/\mathfrak{m}C_n \to 0.$$

Counting multiplicities, we have

$$\deg(\mathrm{gr}(\mathbf{C})) = e_0(I) \leq \deg(\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{C}/\overline{I}\mathbf{C}) + \deg(\mathbf{C}/\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{C}) \leq \overline{f}_0(I)(\lambda(\mathfrak{m}/\overline{I}) + 1),$$

as desired.

The other inequality, $\mathrm{e}_0(I) \leq f_0(I) \cdot \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I)$, has a similar proof. □

5.3.6. Ideals generated by quadrics.

CHAPTER 6

Appendix

Introduction

6.1. Approximation Complexes

6.1.1. Introduction. These are complexes derived from Koszul complexes, and arise as follows (for details, see [**78**], [**79**], [**80**], [**192**, Chapter 4]).

The $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ complexes. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a commutative ring, F a free $\mathbf{R}$-module of rank n, with a basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$, and $\varphi : F \to \mathbf{R}$ a homomorphism. The exterior algebra $\bigwedge F$ of F can be endowed with a differential

$$\partial_\varphi : \bigwedge^r F \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{r-1} F,$$

$$\partial_\varphi(v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_r) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} (-1)^i \varphi(v_i)(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{v_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_r).$$

The complex $\mathbb{K}(\varphi) = \{\bigwedge F, \partial_\varphi\}$ is called the *Koszul complex* of φ. Another notation for it is: Let $\mathbf{x} = \{\varphi(e_1), \ldots, \varphi(e_n)\}$, denote the Koszul complex by $\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x})$.

Let $\mathbf{S} = S(F) = \operatorname{Sym}(F) = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_n]$, and consider the exterior algebra of $F \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} S(F)$. It can be viewed as a Koszul complex obtained from $\{\bigwedge F, \partial_\varphi\}$ by change of scalars $\mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{S}$, and another complex defined by the $\mathbf{S}$-homomorphism

$$\psi : F \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} S(F) \longrightarrow S(F), \quad \psi(e_i) = \mathbf{T}_i.$$

The two differentials ∂_φ and ∂_ψ satisfy

$$\partial_\varphi \partial_\psi + \partial_\psi \partial_\varphi = 0,$$

which leads directly to the construction of several complexes.

6.1.2. Construction.

Definition 6.1.1. Let $\mathbf{Z}$, $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ be the modules of cycles, boundaries and the homology of φ.

(a) The $\mathcal{B}$-complex of φ is $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{B} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S}, \partial\}$;
(b) The $\mathcal{Z}$-complex of φ is $\mathcal{Z} = \{\mathbf{Z} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S}, \partial\}$;
(c) The $\mathcal{M}$-complex of φ is $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathbf{H} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S}, \partial\}$,

where ∂ is the differential induced by ∂_ψ.

These are complexes of graded modules over the polynomial ring $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_n]$.

Proposition 6.1.2. *Let $I = \varphi(F)$. Then*

(a) *The homology of $\mathcal{Z}$ and of $\mathcal{M}$ depend only on I;*
(b) $H_0(\mathcal{Z}) = \mathrm{Sym}(I)$*;*
(c) $H_0(\mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{Sym}(I/I^2)$.

The two complexes are closely related:

PROPOSITION 6.1.3 ([**80**, Proposition 4.4]). *For each positive integer m there exists an exact sequence of $\mathbf{R}$-modules*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_r(\mathcal{M}_{m+1}) \longrightarrow H_r(\mathcal{Z}_m) \longrightarrow H_r(\mathcal{M}_m) \longrightarrow H_{r-1}(\mathcal{Z}_{m+1}).$$

COROLLARY 6.1.4. *The following conditions are equivalent for the complexes $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$:*

(a) *$\mathcal{M}$ is acyclic;*
(b) *$\mathcal{Z}$ is acyclic and* $\mathrm{Sym}(I) = \mathbf{R}[It]$.

If the natural surjection $\mathrm{Sym}(I) \to \mathbf{R}[It]$ is an isomorphism, I is said to be of *linear type*. The following assertion on the local number of generators is a requirement:

PROPOSITION 6.1.5. *If the $\mathbf{R}$-ideal I is of linear type, then*

$$\nu(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq \text{height } \mathfrak{p}, \quad \textit{for every } \ \mathfrak{p} \supset I.$$

Acyclicity. The homology of the Koszul complex $\mathbb{K}(\varphi)$ is not fully independent of I, for instance, it matters the number of generators. More distinctive are the nature of the ideals for which $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are acyclic.

Part of the significance of d-sequences lies in the following result ([**98**], [**187**]):

THEOREM 6.1.6. *Let I be an ideal generated by a d-sequence. Then the symmetric and Rees algebras of I are isomorphic,*

$$\mathrm{Sym}(I) \simeq \mathbf{R}[It].$$

THEOREM 6.1.7 (Acyclicity Theorem). *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of infinite residue field, and let I be an $\mathbf{R}$-ideal.*

(a) *I is generated by a d-sequence if and only if the approximation complex $\mathcal{M}$ is acyclic.*
(b) *I is generated by a proper sequence if and only if the approximation complex $\mathcal{Z}$ is acyclic.*

Koszul homology. Let I be an ideal generated by the sequence $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. The corresponding approximation complexes are defined in terms of the modules of the cycles and the homology of $\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x})$:

$$\mathcal{Z}: \quad 0 \to Z_n \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S}[-n] \to Z_{n-1} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S}[-n+1] \to \cdots \to Z_1 \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S}[-1] \to \mathbf{S} \to 0$$

$$\mathcal{M}: \quad 0 \to \mathrm{H}_n \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S}[-n] \to \mathrm{H}_{n-1} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S}[-n+1] \to \cdots \to \mathrm{H}_1 \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S}[-1] \to \mathrm{H}_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S} \to 0.$$

There is some resemblance to projective resolutions of $\mathrm{H}_0(\mathcal{Z}) = \mathrm{Sym}(I)$ as a $\mathbf{S}$-module, and of $\mathrm{H}_0(\mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{Sym}(I/I^2)$ as a $\mathbf{S}/I\mathbf{S}$-module. This is reinforced when the complexes are acyclic and the components $Z_i \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S}$ and $\mathrm{H}_i \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{S}$ have high depths as $\mathbf{S}$-modules.

A source of large classes of ideals where the H_i (and consequently the modules Z_i of cycles) have large depths is given by the following ([**100**]):

THEOREM 6.1.8. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Gorenstein local ring. If the ideal* I *lies in the linkage class of a complete intersection then the Koszul homology modules* $\mathrm{H}_i(I)$ *are Cohen-Macaulay of dimension* $\dim \mathbf{R}/I$.

Cohen-Macaulay algebras. Some of the applications of the complexes $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ make use of information on the Koszul homology of the ideal.

THEOREM 6.1.9 ([**80**, Theorem 10.1]). *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and let* I *be an ideal of positive height. Assume:*

- $\nu(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq \text{height } \mathfrak{p} + 1$ *for every* $\mathfrak{p} \supset I$;
- $\text{depth } (\mathrm{H}_i)_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \text{height } \mathfrak{p} - \nu(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) + 1$ *for every* $\mathfrak{p} \supset I$ *and every* $0 \leq i \leq \nu(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) - \text{height } I_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Then

(a) *The complex* $\mathcal{Z}$ *is acyclic.*
(b) $\mathrm{Sym}(I)$ *is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.*

COROLLARY 6.1.10. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Cohen-Macaulay local and let* I *be an almost complete intersection. The complex* $\mathcal{Z}$ *is acyclic and* $\mathrm{Sym}(I)$ *is a Cohen-Macaulay algebra in the following cases:*

(a) I *is* $\mathfrak{m}$*-primary.*
(b) $\text{height } I = d - 1$. *Furthermore if* I *is generically a complete intersection then* I *is of linear type.*
(c) $\text{height } I = d - 2$ *and* $\text{depth } \mathbf{R}/I \geq 1$. *Furthermore if* $\nu(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq \text{height } \mathfrak{p}$ *for* $I \subset \mathfrak{p}$ *then* I *is of linear type.*

THEOREM 6.1.11 ([**80**, Theorem 9.1]). *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and let* I *be an ideal of positive height. Assume:*

- $\nu(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq \text{height } \mathfrak{p}$ *for every* $\mathfrak{p} \supset I$;
- $\text{depth } (\mathrm{H}_i)_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \text{height } \mathfrak{p} - \nu(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) + 1$ *for every* $\mathfrak{p} \supset I$ *and every* $0 \leq i \leq \nu(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) - \text{height } I_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Then

(a) *The complex* $\mathcal{M}$ *is acyclic.*
(b) $\mathrm{Sym}(I) \simeq \mathbf{R}[It]$ *and* $\mathrm{Sym}(I/I^2) \simeq \mathrm{gr}_I(\mathbf{R})$.
(c) $\mathrm{Sym}(I)$ *and* $\mathrm{Sym}(I/I^2)$ *are Cohen-Macaulay rings.*
(d) *If it is further the case that* $\mathbf{R}$ *is a Gorenstein ring and the* H_i *are Cohen-Macaulay, then* $\mathrm{Sym}(I/I^2)$ *is a Gorenstein ring.*

COROLLARY 6.1.12. *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *be a Gorenstein local ring of dimension* d *and* I *an almost complete intersection of codimension* g *such that* $\text{depth } \mathrm{H}_1(I) \geq d - g - 1$. *If* I *is syzygetic then* I *is of linear type and* $\mathrm{gr}_I(\mathbf{R})$ *is Cohen-Macaulay.*

PROOF. By Corollary 6.1.10, $\mathcal{Z}$ is acyclic. To verify that $\mathcal{M}$ is acyclic, by Theorem 6.1.11 it suffices to check that for every minimal prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of I, $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a complete intersection. This follows from the exact sequence

$$0 \to \delta(I) = 0 \to \mathrm{H}_1(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}/I)_{\mathfrak{p}}^{g+1} \longrightarrow (I/I^2)_{\mathfrak{p}} \to 0.$$

Since $\mathrm{H}_1(I_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is an injective $(\mathbf{R}/I)_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module, the sequence splits and $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is generated by g elements. □

6.1.3. Approximation complexes with coefficients. There is another family of approximation complexes built to uncover properties of one other module introduced by David Rees. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a commutative ring, I an ideal and M an $\mathbf{R}$-module. The Rees module of I relative to M is

$$\mathcal{R}(I;M)=\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} I^nM.$$

It is a construction that plays a strikingly clarifying role in the current standard proof of the Artin-Rees Lemma. $\mathcal{R}(I;M)$ is also the ancestor of the associated graded module of I relative to M

$$\mathcal{R}(I;M)\otimes \mathbf{R}/I=\operatorname{gr}_I(M)=\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} I^nM/I^{n+1}M.$$

It is important to derive homological properties of these modules particularly for the role $\operatorname{gr}_I(M)$ has in various theories of multiplicity.

The construction of the complexes follows the script above. Let $\mathbf{x}=\{x_1,\dots,x_n\}$ be a sequence generating the ideal I and denote by

$$\{\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x};M),\partial\}=\{\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{R})\otimes_{\mathbf{R}} M,\partial\}$$

the corresponding Koszul complex. Set $B(\mathbf{x};M)$, $Z(\mathbf{x};M)$ and $\mathrm{H}(\mathbf{x};M)$ for their modules of boundaries, cycles and homologies.

Attaching coefficients we obtain the complex

$$\{\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x};M)\otimes\mathbf{S},\partial\otimes\mathbf{S}\}=\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x};M\otimes\mathbf{S}),\partial\otimes\mathbf{S}.$$

The module $\mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x};M\otimes\mathbf{S})$ supports another differential ∂' defined by the sequence $\mathbf{T}=\{\mathbf{T}_1,\dots,\mathbf{T}_n\}$. As previously ∂ and ∂' (anti-) commute which permits the definitions of the complexes ($\mathrm{H}_i=\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x};M)$)

$$\mathcal{Z}(I;M):\quad 0\to Z_n\otimes\mathbf{S}[-n]\to Z_{n-1}\otimes\mathbf{S}[-n+1]\to\cdots\to Z_1\otimes\mathbf{S}[-1]\to Z_0\otimes\mathbf{S}\to 0$$

$$\mathcal{M}(I;M):\quad 0\to \mathrm{H}_n\otimes\mathbf{S}[-n]\to \mathrm{H}_{n-1}\otimes\mathbf{S}[-n+1]\to\cdots\to \mathrm{H}_1\otimes\mathbf{S}[-1]\to \mathrm{H}_0\otimes\mathbf{S}\to 0.$$

When these complexes are acyclic, $\mathrm{H}_0(\mathcal{Z}(I;M))\simeq\mathcal{R}(I;M)$ and $\mathrm{H}_0(\mathcal{M}(I;M))\simeq\operatorname{gr}_I(M)$, in which case a great deal of information passes between the Koszul homology modules $\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x};M)$ and the Rees modules.

Proposition 6.1.13 ([**79**, Proposition 3.8]). *If the complex $\mathcal{M}(I;M)$ is acyclic then*

$$I\text{-depth } M=\mathbf{S}_+\text{-depth } \operatorname{gr}_I(M).$$

The sequential criterion of acyclicity is similar to the case $M=\mathbf{R}$:

Theorem 6.1.14 ([**79**, Theorem 4.1]). *Let $(\mathbf{R},\mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring with infinite residue field. Let I be an ideal and let M be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) *The complex $\mathcal{M}(I;M)$ is acyclic;*
(b) *I is generated by a d-sequence relative to M.*

6.2. Elimination

6.2.1. Introduction. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring, usually $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ for k a field, and let I be an ideal, and denote by $\mathbf{L}$ its ideal of equations

$$0 \to \mathbf{L} \longrightarrow \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \dots, \mathbf{T}_m] \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbf{R}[It] \to 0, \quad \mathbf{T}_i \mapsto f_i t.$$

Sylvester forms. Let $\mathbf{f} = \{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ be a set of polynomials in $\mathbf{L} \subset \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \dots, \mathbf{T}_n]$ and let $\mathbf{a} = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\} \subset \mathbf{R}$. If $f_i \in (\mathbf{a})\mathbf{S}$ for all i, we can write

$$\mathbf{f} = [f_1 \cdots f_m] = [a_1 \cdots a_m] \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{A},$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is an $m \times m$ matrix with entries in $\mathbf{S}$. We call $(\mathbf{a})$ a $\mathbf{R}$-content of $\mathbf{f}$. Since $\mathbf{a} \not\subset L$, then $\det(\mathbf{A}) \in \mathbf{L}$.

By an abuse of terminology, we refer to $\det(\mathbf{A})$ as a *Sylvester form*, or the *Jacobian dual*, of $\mathbf{f}$ relative to $\mathbf{a}$, in notation

$$\det(\mathbf{f})_{(\mathbf{a})} = \det(\mathbf{A}).$$

PROPOSITION 6.2.1. *Let $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$, and $\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_s$ be forms in $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \dots, \mathbf{T}_m]$. Suppose the $\mathbf{R}$-content of the $\mathbf{f}_i$ (the ideal generated by the coefficients in $\mathbf{R}$) is generated by forms $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_q$ of the same degree. Let*

$$\mathbf{f} = [\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_s] = [\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_q] \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{A}$$

be the corresponding Sylvester decomposition. If $s \leq q$ and the first-order syzygies of $\mathbf{f}$ have coefficients in $\mathfrak{m}$, then $I_s(\mathbf{A}) \neq 0$.

Note that $I_s(\mathbf{A})$ is generated by forms of $k[\mathbf{T}_1, \dots, \mathbf{T}_m]$. When we apply it to forms in $(L_1) : (c)$, $\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathbf{R}$, $I_s(\mathbf{A}) \subset \mathbf{L}$.

PROOF. The condition $I_p(\mathbf{A}) = 0$ means the columns of $\mathbf{A}$ are linearly dependent over $k[\mathbf{T}_1, \dots, \mathbf{T}_m]$, thus for some nonzero column vector $\mathbf{c} \in k[\mathbf{T}_1, \dots, \mathbf{T}_m]^s$

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{c} = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{c} = 0$$

is a syzygy of the $\mathbf{f}_i$ whose content in not in $\mathfrak{m}$, against the assumption. □

We refer to the condition on the content of syzygies as a *syzygetic certificate* for $I_s(\mathbf{A}) \neq 0$. (We use the word as a quick to check hypothesis.) This particular certificate will be called the *Sylvester certificate*. The following is just a convenient formulation for the nonvanishing of the special Sylvester determinant we will make use of.

PROPOSITION 6.2.2. *Let I be a homogeneous almost complete intersection as above. Let $(\mathbf{b}) \subset I_1(\varphi)$ and suppose there are forms of degree q, $\mathbf{a} = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_s\} \subset \mathbf{R}$, such that in bidegree $(q, *)$ we have elements*

$$(\mathbf{h}_1, \dots, \mathbf{h}_s) \subset (L_1) : (\mathbf{b}) \subset (L_1, L_2).$$

If $(\mathbf{a}) \cdot (\mathbf{b}) \subset \mathfrak{m}^r$ for some secondary elimination degree r, then $\beta = \det \mathbf{A}$ is a power of the elimination equation of I.

PROOF. Let $\mathbf{p}$ denote the elimination equation of I. Since $\mathbf{p}$ is irreducible it suffices to show that β divides a power of $\mathbf{p}$. From $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a}) \subset (L_1) : \mathbf{b}$, this gives a representation

$$\mathbf{p}[\mathbf{a}] = [\mathbf{h}]\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{a}]\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{A},$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is $s \times s$ matrix with entries in $k[\mathbf{T}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{T}_m]$, leading to the equation

$$[\mathbf{a}]\big(\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{pI}\big) = 0,$$

where $\mathbf{I}$ is the $s \times s$ identity matrix.

Since the minimal syzygies of $\mathbf{a}$ have coefficients in $\mathfrak{m}$, we must have

$$\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{pI},$$

so that $\det \mathbf{B} \cdot \det \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{p}^s$, as desired. □

In this construction it is desirable that the ideal $(\mathbf{a})$ have few generators. Thus, we would like to suggest the use of irreducible decompositions since the ideals that arise as components are often complete intersections. To see how this occurs, we note the following.

THEOREM 6.2.3. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring and let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$–primary ideal. Let $J \subset I$ be a subideal generated by a system of parameters and let $E = (J : I)/J$ be the canonical module of $\mathbf{R}/I$. If $E = (e_1, \ldots, e_s$, $\mathrm{e}_i \neq 0$, and $I_i = \operatorname{ann}(e_i)$, then I_i is an irreducible ideal and*

$$I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{s} I_i.$$

The statement and its proof will apply to ideals of rings of polynomials over a field.

PROOF. The module E is the injective envelope of $\mathbf{R}/I$, and therefore it is a faithful $\mathbf{R}/I$–module (see [**15**, Section 3.2] for relevant notions). For each e_i, $\mathbf{R}e_i$ is a nonzero submodule of E whose socle is contained in the socle of E (which is isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}$) and therefore its annihilator I_i (as an $\mathbf{R}$-ideal) is irreducible. Since the intersection of the I_i is the annihilator of E, the asserted equality follows. □

A variation is the following:

THEOREM 6.2.4. *Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring and let I be an irreducible Cohen-Macaulay ideal of height g. Let $J \subset I$ be a subideal generated by a regular sequence of g elements and let $E = (J : I)/J$ be the canonical module of $\mathbf{R}/I$. Then $E \simeq \mathbf{R}/I$, that is, $\mathbf{R}/I$ is a Gorenstein ring.*

PROOF. The module E is a faithful $\mathbf{R}/I$–module (see again [**15**, Section 3.2] for relevant notions). Suppose E is minimally generated by $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{\mathbf{R}}\}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the radical of I; since I is irreducible, it is a primary ideal. Let I_i be the annihilator of $\mathbf{R}e_i$, so that $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{s} I_i$. The embedding $\mathbf{R}/I_i \hookrightarrow E$ shows that I_i is $\mathfrak{p}$-primary; further, localizing E at $\mathfrak{p}$ gives that all I_i are irreducible (with the same radical). Thus we have that I equals one of the I_i, say $I = I_1$ and $I \subset I_i$.

For each e_i, $\mathbf{R}e_i$ is a nonzero submodule of E whose socle is contained in the socle of E (which is isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}$) and therefore I_i is irreducible. □

EXAMPLE 6.2.5. In the case where I is a codimension 2 ideal with a free resolution

$$0 \to \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbf{R}^n \longrightarrow I \to 0,$$

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} & \varphi' & \\ \hline a_{n-1,1} & \cdots & a_{n-1,n-1} \\ a_{n,1} & \cdots & a_{n,n-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where the last two maximal minors Δ_{n-1}, Δ_n of φ form a regular sequence, then

$$(e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}) = (\Delta_{n-1}, \Delta_n) : I = I_{n-2}(\xi')$$

and each ideal $I_i = (\Delta_{n-1}, \Delta_n) : e_i$ is a complete intersection of codimension 2.

The fact that the irreducible ideal I_i is a complete intersection is an observation of Serre (see [**42**, Corollary 21.20]). The explicit decomposition above is that of [**42**, Proposition 21.24].

If $\mathbf{R} = k[s,t]$ and I is an irreducible ideal, it is still true that I is a complete intersection (see [**49**]). In this case however there is no quick way to find its two generators (obviously if I is not homogeneous).

Another class of ideals to which these observations apply are monomial ideals I of polynomial rings over fields, $\mathbf{R} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Here in an irreducible decomposition $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{s} I_i$, the I_i can be chosen to be monomial. It is easy to show that irreducible monomial ideals are complete intersections.

6.2.2. Dimension two: an extended example. Given an ideal $I \subset \mathbf{R} = k[s,t]$, generated by 3 forms of the same degree, from its syzygies

$$0 \to \mathbf{R}^2 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbf{R}^3 \longrightarrow I \to 0,$$

we extract selected collections of Sylvester forms. Starting out from 2 forms, the defining equations of $\mathcal{S}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \alpha_1 \mathbf{T}_1 + \beta_1 \mathbf{T}_2 + \gamma_1 \mathbf{T}_3 \\ g &= \alpha_2 \mathbf{T}_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{T}_2 + \gamma_2 \mathbf{T}_3, \end{aligned}$$

following [**29**], we obtain by elimination higher degrees forms in the defining ideal of $\mathcal{R}(I)$.

To examine the homology of these collections of Sylvester forms, we make use of a computer-assisted methodology to show that these algorithmically specified sets generate the ideal of definition M of $\mathcal{R}(I)$ in several cases of interest–in particular answering some questions raised [**29**]. More precisely, the so-called ideal of moving forms M is given when I is generated by forms of degree at most 5. In arbitrary degree, the algorithm will provide the elimination equation in significant cases.

Basic Sylvester forms in dimension 2. The initial operation we make use of is the following. Let $\mathbf{R} = k[s,t]$ and let $f, g \in \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{R}[s,t,\mathbf{T}_1,\dots,\mathbf{T}_\mathbf{R}]$. If $f, g \in (u,v)\mathbf{B}$, for some ideal $(u,v) \subset \mathbf{R}$, the form derived from

$$\begin{bmatrix} f \\ g \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix},$$

$$h = ad - bc = \det(f,g)_{(u,v)},$$

will be called a basic Sylvester form. An inspection of the degrees gives that if $\deg_\mathbf{R}(f) < \min\{\deg_\mathbf{R}(g), \deg_\mathbf{R}(u) + \deg_\mathbf{R}(v)\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_\mathbf{R}(h) &< \deg_\mathbf{R}(g), \\ \deg_\mathbf{T}(h) &= \deg_\mathbf{T}(f) + \deg_\mathbf{T}(g). \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 6.2.6. In our applications, $I = C(f,g)$, the content ideal of f, g. In some of these cases, $C(f,g) = (s,t)^n$, for some n, an ideal which admits the irreducible decomposition

$$(s,t)^n = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} (s^i, t^{n+1-i}).$$

One can then process f, g through all the pairs $\{s^i, t^{n-i+1}\}$, and collect the determinants for the next round of elimination. As in the classical Sylvester forms, the inclusion $C(f,g) \subset (s,t)^n$ may be used anyway to start the process, although without the measure of control of degrees afforded by the equality of ideals.

Cohen-Macaulay algebras. The following is a well-known test of Cohen-Macaulayness (see [**88**]):

THEOREM 6.2.7. *If* $\dim R \geq 2$ *and the reduction number of* I *is* ≤ 1*, that is* $I^2 = JI$*, then* $\mathbf{R}[It]$ *is Cohen-Macaulay. The converse holds if* $\dim \mathbf{R} = 2$.

We pointed out in Theorem 6.2.7 that the basic control of Cohen-Macaulayness of a Rees algebra of an ideal $I \subset k[s,t]$ is that its reduction number be at most 1. We next give a mean of checking this property directly off a free presentation of I.

THEOREM 6.2.8. *Let* $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ *be an ideal of codimension* 2*, minimally generated by* 3 *forms of the same degree. Let*

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 \\ \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

be the Hilbert-Burch presentation matrix of I*. Then* $\mathcal{R}$ *is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if the equalities of ideals of* $\mathbf{R}$ *hold*

$$(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1) = (\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2) = (u,v),$$

where u, v *are forms.*

PROOF. Consider the presentation

$$0 \to \mathbf{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(I) = \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3]/(f,g) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R} \to 0,$$

where f, g are the 1-forms

$$\begin{bmatrix} f \\ g \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_1 & \mathbf{T}_2 & \mathbf{T}_3 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \varphi.$$

If $\mathcal{R}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, the reduction number of I is 1 by Theorem 6.2.7, so there must be a nonzero quadratic form h with coefficients in k in the presentation ideal M of $\mathcal{R}$. In addition to h, this ideal contains f, g, hence in order to produce such terms its Hilbert-Burch matrix must be of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} u & v \\ p_1 & p_2 \\ q_1 & q_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where u, v are forms of $k[s,t]$, and the other entries are 1-forms of $k[\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3]$. Since p_1, p_2 are q_1, q_2 are pairs of linearly independent 1-forms, the assertion about the ideals defined by the columns of φ follow. □

Base ideals generated in degree 4. This is the case treated by D. Cox in his Luminy lecture ([**29**]). We accordingly change the notation to $\mathbf{R} = k[s,t]$, $I = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$, forms of degree 4. The field k is infinite, and we further assume that f_1, f_2 form a regular sequence so that $J = (f_1, f_2)$ is a reduction of I and of $(s,t)^4$. Let

$$(6.2.8.1)\quad 0 \to \mathbf{R}(-4-\mu) \oplus \mathbf{R}(-8+\mu) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbf{R}^3(-4) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/I \to 0,$$

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 \\ \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

be the Hilbert-Burch presentation of I. We obtain the equations of f_1, f_2, f_3 from this matrix.

Note that μ is the degree of the first column of φ, $4-\mu$ the other degree. Let us first consider (as in [**29**]) the case $\mu = 2$.

Balanced case. We shall now give a computer-assisted treatment of the *balanced* case, that is when the resolution (6.2.8.1) of the ideal I has $\mu = 2$ and the content ideal of the syzygies is $(s,t)^2$. Since k is infinite, it is easy to show that there is a change of variables, $\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3 \to x, y, z$, so that (s^2, st, t^2) is a syzygy of I. The forms f, g that define the symmetric algebra of I can then be written

$$\begin{bmatrix} f & g \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} s^2 & st & t^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x & u \\ y & v \\ z & w \end{bmatrix},$$

where u, v, w are linear forms in x, y, z. Finally, we will assume that the ideal $I_2\left(\begin{bmatrix} x & y & z \\ u & v & w \end{bmatrix}\right)$ has codimension two. Note that this is a generic condition.

We introduce now the *equations* of I.

- Linear equations f and g:

$$\begin{aligned}[f \quad g] &= [x\ y\ z]\,\varphi = [x\ y\ z]\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 \\ \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= [s^2 \quad st \quad t^2]\begin{bmatrix} x & u \\ y & v \\ z & w \end{bmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

where u, v, w are linear forms in x, y, z.

• Biforms h_1 and h_2:

Write Γ_1 and Γ_2 such that

$$[f \quad g] = [x\ y\ z]\,\varphi = [\ s \quad t^2\ ]\,\Gamma_1 = [\ s^2 \quad t\ ]\,\Gamma_2.$$

Then $h_1 = \det \Gamma_1$ and $h_2 = \det \Gamma_2$.

• Implicit equation $F = \det \Theta$, where $[h_1 \quad h_2] = [s \quad t]\,\Theta$.

Using generic entries for φ, in place of the true k-linear forms in old variables x, y, z, we consider the ideal of $\mathbf{A} = k[s, t, x, y, z, u, v, w]$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f &= s^2x + sty + t^2z \\ g &= s^2u + stv + t^2w \\ h_1 &= -syu - tzu + sxv + txw \\ h_2 &= -szu - tzv + sxw + tyw \\ F &= -z^2u^2 + yzuv - xzv^2 - y^2uw + 2xzuw + xyvw - x^2w^2 \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 6.2.9. *If* $I_2\left(\begin{bmatrix} x & y & z \\ u & v & w \end{bmatrix}\right)$ *specializes to a codimension two ideal of* $k[x, y, z]$*, then* $L = (f, g, h_1, h_2, F) \subset \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}[x, y, z, u, v, w]$ *specializes to the defining ideal of* $\mathcal{R}$*.*

PROOF. *Macaulay2* ([**68**]) gives a resolution

$$0 \to \mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{d_2} \mathbf{A}^5 \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}^5 \longrightarrow L \to 0$$

where

$$d_2 = \begin{bmatrix} zv - yw \\ zu - xw \\ -yu + xv \\ -t \\ s \end{bmatrix}.$$

The assumption on $I_2\left(\begin{bmatrix} x & y & z \\ u & v & w \end{bmatrix}\right)$ says that the entries of d_2 generate an ideal of codimension four and thus implies that the specialization LS has projective dimension

two and that it is unmixed. Since $LS \not\subset (s,t)S$, there is an element $q \in (s,t)\mathbf{R}$ that is regular modulo S/LS. If

$$LS = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_r$$

is the primary decomposition of LS, the localization LS_q has the corresponding decomposition since q is not contained in any of the $\sqrt{Q_i}$. But now $\mathrm{Sym}_q = \mathcal{R}_q$, so $LS_q = (f,g)_u$, as $I_q = \mathbf{R}_q$. □

Non-balanced case. We shall now give a similar computer-assisted treatment of the non-balanced case, that is when the resolution (6.2.8.1) of the ideal I has $\mu = 3$. This implies hat the content ideal of the syzygies is (s,t). Let us first indicate how the proposed algorithm would behave.

- Write the forms f, g as

$$\begin{aligned} f &= as + bt \\ g &= cs + dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x & y & z \\ u & v & w \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s^2 \\ st \\ t^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- The next form is the Jacobian of f, g with respect to (s,t)

$h_1 = \det(f,g)_{(s,t)} = ad - bc = -bxs^2 - byst - bzt^2 + aus^2 + avst + awt^2.$

- The next two generators

$$h_2 = \det(f,h_1)_{(s,t)} = b^2xs + b^2yt - abzt - abus - abvt + a^2wt$$

and the elimination equation

$$h_3 = \det(f,h_2)_{(s,t)} = -b^3x + ab^2y - a^2bz + ab^2u - a^2bv + a^3w.$$

Proposition 6.2.10. *$L = (f, g, h_1, h_2, h_3) \subset \mathbf{A} = k[s,t,x,y,z,u,v,w]$ specializes to the defining ideal of $\mathcal{R}$.*

Proof. *Macaulay2* ([**68**]) gives the following resolution of L

$$0 \to \mathbf{A}^2 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbf{A}^6 \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbf{A}^5 \longrightarrow L \to 0,$$

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} s & 0 \\ t & 0 \\ -b & s \\ a & t \\ 0 & -b \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\psi = \begin{bmatrix} -b^2x + abu & -b^2y + abz + abv - a^2w & -bsx - bty + asu + atv & -btz + atw & -s^2x - sty - t^2z & -s^2u - stv - t^2w \\ t & -s & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & b & t & -s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & b & t & -s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & b \end{bmatrix}$$

The ideal of 2×2 minors of φ has codimension 4, even after we specialize from A to S in the natural manner. Since LS has projective dimension two, it will be unmixed. As $LS \not\subset (s,t)$, there is an element $u \in (s,t)R$ that is regular modulo S/LS. If

$$LS = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_{\mathbf{R}}$$

is the primary decomposition of LS, the localization LS_u has the corresponding decomposition since u is not contained in any of the $\sqrt{Q_i}$. But now $\mathrm{Sym}_u = \mathcal{R}_u$, so $LS_u = (f,g)_u$, as $I_u = R_u$. □

Degree 5 *and above.* It may be worthwhile to extend this to arbitrary degree, that is assume that I is defined by 3 forms of degree $n+1$ (for convenience in the notation to follow). We first consider the case $\mu = 1$. Using the procedure above, we would obtain the sequence of polynomials in $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}[a, b, x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$

- Write the forms f, g as

$$\begin{aligned} f &= as + bt \\ g &= cs + dt, \end{aligned}$$

  where

$$\begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ y_1 & \cdots & y_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s^{n-1} \\ s^{n-2}t \\ \vdots \\ st^{n-2} \\ t^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

- The next form is the Jacobian of f, g with respect to (s,t)

$$h_1 = \det(f,g)_{(s,t)} = ad - bc$$

- Successively we would set

$$h_{i+1} = \det(f, h_i)_{(s,t)}, \quad 1 < n.$$

- The polynomial

$$h_n = \det(f, h_{n-1})_{(s,t)}$$

  is the elimination equation.

Proposition 6.2.11. $L = (f, g, h_1, \ldots, h_5) \subset \mathbf{A}$ *specializes to the defining ideal of* $\mathcal{R}$.

In *Macaulay2*, we checked the degrees 5 and 6 cases. In both cases, the ideal L (which has one more generator in degree 6) has a projective resolution of length 2 and the ideal of maximal minors of the last map has codimension four.

Conjecture 6.2.12. For arbitrary n, $L = (f, g, h_1, \ldots, h_n)$.

In degree 5, the interesting case is when the Hilbert-Burch matrix ϕ has degrees 2 and 3. Let us describe the proposed generators. For simplicity, by a change of coordinates, we assume that the coordinates of the degree 2 column of φ are s^2, st, t^2

$$\begin{aligned} f &= s^2x + sty + t^2z \\ g &= (s^3w_1 + s^2tw_2 + st^2w_3 + t^3w_4)x + (s^3w_5 + s^2tw_6 + st^2w_7 + t^3w_8)y \\ &+ (s^3w_9 + s^2tw_{10} + st^2w_{11} + t^3w_{12})z \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} f \\ g \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} x & y & z \\ sA & sB+tC & tD \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s^2 \\ st \\ t^2 \end{bmatrix} = \phi \begin{bmatrix} s^2 \\ st \\ t^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} x & ys+zt \\ sA+tB & stC+t^2D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s^2 \\ t \end{bmatrix} = B_1 \begin{bmatrix} s^2 \\ t \end{bmatrix}, \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} xs+yt & z \\ s^2A+stB & sC+tD \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s \\ t^2 \end{bmatrix} = B_2 \begin{bmatrix} s \\ t^2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where A, B, C, D are k-linear forms in x, y, z.

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= \det(B_1) \\ &= s^2(-yA) + st(xC - yB - zA) + t^2(xD - zB) \\ &= s^2(-yA) + t(xCs - yBs - zAs + xDt - zBt) \\ &= s(-yAs + xCt - yBt - zAt) + t^2(xD - zB), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_2 &= \det(B_2) \\ &= s^2(xC - zA) + st(xD + yC - zB) + t^2(yD) \\ &= s^2(xC - zA) + t(xDs + yCs - zBs + yDt) \\ &= s(xCs - zAs + xDt + yCt - zBt) + t^2(yD). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} f \\ h_1 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} x & ys+zt \\ -yA & xCs - yBs - zAs + xDt - zBt \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s^2 \\ t \end{bmatrix} = C_1 \begin{bmatrix} s^2 \\ t \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} xs+yt & z \\ -yAs + xCt - yBt - zAt & xD - zB \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s \\ t^2 \end{bmatrix} = C_2 \begin{bmatrix} s \\ t^2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} f \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x & ys+zt \\ xC-zA & xDs+yCs-zBs+yDt \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s^2 \\ t \end{bmatrix} = C_3 \begin{bmatrix} s^2 \\ t \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} xs+yt & z \\ xCs-zAs+xDt+yCt-zBt & yD \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s \\ t^2 \end{bmatrix} = C_4 \begin{bmatrix} s \\ t^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$c_1 = \det(C_1) = x^2(Cs+Dt)+xy(-Bs)+xz(-As-Bt)+yz(At)+y^2(As)$$

$$c_2 = \det(C_2) = x^2(Ds)+xy(Dt)+xz(-Bs-Ct)+yz(As)+z^2(At)$$

$$c_3 = \det(C_3) = x^2(Ds)+xy(Dt)+xz(-Bs-Ct)+yz(As)+z^2(At)$$

$$c_4 = \det(C_4) = xy(Ds)+xz(-Cs-Dt)+yz(-Ct)+z^2(As+Bt)+y^2(D)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} f \\ h_1 \\ h_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x & y & z \\ -yA & xC-yB-zA & xD-zB \\ xC-zA & xD+yC-zB & yD \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s^2 \\ st \\ t^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then $F = -x^3D^2 + x^2yCD + xy^2(-BD) + x^2z(2BD-C^2) + xz^2(2AC-B^2) + xyz(BC-3AD) + y^2z(-AC) + yz^2(AB) + y^3(AD) + z^3(-A^2)$, an equation of degree 5. In particular, the parametrization is birational.

PROPOSITION 6.2.13. *$L = (f, g, h_1, h_2, c_1, c_2, c_4, F)$ specializes to the defining ideal of $\mathcal{R}$.*

PROOF. Using *Macaulay2*, the ideal L has a resolution:

$$0 \longrightarrow S^1 \xrightarrow{d_3} S^6 \xrightarrow{d_2} S^{12} \xrightarrow{d_1} S^8 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0.$$

$$d_3 = [-z \;\; y \;\; x \;\; -t \;\; s \;\; 0]^t$$

$$d_2 = \begin{bmatrix} y & z & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x & 0 & z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -v & 0 & 0 & z & 0 & x^2w_4 - xzw_7 + xyw_8 + xzw_{12} \\ u & 0 & 0 & 0 & z & -xzw_3 + xyw_4 + z^2w_6 - yzw_7 + y^2w_8 - xzw_8 - z^2w_{11} + yzw_{12} \\ 0 & x & -y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -v & 0 & -y & 0 & xzw_1 - x^2w_3 + yzw_5 + z^2w_9 - xzw_{11} \\ 0 & u & 0 & 0 & -y & xzw_2 - x^2w_4 + z^2w_{10} - xzw_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & u & 0 & -x & xzw_1 + yzw_5 - xzw_6 + x^2w_8 + z^2w_9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u & v & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v & x & 0 & -xyw_1 + x^2w_2 - y^2w_5 + xyw_6 - x^2w_7 - yzw_9 + xzw_{10} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s \end{bmatrix}$$

The ideals of maximal minors give codim $I_1(d_3) = 5$ and codim $I_5(d_2) = 4$ after specialization. As we have been arguing, this suffices to show that the specialization is a prime ideal of codimension two. □

Elimination forms in higher degree. In degrees greater than 5, the methods above are not very suitable. However, in several cases they are still supple enough to produce the elimination equation. We have already seen this when one of the syzygies is of degree 1. Let us describe two other cases.

- Degree $n = 2p$, f and g both of degree p. We use the decomposition

$$(s,t)^p = \bigcap_{i=1}^{p} (s^i, t^{p+1-i}).$$

For each $1 \leq i \leq p$, let

$$h_i = \det(f,g)_{(s^i,t^{p+1-i})}.$$

These are quadratic polynomials with coefficients in $(s,t)^{p-1}$. We set

$$[h_1, \cdots, h_p] = [s^{p-1}, \cdots, t^{p-1}] \cdot \mathbf{A},$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is a $p \times p$ matrix whose entries are 2-forms in $k[\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3]$. The Sylvester form of degree n, $F = \det(\mathbf{A})$, is the required elimination equation.

- Degree $n = 2p+1$, f of degree p. We use the decomposition

$$(s,t)^p = \bigcap_{i=1}^{p} (s^i, t^{p+1-i}).$$

For each $1 \leq i \leq p$, let

$$h_i = \det(f,g)_{(s^i,t^{p+1-i})}.$$

These are quadratic polynomials with coefficients in $(s,t)^p$. We set

$$[f, h_1, \cdots, h_p] = [s^p, \cdots, t^p] \cdot \mathbf{A},$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is a $(p+1) \times (p+1)$ matrix with one column whose entries are linear forms and the remaining columns with entries 2-forms in $k[\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_3]$. The Sylvester form $F = \det(\mathbf{A})$ is the required elimination equation.

Bibliography

[1] I. M. Aberbach and C. Huneke, An improved Briançon–Skoda theorem with applications to the Cohen–Macaulayness of Rees algebras, *Math. Ann.* **297** (1993), 343–369.

[2] I. M. Aberbach, C. Huneke and N. V. Trung, Reduction numbers, Briançon-Skoda theorems and depth of Rees algebras, *Compositio Math.* **97** (1995), 403–434.

[3] R. Achilles and M. Manaresi, Multiplicity for ideals of maximal analytic spread and intersection theory, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **33** (1993), 1029–1046.

[4] M. Auslander, Modules over unramified regular local rings, *Illinois J. Math.* **5** (1961), 631–647.

[5] M. Auslander and M. Bridger, Stable module theory, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **94**, Providence, R.I., 1969, 146pp.

[6] M. Auslander and D. Buchsbaum, On ramification theory in noetherian rings, *Amer. J. Math.* **81** (1959), 749–765.

[7] L. Avramov and J. Herzog, Jacobian criteria for complete intersections. The graded case, *Invent. Math.* (1994), 75–88.

[8] D. Bayer and D. Mumford, What can be computed in Algebraic Geometry?, in *Computational Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra*, Proceedings, Cortona 1991 (D. Eisenbud and L. Robbiano, Eds.), Cambridge University Press, 1993, 1–48.

[9] J. Brennan and C. Ciuperca, Sequences that preserve the homological degree, *Comm. Algebra* **37** (2009), 1647–1655.

[10] J. Brennan, B. Ulrich and W. V. Vasconcelos, The Buchsbaum–Rim polynomial of a module, *J. Algebra* **341** (2001), 379–392.

[11] J. Brennan and W.V. Vasconcelos, Effective normality criteria for algebras of linear type, *J. Algebra* **273** (2004), 640–656.

[12] J. Briançon and H. Skoda, Sur la clôture intégrale d'un idéal de germes de fonctions holomorphes en un point de $\mathbb{C}^n$, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **278** (1974), 949–951.

[13] K. A. Brown and C. R. Hajarnavis, Homologically homogeneous rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **281** (1984), 197-208.

[14] W. Brown and J. Herzog, One–dimensional local rings of maximal and almost maximal length, *J. Algebra* **151** (1992), 332–347.

[15] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[16] D. Buchsbaum and D. S. Rim, A generalized Koszul complex II. Depth and multiplicity, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **111** (1965), 197–224.

[17] L. Burch, Codimension and analytic spread, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **72** (1972), 369–373.

[18] L. Busé and M. Chardin, Impliciting rational hypersurfaces using approximation complexes, *J. Symbolic Computation* **40** (2005), 1150–1168.

[19] L. Busé, D. Cox and C. D'Andrea, Implicitization of surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^3$ in the presence of base points, *J. Applied Algebra* **2** (2003), 189–214.

[20] L. Busé and J.-P. Jouanolou, On the closed image of a rational map and the implicitization problem, *J. Algebra* **265** (2003), 312-357.

[21] C. D'Andrea, Resultants and moving surfaces, *J. Symbolic Computation* **31** (2001), 585–602.

[22] A. Capani, G. Niesi and L. Robbiano, *CoCoA*: A system for doing computations in commutative algebra, 2010. Available via anonymous ftp from http://www.lancelot.dima.unige.it.

[23] M. Chardin, D. T. Ha and L. T. Hoa, Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of Ext modules and homological degree, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **363** (2011), 3439–3456. arXiv: math.AC/0903.4535

[24] A. Corso, Sally modules of $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals in local rings, *Comm. Algebra* **37** (2009), 4503–4515.
[25] A. Corso, C. Huneke and W. V. Vasconcelos, On the integral closure of ideals, *Manuscripta Math.* **95** (1998), 331–347.
[26] A. Corso, C. Polini and W. V. Vasconcelos, Links of prime ideals, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **115** (1994), 431–436.
[27] A. Corso, C. Polini and W. V. Vasconcelos, The multiplicity of the special fiber of blowups, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **140** (2006), 207–219.
[28] T. Cortadellas and C. D'Andrea, Rational plane curves parametrizable by conics, arXiv:1104.2782 [math.AG].
[29] D. Cox, Four conjectures: Two for the moving curve ideal and two for the Bezoutian, Proceedings of "Commutative Algebra and its Interactions with Algebraic Geometry", CIRM, Luminy, France, May 2006 (available in CD media).
[30] D. Cox, The moving curve ideal and the Rees algebra, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **392** (2008), 23–36.
[31] D. Cox, J. W. Hoffman and H. Wang, Syzygies and the Rees algebra, *J. Pure & Applied Algebra* **212** (2008), 1787–1796.
[32] N. T. Cuong, P. Schenzel and N. V. Trung, Verallgemeinerte Cohen–Macaulay–Moduln, *Math. Nachr.* **85** (1978) 57–73.
[33] K. Dalili, On the number of generators of modules of homomorphisms, *J. Algebra* **311** (2007), 463–491.
[34] K. Dalili and W. V. Vasconcelos, The tracking number of an algebra, *American J. Math.* **127** (2005), 697-708.
[35] K. Dalili and W. V. Vasconcelos, Cohomological degrees and the HomAB conjecture, in *Algebra, Geometry and Their Interactions* (A. Corso, J. Migliore and C. Polini Eds.), *Contemp. Math.* **448** (2007), 43-61.
[36] H. Dao and C. Huneke, Vanishing of Ext, cluster tilting modules and finite global dimension of endomorphism rings, Preprint, 2010. arXiv: math.AC/1005.5359v1.
[37] D. Delfino, On the inequality $\lambda(\overline{\mathbf{R}}/\mathbf{R}) \leq t(\mathbf{R})\lambda(\mathbf{R}/\mathcal{C})$ for one–dimensional local rings, *J. Algebra* **169** (1994), 332–342.
[38] L. R. Doering, T. Gunston and W. V. Vasconcelos, Cohomological degrees and Hilbert functions of graded modules, *American J. Math.* **120** (1998), 493–504.
[39] A. V. Doria, H. Hassanzadeh and A. Simis, A characteristic free criterion of birationality, *Advances in Math.* **230** (2012), 390–413.
[40] J. Eagon and D. G. Northcott, Ideals defined by matrices and a certain complex associated with them, *Proc. Royal Soc.* **269** (1962), 188–204.
[41] P. Eakin and A. Sathaye, Prestable ideals, *J. Algebra* **41** (1976), 439–454.
[42] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra with a view toward Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1995.
[43] D. Eisenbud and S. Goto, Linear free resolutions and minimal multiplicity, *J. Algebra* **88** (1984), 89–133.
[44] D. Eisenbud, C. Huneke and B. Ulrich, What is the Rees algebra of a module?, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131** (2003), 701–708.
[45] J. Elias, On the first normalized Hilbert coefficient, *J. Pure & Applied Algebra* **201** (2005), 116–125.
[46] J. Elias, Upper bounds of Hilbert coefficients and Hilbert functions, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **145** (2008), 87–94.
[47] C. Escobar, J. Martínez-Bernal and R. H. Villarreal, Relative volumes and minors in monomial subrings, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **374** (2003), 275–290.
[48] G. Evans and P. Griffith, Local cohomology modules for local domains, *J. London Math. Soc.* **19** (1979), 277–284.
[49] D. Ferrand, Courbes gauches et fibrés de rang 2, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* Sr. A-B **281** (1975), 345–347.
[50] H. Flenner and M. Manaresi, A numerical characterization of reduction ideals, *Math. Zeit.* **238** (2001), 205-214.

[51] H. Flenner, L. O'Carroll and W. Vogel, *Joins and Intersections*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, New York, 1999.

[52] R. Fossum, *The Divisor Class Group of a Krull Domain*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1973.

[53] L. Ghezzi, S. Goto, J. Hong, K. Ozeki, T.T. Phuong and W. V. Vasconcelos, Cohen–Macaulayness versus the vanishing of the first Hilbert coefficient of parameter ideals, *J. London Math. Soc.* **81** (2010), 679–695.

[54] L. Ghezzi, S. Goto, J. Hong and W. V. Vasconcelos, Variation of Hilbert coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **141** (2013), 3037–3048.

[55] L. Ghezzi, S. Goto, J. Hong, K. Ozeki, T. T. Phuong and W. V. Vasconcelos, The Chern and Euler coefficients of a module, Preprint (2010).

[56] L. Ghezzi, S. Goto, J. Hong, K. Ozeki, T. T. Phuong and W. V. Vasconcelos, Variation of the first Hilbert coefficients of parameters with a common integral closure, *J. Pure & Applied Algebra* **216** (2012) 216–232.

[57] L. Ghezzi, J. Hong and W. V. Vasconcelos, The signature of the Chern coefficients of local rings, *Math. Research Letters* **16** (2009), 279–289.

[58] R. Gilmer and W. Heinzer, The Noetherian property for quotient rings of infinite polynomial rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **76** (1979), 1–7.

[59] I. Gitler, E. Reyes and R. H. Villarreal, Blowup algebras of square–free monomial ideals and some links to combinatorial optimization problems, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **39** (2009), 72–101.

[60] S. Goto, J. Hong and M. Mandal, The positivity of the first normal Hilbert coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **139** (2011), 2399–2406.

[61] S. Goto, J. Hong and W. V. Vasconcelos, The homology of parameter ideals, *J. Algebra* **368** (2012), 271–299.

[62] S. Goto and Y. Nakamura, Multiplicities and tight closures of parameters, *J. Algebra* **244** (2001), 302–311.

[63] S. Goto and K. Nishida, Hilbert coefficients and Buchsbaumness of associated graded rings, *J. Pure & Applied Algebra* **181** (2003), 61–74.

[64] S. Goto, K. Nishida and K. Ozeki, The structure of Sally modules of rank one, *Math. Research Letters* **15** (2008), 881–892.

[65] S. Goto and K. Ozeki, Buchsbaumness in local rings possessing constant first Hilbert coefficients of parameters, *Nagoya Math. J.* **199** (2010), 95–105.

[66] S. Goto and K. Ozeki, Uniform bounds for Hilbert coefficients of parameters, in *Commutative Algebra and its Connections to Geometry* (PASI 2009), Contemporary Mathematics **555** (2011), 97–118.

[67] S. Goto and Y. Shimoda, Rees algebras of Cohen–Macaulay local rings, in *Commutative Algebra*, Lect. Notes in Pure and Applied Math. **68**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1982, 201–231.

[68] D. Grayson and M. Stillman, *Macaulay 2*, a software system for research in algebraic geometry, 2006. Available at http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/.

[69] P. Griffith, A representation theorem for complete local rings, *J. Pure & Applied Algebra* **7** (1976), 303–315.

[70] P. Griffith, Maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules and representation theory, *J. Pure & Applied Algebra* **13** (1978), 321–334.

[71] T. Gulliksen and G. Levin, *Homology of local rings*, Queen's Paper in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 20 Queen's University, Kingston, Ont. 1969.

[72] T. Gunston, *Cohomological degrees, Dilworth numbers and linear resolution*, Ph.D. Thesis, Rutgers University, 1998, arXiv:1008.3711[math.AC].

[73] K. Hanumanthu and C. Huneke, Bounding the first Hilbert coefficient; arXiv:1105.2325v1 [Math.AC].

[74] F. Hayasaka and E. Hyry, A note on the Buchsbaum-Rim function of a parameter module, arXiv:1003.0526v1 [math.AC].

[75] J. Herzog, Ringe mit nur endlich vielen Isomorphieklassen von maximalen, unzerlegbaren Cohen-Macaulay Moduln *Math. Ann.* **233** (1978), 21–34.

[76] J. Herzog and M. Kühl, Maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules over Gorenstein rings and Bourbaki sequences, *Commutative Algebra and Combinatorics*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **11** (1987), 65–92.
[77] J. Herzog and E. Kunz, *Der kanonische Modul eines Cohen–Macaulay Rings*, Lect. Notes in Math. **238**, Springer, Berlin–New York, 1971.
[78] J. Herzog, A. Simis and W. V. Vasconcelos, Approximation complexes of blowing-up rings, *J. Algebra* **74** (1982), 466–493.
[79] J. Herzog, A. Simis and W. V. Vasconcelos, Approximation complexes of blowing-up rings.II, *J. Algebra* **82** (1983), 53–83.
[80] J. Herzog, A. Simis and W. V. Vasconcelos, Koszul homology and blowing-up rings, in *Commutative Algebra*, Proceedings: Trento 1981 (S. Greco and G. Valla, Eds.), Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics **84**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1983, 79–169.
[81] J. Herzog, A. Simis and W. V. Vasconcelos, On the canonical module of the Rees algebras and the associated graded ring of an ideal, *J. Algebra* **105** (1987), 205–302.
[82] J. Herzog, N. V. Trung and B. Ulrich, On the multiplicity of blow-up rings of ideals generated by d–sequences, *J. Pure & Applied Algebra* **80** (1992), 273–297.
[83] J. Herzog and W. V. Vasconcelos, On the divisor class group of Rees algebras, *J. Algebra* **93** (1985), 182–188.
[84] P. J. Hilton and D. Rees, Natural maps of extension functors and a theorem of R. G. Swan, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **57** (1961), 489–502.
[85] M. Hochster, The Zariski-Lipman conjecture in the graded case, *J. Algebra* **47** (1977), 411–424.
[86] M. Hochster, Presentation depth and the Lipman–Sathaye Jacobian theorem, in *The Roos Festschrift*, Vol. 2, Homology Homotopy Appl. **4** (2002), 295–314.
[87] M. Hochster and C. Huneke, Tight closure in equal characteristic zero, preprint.
[88] J. Hong, A. Simis and W. V. Vasconcelos, On the homology of two-dimensional elimination, *J. Symbolic Computation* **43** (2008), 275–292.
[89] J. Hong, A. Simis and W. V. Vasconcelos, On the equations of almost complete intersections, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.* **43** (2012), 171–199.
[90] J. Hong, A. Simis and W. V. Vasconcelos, Extremal Rees algebras, *J. Commutative Algebra* **5** (2013), 231–267.
[91] J. Hong and B. Ulrich, Specialization and integral closure, Preprint, 2006.
[92] J. Hong, B. Ulrich and W. V. Vasconcelos, Normalization of modules, *J. Algebra* **303** (2006), 133–145.
[93] S. Huckaba, Reduction number for ideals of higher analytic spread, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **102** (1987), 49–57.
[94] S. Huckaba, A d-dimensional extension of a lemma of Huneke's and formulas for the Hilbert coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 1393-1401.
[95] S. Huckaba and T. Marley, Hilbert coefficients and the depths of associated graded rings, *J. London Math. Soc.* **56** (1997), 64–76.
[96] C. Huneke, The theory of d–sequences and powers of ideals, *Adv. in Math.* **46** (1982), 249–279.
[97] C. Huneke, Symbolic powers of prime ideals and special graded algebras, *Comm. in Algebra* **9** (1981), 339–366.
[98] C. Huneke, On the symmetric and Rees algebra of an ideal generated by a d–sequence, *J. Algebra* **62** (1980), 268–275.
[99] C. Huneke, On the associated graded ring of an ideal, *Illinois J. Math.* **26** (1982), 121-137.
[100] C. Huneke, Linkage and Koszul homology of ideals, *American J. Math.* **104** (1982), 1043–1062.
[101] C. Huneke, Hilbert functions and symbolic powers, *Michigan Math. J.* **34** (1987), 293–318.
[102] C. Huneke, Desingularizations and the uniform Artin-Rees theorem, *J. London Math. Soc.* **62** (2000), 740–756.
[103] C. Huneke and I. Swanson, *Integral Closure of Ideals, Rings, and Modules*, London Math. Soc., Lecture Note Series **336**, Cambridge University Press, 2006.
[104] C. Huneke and B. Ulrich, General hyperplane sections of algebraic varieties, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **2** (1993), 487–505.
[105] S. Itoh, Integral closures of ideals generated by regular sequences, *J. Algebra* **117** (1988), 390–401.

[106] S. Itoh, Coefficients of normal Hilbert polynomials, *J. Algebra* **150** (1992), 101–117.
[107] S. Itoh, Hilbert coefficients of integrally closed ideals, *J. Algebra* **176** (1995), 638–652.
[108] T. de Jong, An algorithm for computing the integral closure, *J. Symbolic Computation* **26** (1998), 273–277.
[109] B. Johnson and D. Katz, Castelnuovo regularity and graded rings associated to an ideal, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** (1995), 727-734.
[110] M. Johnson, B. Ulrich, Artin–Nagata properties and Cohen–Macaulay associated graded rings, *Compositio Math.* **103** (1996), 7–29.
[111] S. Kleiman, Théorie des intersections et théorème de Riemann-Roch, Lecture Notes in Math. **225**, Springer, Berlin, 1971, 616–666.
[112] M. Kühl, *Über die symmetrische Algebra eines Ideals*, Dissertation, Universität Essen, 1981.
[113] A. Kustin, C. Polini and B. Ulrich, Rational normal scrolls and the defining equations of Rees algebras, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **650** (2011), 23–65.
[114] C. Lech, Note on multiplicities of ideals, *Arkiv för Matematik* **4**, (1960), 63–86.
[115] S. Lichtenbaum, On the vanishing of Tor in regular local rings, *Illinois J. Math.* **10** (1966), 220–226.
[116] C. H. Linh, Upper bound for the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of associated graded modules, *Comm. Algebra* **33** (2005), 1817–1831.
[117] C. H. Linh, Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity and degree of nilpotency, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **142** (2007), 429–437.
[118] C. H. Linh and N. V. Trung, Uniform bounds in generalized Cohen-Macaulay rings, *J. Algebra* **304** (2006), 1147–1159.
[119] J. Lipman, Free derivation modules, *Amer. J. Math.* **87** (1965), 874–898.
[120] J. Lipman and A. Sathaye, Jacobian ideals and a theorem of Briançon-Skoda, *Michigan Math. J.* **28** (1981), 199–222.
[121] J. Lipman and B. Teissier, Pseudorational local rings and a theorem of Briançon–Skoda about integral closures of ideals, *Michigan Math. J.* **28** (1981), 97–116.
[122] M. Mandal and J. K. Verma, On the Chern number of an ideal, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **138** (2010), 1995–1999.
[123] M. Mandal, S. Masuti and J. K. Verma, Normal Hilbert polynomials: a survey, arXiv:1205.3342v1[math.AC].
[124] M. Mandal, B. Singh and J. K. Verma, On some conjectures about the Chern numbers of filtrations, *J. Algebra* **325** (2011), 147–162.
[125] T. Marley, The coefficients of the Hilbert polynomials and the reduction number of an ideal, *J. London Math. Soc.* **40** (1989), 1–8.
[126] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Algebra*, Benjamin/Cummings, Reading, 1980.
[127] C. Miyazaki and W. Vogel, Towards a theory of arithmetic degrees, *Manuscripta Math.* **89** (1996), 427–438.
[128] C. Miyazaki and W. Vogel, Arithmetic and geometric degrees of graded modules. In: *Commutative Algebra, Algebraic Geometry and Computational Methods* (Hanoi, 1996), 97–112 (1999), Springer, Singapore.
[129] C. Miyazaki, W. Vogel and K. Yanagawa, Associated primes and arithmetic degree, *J. Algebra* **192** (1997), 166–182.
[130] F. Muiños and F. Planas-Vilanova, The equations of Rees algebras of equimultiple ideals of deviation one, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
[131] M. Nagata, *Local Rings*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
[132] U. Nagel, Comparing Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity and extended degree: the borderline cases, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **357** (2005), 3585–3603.
[133] U. Nagel and T. J. Puthenpurakal, Properties of Koszul homology modules, arXiv:0809.3853v1.
[134] M. Narita, A note on the coefficients of Hilbert characteristic functions in semi-regular local rings, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **59** (1963), 269–275.
[135] K. Nishida and B. Ulrich, Computing j-multiplicity, *J. Pure & Applied Algebra* **214** (2010), 2101–2110.

[136] E. Noether, Idealdifferentiation und Differente, *J. reine angew. Math.* **188** (1950), 1–21.
[137] D. G. Northcott, A note on the coefficients of the abstract Hilbert function, *J. London Math. Soc.* **35** (1960), 209–214.
[138] D. G. Northcott and D. Rees, Reductions of ideals in local rings, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **50** (1954), 145–158.
[139] A. Ooishi, Castelnuovo's regularity of graded rings and modules, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **12** (1982), 627–644.
[140] A. Ooishi, δ–genera and sectional genera of commutative rings, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **17** (1987), 361–372.
[141] A. Ooishi, Genera and arithmetic genera of commutative rings, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **17** (1988), 47–66.
[142] C. Peskine and L. Szpiro, Liaison des variétés algébriques. *Invent. Math.* **26** (1974), 271–302.
[143] T. Pham, jdeg *of Algebraic Structures*, Ph.D. Thesis, Rutgers University, 2006.
[144] T. Pham and W. V. Vasconcelos, Complexity of the normalization of algebras, *Math. Zeit.* **258** (2008), 729–743.
[145] T. Pham and W. V. Vasconcelos, Computation of the jdeg of blowup algebras, *J. Pure & Applied Algebra* **214** (2010), 1800–1809.
[146] F. Planas-Vilanova, On the module of effective relations of a standard algebra, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **124** (1998), 215–229.
[147] C. Polini, B. Ulrich and W. V. Vasconcelos, Normalization of ideals and Briançon-Skoda numbers, *Math. Research Letters* **12** (2005), 827–842.
[148] C. Polini, B. Ulrich, W. V. Vasconcelos and R. H. Villarreal, Indices of normalization of ideals, arXiv:1006.4560.
[149] T. J. Puthenpurakal, Hilbert coefficients of a Cohen-Macaulay module, *J. Algebra* **264** (2003), 82–97.
[150] L. J. Ratliff, Jr., Locally quasi-unmixed Noetherian rings and ideals of the principal class, *Pacific J. Math.* **52** (1974), 185–205.
[151] D. Rees, A theorem of homological algebra, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **52** (1956), 605–610.
[152] D. Rees, A note on analytically unramified local rings, *J. London Math. Soc.* **36** (1961), 24–28.
[153] D. Rees, $\mathfrak{a}$-transforms of local rings and a theorem on multiplicities of ideals, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **57** (1961), 8–17.
[154] D. Rees, Reductions of modules, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **101** (1987), 431–449.
[155] L. Reid, L. G. Roberts and M. A. Vitulli, Some results on normal homogeneous ideals, *Comm. Algebra* **31** (2003), 4485–4506.
[156] M. E. Rossi, A bound on the reduction number of a primary ideal, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), 1325–1332.
[157] M. E. Rossi, N. V. Trung and G. Valla, Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity and extended degree, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **355** (2003), 1773–1786.
[158] M. E. Rossi, N. V. Trung and G. Valla, Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity and finiteness of Hilbert functions, *Commutative Algebra,* 193–209, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math., 244, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006.
[159] M. E. Rossi and G. Valla, The Hilbert function of the Ratliff-Rush filtration, *J. Pure & Applied Algebra* **201** (2005), 25–41.
[160] M. E. Rossi and G. Valla, *Hilbert Functions of Filtered Modules*, Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana **9**, Springer, 2010.
[161] M. E. Rossi and G. Valla, On the Chern number of a filtration, *Rendiconti Seminario Matematico Padova* **121** (2009), 201–222.
[162] M. E. Rossi, G. Valla and W. V. Vasconcelos, Maximal Hilbert functions, *Results in Math.* **39** (2001), 99–114.
[163] M. W. Ruppert, Embedded primes and the associated graded ring, *Arch. Math.* **65** (1995), 501–504.
[164] J. D. Sally, *Numbers of Generators of Ideals in Local Rings*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics **36**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1978.

[165] G. Scheja and U. Storch, Differentielle Eigenschaften der Lokalisierungen analytischer Algebren, *Math. Ann.* **197** (1972), 137–170.
[166] J.-P. Serre, *Algèbre Locale. Multiplicités*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **11**, Springer, Berlin, 1965.
[167] R. Y. Sharp, Cohen-Macaulay properties for balanced big Cohen-Macaulay modules, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **90** (1981), 229–238.
[168] G. Shimura, A note on the normalization theorem of an integral domain, *Sci. Papers Coll. Gen. Ed. Univ. Tokyo* **4** (1954), 1–8.
[169] A. Simis, Koszul homology and its syzygy-theoretic part, *J. Algebra* **54** (1978), 1–15.
[170] A. Simis, Cremona transformations and some related algebras, *J. Algebra* **280** (2004), 162–179.
[171] A. Simis, B. Ulrich and W. V. Vasconcelos, Jacobian dual fibrations, *Amer. J. Math.* **115** (1993), 47–75.
[172] A. Simis, B. Ulrich and W. V. Vasconcelos, Cohen-Macaulay Rees algebras and degrees of polynomial relations, *Math. Annalen* **301** (1995), 421–444.
[173] A. Simis, B. Ulrich and W. V. Vasconcelos, Rees algebras of modules, *Proceedings London Math. Soc.* **87** (2003), 610–646.
[174] A. Simis, B. Ulrich and W. V. Vasconcelos, Codimension, multiplicity and integral extensions, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **130** (2001), 237–257.
[175] A. Simis and W. V. Vasconcelos, The syzygies of the conormal module, *Amer. J. Math.* **103** (1981), 203–224.
[176] A. K. Singh and I. Swanson, An algorithm for computing the integral closure, Preprint, 2008.
[177] V. Srinivas and T. Trivedi, On the Hilbert function of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **6** (1997), 733–751.
[178] R. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Vol. I, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, Monterey, California, 1986.
[179] J. Stückrad and W. Vogel, *Buchsbaum Rings and Applications*, Springer, New York, 1986.
[180] R. G. Swan, The number of generators of a module, *Math. Z.* **102** (1967), 318–322.
[181] B. Teissier, Monômes, volumes et multiplicités, in *Introduction à la théorie des Singularités*, Vol. II (Lê Dung Trang, Ed.), Travaux en Cours **37**, Hermann, Paris, 1988.
[182] N. V. Trung, Toward a theory of generalized Cohen–Macaulay modules, *Nagoya Math. J.* **102**, 1986, 1–49.
[183] N. V. Trung, The Castelnuovo regularity of the Rees algebra and associated graded ring, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **350** (1998), 2813–2832.
[184] B. Ulrich and J. Validashti, A criterion for integral dependence of modules, *Math. Research Letters* **14** (2007), 1041–1054.
[185] B. Ulrich and W. V. Vasconcelos, On the complexity of the integral closure, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **357** (2005), 425–442.
[186] P. Valabrega and G. Valla, Form rings and regular sequences, *Nagoya Math. J.* **72** (1978), 93–101.
[187] G. Valla, On the symmetric and Rees algebras of an ideal, *Manuscripta Math.* **30** (1980), 239–255.
[188] G. Valla, Problems and results on Hilbert functions of graded algebras, in *Six Lectures on Commutative Algebra*, Progress in Mathematics **166**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998, 293–344.
[189] M. Van den Bergh, Non-commutative crepant resolutions, in *The Legacy of Niels Henrik Abel* , 749–770, Springer, Berlin, 2094.
[190] W. V. Vasconcelos, On quasi-local regular algebras, *Symposia Mathematica*, Vol. XI, 11-22, Academic Press, London, 1973.
[191] W. V. Vasconcelos, On the homology of I/I^2, *Commun. Algebra* **6** (1978), 1801–1809.
[192] W. V. Vasconcelos, *Arithmetic of Blowup Algebras*, London Math. Soc., Lecture Note Series **195**, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
[193] W. V. Vasconcelos, The reduction number of an algebra, *Compositio Math.* **104** (1996), 189–197.
[194] W. V. Vasconcelos, The homological degree of a module, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **350** (1998), 1167–1179.

[195] W. V. Vasconcelos, Cohomological degrees of graded modules, in *Six Lectures on Commutative Algebra*, Progress in Mathematics **166**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998, 345–392.
[196] W. V. Vasconcelos, Reduction numbers of ideals, *J. Algebra* **216** (1999), 652–664.
[197] W. V. Vasconcelos, *Computational Methods in Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, Heidelberg, 1998.
[198] W. V. Vasconcelos, Multiplicities and reduction numbers, *Compositio Math.* **139** (2003), 361–379.
[199] W. V. Vasconcelos, *Integral Closure*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, New York, 2005.
[200] W. V. Vasconcelos, The Chern coefficients of local rings, *Michigan Math. J.* **57** (2008), 725–744.
[201] W. V. Vasconcelos, On the radical of endomorphism rings of local modules, Preprint, 2012
[202] W. V. Vasconcelos, Cohomological degrees and applications, in *Commutative Algebra*: Expository papers dedicated to David Eisenbud on his 65th birthday, (Irena Peeva, editor), Springer, New York, 2013, 667–707.
[203] R. H. Villarreal, Normalization of monomial ideals and Hilbert functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (2008), 1933–1943.
[204] N. P. Vinai, Arithmetic degree and associated graded modules, *Manuscripta Math.* **115** (2004), 299–311.
[205] H.-J. Wang, On the Fitting ideals of free resolutions, *Michigan Math. J.* **41** (1994), 587-608.
[206] H.-J. Wang, Some uniform properties of 2-dimensional local rings, *J. Algebra* **188** (1997) 1-15.
[207] H.-J. Wang, The relation type conjecture holds for rings with finite local cohomology, *Comm. Algebra* **25** (1997) 785-801.
[208] K. Yamagishi, Bass number characterization of surjective Buchsbaum modules, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **110** (1991), 261–279.
[209] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra*, Vol. 2, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960.
[210] S. Zarzuela, Balanced big Cohen-Macaulay modules and flat extensions of rings, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **102** (1987), 203–209.